

F.O.

406

N  
H

43

[This Document is the Property of His Britannic Majesty's Government.]

*Printed for the use of the Foreign Office.*

CONFIDENTIAL

(11675)

26

F.O. 406/43

PART IV.

FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE

RESPECTING

EASTERN AFFAIRS.

43

January to June 1920.



CONFIDENTIAL

(11675)

Foreign/43

PART IV.

FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE

RESPECTING

EASTERN AFFAIRS.

---

January to June 1920.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS.

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
1 To Law Officers ...	1919, Dec. 10	Turkey. Jurisdiction (Inter-Allied Tribunal). (a) Difficulties arising from present position; asks for their views as to provision of requisite courts. (b) Law officers consider Ottoman Government should be pressed into accepting establishment of an inter-allied tribunal ...	1
2 Political Resident, Aden ...	" 18	Arabia. Situation report. Encloses copy of letter to High Commissioner, Cairo, as to. Deals with Imam-Jacob Mission. Idriat and Aden protectorate ...	4
3 Armenian Peace Delegation ...	1920, Jan. 1	Caucasus. Note on Armenian claims to Karabagh, and Tartar counter-claims to it and to Zangezur ...	6
4 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 232. Tel.	1919, Dec. 24	Caucasus. Azerbaijan. Cabinet—names of new Ministry ...	7
5 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 337. Tel.	" 27	Caucasus. Bolshevik activities in Transcaucasia. Turkomans appear to be anti-Bolshevik. Suggests a British mission to them for enquiry and report ...	7
6 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 3. Tel.	1920, Jan. 3	Caucasus. Georgia. Government seeks advice of Great Britain in view of expected Bolshevik proclamation of Soviet Republic in North Caucasus ...	8
7 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 3. Tel.	" 3	Caucasus. With Denikin's collapse crushing of Transcaucasia by Bolsheviks would follow. Suggests urgent measures for averting this danger ...	8
8 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 2384. Secret	1919, Dec. 23	Turkey. Allied strength. Only strength lies in Greek troops. Necessity for strong force if peace terms unacceptable in view of nationalist opposition ...	9
9 India Office ...	1920, Jan. 7	Caucasus. Bolshevik activities in Transcaucasia. Recommends British control of the Caspian Sea and union of interests of Caucasian republics, especially Azerbaijan, with those of Great Britain ...	10
10 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 5. Tel.	" 6	Caucasus. Georgia. Refers to No. 6. Government considers Bolsheviks and Turks have agreed together to attack Great Britain. It asks for recognition of independence, return of ports of Batum province and material aid ...	11
11 To the Earl of Derby ... No. 67	" 6	Palestine. Administrative boundary. British Government, while accepting Supreme Council decision of the 12th September, 1919, as basis, insist on maintenance of present boundary pending final decision ...	11
12 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 7. Tel.	" 7	Caucasus. Georgia. Refers to No. 10. Georgia will resist Bolsheviks if we support her. In Armenia there is danger that Dashnaks party may make terms with Bolsheviks ...	12
13 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 9. Tel.	" 8	Caucasus. Azerbaijan. Transmits message from Colonel Stokes. Situation serious owing to collapse of Denikin. Immediate action is necessary to save Azerbaijan from Bolshevik domination. Also Inghestan, if assisted by us with money, would fight the Bolsheviks ...	12
14 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 11. Tel.	" 8	Caucasus. Azerbaijan. Continuation of No. 13. Government must make terms with Bolsheviks, who are menacing, if we do not assist them. Colonel Stokes advocates recognition and immediate aid ...	13
15 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 2391	1919, Dec. 24	Turkey. Jurisdiction (Capitulations). Future judicial régime must be provided for in the treaty. Proposal to re-establish capitulations pending new régime ...	14
16 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 13. Tel.	1920, Jan. 8	Caucasus. Daghestan. It must succumb to joining with Bolsheviks, failing help within a fortnight ...	15

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

iii

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
17 To War Office ...	1920, Jan. 10	Caucasus. Transmits Mr. Wardrop's recent telegram. Proposes some measure of assistance to Georgia and Azerbaijan and their <i>de facto</i> recognition ...	15
18 The Earl of Derby No. 19. Tel.	" 10	Caucasus. Transmits message from Lord Curzon. Supreme Council have accorded <i>de facto</i> recognition to Georgia and Azerbaijan. Eastern Committee should meet to discuss future policy in Caucasus and Transcaucasia ...	16
19 Sir P. Cox ... No. 14. Tel.	" 11	Caucasus. Georgia and Azerbaijan. Persian Prime Minister emphasises enormous importance to Persia and British interests if Caucasian States be recognised and enabled to hold the Batum-Baku line and South Caspian ...	16
20 To Mr. Wardrop No. 23. Tel.	" 11	Caucasus. Georgia and Azerbaijan. Refers to No. 18. Announces <i>de facto</i> recognition ...	17
21 The Earl of Derby No. 24. Tel.	" 10	Caucasus. Georgia and Azerbaijan. Refers to No. 18. <i>De facto</i> recognition does not prejudge question of boundaries ...	17
22 To Mr. Wardrop No. 27. Tel.	" 12	Caucasus. Reports to him No. 21 ...	17
23 To India Office ...	" 12	Caucasus. Three Caucasian republics. Admiralty desire to take over fleet in Caspian Sea. Asks for proposals as to the suggested areas of interests of republics with those of Great Britain ...	17
24 To the Earl of Derby No. 44. Tel.	" 13	Caucasus. Refers to No. 18. Eastern Committee favours eventual withdrawal from Caucasus, but provisional retention of troops at Batum ...	18
25 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 30. Tel.	" 11	Caucasus. Azerbaijan. Baku Government ask for authorisation to despatch troops to Daghestan to counter Bolsheviks ...	19
26 Mr. Mackinder ... No. 3. Tel.	" 13	Caucasus. Caspian Sea. Bolsheviks advancing from Astrakhan. Recommends Essex may be used to shelter Denikin's flotilla ...	19
27 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 181.	1919, Dec. 20	Caucasus. Transcaucasia. Transmits despatch from Colonel Stokes as to Bolshevik activities. Suggests aid to the Turkomans ...	20
28 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 2399. Secret	" 20	Turkey. Pan-Islamic activities. Forwards report on Mustafa Kemal's efforts to affect co-operation between Turks, Kurds and Arabs. Growing tendency in Near and Middle East towards "self-determination" ...	21
29 Mr. Vansittart ... No. 2. Conf.	1920, Jan. 13	Turkey. Peace terms. Encloses M. Berthelot's memorandum as to principles of settlement of Eastern question. Discusses three of the four chief problems that Turkey offers: (a) Constantinople and the Straits, (b) Anatolia and Asia Minor, (c) Armenia, (d) Syria, Mesopotamia and Arabia ...	23
30 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 38. Tel.	" 13	Turkey. Ottoman Parliament. Reopened 12th January. Speech from Throne colourless ...	23
31 To Mr. Wardrop Private and Secret. Tel.	" 15	Caucasus. Georgia and Azerbaijan. Refers to No. 24. Warns him that military assistance is most improbable and that we discourage the republics from any aggressive action ...	30
32 Political Resident, Aden No. C. 12.	1919, Dec. 31	Arabia. Situation report. Deals with imam, Idriat, Aden Protectorate and Mokalla ...	30
33 Political Resident, Aden	1920, Jan. 1	Arabia. Describes fighting at Upper Yafa. Advises that some troops be moved up to Nebat Dakin to counter the Zeidis ...	31



No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
	1920.		
34 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 45. Tel. Secret	Jan. 17	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Shows reason why he is demanding from Porte removal of Jemal and Jevad Pashas from Ministry of War ...	33
35 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 57. Tel. Secret	" 17	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 34. Text of note agreed upon after much reluctance by Italian High Commissioner ...	33
36 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 31. Tel. Private and Secret	" 18	Caucasia. Georgia and Azerbaijan. Both these countries have declared their absolute neutrality in Russian affairs ...	34
37 The Earl of Derby ... No. 68. Tel.	" 20	Caucasia. Three republics. Supreme Council accept in principle despatch to them of munitions and food. Means are now to be discussed ...	34
38 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb ... No. 60. Tel. Secret	" 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 35. Note handed to Grand Vizier on 20th January ...	35
39 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb ... No. 61. Tel.	" 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Alleged understanding between Porte and Nationalists by which latter will declare their independence and former promise them support if Smyrna is given to Greece ...	35
40 To Mr. Wardrop ... No. 41. Tel.	" 21	Caucasia. Three republics. Informs him of action taken by Supreme Council under No. 37 ...	35
41 Sir P. Cox ... No. 30. Tel.	" 21	Caucasia. Caspian Sea. Persian Government accept transfer of Deshkie's fleet to Enzeli on certain conditions ...	35
42 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb ... No. 64. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 35. The Pashas resigned on 21st January ...	36
43 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 44. Tel. Secret	" 22	Caucasia. Batoum. Considers several matters need searching investigation, e.g., Bolshevik activities, inadequacy of garrison and Turkish intrigues ...	36
44 The Earl of Derby ... No. 105. Tel.	" 26	Caucasia. Armenia. United States concurs in the recognition of the republic. <i>De facto</i> recognition of Georgia and Azerbaijan may be viewed with suspicion by Moscow ...	37
45 Note communicated to Foreign Office ...	" 27	Arabia. Imam of Sanaa. Summary of events leading to despatch of mission to him under Colonel Jacob ...	37
46 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 74. Tel.	" 28	Turkey. Greek troops. Turkish Government, hearing rumour that Greek troops may be sent to Constantinople to maintain order, declare consequences would be deplorable. Sir J. de Robeck concurs in this view ...	41
47 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb ... No. 17. Conf.	" 4	Turkey. Bolshevism and Pan-Islamism. Encloses memorandum by Commander H. C. Lake, R.N.V.R. The Bolsheviks are converting Moslems to the belief that the British are the enemies of Islam. Commander Lake suggests that His Majesty's Government make a public pro-Islamic pronouncement. Mr. A. Ryan commenting thereon considers our aim in dealing with Pan-Islam should be to divide, to conciliate and to rule ...	41
48 Political Resident, Aden ...	" 14	Arabia. Situation report. Imam. Aden protectorate ...	41
49 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 45. Tel.	" 22	Caucasia. Armenia. Prime Minister states agreement reached with Georgia and Azerbaijan for collective resistance to Bolsheviks. He begs for settlement of Armenian question ...	47
50 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 53. Tel.	" 28	Caucasia. In Azerbaijan pro-British attitude adopted. He has called on Armenian Premier to withdraw troops from Zangezur, where they have attacked Azerbaijan ...	47
51 The Earl of Derby ... No. 277	Feb. 2	Palestine. Administrative boundary. Refers to No. 11. Discusses the British point of view and agrees that the Supreme Council must decide ...	48

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
	1920.		
52 Mr. Tansell ... No. 9	Feb. 2	Turkey. Peace terms. Economic clauses. Forwards draft articles by Mr. Fountain and memoranda on our discussions with Allied representatives ...	43
53 Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby ... No. 81	Jan. 25	Arabia. Imam Yahya. Encloses report by the Arab Bureau on the detention by the Imam of Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob's mission at Bajic and the closing stages of the negotiations conducted through Major Meek ...	62
54 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 102. Tel.	Feb. 4	Turkey. Nationalist movement. French believe Nationalists are moving troops on Marash ...	68
55 War Office ... Enclosed	" 5	Turkey. Supreme command. General Milne has been instructed to withdraw his troops from Batoum to replace the French troops removed from his command at Constantinople, and informed that command at Constantinople shall not pass from his hands ...	69
56 Dr. Weizmann ...	" 4	Palestine. Encloses report on (1) Arab position in Palestine, (2) land question, (3) public works, (4) education, (5) possibilities of Palestine, (6) Transjordan, (7) immediate programme ...	69
57 War Office ...	" 6	Turkey. Supreme command. Refers to No. 55. Forwards copy of telegram to General Bridges instructing him to make clear to General Franchet d'Espéray the grave dissatisfaction of His Majesty's Government at the creation by him of an impossible situation ...	79
58 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 64. Tel. Conf.	" 7	Caucasia. Georgia. Transmits message from Government to Georgian delegation. Country is ready to defend its independence. Asks for favourable solution of Batoum question ...	80
59 Mr. Wardrop ... No. 64. Tel.	" 8	Caucasia. Batoum. Evacuation by British would be regarded by Republics as abandoning them to their fate. Requests decision may be revoked pending submission of detailed reasons against it ...	81
60 Acting High Commissioner, Constantinople ... No. 102	Jan. 18	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Considers report of General Staff, A.B.S., issued on 10th January, unduly belittles importance of movement ...	81
61 Rear-Admiral Webb ... Enclosed	" 17	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Capitulations. Status of Hellenes. Transmits notes exchanged with the Porte. High Commissioners insist that Capitulations are still in force ...	82
62 Political Resident, Aden ...	" 28	Arabia. Situation report. Imam and Turkish intrigue Aden protectorate ...	83
63 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 107. Tel.	Feb. 6	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Inter-Allied Tribunal and Capitulations. Refers to No. 1. Formation of Inter-Allied Tribunal is being proceeded with. Italian Consular Court has been opened; French soon will be. Requests ruling as to opening of British Court ...	86
64 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 115. Tel.	" 10	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Nationalist leaders have complete hold on Government ...	87
65 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 119. Tel.	" 10	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 54. Mr. H. Buxton reports Marash still besieged; towns in vicinity endangered; many Americans massacred; two American relief workers murdered. Armenians demand formation of an Armenian militia ...	87
66 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck ... No. 127. Tel.	" 12	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Peace terms. High Commissioners wish to make a tranquillising announcement as to the future of Constantinople and Smyrna ...	88
67 Mr. A. Williams, M.P. ...	" 13	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 65. Marash massacres confirmed. Armenians throw responsibility for massacres on French ...	88



No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
68 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 129. Tel.	1920. Feb. 12	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Fear in Konia district. Porte informed in view of its identity with Nationalists it would be held responsible if troubles occur ... ..	89
69 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 123. Tel.	" 16	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 66. Announcement that Constantinople will be left to Turkey may be made with the rider that this is conditional on cessation of massacres of Armenians and attacks on Allies ... ..	89
70 Lieut.-Colonel Jacob ...	" 16	Arabia. Forwards report on his mission to the imam and his captivity, showing the situation between the rival Arab leaders. Discusses the action of Major Meek, who was sent to negotiate his release ... ..	90
71 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 148. Tel.	" 19	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Inter-Allied Tribunals. Refers to No. 62. Enquires in whose name judgments should be given ... ..	122
72 To Mr. Wardrop No. 89. Tel. Conf.	" 20	Caucasus. Batoum. Evacuation. Refers to No. 82. No further action will take place pending a decision as to the despatch of a joint Allied force ... ..	130
73 Foreign Office note ...	May 14	Arabia. Imam Yahya. Proposed treaty with. Refers to No. 45. Major Yung and Colonel Jacob discuss the form of our future relations with the rulers in Arabia ... ..	130
74 The Earl of Derby No. 300. Tel.	Feb. 21	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Marshal Foch is summoning Allied Military Committee in Paris to enquire into Nationalist activities ... ..	136
75 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 149. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 76. Requests criticisms on text of French scheme of judicial reform ... ..	136
76 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 150. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 75. Text of French scheme ... ..	137
77 Mr. Wardrop ...	Jan. 22	Caucasus. Transmits report by Colonel Stokes on Azerbaijan reply to the Bolshevik proposal for an attack on the Volunteer Army ... ..	141
78 Major-General Sir J. Stewart No. 4	Feb. 5	Arabia. Transmits report by Major Meek on his negotiations resulting in the release of Colonel Jacob's mission, and emphasises Major Meek's able conduct in this affair ... ..	143
79 Professor Nallino ...	" 16	Turkey. Meaning of Caliphate. Notes on nature of Caliphate based on historical aspect showing falseness of theoretic premise as to Caliph ... ..	164
80 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 152. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Peace terms. Communication as to future of Constantinople has been made to Porte. Reinforcement of army of Black Sea appears desirable ... ..	177
81 Consul-General Wratlaw No. 18. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 67. Victims in and around Marash, 5,000. Remains there and those at Aintab doomed ... ..	177
82 To Mr. Wardrop No. 95. Tel.	" 27	Caucasus. Batoum. Refers to No. 72. Supreme Council has decided to maintain an Allied garrison at Batoum ... ..	178
83 Political Resident, Aden ...	" 12	Arabia. Situation report. Imam Idri. Aden Protectorate ... ..	178
84 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 176. Tel. Very Secret	" 29	Turkey. Peace terms. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 80. Nationalists grow increasingly defiant. If peace terms are to be very drastic, concerted measures, including occupation of Constantinople, must be taken to forestall serious developments ... ..	182
85 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 184. Tel.	Mar. 2	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 78. Mr. Ryan's opinion on French scheme of judicial reform ... ..	183

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
86 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 184. Tel.	1920. Mar. 2	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 81. Marash victims possibly number 15,000. French have sufficient troops around Adana ... ..	184
87 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 210	Feb. 22	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Capitulations. Refers to No. 61. Opening of French and Italian Consular Courts ... ..	184
88 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 213	" 23	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 80. Grand Visier informed of deplorable results entailed for Turkey if Nationalists attack Allies or massacre Armenians ... ..	185
89 Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby No. 182. Tel.	" 28	Turkey. Treaty of Peace. Transmits Lord Milner's views as to the Egyptian chapter ... ..	187
90 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 183. Tel.	Mar. 2	Turkey. Peace terms. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 86. Nationalists will oppose drastic peace. Discusses situation and recommends peace terms be made irrespective of Cilician situation, for which Turks and French must share moral responsibility ... ..	188
91 Consul-General Wratlaw No. 19. Tel.	Feb. 29	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 86. Marash victims estimated at 12,000 in town, exclusive of neighbourhood. Adana is no danger ... ..	188
92 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 184. Tel.	Mar. 4	Turkey. Jurisdiction. Capitulations and Inter-Allied Tribunals. Refers to No. 83. Consular Court may be reopened. Inter-Allied Tribunal proposal. No action to be taken unless our Allies approach us ... ..	189
93 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 188. Tel.	" 5	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 84. Reports resignation ... ..	189
94 To Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby No. 196. Tel.	" 6	Turkey. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 89. Enquires how to frame clauses relating to Soudan ... ..	189
95 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 187. Tel.	" 6	Turkey. Peace terms. Supreme Council contemplate terms involving cession of Thrace and Smyrna to Greece, creation of an independent Armenia with Erzurum, probable recognition of Kurdistan, international control of Straits and financial control of Turkey. Enquires what measures will be necessary to enforce terms. Military occupation of Constantinople is to proceed forthwith, as also dismissal of Mustapha Kemal ... ..	189
96 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 191. Tel.	" 5	Turkey. Peace terms. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 90. Drastic peace terms are calculated to lead to massacre of Christians, unless Nationalist resistance be forestalled ... ..	190
97 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 192. Tel.	" 5	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 95. Great difficulty in forming new Government owing to impossibility of satisfying both Allies and Nationalists ... ..	191
98 Consul-General Wratlaw No. 7	Feb. 16	Turkey. Peace terms. Forwards protest of Moslem Syrian Reform Party against ejection of Turkish State, as representing the Caliphate, from Constantinople ... ..	191
99 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 201. Tel.	Mar. 7	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 95. French High Commissioner also deprecates measures proposed by Supreme Council: peace terms as foreshadowed entail necessity for Allies to adopt severe measures at once, including effective occupation of Constantinople, and these measures may carry Allies very far ... ..	192
100 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 204. Tel.	" 8	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 96. Is ready to proceed with occupation whenever so instructed ... ..	193
101 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 197. Tel.	" 9	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 95. Enquires what action is being taken ... ..	193



No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
102 Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby No. 226. Tel.	1920. Mar. 9	Turkey. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 94. Delegate has been sent to Khartoum to prepare concrete proposals. Requests treaty may be framed so as to recognise them if approved ... ..	194
103 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 210. Tel.	" 10	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 100. Method and preparations discussed. General Milne could occupy on 13th March, but French and Italian High Com- missioners both await definite instructions before con- senting ... ..	194
104 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 211. Tel.	" 10	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 99. Three High Commissioners address identical telegram pointing out that the severe peace terms may entail (1) refusal to execute treaty, (2) creation of new Government in Asia and massacre of Christians, (3) union of Turks and Bulgarians against Greeks, (4) combined action of Turks, Arabs and Bolsheviks ... ..	194
105 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 207. Tel.	" 10	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 103. Occupation is to take place at once, but administration is not to be taken over. Enquires what steps are necessary for the protection of Christians ... ..	195
106 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 189	Feb. 5	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 97. Nationalists are in control ... ..	195
107 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 196	" 5	Turkey. Armenian-Greek relief. Reports on present situation ... ..	196
108 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 203	" 9	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Recent activities in Dardanelles-Gallipoli area, including the theft of Allied munitions at Ak Bashi Line ... ..	196
109 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 228. Secret	" 11	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacre. Refers to No. 91. Forwards military intelligence report. Turks lay responsibility on Armenians and French owing to their excesses against Moslems. Situation in Syria ...	200
110 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 226	" 13	Turkey. Review of recent political events. Fall of Ferid Pasha. Reopening of Parliament; changes within the Cabinet ... ..	202
111 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 228	" 14	Turkey. Pan-Islamic activities. Moslem agent reports Nationalists had so far refused Bolshevik money offer, but were communicating with Moslems in Syria and India (see also No. 28) ... ..	204
112 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 235	" 17	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacre. In- forms Grand Visir of Anglo-French solidarity against Nationalists. Encloses notes exchanged between Porte and French and British High Commissioners dealing with Cilician incidents ... ..	205
113 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 209. Tel.	Mar. 9	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 106. Gives list of new Cabinet under Salih Pasha ... ..	213
114 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 287	Feb. 26	Turkey. Greek troops. Porte calls attention to growing activity of Greek army in Asia Minor, and requests High Commissioner to prevent any offensive move (see No. 46)	214
115 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 292. Secret	" 26	Turkey. Italian activities. Agent reports Italian High Commissioner received letter of thanks from Sultan and letter from Foreign Minister relative to secret financial help (see also No. 96) ... ..	215
116 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 220. Tel.	Mar. 12	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 103. Preparations complete, but French High Commissioner has received instructions to defer action. Requests instructions ... ..	215

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
117 To the Earl of Derby ... No. 325. Tel.	1920. Mar. 12	Uganda. Armenia. Transmits message for president, League of Nations. Supreme Council wishes to place Armenia under the protection of the League, and enquires if the League will accept the obligation ... ..	216
118 To Mr. Lindsay ... No. 269. Tel.	" 12	Turkey. Peace terms. Reports interview with American Ambassador. Lord Curzon explains Cilician events, decision to occupy Constantinople and present situation vis-à-vis Nationalists, and informs him that it was still believed that America would shoulder a share of responsibility in the Near East ... ..	217
119 Baron Manchester ...	" 12	Turkey. Financial Commission. Expresses desire of Belgian Government to be represented therein ... ..	218
120 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 221. Tel.	" 13	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 116. Requests information as to delay, and authorises to act alone if his colleagues have received no instructions ...	218
121 To the Earl of Derby ... No. 301	" 13	Syria. Proclamation of Emir Feisal as King by the Damascus Congress. Describes the manner in which the situation was brought about, and states he is sending a telegram to Viscount Allenby to the effect that England and France were united in refusing to recognise the authority of the congress ... ..	218
122 Political Resident, Aden No. 7	Feb. 28	Arabia. Situation report. Position and role of Imam and Idris. Imam's advance to Aden protectorate ... ..	221
123 Baron Manchester ...	Mar. 13	Turkey. Public Debt Council. Expresses desire of Belgian Government to be represented therein ... ..	225
124 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 338. Tel.	" 14	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Occupation by Allies took place on 13th March. Ministries of War and Marine occupied. Posts and telegraphs and police placed under control. Several arrests effected. Communiqué issued by High Commissioners explaining they support Sultan's authority, and hold Constantinople as pledge for good behaviour of Nationalists ... ..	226
125 To the Earl of Derby ... No. 353	" 17	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Refers to No. 87. French Ambassador stated French Government must maintain standpoint that French were in command of all Allied forces on the European side of the Straits, while the British were in charge on Asiatic side. Lord Curzon points out that Constantinople had been specially reserved for British command ... ..	226
126 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 303. Secret	" 2	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacre. Encloses report of Lieutenant-Colonel Butler. French position in Syria under General Gouraud. The Marash massacre. He estimates 100,000 men as the minimum French forces required for the pacification of Northern Syria and Cilicia ... ..	227
127 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 306	" 2	Turkey. Kurdistan. Transmits memorandum by Mr. Ryan on its future, and discusses view of Said Abdul Kadir, who urges the advisability of a British protectorate ...	231
128 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 307. Secret	" 1	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Secret information shows that Turkish War Office instructed Ottoman commanders in provinces to render assistance to Nationalists ... ..	232
129 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 318	" 4	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacre. Refers to No. 126. Transmits reports of Dr. Chambers on the Marash massacre, and also the diary of Dr. Crathorn	232
130 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 245. Tel.	" 17	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Thrace. Colonel Jaffar Tayyar heads movement in Thrace to resist peace terms ...	242
131 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 247. Tel.	" 19	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 124. Grand Visir protests against it. He disclaims responsi- bility of Sultan's Government for any excesses committed by Nationalists ... ..	243



No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
122 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 249. Tel.	1920. Mar. 19	Turkey. Jurisdiction in Turkey. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 76. Criticizes French scheme drafted in Paris, and recommends an Anglo-French scheme drafted in Constantinople forwarded in despatch No. 1990 of 12th June, 1919 ... ..	250
123 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 253. Tel.	" 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 124. Names of eleven Nationalist leaders arrested in Constantinople... ..	250
124 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 262. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 124. Text of Allied communiqué ... ..	251
125 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 263. Tel.	" 27	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 124. Explains why High Commissioners considered issue of communiqué to be imperative ... ..	252
126 M. Paravietti ... ..	" 23	Turkey. Public Debt Council. Requests that Swiss Government may be represented thereon (see also No. 125) ... ..	252
127 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 276. Tel. Secret	" 24	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Question of control in Turkish War, Marine and Postal Ministries causes dissension among Allies ... ..	253
128 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 277. Tel.	" 24	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 127. High Commissioners all agree controls should be Inter-Allied, but British General Officer Commanding-in-Chief insists that each president shall be British. This situation is recognised provisionally, but under protest by French and Italian High Commissioners ... ..	253
129 Mr G. Graham No. 287. Tel.	" 25	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. French are making enquiries as to Armenians besieged at Hadjin ... ..	254
130 Mr. Lindsay ... ..	" 25	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 141. United States views on treaty ... ..	254
131 Mr. Lindsay ... ..	" 26	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 140. United States consider Bulgaria should receive Adrianople, Greece the rest of Thrace, and Armenia generous boundaries, including Trebizond. Turkey should be deprived of Constantinople ... ..	254
132 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 284. Tel.	" 26	Turkey. Kurdistan. Refers to No. 127. Policy of His Majesty's Government is formation of an autonomous State severed from Turkey. Asks for advice as to how to approach leaders ... ..	256
133 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 273	" 17	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Thrace. Refers to No. 130. Memorandum from Muslim Thracian deputies points out that population is by a large majority Muslim. The High Commissioner states that if Greek troops take over Thrace at once a massacre will ensue ... ..	256
134 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 285. Tel.	" 25	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 141. Considers delay in announcing terms will be most unfortunate. Smyrna and Adrianople alone are the stumbling-blocks ... ..	258
135 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 290. Tel.	" 26	Turkey. Jurisdiction in Turkey. Treaty of Peace. Refers to No. 132. Turkish judges in proposed Mixed Courts ... ..	259
136 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 293. Tel.	" 27	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 133. Names of four undesirable arrested ... ..	259
137 Political Resident, Aden	" 10	Arabia. Situation report. Imam, Idrus, Aden protectorate. Idrus's statement of policy in Tihama. Conversation with Lieutenant-Colonel Saleh Bey, the Turk ... ..	259

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
138 The Earl of Derby No. 377. Tel.	1920. Mar. 29	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Hadjin situation disquieting. French inform Sublime Porte it will be held responsible if untoward events occur (see No. 139) ... ..	264
139 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 299. Tel.	" 28	Turkey. Nationalist movement. National Council to meet at Angora ... ..	264
140 To Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 260. Tel.	" 30	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 144. Conference is hastening completion of treaty. Final terms will depend on power of Allies to enforce them. It is not possible to act on suggestion in Sir J. de Robeck's telegram No. 253 ... ..	264
141 Political Resident, Aden	" 18	Arabia. Situation report. Refers to No. 147. Discusses Imam's Tihama policy and his oppressive rule in Yemen. Imams forces at Taiz ... ..	266
142 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 309. Tel.	" 30	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Allied High Commissioners press Porte to disavow Nationalist leaders. Porte's intended announcements are unacceptable... ..	269
143 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 310. Tel.	" 30	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Discusses alternative Cabinets in connection with the policy of disavowal of the Nationalists ... ..	270
144 To War Office ... ..	Apr. 4	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 138. Forwards for concurrence telegram to Sir J. de Robeck, proposing each commission should be Inter-Allied ... ..	270
145 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 317. Tel.	" 1	Turkey. Safety of Christians. If Thrace or Smyrna be assigned to Greeks, massacres will follow. If Greeks advance, danger for Christians will increase. To avert this, Allies should take military measures or, at least, station men-of-war in sea-coast towns ... ..	271
146 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 327. Tel.	" 2	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 153. Cabinet resigned ... ..	271
147 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 328. Tel.	" 5	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 152. Cabinet, unable to draft a disavowal acceptable to the High Commissioners, resigned. Ferid Pasha may be next Grand Vizier ... ..	271
148 To War Office ... ..	" 2	Turkey. Occupation of Thrace. Refers to No. 153. Asks what number of troops would be necessary to effect this, and what are available locally ... ..	272
149 To M. Cambon ... ..	" 4	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Refers to No. 126. Asks what proposals the French Government have to make, and repeats that the true solution would be to confer General Franchet's authority to Thrace ... ..	272
150 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 340. Tel.	" 5	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 157. Ferid Pasha has taken office as Grand Vizier. Cabinet is on non-party lines and has issued outspoken disavowal of Nationalists ... ..	272
151 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 373	Mar. 18	Turkey. Occupation of Constantinople. Refers to No. 124. Forwards texts of High Commissioners' decisions, note and communiqué with General Wilson's proclamation ... ..	273
152 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 395	" 22	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 148. Transmits documents from Dr. W. A. Kennedy of Lord Mayor's committee at Adana regarding gravity of situation at Hadjin and massacres in neighbourhood ... ..	276
153 Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 341. Tel.	Apr. 5	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 160. Names of members of new Cabinet ... ..	282



No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
164 War Office ...	1920. Apr. 7	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Refers to No. 159. Forwards telegram from General Milne, who presses for an early decision ...	282
165 Political Resident, Aden	Mar. 25	Arabia. Situation report. Refers to No. 151. Political officer, Hodeida, states population are contented under British rule, but desire return of Turks. Hodeida imports and exports. Movement against Imam in Yemen ...	283
166 M. Cambon ...	Apr. 5	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Will inform Lord Curzon of French proposals later ...	283
167 To Baron Moncheur ...	" 8	Turkey. Financial and Public Debt Commissions. Refers to No. 123. On the former commission only the representatives of the three Great Powers will sit, while the latter commission is shortly to disappear ...	285
Chief Political Officer, Egyptian Expeditionary Force No. 338	Mar. 27	Palestine. Transmits protest of the General Palestine Congress. It declares determination of the Syrian nation to secure the unity of Syria and Palestine and prevent Zionist immigration ...	285
169 Prince Sapieha ...	Apr. 7	Turkey. Capitulatory rights of new States. Urges recognition of juridical situation of Polish subjects in Turkey, and encloses memorandum as to historical aspect of question ...	286
170 War Office ...	" 9	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 166. Encloses telegram from General Milne which appears to show that a <i>modus vivendi</i> has been established ...	288
171 To Mr. Wardrop No. 156. Tel.	" 11	Caucasus. Armenia. Relates Lord Curzon's protest to the Armenian deputation against outrages by Armenians in Azerbaijan. Proposal to delimit boundaries with Georgia and Azerbaijan after signature of the Turkish treaty ...	288
172 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 373. Tel.	" 11	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 157. Grand Vizier proposes repressive measures against Nationalists. High Commissioner proposes help should be limited to furnishing military equipment ...	289
173 Sir H. Samuel ...	" 2	Palestine. Reports on situation, especially the movement for union of Syria and Palestine under Emir Faisal. On anti-Zionist movement. On proposal to establish a confederation of Arab-speaking States. Encloses his communication to the press on economic, financial and political situation ...	289
174 To War Office ...	" 13	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 170. <i>Modus vivendi</i> does not appear established. Concessions should be made to the French. Requests views at an early date ...	295
175 " " " " " "	" 15	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 172. Forwards Sir J. de Robeck's telegram No. 372, and proposes to approve it subject to the observations of the Army Council ...	295
176 To M. Cambon ... To Signor Fregosi	" 16	Turkey. Public Debt Commission. Refers to No. 167. Swiss seek representation on this. Requests views of the French and Italian Governments ...	295
177 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 416	Mar. 28	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Transmits High Commissioner's note in which Porte is called upon to make a public disavowal of the Nationalist leaders ...	296
178 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 390. Tel.	Apr. 13	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Porte has issued strong proclamation condemning movement. Parliament dissolved on 12th April ...	297
179 M. Gavrilovitch ...	" 15	Turkey. Capitulatory rights of new States. Refers to No. 169. Serb-Croat-Slovene Minister requests assistance in securing Turkey's recognition of the capitulatory rights of his ex-enemy fellow-countrymen ...	297

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
180 War Office ...	1920. Apr. 17	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 175. Army Council concurs in distribution of military material to anti-Nationalist forces, provided it be to Turkish regular troops ...	298
181 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 413. Tel.	" 18	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 162. Dr. Kennedy describes Cilician situation as desperate. French troops are black and of poor quality. Turks deride them and Armenians have no confidence in them. French wish to evacuate Armenians. Hadjin, Sis, Beiruti, Marash and Aintab all invested ...	298
182 War Office ...	" 19	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 174. Transmits telegram sent to General Milne enjoining him to share presidencies of Ministries with French and Italians, provided High Commissioner agrees. War Office, however, must have British president ...	299
183 To Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 355. Tel.	" 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 172. Approves of proposal to support present Government subject to (a) Use of regulars, and (b) Clear explanation to Grand Vizier that treaty will not be lenient ...	300
184 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 420. Tel.	" 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Anti-Nationalist movement in Panderma has collapsed ...	300
185 Lord Harcourt ...	" 21	Caucasus. Batoum. Bolsheviks have attacked Comacks. Suggests His Majesty's Government should inform Soviet Government that they will protect White Russian elements in Caucasus. Defence of Batoum. Discusses despatch of arms to Caucasian republics ...	300
186 To Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 362. Tel.	" 21	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Concurs in commissions being Inter-Allied, provided president at War Office is British. See No. 182 ...	301
187 Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby No. 380	" 9	Palestine. Transmits report of Mr. Samuel on Palestine and on policy His Majesty's Government should adopt if mandatory. Refers to No. 173 ...	301
188 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 421. Tel.	" 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Armenian massacres. Refers to No. 181. Armenian Protestant community leaders stated situation in Cilicia most grave. He suggested evacuation of Christians, but Sir R. Webb deprecated this ...	306
189 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 465	" 8	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 178. Transmits correspondence in connection with Porte's disavowal ...	306
190 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 482	" 7	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 160. Transmits memorandum by Commander H. C. Luke, in which latter urges that proposed peace terms will be unacceptable to all Turks and will be incapable of application throughout the areas to which they refer unless force be used; that the hostility of the Turks may produce an alliance with the Bolsheviks against us, and that this is too big a price to pay for Greek friendship. He suggests terms for placating the Turkish Nationalists mainly at the expense of Greece, which, during the war, was equally divided into pro- and anti-Entente factions. Admiral de Robeck states his agreement with most of the arguments advanced ...	311
191 Political Resident, Aden	" 7	Arabia. Situation report. Refers to No. 165. Activities of Idri and Imam in Thama and around Hodeida. Aden-Imamite forces likely to withdraw ...	313
192 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 496	" 8	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 175. Grand Vizier proposes repressive measure and emphasises his desire to work on lines approved by the British ...	314
193 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 494	" 9	Turkey. Ottoman Cabinet. Refers to No. 163. Comments on members of the new Cabinet ...	315



No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
194 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 429. Tel.	1920. Apr. 20	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Anti-Nationalist movement of Azavur Pasha has suffered a reverse. Nationalist Jafar Tayar in Thrace now appears submissive ...	320
195 To Lord Hardinge (San Remo) No. 23. Tel.	" 23	Caucasia. Batoum. Refers to No. 185. Repeats telegram to Sir J. de Robeck asking his views on holding Batoum; informing him French and Italian battalions are ready for despatch, but authorising withdrawal of British troops if in danger ...	321
196 Memorandum of Agreement between M. Berthelot and Sir John Cudman	" 24	Turkey. Anglo-French Oil Agreement of San Remo. Memorandum signed by M. Berthelot and Sir J. Cudman setting forth an Anglo-French agreement as to oil based on co-operation and reciprocity, relating to Roumania, Mesopotamia, territories of the old Russian Empire, Galicia, French colonies, and British Crown colonies. Its scope by consent may be extended to other countries ...	321
197 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 439. Tel.	" 26	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 185. Considers that his position as senior naval officer will be impossible if commission at Ministry of Marine be provided over by a French or Italian officer ...	323
198 Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 447. Tel.	" 27	Caucasia. Batoum. Refers to No. 195. Defence of Batoum against Bolsheviks. Large reinforcements would be necessary. General Milne advises holding Batoum town only. States number of forces necessary. No immediate danger ...	323
199 To Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 383. Tel.	" 27	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Refers to No. 197. Emphasises view that scheme as outlined should not place Admiral de Robeck in a false position, and urges necessity of making some concession to French ...	324
200 War Office	" 27	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Refers to No. 160. Forwards report dated 25th March of British officer on Military Mission to General Franchet d'Esperey. Report describes in detail the misunderstandings with General Franchet ...	324
201 To Mr. Warburton No. 185. Tel.	" 28	Caucasia. Batoum. Efforts made at San Remo to secure agreement between three Caucasian States as future of Batoum failed through Georgia's uncooperative attitude ...	331
202 Political Resident, Aden	" 15	Arabia. Situation report. Refers to No. 191. Activities of Idrisi and Imam in Thama district. Imam's intrigues in Aden protectorate ...	332
203 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 513. Tel.	" 29	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 194. Anti-Nationalist movement gaining ground around Ismid. Porte's control of Thrace in process of re-establishment ...	333
204 Commander H. Luke No. 223. Tel.	" 29	Caucasia. Azerbaijan. Georgia. Resignation of Azerbaijan Government on 27th April and formation of Soviet administration. Bolshevik proposals to Georgia. Feared Turco-Bolshevik attack on Armenia ...	334
205 To Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 315	" 30	Turkey. Capitulatory rights of new States. Refers to No. 179. Transmits copy of note received from Serb-Croat-Slovenian Minister, and asks what the position of those nationals is in practice ...	334
206 To M. Gavrilovitch	" 30	Turkey. Capitulatory rights of new States. Refers to No. 179. Informs him that the view of His Majesty's Government is that subjects of States which were Allied belligerents should not be amenable to Turkish jurisdiction during armistice, and that High Commissioner has been so instructed ...	334
207 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 519. Tel.	" 30	Turkey. Peace terms. Grand Visier urges gravity of domestic situation should terms be drastic and Greeks invade Thrace. In latter case even women and children would be armed to resist ...	335

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
208 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 523. Tel.	1920. May 1	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 146. Names of two undesirable arrested ...	335
209 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 530. Tel.	" 1	Caucasia. Azerbaijan and Georgia. Refers to No. 204. Tiflis message states Bolsheviks occupied Baku on 28th April. Georgia preparing for defence. Urges necessity of Allied aid. Georgia advised to co-operate with Armenia ...	336
210 Signor President No. 589	" Apr. 19	Turkey. Public Debt Commission. Refers to No. 176. Senator Scialoja requests question of Swiss participation may be discussed at the San Remo Conference ...	336
211 To Admiral Sir J. de Robeck No. 409. Tel.	May 6	Caucasia. Batoum. Refers to No. 198. Cabinet consider Batoum should be held, and have asked French and Italian Governments to despatch promised battalions ...	336
212 To Sir G. Buchanan No. 184. Tel.	" 6	Caucasia. Batoum. Repeats substance of No. 211. Requests despatch of Italian battalion ...	336
213 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 575	Apr. 22	Turkey. Review of recent political events. Describes events since 13th February. Ali Riza Pasha resigned, to be succeeded by the colourless Kadh Pasha, and in turn by Ferid Pasha, who assumed office on 5th April and introduced violent measures against the Nationalists, but his chances of ultimate success appear doubtful (see No. 110) ...	337
214 Political Resident, Aden No. 13	" 21	Arabia. Situation report. Refers to No. 202. Aden protectorate. Postponement of Islamic advances. Taxation imposed by Imam's agents ...	340
215 M. Cambon	May 8	Turkey. Public Debt Commission. Refers to No. 176. French Government agrees that Swiss should not participate ...	341
216 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 545. Tel.	" 11	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 207. Sublime Porte could not cede Smyrna and Thrace to Greece without summoning Parliament. Nationalists would probably proclaim independence. Grand Visier stated French and Italians both favour revision of the Treaty to Turkey's advantage. Sir R. Webb requests further guidance as to attitude of His Majesty's Government ...	341
217 M. Cambon	" 11	Turkey. Supreme command in Constantinople. Controls of Ministries. Considers that His Majesty's Government have not carried out the assurances given. M. Millerand insists on their fulfilment (see No. 199) ...	342
218 Sir A. Geddes No. 334. Tel. V. Conf.	" 11	Turkey. United States mandate. Reports rumoured intention of President and M. Colby to undertake mandate for Turkey and possibly to participate in control of Damascus and Hama. He considers movement will fail, and may be only for internal political effect ...	343
219 M. Cambon	" 12	Syria. Palestine. Transmits protest of Hedjaz against mandates for Syria, Palestine and Mesopotamia, and French draft reply in justification and in protest against disorder in Syria. Reply threatens occupation of Hama-Aleppo Railway. Note urges Franco-British action in respect of Emir Feisal ...	343
220 Mr. Davis No. 317	" 12	Turkey. Mandates. United States propose agreement re economic resources of mandated regions providing for equal treatment of all nations. Proposal is due to removal of monopolistic oil aims of Great Britain ...	346
221 Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb No. 331. Tel.	" 13	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 203. Situation practically unchanged, but Nationalists have occupied some towns on Greenek Peninsula ...	346







No. and Name.			Page
244		Turkey. Public Debt Commission. Refers to No. 243. Requests reply of Italian Government as to Swiss participation ...	371
245	Rear-Admiral Sir R. No. 680	Turkey. Indian Moslems and Turkey. Transmits telegram of Indian Khilafat Delegation to Sultan expressing devotion to the Khilafat and the cause of Islam (see also No. 211) ...	372
246	Comm. M. R. No. 3 Te.	Turkey. Capitulations in Smyrna zone. Are they abolished under the provisions of the treaty? ...	374
247	Admiral Sir No. 628 Tel	Turkey. Peace terms. Sultan addresses a telegram to His Majesty the King expressing his intention to intervene in accordance with the terms of the treaty (see No. 243) ...	379
248	To War Office ...	Turkey. Italian forces in Turkey. Refers to No. 247. Transmits General Duncan's report and asks for observations ...	381
248*	The Earl of Derby No. 1603	Caucasus. Armenian frontier. Transmits copy of letter from United States Ambassador announcing acceptance by President Wilson of role of arbitrator in the question of ...	380*
249	Director Military Intel.	Turkey. Italian forces in Turkey. Military attaché, Rome, is being asked to give the probable date of departure of the Italian troops for Constantinople ...	380
250	War Office Secret	Considers organization might now be taken in hand, and discusses aspects of the question ...	380
251	Admiral Sir J. de No. 631 Tel	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 243. Allied High Commissioner (Kotman) Government's request for ...	381
252	Comm. M. R. No. 5 Tel	Turkey. Capitulations in Smyrna zone. Forwards collective note requesting that capitulations be not suppressed at least during transitional period of five years provided for in treaty (see No. 246) ...	382
253		Turkey. Supreme command in ...	382
254	M. Cambon ...	Syria. Refers to No. 229. Transmits M. Millerand's reply pointing out danger of Faisal's intrigues and necessity for a firm policy. Encloses draft joint declaration to Faisal threatening him with non-recognition as Hedjaz delegate ...	383
255	Political Resident, Aden No. 17	Arabia. Situation report. Refers to No. 242. Considerable hostilities between Islam and Imam probable. Also ask our help against Imam. Doubtful report as to support of people of Sakas for Idri ...	385
256	Sir A. Girdler No. 687	Turkey. Mandates. Refers to No. 220. Oil resources. Advises reply to be made in Parliament to message to Senate of United States President on 17th May as to British restrictions on development of oilfields by Americans. Discusses connection between administration and oil interests in United States of America ...	387
257	Admiral Sir J. de No. 672 Tel	Batum. Refers to No. 211. Transmits telegram of ...	388
258		Turkey. Peace terms. Suggests concurrence ...	389
259	To War Office	Caucasus. Batoum. Refers to No. 257. Considers likelihood of military disaster not imminent, and withdrawal of ...	390

No. and Name.			Page
260	Admiral Sir J. de No. 642 Tel	Turkey. Capitulations in Smyrna zone. Will Capitulations be abolished when Greece takes over Smyrna? (see No. 246) ...	388
261	Admiral Sir J. de No. 652 Secret	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Transmits reports on Angora. The idea of setting up a deputy Sultan was mooted but abandoned. The Nationalists over the proceedings with a claim of legality. The Grand Vizier's position becomes increasingly difficult, in effect his policy and that of the Nationalists are identical (see No. 248) ...	390
262	Admiral Sir J. de No. 651 Tel	Turkey. Italian forces in Turkey. Refers to No. 247. ...	391
263	Admiral Sir J. de No. 654 Tel	Turkey. Nationalist movement. French armistice. General ...	392
264	Admiral Sir J. de No. 663 To Ver Secret	Turkey. Nationalist movement. French armistice. President of Council states French troops being surrounded and Faisal having refused railway facilities. M. de ...	393
265	War Office	Turkey. Italian forces in Turkey. Refers to No. 249. Transmits correspondence from military attaché, Rome, from which it appears that Italians will only recognize British commander-in-chief until peace is signed. War ...	394
266	To Admiral Sir J. de No. 606 Tel.	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 247. His Majesty ...	400
267	To the Earl of Derby No. 632 Tel.	Turkey. Peace terms. Refers to No. 251. Requests him ...	400
268	The Earl of Derby No. 676 Tel.	Turkey. Nationalist movement. French armistice. Refers to No. 263. French troops in Marash and outlying posts are being concentrated into Cilicia. Negotiations proceeding are expected to ensure safety of Armenians ...	401
268*	War Office	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Refers to No. 245. ...	401
269	To War Office	Turkey. Italian forces in Turkey. Refers to No. 255. Agrees with the High Commissioner as to the ...	402
270	Admiral Sir J. de No. 672 Tel.	Turkey. Peace terms. Tewfik Pascha, now in Paris, is reported to have informed Grand Vizier that French and Italians regard terms as unacceptable and requiring modification, and consider maintenance of a strong Turkish ...	403
271	Admiral Sir J. de No. 755	Turkey. Nationalist movement. Transmits note from Turkish National Congress to French foreign Minister ...	404



No. and Name	Page
272 Field-Marshal, V. ... No. 689	403
273 ... No. 690 Tel.	405
274 Admiral Sir ... No. 679 Tel.	406
275 To Admiralty	406
276 To War Office	407
277 Political Resident, Aden May 27	408
278 Admiral Sir J. ... No. 681 Tel.	409
279 ... No. 682 Tel.	411
280 To Signor Preton	412
281 T War Office	413
282 Admiral Sir J. ... No. 690 Tel.	42
283 To Mr. Vassiloff No. 20 Tel.	422

No. and Name	Page
284 Ad ... No. 788	419
285 ... No. 701 Tel.	420
286 Memorandum by Mr. ... Max Muller Confidential.	421
287 To Mr. de Lathauze No. 710 Tel.	422
288 To War Office ...	423
289 ... Resident Aden	424
290 ... No. 706 Tel.	425
291 Admiral Sir J. ... No. 708 Tel.	426
292 To Admiral Sir J. ... No. 553 Tel.	427
293 Admiral Sir ... No. 555 Tel.	428
294 ... No. 765	429
295 ... No. 766	430
296 ... No. 713 Tel.	431
297 ... No. 714 Tel.	432
298 ... No. 715 Tel.	433
299 ... No. 716 Tel.	434
300 ... No. 717 Tel.	435
301 ... No. 718 Tel.	436
302 ... No. 719 Tel.	437
303 ... No. 720 Tel.	438
304 ... No. 721 Tel.	439
305 ... No. 722 Tel.	440
306 ... No. 723 Tel.	441
307 ... No. 724 Tel.	442
308 ... No. 725 Tel.	443
309 ... No. 726 Tel.	444
310 ... No. 727 Tel.	445
311 ... No. 728 Tel.	446
312 ... No. 729 Tel.	447
313 ... No. 730 Tel.	448
314 ... No. 731 Tel.	449
315 ... No. 732 Tel.	450
316 ... No. 733 Tel.	451
317 ... No. 734 Tel.	452
318 ... No. 735 Tel.	453
319 ... No. 736 Tel.	454
320 ... No. 737 Tel.	455
321 ... No. 738 Tel.	456
322 ... No. 739 Tel.	457
323 ... No. 740 Tel.	458
324 ... No. 741 Tel.	459
325 ... No. 742 Tel.	460
326 ... No. 743 Tel.	461
327 ... No. 744 Tel.	462
328 ... No. 745 Tel.	463
329 ... No. 746 Tel.	464
330 ... No. 747 Tel.	465
331 ... No. 748 Tel.	466
332 ... No. 749 Tel.	467
333 ... No. 750 Tel.	468
334 ... No. 751 Tel.	469
335 ... No. 752 Tel.	470
336 ... No. 753 Tel.	471
337 ... No. 754 Tel.	472
338 ... No. 755 Tel.	473
339 ... No. 756 Tel.	474
340 ... No. 757 Tel.	475
341 ... No. 758 Tel.	476
342 ... No. 759 Tel.	477
343 ... No. 760 Tel.	478
344 ... No. 761 Tel.	479
345 ... No. 762 Tel.	480
346 ... No. 763 Tel.	481
347 ... No. 764 Tel.	482
348 ... No. 765 Tel.	483
349 ... No. 766 Tel.	484
350 ... No. 767 Tel.	485
351 ... No. 768 Tel.	486
352 ... No. 769 Tel.	487
353 ... No. 770 Tel.	488
354 ... No. 771 Tel.	489
355 ... No. 772 Tel.	490
356 ... No. 773 Tel.	491
357 ... No. 774 Tel.	492
358 ... No. 775 Tel.	493
359 ... No. 776 Tel.	494
360 ... No. 777 Tel.	495
361 ... No. 778 Tel.	496
362 ... No. 779 Tel.	497
363 ... No. 780 Tel.	498
364 ... No. 781 Tel.	499
365 ... No. 782 Tel.	500
366 ... No. 783 Tel.	501
367 ... No. 784 Tel.	502
368 ... No. 785 Tel.	503
369 ... No. 786 Tel.	504
370 ... No. 787 Tel.	505
371 ... No. 788 Tel.	506
372 ... No. 789 Tel.	507
373 ... No. 790 Tel.	508
374 ... No. 791 Tel.	509
375 ... No. 792 Tel.	510
376 ... No. 793 Tel.	511
377 ... No. 794 Tel.	512
378 ... No. 795 Tel.	513
379 ... No. 796 Tel.	514
380 ... No. 797 Tel.	515
381 ... No. 798 Tel.	516
382 ... No. 799 Tel.	517
383 ... No. 800 Tel.	518
384 ... No. 801 Tel.	519
385 ... No. 802 Tel.	520
386 ... No. 803 Tel.	521
387 ... No. 804 Tel.	522
388 ... No. 805 Tel.	523
389 ... No. 806 Tel.	524
390 ... No. 807 Tel.	525
391 ... No. 808 Tel.	526
392 ... No. 809 Tel.	527
393 ... No. 810 Tel.	528
394 ... No. 811 Tel.	529
395 ... No. 812 Tel.	530
396 ... No. 813 Tel.	531
397 ... No. 814 Tel.	532
398 ... No. 815 Tel.	533
399 ... No. 816 Tel.	534
400 ... No. 817 Tel.	535
401 ... No. 818 Tel.	536
402 ... No. 819 Tel.	537
403 ... No. 820 Tel.	538
404 ... No. 821 Tel.	539
405 ... No. 822 Tel.	540
406 ... No. 823 Tel.	541
407 ... No. 824 Tel.	542
408 ... No. 825 Tel.	543
409 ... No. 826 Tel.	544
410 ... No. 827 Tel.	545
411 ... No. 828 Tel.	546
412 ... No. 829 Tel.	547
413 ... No. 830 Tel.	548
414 ... No. 831 Tel.	549
415 ... No. 832 Tel.	550
416 ... No. 833 Tel.	551
417 ... No. 834 Tel.	552
418 ... No. 835 Tel.	553
419 ... No. 836 Tel.	554
420 ... No. 837 Tel.	555
421 ... No. 838 Tel.	556
422 ... No. 839 Tel.	557
423 ... No. 840 Tel.	558
424 ... No. 841 Tel.	559
425 ... No. 842 Tel.	560
426 ... No. 843 Tel.	561
427 ... No. 844 Tel.	562
428 ... No. 845 Tel.	563
429 ... No. 846 Tel.	564
430 ... No. 847 Tel.	565
431 ... No. 848 Tel.	566
432 ... No. 849 Tel.	567
433 ... No. 850 Tel.	568
434 ... No. 851 Tel.	569
435 ... No. 852 Tel.	570
436 ... No. 853 Tel.	571
437 ... No. 854 Tel.	572
438 ... No. 855 Tel.	573
439 ... No. 856 Tel.	574
440 ... No. 857 Tel.	575
441 ... No. 858 Tel.	576
442 ... No. 859 Tel.	577
443 ... No. 860 Tel.	578
444 ... No. 861 Tel.	579
445 ... No. 862 Tel.	580
446 ... No. 863 Tel.	581
447 ... No. 864 Tel.	582
448 ... No. 865 Tel.	583
449 ... No. 866 Tel.	584
450 ... No. 867 Tel.	585
451 ... No. 868 Tel.	586
452 ... No. 869 Tel.	587
453 ... No. 870 Tel.	588
454 ... No. 871 Tel.	589
455 ... No. 872 Tel.	590
456 ... No. 873 Tel.	591
457 ... No. 874 Tel.	592
458 ... No. 875 Tel.	593
459 ... No. 876 Tel.	594
460 ... No. 877 Tel.	595
461 ... No. 878 Tel.	596
462 ... No. 879 Tel.	597
463 ... No. 880 Tel.	598
464 ... No. 881 Tel.	599
465 ... No. 882 Tel.	600
466 ... No. 883 Tel.	601
467 ... No. 884 Tel.	602
468 ... No. 885 Tel.	603
469 ... No. 886 Tel.	604
470 ... No. 887 Tel.	605
471 ... No. 888 Tel.	606
472 ... No. 889 Tel.	607
473 ... No. 890 Tel.	608
474 ... No. 891 Tel.	609
475 ... No. 892 Tel.	610
476 ... No. 893 Tel.	611
477 ... No. 894 Tel.	612
478 ... No. 895 Tel.	613
479 ... No. 896 Tel.	614
480 ... No. 897 Tel.	615
481 ... No. 898 Tel.	616
482 ... No. 899 Tel.	617
483 ... No. 900 Tel.	618
484 ... No. 901 Tel.	619
485 ... No. 902 Tel.	620
486 ... No. 903 Tel.	621
487 ... No. 904 Tel.	622
488 ... No. 905 Tel.	623
489 ... No. 906 Tel.	624
490 ... No. 907 Tel.	625
491 ... No. 908 Tel.	626
492 ... No. 909 Tel.	627
493 ... No. 910 Tel.	628
494 ... No. 911 Tel.	629
495 ... No. 912 Tel.	630
496 ... No. 913 Tel.	631
497 ... No. 914 Tel.	632
498 ... No. 915 Tel.	633
499 ... No. 916 Tel.	634
500 ... No. 917 Tel.	635
501 ... No. 918 Tel.	636
502 ... No. 919 Tel.	637
503 ... No. 920 Tel.	638
504 ... No. 921 Tel.	639
505 ... No. 922 Tel.	640
506 ... No. 923 Tel.	641
507 ... No. 924 Tel.	642
508 ... No. 925 Tel.	643
509 ... No. 926 Tel.	644
510 ... No. 927 Tel.	645
511 ... No. 928 Tel.	646
512 ... No. 929 Tel.	647
513 ... No. 930 Tel.	648
514 ... No. 931 Tel.	649
515 ... No. 932 Tel.	650
516 ... No. 933 Tel.	651
517 ... No. 934 Tel.	652
518 ... No. 935 Tel.	653
519 ... No. 936 Tel.	654
520 ... No. 937 Tel.	655
521 ... No. 938 Tel.	656
522 ... No. 939 Tel.	657
523 ... No. 940 Tel.	658
524 ... No. 941 Tel.	659
525 ... No. 942 Tel.	660
526 ... No. 943 Tel.	661
527 ... No. 944 Tel.	662
528 ... No. 945 Tel.	663
529 ... No. 946 Tel.	664
530 ... No. 947 Tel.	665
531 ... No. 948 Tel.	666
532 ... No. 949 Tel.	667
533 ... No. 950 Tel.	668
534 ... No. 951 Tel.	669
535 ... No. 952 Tel.	670
536 ... No. 953 Tel.	671
537 ... No. 954 Tel.	672
538 ... No. 955 Tel.	673
539 ... No. 956 Tel.	674
540 ... No. 957 Tel.	675
541 ... No. 958 Tel.	676
542 ... No. 959 Tel.	677
543 ... No. 960 Tel.	678
544 ... No. 961 Tel.	679
545 ... No. 962 Tel.	680
546 ... No. 963 Tel.	681
547 ... No. 964 Tel.	682
548 ... No. 965 Tel.	683
549 ... No. 966 Tel.	684
550 ... No. 967 Tel.	685
551 ... No. 968 Tel.	686
552 ... No. 969 Tel.	687
553 ... No. 970 Tel.	688
554 ... No. 971 Tel.	689
555 ... No. 972 Tel.	690
556 ... No. 973 Tel.	691
557 ... No. 974 Tel.	692
558 ... No. 975 Tel.	693
559 ... No. 976 Tel.	694
560 ... No. 977 Tel.	695
561 ... No. 978 Tel.	696
562 ... No. 979 Tel.	697
563 ... No. 980 Tel.	698
564 ... No. 981 Tel.	699
565 ... No. 982 Tel.	700
566 ... No. 983 Tel.	701
567 ... No. 984 Tel.	702
568 ... No. 985 Tel.	703
569 ... No. 986 Tel.	704
570 ... No. 987 Tel.	705
571 ... No. 988 Tel.	706
572 ... No. 989 Tel.	707
573 ... No. 990 Tel.	708
574 ... No. 991 Tel.	709
575 ... No. 992 Tel.	710
576 ... No. 993 Tel.	711
577 ... No. 994 Tel.	712
578 ... No. 995 Tel.	713
579 ... No. 996 Tel.	714
580 ... No. 997 Tel.	715
581 ... No. 998 Tel.	716
582 ... No. 999 Tel.	717
583 ... No. 1000 Tel.	718



1	To the Earl of Derby	No. 1908. Cont	
2	Admiral		
3	To the Earl of Derby	No. 732. Tel	
4	No. 623		
5	Admiral, Aden		
6	Weymouth		
7	The Earl of Derby	No. 732. Tel	
8	To M. Paravicini		
9	Admiral Sir J. de	No. 736. Tel	
10	To M. Gavrilovitch		
11	Sir G. Buchanan	No. 266. Tel	
12	To the Earl of Derby	No. 741. Tel	
13	Admiral Sir J. de	No. 781. Tel	

No. and Name	To	Subject	Page
114 J. Ramsden per back No. 581. Tel	to 27	Nationalist movement. Refers to Nos. 29 and 31 Nationalist political developments must be	1
The Earl of Derby No. 770. Tel	to 27	French Premier agrees in principle that	1
116 The Earl of Derby No. 778 Tel	to 27	proposed withdrawal of Allied officers in nationalist	1
117 The Earl of Derby No. 491	to 27	Turkey, consular protection. Refers to No. 242. Trans- mits order granting authority for the Smyrna Court to	1

## SUBJECT INDEX

Let  $f_{ij}$  denote the number of the documents in class

## TURKEY

[illegible]



## Argument

Azerbaijani

Bates,

Georgia

Мы сидим

**Keywords:** child sexual abuse; disclosure; help-seeking; mental health

ARABIA

MESOPOTAMIA, SYRIA AND PALESTINE

Meoprolam, 44

Бүтүл.

Palentino

MIDDLE AND NEAR EAST (GENERAL)

[illegible]



CONFIDENTIAL

Further Correspondence respecting Eastern Affairs.

PART IV.

[172545 ME 44

N. 1

(File 3006 1912.)

in Office to Law Officers of the Crown.

Foreign Office, December 10, 1919

I have the honour by direction of Earl Curzon of Kedleston, to request you to  
as to favour him at your early convenience, in view of the urgency of the  
matter, with your opinion on the following question:—

In the Armistice terms with Turkey of the 30th October, 1918, there was no  
express provision entitling the Allies to occupy Constantinople and the adjacent  
region. Clause 7 of the terms is as follows:

"The Allies to have the right to occupy any strategic points in the event of a  
situation arising which threatens the security of the Allies."

Admiral Calthorpe, who conducted the negotiations, in transmitting home the  
terms of the Armistice, made the following observations on clause 7:—

"It will be observed that there is nothing in this clause, or elsewhere in the  
Armistice, which precludes the occupation of the city of Constantinople."

"The British Government were most anxious to obtain an explicit mention for Constantinople  
and were most insistent on the point but finally gave way."

Since the date of this communication there has been a report, dated the  
of Constantinople, and the British High Commissioner, in a report dated the  
4th September last, remarked that the contention always put forward by him to the  
effect that Constantinople was not in the occupation of the Allied troops still held good,  
and that were it otherwise the good faith of the Allies would be liable to be  
questioned by the Turks. In fact, however, British forces under the command of  
General McMahon have been in Constantinople since the time of the Armistice. The force  
with the British High Commissioner is under the supreme command of the French  
General Gouraud, Commander-in-Chief of the Allied Armies of the  
Orient. The offices of the British High Commissioner were established at the former  
British Embassy at Constantinople shortly after the Armistice.

The position being as above stated, a serious problem has arisen with regard to the  
administration of justice in Constantinople and the other regions within the sphere of  
the British High Commissioner. Shortly before the declaration of war between Turkey and  
the Allies, the Capitulations were declared by the Turks to be abrogated. The  
effect of this action by the Turks has never been admitted by His Majesty's  
Government, but the effect of this declaration and the subsequent war has been that the  
consular courts which administered civil and criminal justice between foreigners in  
Constantinople have ceased to function. Recent years the former judges of the  
court held  
of the court was not prolonged.



2. If the answer to (1) is in the affirmative, is it then possible to set up tribunals with a general jurisdiction over all cases criminal and civil irrespective of the nationality of the parties concerned?

Law Officers' Department,  
January 20, 1920



[167402 ME 44 A]

No. 2.

*The Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon. (Received January 3, 1920.)*

My Lord,

Aden, December 18, 1919

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of my letter dated the 18th inst. to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have &amp;c

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No.

*The Political Resident, Aden, to the High Commissioner, Cairo.*

(Secret)

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, December 18, 1919

THE following is a brief summary of events reported since the despatch of my letter dated the 10th December, 1919.—

(a.) *Taham.*

Zarank activity continues in favour of the Idrisi. Sheikh Munassar Sagheer, who had at first refused to sign the agreement referred to in paragraph 1 of my last letter, is now reported to have signed it. Mahmud Ibrahim Makkawi, the Beit el-Fakih merchant, who is now apparently unable to do anything locally, is said to have written to the Idrisi advising him not to rely on the Zarankas, who are untrustworthy, and that they are only plying a game to get money out of him.

2. On the other hand, the imam's aid at Zabood, Syed Ahmed-el-Ambari, appears to be actively busy organising a local corps to oppose the Idrisi, who is said to have promised the Zarankas 2,000 men fully equipped with guns, &c., to operate against Zabood.

At the same time it is reported that the garrison of Zabood is being gradually reduced and men withdrawn sent to Shawaraka and Beni Marwan, where conflicts are said to have occurred between the

3. The imam has directed merchants in Zabood to deal direct with Sana merchants, and has issued a notification prohibiting trade with the Zarankas, Marawa and Hadenda. Thus Al Izz al-Amir has been appointed Bas al-Baladiya (municipal officer) at Zabood.

4. In paragraph 4 of my last letter, I referred to the fighting at Jebel Milhan between the Idrisi and imam. The Political Officer, Hadenda, reports that the Idrisi is now supreme in Jebel Milhan, the imam's force having been driven off. The Idrisi had the support of the people.

Major Meek gathered the following information from a Syrian deserter employed in a munition factory in Sana. He states that 50 men (Arabs) now work in a munition factory. They were taught by the Turks. They turn out 2,500 rounds and from 50 to 90 shells, shrapnel and percussion for field guns, daily. The deserter does not know where the materials come from. An Austrian and George is the manager of the factory. He repairs guns and rifles and can get himself 60 reals, 4 times grain and 4 times raisins. Other Europeans in Sana save Turks.

The deserter further states that the Idrisi is now supreme in the district. He knows nothing of the imam's intentions.

*Ab Mase on and Hadenda.*

7. As reported officially, the mission was released on the 14th and arrived at Aden

on the use of aeroplanes in connection with the negotiations for the release of the mission, Major Meek remarks that the effect of two flights by a single plane over the hostile tribal area was so great that men, women and children were

immediately the whole weight of their influence

Major Meek writes that Sheikh Munassar Sagheer wrote to Sheikh Abu Haidar a time back urging the retention of the mission. Later Sheikh Munassar professed friendship, sent his people to Hadenda, and begged for money. Major Meek repeatedly asked him to come to Hadenda in response to his frequent letters, but he did not do so. He is said to have written lately to Bajil again urging the retention of the mission. This sudden change to hostility is due to Major Meek's refusal to accept Abu Haidar's brothers as hostages whom Sheikh Munassar offered to hand over. He is depicted as a very bad specimen of a very bad type of Arab. He is also said to have written to Sheikh Abu Haidar demanding a share of the arms and ammunition which Abu Haidar was expected to get from the British Government.

Major Meek, under date the 6th December, reported the arrival of an Idrisi at Bajil. It was well received by the sheikhs and people. It demanded the release of the mission on pain of assault on Bajil by the Idrisi force, which was at a distance of three hours' distance from Bajil. Mahmud Nakhim, who was present at the meeting held, declared that the mission would be released at once.

Major Meek wrote to Major Meek on the 3rd December to say that he was sending Mustafa to the port of Abu Abbas (Bander Abbas) via Kamaran to the Qubras for the release of the mission. Syed Mustafa's arrival at the mission

of an Idrisi force is reported in the Wadi Har, north east of Bajil and about four hours' march from the latter place. It has four guns and two machine guns. The number of men is given as 6,000 and the officers are g'Turks. The commander, however, is an Arab, Sherif Abdulla al-Tamam. There is every indication of an assault by the imam on Hadenda, in which case a conflict between the imam and the Idrisi is feared. The Idrisi's force (strength unknown) is only three hours' distance from Hadenda.

Major Meek thinks that such a conflict would bring in the Qubra-Abbas Zarankas' intervention against the imam.

Major Meek says that Bajil merchants favour British protection but fearing that that of the Idrisi. In fact, the question of an Idrisi ultimatum for Bajil has been discussed, and the people have expressed a favour of it.

15. According to the information received by the Political Officer Hadenda, King Hussein appears to be active in stirring up trouble against the Idrisi in Asir. I have heard nothing from the Idrisi himself.

16. A Zanuya report states that about the 27th November an aeroplane passed over the villages of H-shalata, in Zanuya, in consequence of which the people are very much frightened.

*Aden Protectorate*

17. It is reported that the Zaidis are building court houses in Dala, Jabal Jiraf and Jahla. The villagers are made to pay for the building materials and labour.

There is a party of Zaidis with Ibn Noman, of Al Hajar, on the border at Shub. Ibn Noman demands revenue through Sheikh Amin-bin Gusem al-Abu, of Al Abwa. Further enquiries are being made to ascertain the truth of this report.

The Zaidis are proceeding against Juban, Nawa and Ruseaten, and have had skirmishes with the Sh. tribes.

Some villages on the border of our stipendiary the Mullahi Sheikh of Upper Yafa, have been occupied by the Zaidis, and some set on fire.

During the last week fifty Zaidis came as far as Ruseaten. They are commanded by Cadi Mahmud-el-Iryani. Of that number—

10	are in Hu-n Bin Kasa
10	" Hu-n al Kull
0	" Al Husayn al Karm
10	" Aresh
10	" Al Qabra

There are also 30 men in Nawa and 100 men in Juban proper.



Shah Mahomed-al Muta'an, Imam of Muscat, has summoned all the Zaidi troops and warned them to produce fighting men from their respective districts.

It is reported that it is the intention of the Zaidis to occupy Jabal Hureer and use it as a base for their attack against Shaib.

I have addressed a letter to the Imam, in accordance with your telegraphic instructions, asking him to withdraw all the Zaidi troops from our Province.

Yemen Infantry.

19. Zaidi recruits are not coming in now for the Yemen infantry here. There are indications that the Imam's officials are obstructing our recruiting agents.

Yours, &c.

J. M. STEWART

[167223 ME 58]

No 3

*Delegation of the Armenian Republic to the Peace Conference. — (Received at Foreign Office January 3.)*

#### THE QUESTION OF KARABAGH

AMONG the subjects of conflict between the Armenians and the Azerbaijanis there is none more burning, and none more difficult to settle than the question of Karabagh. Both parties are ready to accept a solution on an equitable basis, due consideration being given to the ethnical, historical, geographical and strategical conditions of the disputed territory.

Karabagh, considered as a former Armenian province of Transcaucasia, consists of two distinct parts, the mountains and the plain. In the first, Armenians form a big majority, while the second is inhabited chiefly by Tartars. This topographical junction lends itself readily to an equitable solution on an ethnical basis. The Armenians should be left in possession of the mountainous region, where they have dwelt for twenty-five centuries, the plains being given to the Tartars, who have inhabited them since the Mongol invasions. This is the solution desired by Armenians. The Tartars, however, wish to annex the Armenian portion of Karabagh, on the pretext that they need it for grazing purposes. If this pretext did not disguise other motives, an arrangement could be come to without much difficulty, and, if necessary, the required pasturage could be placed at the disposal of the Tartars in conditions ensuring security for both them and the Armenians. But as we have observed, the aim of the Tartars is to constitute the first step towards the realisation of their plan of union with Turkish territories. Their tenacious efforts to obtain possession of a country that is essentially Armenian is an evident proof of these designs.

When in June last the Armenian mountaineers were obliged by the lack of arms and munitions to abandon their long resistance, and to accept a provisional Tartar administration pending the decision of the Peace Conference, it might have been expected that the Tartars, having gained possession of the grazing lands, would welcome a cessation of strife and would be ready to live peacefully with their neighbours.

But their agents to foment disturbances in the adjacent regions of Nakhichevan and incite the Mussulman inhabitants to revolt against the Armenian Government. A few months later, in the month of November, the Turk-Tartar army of Azerbaijan moved forward from three directions with the object of seizing the district of Zanguezur, where there is a population of 100,000 Armenians compared with 50,000 Mussulmans. The possession of Zanguezur would have made it possible for the Tartars to outflank the Armenian capital, Erivan, cross the River Arax, bring about a rising of the Mussulmans of Sourmalou, and to advance towards Kaghazman, Kars and Ardahan, thus enveloping Caucasian Armenia and isolating it completely from Turkish Armenia, before reaching the Black Sea.

All this corresponds exactly with the claims put forward by the Tartars at the Peace Conference, and to the markings of the map which they presented at the

time, as well as with the project conceived by the young Turks at the time of their advance in the spring of 1918.

Armenians, by brilliant victory, have frustrated these plans, and at the present moment the beaten Tartars find themselves forced to open negotiations with the Armenian Government and to abandon their claims to Zanguezur. On the other hand, Nakhichevan is being provisionally administered by Colonel Haskell's representative in the name of the Allies. These facts prove incontestably how fallacious are the arguments of the Tartars in regard to the grazing lands of Karabagh. Nevertheless, whatever arrangement may be made between the Armenian and Turkish Government, it is certain that Karabagh, with its population of 300,000 Armenians, will remain a part of the Armenian Republic, in spite of the love of independence of its inhabitants.

For centuries have defended their liberty against formidable invaders, and, notwithstanding to the Russians in the last century, will not support the yoke of a people which it considers inferior in every respect. Peace will never be definitive in these regions until this thorny question is settled.

For centuries past Karabagh has constituted the natural frontier of Armenia and a bulwark against the invasions of Asiatic hordes; it will continue to serve those purposes in the future. In possession of Karabagh an enemy could easily descend the valley of the Arax towards the plain of the Ararat and imperil the very existence of Armenia, of which Karabagh is an integral and indivisible part.

Louisa, January 1, 1920.

[167230 ME 58]

No. 4

*Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon. — (Received January 3, 1920.)*

(No. 232)

(Telegraphic)

Tiflis, December 24, 1919.

N.W. Cabinet Azerbaijan.

- 1. Minister without portfolio, Nussibek Usubbekoff
- 2. Minister of War, Karamanov
- 3. Minister of Finance, Mahomed Hassan Gadponky
- 4. Minister of Justice, Karamanov
- 5. Minister of Education, Mahomed Hassan Gadponky
- 6. Minister of Communications, Trade, Industry, Khudat Bek Melik Aslanov
- 7. Minister of Agriculture, Kaplanov
- 8. Minister of Labour, Agriculture, Peq
- 9. Minister of Postmaster, H.
- 10. Minister of Culture, H.

N. W. Wardrop to Earl Curzon. — (Received January 4, 1920.)

[167584 ME 58]

No. 5

*Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon. — Received January 4, 1920.)*

(No. 237)

(Telegraphic)

Tiflis, December 27, 1919.

FOLLOWING is repetition of my telegram No. 218:—

"Secret."

"Situation in Transcaucasia and its bearing on Transcaucasia causes me some anxiety."

"Bolsheviks evidently intend to take Krasnovodsk. They are sending numerous agents to Persia with large funds, including forged Bradburys, and are trying to buy over the Turkomans. He has seen their two leaders, who are co-operating with Volunteer Army, but inefficiency of latter and ill-treatment of troops have alienated their sympathy. They ask British support for their claims of future independence, and declare they could form anti-Bolshevik barrier from Caspian to Merv threatening enemy's communications. He says His Majesty's Government refused four months ago to entertain proposals for British protectorate or small British mission, but



" Said Zia Uddin considers threat to Persia serious, and says several commissaries

"I do not feel competent to express decided opinion on above, but as I am not thoroughly acquainted with the situation in the Caucasus, I am unable to go further than to submit that sending British troops to the Caucasus might have temporary good effect in Transcaucasia."

F187875, ME 681

No. 6.

... 1940-1941

Tues. January 3, 1920.

IMMEDIATELY on my return from Novosvichik 2nd January, Georgian Minister for Foreign Affairs asked for an interview. He urgently requested that the Ministry's Government as to attitude that Georgians should adopt towards Novosvichik.

supply, and there are only about 300 Cossacks in Vladikavkaz. Transcaucasia is in a state of anarchy, with incessant change, and appeals for help to resist Bolsheviks and enable support to the White Russian party in Daghestan. Most urgent need is bread, of which supplies in Tiflis will only give ration of  $\frac{1}{2}$  pound for three days hence. If the Government could send 70,000 to 80,000 Georgians would be ready to fight Bolsheviks in Caucasus, provided that they could count on supplies of cartridges, &c.

Will the Majority's Government help to get brutal and arbitrary and encourage Caucasian Bloc to keep out Bolsheviks? It would be necessary to form, in that case, a coalition including Afghanistan, where such a prospect would unite monarchism or some moderate ruler.

I told Minister for Foreign Affairs that I should urgently communicate his message to your Lordship.

My opinion is that some such assistance is only means of saving situation, and I

Transcaucasia. I was glad to find from my conversation with Mr. Mackinder of  
 fact that there was no prospect of any hostile action against Dagestan on the  
 part of General Derzkin, and as regards Georgia I can assure you that there is a strong  
 feeling back Bolsheviks.

[167347 ME 58]

No. 1

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—Recd. and January 6.)

No. 3.)  
(Telegraphic.)

Tiflis, January 3, 1920

It would be prudent to consider possibility of complete collapse of Denikin at an early date.

This would immediately be followed by vigorous Bolshevik attack on Trans-

[ suggest following action to protect our communications with Pernia :

We should endeavour to raise strong barrier in Transcaucasia.

Ratoun requires at least a division of thoroughly equipped troops.

undisirable refugees should be expelled; present population includes numerous Baluch

of its or sympathisers. Energetic measures should be taken to supply food, for there will be no bread in a few days, and hunger riots are impending.

North Persian Force should be strengthened and fitted to assist Bukhara against Bolshevik attack, and to detach troops to North West Persia and perhaps N. W. Asiatic Turkey, to prevent ingress of C.U.P. agitators and armed forces.

Two breech-blocks for guns at Baku should be at once available to make possible some resistance to a naval attack. Aircraft should be sent to Enzeli. Submarines could be sent in sections and put together in Baku. Transcaucasian Railway needs material long ago indentured for. Some at least of Caspian flotilla should be taken over by our naval authorities.

Georgia and Azerbaijan, with encouragement from us, would make a good fight, but they need material and financial and moral support. Daghestan also could be induced to expel Nuri and Furka, who have made themselves very unpopular.

It would seem necessary from point of view of our interests to recognize *de facto* existence of Dagestan as well as Armenia, Azerbaijan and Georgia, and provide support for their future claims of self-determination.

Unless some speedy action is taken there is a grave risk of the crushing of Transcaucasia by Bolsheviks, who will then work their will in Persia and Transcaspia and beyond.

Repeated to Constantinople, No. 3, and Mackinder, No. 2.

[168780]

No. 8

*Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 8, 1920.)*

(No. 2384. Secret.)

My Lord

Constantinople, December 23, 1913

I HAVE the honour to communicate to your Lordship certain data in which the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Army of the Black Sea, has recently given me on the subject of the ~~present~~ strength of the British forces under his command.

Whereas its responsibilities are as great as ever and may be immensely increased if difficulties should arise in connection with the execution of terms of peace with Turkey, its numbers are now so reduced that I venture to suggest to your Lordship that it is desirable that when the Turkish peace settlement is being discussed in London or Paris, the military situation of the Allies in this country should be clearly understood and due weight given to the change which has taken place since the

3. The figures communicated by General Milne show that on the 6th December the fighting strength of the Army of the Black Sea (exclusive of two brigades of artillery) was as follows —

In the Batoum area	...	...	...	...	1,874
At Salonica	...	...	...	...	562
In the Constantinople area	...	...	...	...	4,469
Along the Anatolian Railway from Ismidt to Afion Karahissar					2,272
Dardanelles area	...	...	...	...	657
					<hr/> 9,874

4. Your Lordship will observe that the total in Turkey amounts to 7,398 bayonets, of which 2,929 are employed in garrisons and detachments at the railway or at the Dardanelles, and 4,469 are in the Constantinople area.

5. Besides these British troops there are, in Constantinople and Thrace, French troops, consisting of six battalions, attached to the Allied Corps under the command of General Sir Henry Wilson at Constantinople, as well as a division under the direct orders of General Franchet d'Espèrey. In Adana and South West Asia Minor the Italian troops number between 6,000 and 7,000 men, and in the Aidin vilayet there are some 75,000 Greek troops.

6. The Turkish Army has an authorized armistice establishment of 57,000, and, inclusive of the "National" bands, probably does not at present exceed this figure.

[4370]

1



7. Whilst the Anatolian provinces are not now in open revolt against the authority of the Central Government, as was the case when Damad Ferid Pasha was in power, the present Cabinet, except in Constantinople, governs only by and with the consent of Mustafa Kemal and his C.U.P. adherents, and all real power in the provinces is still in the hands of the Nationalists. The latter have undoubtedly been losing ground and arousing the hostility of the peasantry during the last few months; it is, however, to be anticipated that they will renew their agitation when the Allied peace terms are made known, and, should these terms involve the loss of any of the predominantly Turkish provinces, with greatly increased support from the people as a whole. The possibility must be considered, in view of the chauvinist and anti-European sentiments of the leaders of the movement, that, should the Turkish Government, under the threat of the Allied forces at Constantinople, agree to accept the terms proposed, Anatolia may break away and come under the rule of a military junta. Recent events on the Euphrates have shown the danger of military adventurers obtaining control and substituting their way for that of the responsible Government, and there is much in the present condition of affairs throughout the whole of the former Turkish Empire to induce the Turk and Arab to make common cause against the foreigner.

8. It is because of the possibility of events taking the course which I have indicated in the immediately preceding paragraph that I have thought it desirable to invite your Lordship's attention to the present military situation of the Allies in this country. I have pointed out that the Turkish forces are few in number and scattered throughout a large area. The disadvantages and dangers of associating the Hellenic troops of Asia in combined operations with our own forces in order to clear the Turkish forces are so clear that I need not enlarge upon them. I have also pointed out that the Turkish forces are few in number and scattered throughout a large area. The disadvantages and dangers of associating the Hellenic troops of Asia in combined operations with our own forces in order to clear the Turkish forces are so clear that I need not enlarge upon them. I have also pointed out that the Turkish forces are few in number and scattered throughout a large area. The disadvantages and dangers of associating the Hellenic troops of Asia in combined operations with our own forces in order to clear the Turkish forces are so clear that I need not enlarge upon them.

9. I have also pointed out that the Turkish forces are few in number and scattered throughout a large area. The disadvantages and dangers of associating the Hellenic troops of Asia in combined operations with our own forces in order to clear the Turkish forces are so clear that I need not enlarge upon them. I have also pointed out that the Turkish forces are few in number and scattered throughout a large area. The disadvantages and dangers of associating the Hellenic troops of Asia in combined operations with our own forces in order to clear the Turkish forces are so clear that I need not enlarge upon them.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK

High Commissioner

[168553 ME 58]

No. 2.

India Office to Foreign Office. (Received January 8.)

Sir,

India Office, January 7 1920.

THE attention of the Secretary of State for India has been drawn to Mr. Wardrop's telegram No. 255 of the 27th December forwarding a message from Colonel Stokes regarding the policy of the new Government in Azerbaijan. Mr. Montagu would submit for the earnest consideration of the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs that the two most effective means of stabilising the situation in the Middle East are (1) to regain control of the Caspian Sea whether by the means suggested in Mr. Wardrop's telegram No. 234 of the 26th December, or by the despatch of British boats and personnel, if that be practicable; 2) to unite the interests of the three Caucasian republics, and especially of Azerbaijan, to those of Great Britain. It seems clear that, unless this can be done, there is grave risk of the formation of a combination between Bolsheviks and Turkish Nationalist extremists, which will greatly increase the military difficulties of His Majesty's Government and the Government of India.

I am, &c.

J. S. SHUCKBURGH

168508 ME 58]

No. 10

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon. (Received January 8.)

No. 5.)

Paris, January 6, 1920.

My telegram No. 2

My Prime Minister and Minister of War came to me to-night and spoke at length of the present situation. On behalf of Government and people, they handed over to me a document of which following is substance:

1. Having failed to upset Europe, have made agreement with Mussulmans to attack Great Britain. Both Turks and Bolsheviks have adopted this as a policy of despair. Lenin has taken Wilhelm's place.

2. Great Britain must defend to prevent union of two hordes. It, and especially Georgia, is natural barrier separating two forces hostile to Allies.

At present, in consequence of impending advance of Red Army towards the Caucasus, the situation in Georgia becomes complicated. Without effective aid, Georgia, which is passing through painful economic crisis, will be unable to resist attack from both north and south, and crushing of Georgia will have serious consequences for Allies, and especially for Great Britain. But if Allies help her, Georgia will have sufficient courage and strength to defend her frontiers.

Georgian Government therefore consider in its own interests that British Government should consider the needs of present time that following effective aid should be given:

1. Recognition of independence.

2. Restitution of outlying parts of province of Batumi to Georgia, so that she may fortify southern frontier, which is quite unprotected, which is a part and vicinity as a naval base, in accordance with offer repeatedly made by Georgia.

3. Powerful aid in arms and ammunition, provisions and finance, most urgent need, cartridges, boots.

It will rouse extraordinary outburst of enthusiasm and feasible safeguarding of interests of Great Britain and Allied at this historical moment.

Without this indispensable aid, Georgian people, being deprived of all hope of British support, may unwillingly be forced to some desperate course to save their existence.

I should most strongly impress His Majesty's Government of necessity of taking steps to secure the feasible safeguarding of interests of Great Britain and Allied at this historical moment.

I have, &c.

My telegram No. 2 (Received January 8.)

[168574]

No. 11.

Earl Curzon to the Earl of Derby (Paris).

(No. 67)

My Lord

Foreign Office, January 8, 1920.

I HAVE to acknowledge the receipt of your Excellency's despatch No. 1235 of the 27th December, enclosing copy of a note from the French Government on the subject of the boundary between the spheres of temporary British and French military occupation in Palestine and Syria.

The French Government maintain that the only decision to which appeal can be made in this matter is that of the Supreme Council of the 15th September, 1919, and

[4376]

C 2



this decision His Majesty's Government have no desire to dispute. By it the Supreme Council took note of the fact that "M. Clemenceau, on behalf of the French Government, accepted Mr. Lloyd George's proposal for the evacuation of the British army of Syria and their replacement by French troops . . . in Syria west of the Nahr el Jebel line."

His Majesty's Government have loyally carried out this agreement; but they cannot accept the position that the French conception of Syria must of necessity be allowed to prejudge the question of the northern boundary of Palestine. Upon this point His Majesty's Government have never concealed their opinion. It was explicitly stated by the Prime Minister in the *aide-memoire* to which reference is made, and although the French Government withheld their acceptance of those parts of the *aide-memoire* which did not relate to the exchange of British and French troops, this could not be held to involve the abandonment by the Prime Minister or the British Government of the views with regard to the borders of Palestine which they have once again defined.

In these circumstances, and in view of the improved prospect of an early settlement of the whole question and the purely temporary character of whatever military administration may be installed in the small area concerned, His Majesty's Government express the hope that the French Government will agree to the present administrative boundary pending the final decision of the Council.

You should reply to the French note of the 26th December in the above terms.

I am, Sir,

CURZON OF KEDLESTON

[169174 ME 58]

No. 12.

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 9.)

(No. 7.)

(Telegraphic.)

Tiflis, January 7, 1920.

DURING last few days I have had long conversations with Minister for Foreign Affairs, and also with Minister of War and Minister of Interior.

Government is to be depended on to offer vigorous resistance to Bolsheviks if supported by us, but, if we refuse to assist, food situation will create anarchy shortly. I am informed no proposals have been made to Georgia by German or other enemies, and most solemn assurances have been given to me that no such overtures would be considered, even if made. Support of Allied cause is now admitted to be essential to Georgia's existence. Government beg me to show towards them same confidence they have in me, and tell me they will have no secrets from me and will follow my advice.

As to basis for an agreement with Denikin given in your Lordship's telegram No. 142, I have discussed matter with Mr. Mackinder and General Krylov, and feel sure I could secure Georgia's acceptance as soon as I am authorised to negotiate. I might even arrange some unofficial recruiting for Volunteer Army if it were thought absolutely necessary by His Majesty's Government, but I strongly recommend that all available troops be kept here and not sent to join a partially demoralised force.

As to Armenia, fact that Bolsheviks are allied with Turks and Germans is in our favour, but unless we act promptly and efficiently in supplying moral and material aid there is grave danger that Dashnaks party might make terms with enemy.

(Repeated to Constantinople, No. 5 [group omitted].)

[169242 ME 58]

No. 13.

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 9.)

(No. 9.)

(Telegraphic.)

Tiflis, January 8, 1920.

FOLLOWING from Colonel Stokes, No. 54 of 5th January.—

"I regard situation in Azerbaijan as serious, and think that it soon will become dangerous. Government is anti-Bolshevik, but collapse of Denikin—for his assumed failure is so regarded here—has thrown it into a state of alarm owing to danger of Bolsheviks. On the other hand, Government almost despair of any assistance from Great Britain. It has no hope whatever of assistance from Turks.

"If His Majesty's Government appear to secure friendship or even to control Azerbaijan and eliminate Turkish influence and avert Bolshevism, it is essential that some sign of our support should be given immediately.

Best sign would be return of breech-blocks of the two 6-inch guns and sale to Azerbaijan of uniforms, or material for them, and boots for 6,000 men. If this be done, ground will be prepared for removal of all Turks from service of Azerbaijan Government. Later it will be possible to get Azerbaijan to accept British instructors for its army, and gradually our influence could be built up in such a way as to obtain any concessions we wish, as evidently Government is anti-Bolshevik. I may mention that they anxiously desire the removal from their prisons in Baku of 100 to 200 Bolsheviks, and would welcome our taking charge of them. Danger of Bolsheviks in Azerbaijan can be, in my opinion, averted only by one of two methods: (a) friendly support of Azerbaijan immediately; (b) despatch of British troops to Baku. If Bolshevism is to be kept out of Azerbaijan, immediate steps on one or other of these lines suggested is, in my opinion, imperative.

"Daghestan situation also demands immediate serious attention. Hostilities between Daghestan and Volunteer Army continue. Many Russian Bolsheviks and Nuri Pasas are assisting Denikin. Latter regard impending elimination of Volunteer Army as a factor of [group undecipherable] account, but have not any desire for Bolshevism in their country.

"In return for our support in shape of arms and ammunition and . . . per month for five or six months, they are willing to get rid of all Bolsheviks, Turks, to form Government to be nominated by us, and to give us large quantity of wool and any concessions we may desire.

"I have had no dealings with Denikin personally. Attitude of Denikin was communicated to me by Persian Commissioner now in Baku, who states that Denikin is . . . such support in form of, and on terms given, above.

I recommend above to your Lordship's serious consideration.

(Repeated to Tehran, No. 3; Constantinople, No. 7, and Magasider, No. 5.)

[169209 ME 58]

No. 14.

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 9.)

(No. 11.)

(Telegraphic.)

Tiflis, January 8, 1920.

FOLLOWING received from Colonel Stokes, dated 7th January.—

"In continuation of my despatch of 5th January, I beg to submit the following.

"I to-day saw Minister for Foreign Affairs at his request. He expressed greatest anxiety at rapid decision by Allies as to fate of Azerbaijan. He gave me a copy of wireless message, dated Moscow, 2nd January, received at Baku, 6th January, from Norvichern, addressed to Azerbaijan and Georgia, inviting them to attack Volunteer Army and then assist in giving it *coup de grace*. He pointed out that successful advance of Bolsheviks on both sides Caspian Sea has brought Bolshevik danger to door of Azerbaijan and Azerbaijan must decide very soon her policy towards Bolsheviks; . . . on that decision may not improbably be taken out of hands of the Baku itself. He stated that his Government is entirely anti-Bolshevik, but if Great Britain will not come to its assistance it may be compelled to make terms with Bolsheviks.

"It had been decided after mature consideration that it must look to Great Britain alone of all Powers for assistance to safeguard the interests and very existence of Azerbaijan. He did not pretend that this decision was due to any sentimental reasons. It was dictated by self-interest, but, in his opinion, in supporting Azerbaijan we would best serve our own interests in safeguarding our shortest route to Persia. He urged strongly that, owing to demoralised Volunteer Army if Azerbaijan is to be saved from Bolshevism an immediate decision to support Azerbaijan is imperative.

"In my opinion Minister for Foreign Affairs did not overstate his case. Unless we are willing to see Bolsheviks rampant in Azerbaijan, a decision to support that country cannot be taken too soon. I have previously recommended provisional armistice, but in view of gravity of situation created by failure of Volunteer Army, I now recommend immediate grant of full independence and whole-hearted support to Azerbaijan, despatch



A copy of this letter is being sent to the Admiralty, and the Director of Transport is being consulted verbally as to the possibility of diverting food cargoes.



the delivery of which in South Russia may already be, or may in the future be impossible, owing to Bolshevik successes, to Poti or Batoum for the use of the

[169528 ME 58]

No. 18

*The Earl of Derby to Lord Hardinge — (Received January 11)*

*D.*  
FOLLOWING from Lord Curzon —

*Paris, January 10, 1920.*

Supreme Council decided this morning, in reconstituting Inter-Allied Military Council, at the presidency of Marshal Foch, to refer to it the situation in Black Sea, Caucasus and North Persia, described in Wardrop's telegram No. 1 and Sir P. Cox's telegram No. 10, with a view of seeing whether any inter Allied action is possible for settling the situation, Armenia being omitted as falling within purview of Peace Treaty with Turkey. This proposition was carried unanimously with consent of French and Italian Governments, and you may announce it at once in case of Governments concerned.

"At the same time, Secretary of State for India and I have agreed in consultation that military aspect of situation, which in main affects ourselves, should be considered at home.

We think meeting of Eastern Committee should be held on Monday under chairmanship of Lord Hardinge, at which responsible representatives of India Office, War Office and Admiralty should be present to discuss following points:

- Retention of British forces at Batoum
- Possibility of aid to Georgian forces
- Diversions to Caucasus of any balance of Denikin's 'packet'
4. Protection of Baku in the event of Bolshevik menace from Krumovodsk
- "5. Recovery from Denikin of Caspian fleet and possible reconstitution of British marines, or in alternative, if this be impossible, destruction of Denikin's fleet
- "6. Possible strengthening of advanced British forces at Kazvin
- "7. Despatch to Caucasus or Persia of aeroplanes from any other theatre of action
- "8. Steps to be taken for watching or protecting Khorasan frontier
- "9. Despatch of British officers to that quarter
- "10. Strengthening and transferring to War Office of Anglo-Indian force at Meshed
- "11. Prolongation of railway to N.

169530 ME 58.

*Sir P. Cox to Earl Curzon — (Received January 11)*

(No. 14)

(Telegraphic)

*Tehran, January 11, 1920.*

PRIME Minister is receiving from Persian Mission in Caucasus reports and views to much the same effect as those which are reaching His Majesty's Government from our representatives. These reports refer to primary desire of South Caucasian principalities to resist subjection to Bolshevism, and active inclination of Baku Government to enter into close relations with Persia with object of strengthening its position to that effect.

In latter connection Prime Minister begs me to urge upon His Majesty's Government what an enormous advantage it would be to P. in its interests in Persian commerce and politics if independence of Azerbaijan Government could now be recognised and it were to place itself under friendly guidance of Great Britain and ally itself in some definite way with Persia. He emphasises that, should Great Britain or Allies in conference decide (as he hopes they will) to assist Caucasian principalities to hold the Batoum-Baku line and Caspian against Bolshevik aggression,

the present moment is obviously most favourable for bringing about arrangement above indicated. Not only would it put an end to pan-Turanian idea of combining two A. in a way favourable to Persia and Great Britain the future control of Southern Caspian

In theory Prime Minister's arguments seem to point [group omitted]. How far his proposals are compatible with our policy and practical necessities of present situation I must leave to wise consideration, one group undecipherable.

[169528 ME 58]

No. 20.

*Lord Hardinge to Mr. Wardrop (Tiflis)*

No. 2

(Telegraphic)

*Foreign Office, January 11, 1920*

LORD CURZON proposed to-day to Allied Supreme Council in Paris that *de facto* recognition should be given by Allied Powers to Armenian Government, Armenia being omitted as falling within purview of Peace Treaty with Turkey.

This proposition was carried unanimously with consent of French and Italian Governments, and you may announce it at once to Government concerned.

(Repeated to Tehran, No. 16.)

[169629 ME 58]

No. 21

*The Earl of Derby to Lord Hardinge — (Received January 11)*

*D.*

*Paris, January 10, 1920.*

FOLLOWING for Lord Curzon —

"My telegram of to-day

"Recognition of *de facto* independence of Georgian and Azerbaijan Governments does not of course involve any decision as to their present or future boundaries, and must not be held to prejudge that question in smallest degree.

[169620 ME 58]

*Lord Hardinge to Mr. Wardrop (Tiflis)*

*Foreign Office, January 12, 1920.*

of course, involve any decision as to their present or future boundaries, and must not be held to prejudge that question in the smallest degree.  
Please repeat to Tehran, Constantinople and Mr. Mackinder

[168553 ME 58]

No. 23

*Foreign Office to India Office*

*Foreign Office, January 12, 1920.*

I AM directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to acknowledge the receipt of India Office letter No. P 151 of the 7th January, regarding the desirability of stabilising the situation in the Mid. East, and suggest as means thereto, firstly, that His Majesty's Government should again take over the control of the Caspian Sea, and, secondly, that of the three Caucasian Republics, and especially of Azerbaijan.

With regard to the first suggestion I am to state that the Admiralty have recently expressed themselves as being strongly in favour of taking over the fleet in the Caspian Sea, and the final decision of the Cabinet on this point is now awaited.

[4370]

D



THE PHILIPS

No. 24

Following for Lord 6.

† No. 17.

Full report follows by bag to-day

No. 85.

Sent to Mackinder, N.Y. 11-11-11

Mr. Mecklinger to Earl Carson, — (Received January 14.)

Dated, January 18, 1929.

[4870]

I understand already a month ago Azerbaijan Government were anxious lest flotilla should turn Bolshevik, join Bolsheviks on shore of Caspian and attack Baku. Their fears must be increased by Bolsheviks advance from Astrakhan.

From telegrams from Wardrop it appears situation generally in Transcaucasia is critical. In view of immense importance of shore of Caspian to Bolsheviks, I trust immediate steps will be taken to receive flotilla at Enzeli temporarily pending my fulfilment of proposals.

(Repeated to Constantinople, Commander-in-chief afloat.)

[170789 ME 58]

No. 17

Mr Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—Received January 1.

(No. 131.)

My Lord,

Tiflis, December 20, 1919

ENCLOSED I have the honour to transmit copy of a despatch No. 19 of the 18th December from Colonel Stokes, which forms the subject of my telegram of last day dealing with the situation in Transcaucasia, its bearing on Persian and Transcaucasian affairs, and the attitude of the Turkomans.

I have, &c.

O WARDROP

Enclosure in No. 27

Colonel Stokes to Mr. Wardrop.

No. 19.)

Sir,

Baku, December 18, 1919

ALL recent reports indicate that the Bolsheviks in Transcaucasia mean to make every effort to capture Krasnovodsk, and to establish themselves on the Caspian Sea. They are further despatching numbers of agents to Transcaucasia and Persia to spread Bolshevik propaganda and to incite those elements favourable to their aims. For some time past they have been endeavouring, though so far, I gather, with little success, to win over the Turkomans to their ideas. Mussulmans generally and the Turkomans are no exception, do not take kindly to Bolshevism, and it appears to me that, in view of the serious danger to Transcaucasia and Persia which would follow a Bolshevik success in Transcaucasia, the question whether the Turkomans cannot be utilized as an effective barrier, at any rate the most effective available, to stem the flow of the tide of Bolshevism in those parts, demands our serious and prompt consideration. The Turkomans, I was assured by Khan Yamautski and Orta Beldar, their two leaders, are united, and, stretching as they do from Krasnovodsk to Mirv, are in a position to take effective action against the Bolsheviks. The treatment meted out to the Turkomans under the old Russian régime resulted in their harbouring a deep hatred of Russia, but despite this they willingly co-operate with the Volunteer Army against the Bolsheviks. The inefficiency of that army and its senseless mistreatment of its Turkoman allies has, however, driven the Turkomans to look for some other solution to their difficulties. They appealed to us for a British protectorate, but this was refused, as was also a request for a small British mission. What they ask for is our support to their claims to be independent of Russia in the future. If promised our support in this respect they would, there is reason to believe, combine to take action against the Bolsheviks, and should be able to render the existence of the latter a line of communication across Transcaucasia, precarious, if not impossible.

His Majesty's Government were not some four months ago prepared to hold out any hopes to the Turkomans of their gaining their independence of Russia, but in view of the daily increasing danger to Persia and Transcaucasia I venture to suggest that the problem demands reconsideration, and that without delay.

The Persian Commissioner now at Baku, Saiyid Zia-ud-Din, informs me that he regards the danger to Persia as serious. He states that at Askabad, where the Bolsheviks are powerful, there are several Persians amongst their commissars, and Bolshevik agents are active also in Persia.

I am not at present in direct touch with Turkoman leaders, but, if authorized to do so, can get into touch with them through Saiyid Zia-ud-Din or the Azerbaijan

at. I would suggest that a telegraphic reference be made to His Majesty's Government, as, if it be decided to take action, it appears to me to be very important to do so without delay.

J. M. DE ROBECQ, Lieutenant-Colonel.

[170729]

No. 18

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 15, 1920.)

(No. 2399. Secret.)

Constantinople, December 26, 1919

My Lord,

WITH reference to my telegram No. 2127 of the 8th December on the subject of the proposed assembly, under the auspices of the Turkish Nationalists, of a Pan-Islamic Congress at Sivas, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of a report giving some details of this matter, which has been received from a secret source by the General Staff, Army of the Black Sea.

As Islamic activities are doubtless engaging the attention of His Majesty's Government, I venture to express the opinion that this proposed congress at Sivas is but one example of the efforts now being made in Turkey and other Mohammedan countries to develop and direct Islamic sentiment, which are at the present time more than ever deserving of serious investigation and consideration.

The Nationalists and Committee of Union and Progress elements in Turkey have realised the extent to which the country has been enfeebled by the war, both in man and resources, and now much it is at the mercy of the victorious Entente Powers it is but natural that they should endeavour to draw fresh strength from other Mohammedan countries, such as Persia, Egypt, India, and the Arab world. Moreover, both the Turkish Nationalists and the Turkish Nationalists have this in common, that they may both expect to profit from the arousing of an anti-British and Pan-Islamic sentiment amongst the Mohammedans of the Central States, and it is therefore to be anticipated that efforts are being made with this end in view.

Generally speaking, there would appear to be in varying degrees throughout all the Mohammedan countries a feeling of discontent against European domination and control. This is perhaps a natural development, consequent on the growth of a political sentiment amongst the dominant classes, which becomes more and more pronounced as the influence of the European powers is replaced by that of the political organisations which exercise control in the Mohammedan States. Pan-Islamism may well be the framework upon which will be built up, on the grounds of community of religious and political interests and ideas, and with the object of making a stand against European intervention and exploitation, a general movement in these countries to get rid of foreign control and develop along their own lines. The expression "self-determination" has echoed throughout the Near East, and though perhaps naturally imperfectly understood, it yet forms the theme of every political movement and activity in the Mohammedan States. All movements tending to coordinate Islamic activities must therefore be of first importance to Great Britain during the next ten or

years, and require

activities of political parties or

Islamic sentiment for political ends

involving only the country in which

being in relation to the activities of similar organisations in

therefore having an important bearing upon the general political

and the situation should be co-ordinated and the situation reviewed as a whole.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECQ,

High Commissioner.



Enclosure I in No. 28.

## Report

(Secret.)

KARA BEKIR KIAZIM PASHA informs by telegram the Turkish War Office that Kurds have risen in arms against the British in Kerkuk and Sulemanie. Sheikh Muhmud has reoccupied Sulemanie and the surrounding villages; also Rowanduz and Erbil are cleared from British troops.

Sheikh Meshal Vel Soud Abbas, chief of Shammar tribe, and Nakib-el-Eshraf Soud Mehmed, chief of the Anese tribe, have addressed a telegram to the Sultan expressing their attachment to the Ottoman Empire and assuring him that Mesopotamia, Nejd and Meen will by no means recognise any other suzerainty except that of Turkey.

(From No. 4 [undated].)

Enclosure 2 in No. 28.

## Report

(Secret.)

MUSTAFA KEMAL has convoked a general meeting of different Turkish, Armenian, and Assyrian representatives, in which they will discuss the means to be employed in order to resist the establishment of an Armenian State.

The Azerbaijan representatives also have arrived in Erzerum, and the Congress has begun.

The following persons also take part in the Congress:

Officer Commanding 13th Army Corps,	Djevet Bey,
" " 20th " "	Ali Fuad Pasha
" " 3rd " "	Salaheddin Pasha
" " 15th " "	Kara Bekir Kiazim Pasha.

Kara Bekir Kiazim Pasha informs the Turkish War Office that five field howitzers have arrived in Erzerum from Azerbaijan.

Hadi Pasha, Amed Abouk Pasha, Abdurrahman Sherif Pasha, Salih Pasha gathered in the residence of Djemal Pasha, Minister of War, on Monday last to discuss the project of a new military organisation. This project is examined by the

Mustafa Kemal is busy with a new vast organisation. He has projected the imposition of Kurdish and Arabic languages in the schools. The Government will be obliged to supply the necessary equipment, funds, and means of transport.

(From No. 4 [undated].)

Enclosure 3 in No. 28.

## Report

(Secret.)

A NEW secret committee has been founded in Constantinople three days before the opening of the Houses and to demand the dissolution of the elections, as the new members are elected by the people, but they are nominated by the Unionists, and that they are traitors. They will force the Sultan not to recognise their quality of membership.

This committee is organised by Entente liberals.

(From No. 4 [undated].)

[170654]

No. 29.

Mr. Vansittart to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 15.)

(No. 2. Confidential.)

MR. VANSITTART presents his compliments to the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and begs to transmit copy of a paper communicated by M Berthelot on the 11th January, respecting conditions of peace with Turkey.

Hôtel Campbell, Paris, January 11, 1918.

Enclosure in No. 29.

Communicated to Earl Curzon by M. Berthelot, January 11, 1918.

## PEACE WITH TURKEY.

(Translation.)

IN breaking the peace and the treaties which assured its territorial integrity and its sovereignty, Turkey has freed the Allied Powers from every other obligation other than respect for the guiding rules of their own policy, which are based in accordance with the principles of justice. In closing the Straits, Turkey cut the connection between Russia with the Allies, caused Russia's political and military dissolution, and prolonged the war with all its disasters; such a catastrophe cannot be allowed to be renewed.

The following principles appear to be those which should govern the settlement of the Eastern question:

1. The existence of an independent Turkish State, composed of the provinces inhabited by a majority of Turkish elements and placed under the sovereignty of the Sultan, remains decided.
2. No sphere of political influence will be accorded to any Power in the Ottoman Empire as constituted by the provisions of the Treaty of London.
3. Turkish militarism will be suppressed like Prussian militarism; neither the Turkish army nor the Turkish fleet will be maintained.
4. The absolute freedom of the Straits will be ensured; guardianship over them, from the Black Sea to the Mediterranean, will be entrusted to an international commission, guaranteeing the effective neutrality of the passage.
5. Armenia will be entirely free from the Turkish domination and constituted as an independent State.
6. The Arab and Syrian populations cannot be replaced under the Turkish yoke. The rights of minorities represented by numerous peoples of different race and religion will be scrupulously guaranteed by a special treaty placed under the guarantee of the League of Nations, which will affirm their right to keep their civil and religious status, their educational and philanthropic establishments, and complete political equality.
7. The reform of Turkish administration (administration, justice, finance, gendarmerie) will be guaranteed by the control of the interested Powers.

Peace with Turkey offers four principal problems:—

- (A) Constantinople and the Straits.
- (B) Anatolia and Asia Minor.
- (C) Armenia.
- (D) Syria, Mesopotamia, and Arabia.

## A.)—Constantinople and the Straits.

Two solutions are possible: the maintenance of the Sultan at Constantinople or the expulsion of the Turks from Europe.

From the point of view of ethics and history, the eviction from Europe of a State which has remained barren and stagnant, and is based on the right of conquest and the oppression of different races and superior civilisations, represents a

victory for right. Moreover, the loss of Constantinople would mark in Moslem eyes the definite end of the Turkish Empire. The possession of Constantinople is entrusted to the descendants of Osman over the Moslem peoples dependent on England and France. The capture of Constantinople by the Turks marked the end of the Moslem Empire. These arguments of philosophy one may add the difficulty of guarding the Straits without a base in Asia. Constantinople and its 800,000 inhabitants remain in the hands of the Sultan, consequently within the reach of the intrigues of a Germany militarily reconquered and perhaps supported by Russia.

The doctrine of the maintenance of the Sultan at Constantinople has numerous supporters, especially in France, because the development of the material and moral interests in Turkey is larger than that of any other Power (although England had in 1913 more than a quarter of the whole trade of Turkey, and that of any other Power). France possesses in Turkey the bulk of the railway capital and of undertakings of private and public interest, in which, before 1914 she had invested more than 3 milliards; she possesses a great part of the Ottoman Public Debt, and has exercised a preponderating influence in the administration of the so-called assigned revenues and in that of the tobacco regime. Her moral influence was safeguarded and propagated by hundreds of schools and philanthropic institutions, as well as by a secular, distributed throughout the towns of the Ottoman Empire. The continuance of the old Turkey is for the rest a system the simplicity of which pleases many practical-minded men, and which may appease the susceptibility of a reconstituted Russia. Even in a large number of those interested in politics, impressed by considerations for Moslems of India, are opposed to the idea of removing the Caliph to a capital. Lastly, the military leaders of the Allied Powers are unanimous in drawing the attention to the danger of withdrawing the power of nationalist feeling in Turkey, to the organization of the army of Mustafa Kemal in Anatolia, to the weakness owing to demobilization of the Allied forces on the spot and of other available troops, and to the extreme difficulty, perhaps the impossibility, of making the Turks accept, without a large expedition, the decision of the Allies, which is to expel from Europe the Ottoman Empire and Caliph.

In view of the considerable weight behind each of these two theories, there would appear to be room for contemplating successively both hypotheses.

### 1. The Establishment of a State of Constantinople and the Straits.

In the event of the Powers deciding to drive back the Ottoman State into Asia in order to ensure the freedom of the Straits, it would be possible to create a State of Constantinople and the Straits, comprising in Europe the territory situated between the Sea of Marmora and the line known as the Chatulja lines, and in Asia only the Asiatic shore of the Straits of the Bosphorus with a frontier running from Shile on the north to Imit on the south and of the Dardanelles (with a frontier running from Tenedos and following a line about 30 kilom. from the coast), the Asiatic shore and [sic] the Sea of Marmora remaining Turkish. All the islands between the Black Sea and the Mediterranean would be included in the State.

The new State would be placed in a general way under the high protection of the League of Nations. It would be neutralized. The fortifications would be destroyed to an extent to be fixed by a Military and Naval Inter Allied Commission, and a zone of 30 kilom. would be demilitarized on the Asiatic shores.

The administration of the State would at first be organized and directed by a Government Commission ("Commission de Gouvernement"), representing the most interested Powers. This Commission would be composed of two delegates of each of the great Mediterranean Powers (France, England, Italy), to which could be added subsequently the United States, if it desires to participate, and Russia, as soon as the latter Power should have been entirely reconstituted, and one delegate for Greece, one for Roumania, and ultimately one for Turkey.

In the event of a persistent difference of opinion between the members of the Commission the question at issue might be carried by a vote of two-thirds of the delegates, before the Council of the League of Nations for decision.

The Chairman of the Commission would hold office for two consecutive years; he would be alternatively French and English, on account of the predominant material and moral interests of France and England in the Ottoman Empire.

chairmanship might, however, after a period of eight years, be entrusted to one of the other Great Powers to whom the chairmanship would fall by rotation by a vote of two-thirds of the members of the Commission.

The Commission would establish the necessary administrations and regulations, and would examine a draft constitution as well as a system to be submitted to the Council of the League of Nations.

The administration would be made as simple as possible, and the Departments reduced to the following:—

- (a.) Ministry of the Interior (including Public Works, Education, Health, Posts, and Telegraphs)
- (b.) Ministry of Finance (and Commerce)
- (c.) Ministry of Justice
- (d.) Ministry of War

The State would be charged with policing the State of Constantinople and guarding the Straits. It might be eventually modified subsequently by the Commission.

The State would consist chiefly of a gendarmerie on land, and a naval defence force (both inter-Allied), their strength being such as to enable them to keep order and resist a sudden attack, coming whether from Europe or from Asia, sufficiently long to enable English and French fleets to intervene.

The State would receive, within a period and in a form compatible with the League of Nations. All residents in the State would enjoy complete civil and political rights whatever might be their race or religion.

its administration (which expenses would have to be carefully reduced to a minimum).

Obligations undertaken by Turkey, the Powers represented on the Commission for Government would have to consider how best they could assist it, either by means of loans or by the transfer of other guarantees to Turkey's creditors.

On the religious point of view, all mosques would be respected. St. Sophia would be set apart and respected as a monument of antiquity, no religious services being allowed therein (unless services of various religions were celebrated there turn about).

The Commission for Government of the State of Constantinople and the Straits would be entirely independent of the Ottoman State in Asiatic Turkey, and would take no part in the supervision exercised by the Powers with regard to the finances, justice, and gendarmerie of such State, which would be sovereign and independent.

All care would be taken when transferring the seat of the Turkish State, all facilities being given to retiring high officials, and the task of provisioning and supply being carried out with care. The principles laid down by the Allies with regard to repatriation would be applied to the personal property of the Sultan, as well as to private property in Constantinople and throughout the whole of the new State.

### 2. Constantinople to remain as Capital of the Turkish State.

Should this be the case, the general principles set forth above would apply to European Turkey, as well as to the remainder of the Ottoman Empire in Asia. It would only be necessary to provide for:—

- (a.) An inter-Allied force of about 30,000 men (one-third each being supplied by the French, the English, and the Italians). Its task would be to guarantee the absolute liberty of the Straits and to occupy the points decided on by military experts, but which should not include Constantinople, where no Turkish troops could be maintained other than the Sultan's small personal guard.

- (b.) The limitation of the Turkish State in Europe to a very restricted hinterland to the capital, which should not go beyond the Chatulja lines (to which Greek sovereignty would extend, to balance its limitations in Smyrna), in order to respect the principles of nominal Turkish sovereignty and to facilitate the withdrawal of the Italian troops of occupation.



(c.) Application of administrative reforms and of Allied control over the State of Turkey.

(d.) The proposed formation of an International Straits Company by the fusion of the French and foreign companies interested in all matters concerning navigation in the Straits. The inevitable development of traffic throughout the East after the conclusion of peace makes it possible to consider a scheme which would permanently internationalise communication between the Aegean and the Black Sea. Such internationalisation would facilitate the settlement of questions concerning the hinterland of the Straits.

The board of the company would be assisted by a commission composed of delegates of the interested Powers (or their representatives at Constantinople), which would form a supervisory commission. The funds required to defray the expenses of such a company would be furnished by supplementary taxes on navigation and trade, which would be settled by international agreement.

#### (B.) *Anatolia and Asia Minor*

1. The Ottoman Empire, whether existing in Europe or not, will continue to exist as a Minor under the national Ottoman dynasty. Its territory will be bounded as follows:—

1. In the north, by the Black Sea as far as the Lazistan frontier (to be assigned to Georgia) to the east of Trebizond.
2. To the west (by the enclaves assigned to the State of Constantinople on the borders of Asia, of the Bosphorus, and of the Dardanelles), or by the Asiatic side of the Straits and of the Sea of Marmora, then by the Mesopotamian as far as the Chiban frontier (the Lamasu River).
3. To the south, by the course of the Lamasu, the Chamarli Dag, the division of the rivers beyond Bulgar Dag, as far as Uchi Kapular Dag, then a line corresponding to the boundaries of the vilayets and marked out by the Karakoram, the Heli Gelik Dag, as far as the mouth of the latter and that of the Euphrates, then the boundary of such vilayets as far as the Upper Euphrates (Murad-su), and thence downstream until its juncture with the Munzur-su.
4. To the east, along the Munzur-su as far as its source and along the Munzur Dag, then by a line starting from the eastern end of the Munzur Dag (Merjan Dag), rejoining the Black Sea to the east of Trebizond by the Kara Dera river, this town being left to Turkey, together with Erzinjan and the road which runs between the two, and also Kharpot. Erzeroum would belong to the new Armenian State and Argana Muden to the territory for which the French will receive a mandate.

The capital (in which the Sultan would reside) would either be Konia, Angora, or Constantinople if Constantinople were not retained as capital.

The reforms adapted to new circumstances by the following reforms:—

1. *Military and Naval Reforms.*—The Turkish army and navy to be done away with, as well as the Ottoman War and Naval Ministries. The Turkish forces (enhanced), commanded and instructed by Allied instructors, and placed under the authority of the Ministry of the Interior.

2. *Legal Reforms.*—The Ottoman Court to be reconstituted an Inter-Allied Commission, the seat of which would be in Turkey, and which would make use of the work already done in this direction by the Paris Conference and the Allied delegations at Constantinople.

After the application of these reforms the Capitulations (which would remain in force during the interregnum) would be abrogated.

3. *Financial Reforms.*—It will be impossible for Turkey to carry out her obligations to the Allies without financial control, or even to exist; supervision of all sources of revenue and of the distribution of income are equally necessary. An Inter-Allied Commission (composed of French and English representatives, Italy

having no Turkish interests and not having declared war on Turkey, which means that war expenditure has been incurred by her in that country. She will, therefore, have very little to claim before the Reparations Commission, as her nationals have suffered no damage) shall be entrusted with preparing these reforms and organising supervision by the Powers on the following bases:—

(a.) The Inter-Allied Commission of Control shall have authority over the Ottoman currency and with currency. The Ottoman Budget and all financial laws shall be submitted to it for approval before being laid before the Ottoman Parliament.

The Commission shall be charged with all currency questions and shall supervise all State payments.

The Administration of the Ottoman Public Debt, which has shown itself of integrity and usefulness and which presents the interests of foreign holders, shall have its powers extended and shall be entrusted with the collection of taxes. For this purpose it shall be attached to the Turkish Ministry for Finance and shall be given instructions by the Inter-Allied Commission. It will be difficult to alter the present rules and conditions of the Ottoman Public Debt, seeing that the result of an agreement between its creditors and the Ottoman Government made with the approval and sanction of the Powers; it would be impossible to alter the revenues at present granted. It will in all probability have its principal offices at Constantinople.

(b.) *Powers.*—Administrative control will be assured by the presence of representatives (English, French, Italian), whose counter-signature will be necessary, in each Ministerial Department (except the purely political ones, such as the Grand Vizierate and Foreign Affairs). The controllers will meet in council twice a month under the presidency of the Grand Vizier to examine administrative and financial questions.

(c.) *Protection of Minorities.*—The particular rights of the numerous populations of different race and religion scattered in Asia Minor will be guaranteed by a special treaty framed in accordance with the principles adopted in the Treaties for the Protection of Minorities (Greece, Armenia, Bulgaria, Rumania, Serbia, etc.), but adapted to the special needs of Turkey.

This treaty will establish for these populations the right to preserve their civil and religious status, their schools and hospital establishments, and even if this means that their jurisdiction so far as concerns civil and religious matters is separated from civil and religious status, the powers of the State will be preserved. The proposed treaty is proposed.

The exercise of these rights will be guaranteed by the international control of Turkish reform and by the intervention of the League of Nations, which must be carefully defined.

#### 6. *Situation of Europeans.*

(a.) *Greek Occupation of Smyrna.*—The Greek troops have occupied Smyrna in consequence of the decision of the Supreme Council, which was due to the disorder and abuses which reigned there, and must only leave the town gradually.

It will be necessary, while respecting the nominal Turkish sovereignty and assuring full liberty of commerce in this port of exit which is so important in Anatolia, to assure a special position for the Greeks in the administration of the town of Smyrna. This port will be constituted a free port under Inter-Allied control. Greece will receive a predominant part in the exercise of military and judicial control in the sanjak of Smyrna, with the exception of the financial control established for the whole of Turkey, which must not be subdivided.

On the other hand, Greece should receive compensation in Europe by the attribution of a frontier of the State of Constantinople, perhaps even up to the line of Tchistalya, and of a Commission.

The Greeks would in addition have a share in the governing Commission of Constantinople (in the case of a separate State being constituted there) and will receive doubtless the Dodecanese and Cyprus.

(b.) *Italian Occupation of Southern Anatolia (Samsun, Adalia, Konya).*—The Italian occupation of Southern Anatolia has been summoned there by the Allies, and have, on the contrary, called forth written observations by the British, American, and French Governments, and a refusal by the Italian Government to recognise the legitimacy of the occupation.

The withdrawal of these troops will, in addition, be easily obtained, as well as that of the Greek troops, in consequence of the organisation of the Ottoman State of Turkey in Asia and the control exercised over it by the Great Powers.

The Italians, who have made an agreement with the Greeks for the return of the Dodecanese, and who will have a share in the governing Commission of the Ottoman Empire, as well as in the financial, judicial, and military control of the Ottoman Empire, will receive as compensation for the withdrawal of their soldiers a right of priority over all commercial enterprises in Southern Anatolia as well as in a Franco-Italian agreement for the coal mines of the Ottoman Empire.

1. French occupation in Syria-Cilicia, which is the result of an Anglo-French agreement and of decisions of the Conference, implies for the French Government the possession of the pass of the Taurus, the historical defence of Syria. The French Government is disposed to organise the administration of Cilicia in such a way as to ensure to the Turkish majority of the population, as well as to the Armenian minority, their rights and the largest measure of protection.

### (C.)—Armenia.

Armenia will be constituted a completely independent republic under the high protection of the League of Nations.

It will be composed essentially by the reunion of the Russian Armenian Republic (which comprises about 1,500,000 Armenians) and of the former Turkish Armenia where it will be necessary to bring back the greatest possible number of the Armenians who have been driven from their homes.

in Persia, in Bulgaria, &c. In this way there will by degrees be reconstituted in reasonable limits what was formerly the Kingdom of Great Armenia; it may be remarked, that of all the States which are endeavouring to establish control on the Russian frontier, an independent Armenia is that which the Russians are most prepared to recognise.

The Council of the League of Nations will be charged to take action to give to the Republic of Armenia the assistance in relation to supplies and money, and in particular the American public, by interesting them in the fate of Armenia. The Council will also be charged to send detachments, arms necessary for the maintenance of the Republic, which might be organised by officers supplied by the Allies, under the direction of the League of Nations, which would act by means of voluntary detachments.

Since the territory of Armenia has no direct access to the sea, or at least does not include any port, the relations of the new State to the other countries will be assured by transit conventions between Armenia and the States which surround it, and by the establishment of Batoum as an independent free city, under the guardianship of the League of Nations. This constitution, similar to that of Danzig, would guarantee to Armenia and to the Republic of Georgia and Azerbaijan the use of the port of Batoum.

Armenia would be directly connected with this port, which is its natural outlet, by being linked up to the Tauris-Iyouda-t-hakataki-Bouddachine Railway by a branch line between Tauris, over the mountain chains of Northern Persia, and the Black Sea. Armenia would also be connected with Constantinople, towards which its valleys was directed in the nineteenth century by the linking up of the line from Erzeroum to Angora, and with the Mediterranean by a branch line towards Adalia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

It has been considered of attaching the Greek province of Pontus to Armenia, which would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin. This line would be connected with the line from Bagdad by Diarbekir and Mardin.

[170895]

No. 30.

Dear Admiral Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 15.)

Constantinople, January 13, 1920

13 despatch No. 13 of 3rd January.

Parliament was opened at very short notice on 12th January.

Sultan pleaded indisposition and did not attend.

About seventy-five deputies were present.

Speech of Mustafa Kemal, which refers to increased difficulty and agitations produced by Greek aggression at Smyrna, which is inseparable portion of Empire. Remainder of speech dwells on troublesome nature of situation; wickedness of those who involved Turkey in general war, and innocence of military authorities; difficulty of return to normal conditions in view of unprecedented duration of armistice, and occupation of further territory by Allies during armistice; right of nation to continued political existence in spite of defeat in the war, need for united effort and for fundamental reforms, guarantees for rights of minorities and importance of utmost economy in finance.

Copy of speech will be sent by bag.

United States High Commissioner was one of spectators.

(Repeated to Athens.)



[170159 ME 58]

No. 1

*The Political Resident, Aden.**My Lord,**(No. C 12.)**Foreign Office, January 15, 1920*

YOUR telegram No. 2 of 11 January.

Although whole question of assistance to Georgia and Azerbaijan is still under consideration by Cabinet and Paris Supreme Council, I think it right to warn you that it is very doubtful whether any military assistance whatever will be given, or whether the sending of help to Daghestan can be "authorised" in the sense of the Powers assuming responsibility for the consequences. You should therefore avoid giving any encouragement to the republics to take aggressive action against either Denikin or the Bolsheviks.

Please repeat to Tehran, Constantinople and Mr. Mackinder

[171711 ME 44 A]

No. 32

*The Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon. — (Received January 19 1920)**(No. C 12.)**My Lord,**Aden, December 31, 1919*

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of my letter, dated the 31st December, 1919, to his Excellency the High Commissioner for the

*I have, &c**J. M. STEWART, Major-General.*

Enclosure in No. 32

*The Political Resident, Aden, to the High Commissioner Cairo.**(No. 32.)**My dear Field Marshal,**Aden, December 31, 1919.*

THE following is a summary of news received since the despatch of my letter dated the 18th December, 1919. —

*Imam.*

Sheikh Hassan Hibatullah of Dhabara in Haras, in the Imam's territory, arrived at Hadramaut en route for Surat, India. Major Meek gathered from him the following. —

There are 1,000 Imamite troops in Saafan and 600 in Munnakha, above the Wadi Har. Two Shafai tribes of Shafan, Madwal and At Tarsaf, have refused passage to the Imam's troops, who were moving down to oblige the Shafan tribes to pay their taxes. The Imam wants to take Bajil, but has not the power. The Imam's power came from his father and is dwindling. The people follow him only so long as he keeps a semblance of power, but would overthrow him if opportunity offered. There is much oppression from the Imam's amils, who abuse their powers. The Imam wants to have Hadramaut and the Tehama. People generally dislike the Imam but have no one else to put in his place. He cannot keep his place long, and the country will be split up into independent sections.

2. With reference to the above, there is no question of the Imam's power largely, I think, on the decline. He is very aggressive at present in all fronts, and especially in the Aden Protectorate, and it is possible that it is his intention to assert himself before the proposed settlement of the boundaries of Arabian chiefs.

*Idris.*

3. Captain Fitzhald n, liaison medical officer with the Idrisi, has returned from leave and proceeded to Jizan. He writes that the intrigues of the King of the Hedjaz with Hasan-bin-Aidh have failed signally. The latter, who received a bribe of 3,000L from the King, was deposed by his tribe, and the King's agent, Sharif Abdulla Hamm, had to fly at night for his life.

A large and representative deputation from Ebha, headed by the un-aided Aidh, has arrived at Jizan to ask pardon for the latter. They are awaiting the Idrisi's reply.

4. Major Meek, Political Officer, Haras, has returned to the Idrisi at Jizan, to thank the Idrisi for his help in obtaining the release of the Jacob Mission. The meeting was most cordial, and Sayed Mustafa-al-Idrisi has offered to take Major Meek over the country in his car.

*Aden Protectorate*

5. The activity of the Zaidi troops in the Aden Protectorate continues. The following is a resumé of the news received for the last ten days.

The Zaidis proceeded again at the Shafra. The latter, headed by Sheikh Al Mulaha and others, resisted the Zaidis and killed twelve of them. The Zaidis drove the Shafra out of their dars and destroyed some of their dars. The latter left for Hahman, where they are now staying.

6. The Zaidis are still occupying the Mullahi villages of Siako, Khalla, &c. They captured the nephew of the Mullahi sheikh and his party, numbering twenty men, who were posted as pickets, disarmed them and carried away everything they could find in the villages, including powder, &c.

The Zaidis have entered the upper Yafa, but at first met with strong resistance. They suffered a few casualties. They returned with a few forces and a gun, and the Shafra were obliged to submit. The Shafra villages were pillaged. The inhabitants of a village called Al Kura fought with the Zaidis for two days. The people were led by the nakid of Maunata and put up a fierce resistance, but at last had to retire for want of ammunition. They are said to be collecting more men to resume the fighting.

The Zaidis are reported to have entered the Haushabi territory, and the Sultan

is helping those who are offering real opposition with ammunition, and am taking measures accordingly.

*Mokalla*

10. The Survey and Agricultural Mission, consisting of Messrs. Little and Heald, and two assistants, left for Mokalla on the 19th December. I have deputed Captain N. J. ... to accompany the mission on its journeys and will report on the working of the Raiti Kathiri Treaty.

At the arrival of Messrs. Little and Heald, and that no serious objections are likely to be raised to his visit to Terun, on which he will start shortly.

*Yours, &c.**J. M. STEWART*

[171712 ME 44 A]

No. 33

*The Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon. — (Received January 19.)**My Lord,**Aden, January 1, 1920*

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of my letter, dated the 1st January, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner for the

*I have, &c**J. M. STEWART, Major-General.*

The Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo.

My dear Field Marshal,  
 SINCE writing my news letter dated the 31st December, 1919, letters have been received from Upper Yafa giving details of the fighting there. I give below a resume of the letters.

Sheikhs Bulaker Ali Askar and Mahomed Mesim Askar, nakibs of Maamulla, write that, seeing that the imam's lieutenant, Mahomed bin-Yehia Abba, had ordered the Amiri country and the Mufahi villages of Upper Yafa without resistance to be occupied, the Yafas, without resistance, had crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs. The Yafas then crossed the Yafa border and were in the hands of the nakibs.

Yours, &c.  
 J. M. STEWART.

(171840)

Vice Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 19.)

(No. 45. Secret.)  
 (Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, January 17, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 25 of 19th January.

1. Removal of Jemal and Jevad has become not merely desirable, but imperative. Before receiving your telegram I was aware of existence of abundant evidence to show that whole national movement, primary object of which is to intimidate Peace Conference and prepare resistance to drastic peace terms, was again directed from Ministry of War.

On the receipt of your telegram I placed myself in communication with General Milne. He communicated to me a comprehensive statement of charges against Jemal in regard to Smyrna delinquencies, armistice, and other activities. It is group undecipherable. Allied authority and preparation. Jevad is known to be not only accomplice, but prime mover in

ent convinced me that immediate action was called for and that only question was what form it should take. My French colleague, with whom I conferred, agreed as to desirability of action, but deprecated arrest of Jemal and Jevad by Allied military authorities mainly on the ground that it would expose Allied officers interior to reprisals. We decided, after I had again consulted General Milne, that the best course would be for Allied High Commissioners to demand removal of Jemal and Jevad within twenty-four hours, on the understanding that if demand were not complied with military authorities would be prepared to act.

Advantages of this course are—

- (1) It throws onus of action on Turks in first instance.
  - (2) It reduces to a minimum danger of reprisals.
- It is essential, it will discredit importance of Pashas and national movement infinitely more than other arrests by Allies, while it will constitute firm effective warning that Allies do not mean to be trifled with.

We fully realise proposed action may provoke general Cabinet crisis, and the two Pashas may fly to Anatolia. First of these contingencies does not greatly trouble us, as present Cabinet has no real control of situation, and is consequently of no particular value to us. Second will merely add two more to numerous fomenters of disorder in Anatolia.

I set forth above at length in order to explain why I am taking action and

High Commissioner has agreed in principle to course proposed (group

Collective note to Porte is in preparation, and will be presented as soon as possible. Demand for removal will be based entirely on clear breaches of armistice.

2. I am opposed to making any "preliminary condition of peace" of kind calculated to aggravate nationalist feeling and delay negotiations. We have nearly as much interest as Turks in early conclusion of peace. It seems to me most important that all demands connected with peace settlement should be formulated simultaneously in draft treaty.

171556]

No. 35

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 18.)

(No. 47. Secret.)  
 (Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, January 17, 1920.

MY telegram No. 45 of the 17th January.

Text of collective note was agreed upon by three High Commissioners this afternoon.

[4370]

F



Note refers to previous collective note relating to Kemal's failure to comply with General Milne's instructions regarding Smyrna and his Porte's attention to further instances of improper conduct on the part of the heads of Ministry of War, as set out in enclosed schedule. It proceeds as follows:

(1) "Matter enumerated in this list is in violation of Article 20 of convention of armistice between Allied Powers and Turkey, for which the Ottoman Government is responsible. General Jevad Pasha accordingly requires Ottoman Government to remove the officers from their appointments within forty seven hours of presentation of this joint note." (End of D)

Officers enumerated in schedule are as follows:

1. Despatch of specially selected officers from Constantinople for staff of National Forces.
2. Despatch of men discharged, transferred from XIVth Army Corps to join National forces under orders from Ministry of War.
3. Secret despatch of arms to National forces proved by arrest of two officers.
4. Despatch of arms to Zambuldak to Constantinople without permission and failure for over a month to obey orders for their return.
5. Transfer of 189th Regiment from Afion Kara Hamar to Alashehr without permission. Kemal disclaimed knowledge of this, and eventually ordered return, but it was obeyed only by few details, and majority of regiment joined National forces.
6. Transfer of 174th Regiment from Bruum to Panderina without permission and failure even to notify movement until enquiry had been demanded.

We are now only waiting for military authorities to fix time for delivery which will fit in with their arrangements. This will probably be Monday morning, the 10th January.

Italian High Commissioner displayed almost unapproachable attitude. He fought particularly hard against fixing of time limit, but note was drafted. French High Commissioner is preparing French text for note. Note will be delivered by French political officer. French High Commissioner was in favour of presentation by the three High Commissioners in person, but our Italian colleague opposed this also so strenuously that we waived it.

[171843 ME 58]

No. 36

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 19.)

(No. 31 Private and Secret.)  
(Telegraphic.)

Tiflis, January 18, 1920

YOUR private and secret telegram unnumbered of 14th January. Aggression of any kind on the part of Georgia and (? Azerbaijan) seems highly improbable. Both Governments have recently publicly declared their policy of absolute neutrality in Russian (? affairs). All they desire is aid to preserve that attitude. They both realise that Bolshevism is now a cloak for Russian aggression but Daghestan is less conscious of danger from that quarter. Any utterance by His Majesty's Government with regard to North Caucasus would be very valuable at this critical moment.

(Sent to Tehran, No. 17; Constantinople, No. 21, and Mr. Mackinder, No. 13.)

[172322 ME 58]

The Earl of Derby to Lord Hardinge.—Received January 21

(No. 68.)

Paris, January 20, 1920.

FOLLOWING from Lord Curzon

"At meeting on Monday, 19th January, Supreme Council accepted principle of sending help to Transcaucasian States, Georgia, Armenia and Azerbaijan, in shape of arms, munitions of war and, if possible, food. British and French General Staffs

were instructed to consult as to means, and have telegraphed to General Milne to send an officer from Batoum to visit threatened States and report without delay as to their needs. Please instruct Wardrop and our other representatives in Caucasus to lend aid to these enquiries.

172333

Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 21.)

Constantinople, January 20, 1920

No. 45 and 47 of 17th January

Slight hitch occurred at last moment, thus delaying presentation of note for twenty-four hours, but last night my colleagues and I met again and decided to proceed as originally intended. Joint note was handed over to Grand Vizier by Political Officer at 11 A.M. to day, 20th January, in names of three High Commissioners. My colleagues and I thought it would be well to keep the Sultan apprised, and private message was therefore sent to His Majesty through intermediary immediately after delivery of note.

[172402]

No. 39.

Hear-Admiral Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 21)

(No. 61)

Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, January 20, 1920

It is reported from secret sources that a meeting of Ministers was called on 4th January to discuss report from Husein Pasha, one of two inspecting generals sent into Anatolia who had met Mustafa Kemal on 1st January. Report states following programme was decided by Cabinet on this occasion as result of understanding between Government and Mustafa Kemal:

(a) If Smyrna is given to Greece, Nationalists will declare their independence of Government and will attack Greek forces and Greek population. Government support is promised to Mustafa Kemal, and he was informed that Italian Government had promised not to interfere with Turks in their resistance to Greeks.

(b) If Constantinople is detached from Turkey Government will publish address

172322 ME 58]

Lord Hardinge to Mr. Wardrop (Tiflis).

(No. 41)

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, January 21, 1920

YOUR telegram of 18th January has accepted principle of sending help to Transcaucasian States, Georgia, Armenia and Azerbaijan, in the shape of arms, munitions of war and, if possible, food. British and French General Staffs were instructed to consult as to means, and have telegraphed to General Milne to send an officer from Batoum to visit threatened States and report without delay as to their needs.

You should lend every possible aid to these enquiries and instruct all officers under

172646 ME 58]

No. 41

Sir P. Cox to Earl Curzon.—(Received January 22)

(No. 41)

Tehran, January 21, 1920

YOUR telegram No. 27 of 15th January

I have discussed question confidentially with Cabinet

In the circumstances they agree that transfer of the Volunteer Fleet to Enzeli is probably safest solution in general interests, but they point out it involves two dangers to Persia, for coping with which they count on our assistance.

[4370]

F 2

Firstly, mere fact of Denikin's fleet being harboured in Persian waters will increase the temptation towards Persia and give them excuse of aggression on Persian coast of Caspian Sea.

Secondly, unless ships are treated as interned and disarmed, crews may at any time turn Bolshevik and use them against Persia, or may land forcibly and give trouble ashore.

If His Majesty's Government are in a position to assist Persia to guard against these contingencies, Persian Government agree to proposal, but express strong opinion that British detachment at Enzeli should be considerably strengthened.

[172442]

No. 42

Mr. Admiral Webb to Earl Curzon. — (Received January 22)

Constantinople, January 21, 1920

My dear Sir, 20th January

Late on afternoon of 20th January Minister for Foreign Affairs has addressed official letters to the Allied High Commissioners stating Minister of War and his Staff have decided in their negotiations, which have been accepted by the Staff. Before decision was taken, Minister for Foreign Affairs called on each of the High Commissioners to communicate explanation offered by Minister of War of charges enumerated in schedule referred to in my telegram No. 47 of 17th January.

For my part, I replied I was not prepared to enter into any discussion on the subject. I made it quite clear that action of High Commissioners had only been taken after their patience had been completely exhausted by repeated breaches of armistice and other signs of bad faith.

My French colleague informed me he held a similar language.

Mr. de France and I went to the fact that attack was not directed against present Cabinet as such, and that we adhered to resolution of non-interference in internal politics.

An astonishing attitude of Minister of War and his Chief of Staff towards Allies, I spoke very strongly of insolent behaviour of Turkish officers in the streets of Constantinople, behaviour which has made itself marked since Jemal and Djavad Pasha went to War Office and which, I said, was so universal that it could only be result of superior orders.

Impression I formed from armistice interview was that Minister for Foreign Affairs and Grand Vizier were extremely anxious to meet Allied wishes.

Capitulation of port indicates that they have succeeded, at any rate for the moment, in saving situation, but Cabinet has received severe shock and full results of incident will only be known when leaders of national movement here and in provinces have shown how they mean to take this rebuff.

[172978 ME 68]

No. 43

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon. — (Received January 23.)

(No. 44. Secret.)

(Telegraphic.)

MY telegram No. 3 to Foreign Office, paragraph 5

Disrespecting reports have reached me about Batoum town and province, and though I have no right to interfere, I think it my duty to inform

1. The state of militia and frontier guard;
2. The large number of Armenians, Russians and other idle refugees;
3. The intrigues of the Jews and Bolsheviks in administration and elsewhere;
4. The activity of Bolshevik emissaries generally suspected to be working with

the Bolsheviks and the Armenians and Russians.

1. The state of militia and frontier guard;

2. The large number of Armenians, Russians and other idle refugees;

3. The intrigues of the Jews and Bolsheviks in administration and elsewhere;

4. The activity of Bolshevik emissaries generally suspected to be working with

are matters which require immediate searching investigation, and I venture to suggest War Office might draw attention of Commander-in-chief.

I am repeating this to High Commissioner, Constantinople, for information of Commander-in-chief, No. 29, and to Batoum for information of Military Governor, No. 8. As regards 7, I understand an official declaration that Batoum province will not be restored to Turkey might do good, as rumours are current to that effect.

[174865 ME 58]

No. 44

Extract from Telegram from the Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon

No. 44

Paris, January 23, 1920.

THE United States Ambassador stated that he had received instructions from his Government to inform the Conference that they concurred in the decision taken by the Council to grant recognition to the Republic of Armenia, on the condition that the Council should not prejudge the question of the future frontiers.

The Armenian representative in Washington had been notified accordingly. On the other hand, while appreciating the point of view of the Allied and Associated Governments in wishing to render material assistance to Georgia and Azerbaijan, he could not but feel that the question of the recognition of these Governments as *de facto* Governments raised issues of great scope and importance. The possible effect on the minds of the Russians, hitherto friendly to the Allied and Associated Governments, may be explained by the Allied and Associated Governments and the very

173990

Summary of Events leading up to the Despatch of a Mission under Colonel [Name] to the Imam of Sanaa. (Communicated to Foreign Office, January 21.)

ON the 20th March, 1918, the Resident at Aden invited the imam to come in immediately on our side and turn the Turks out of the Yemen. He promised that if the imam were to do this his independence would be ensured, he would be supplied with the necessary munitions, the disposal of Turkish prisoners of war would be left to him, he would be financed on a pre-war Turkish scale, tribal subsidies being paid through him and not direct, and a non-Idrisi port would be opened to trade for him. The terms of our treaty with the Idrisi were explained to him at the same time.

On the 5th April 1918, the imam sent a non-committal reply, in which he stated his claims to the overlordship of the Yemen, and made a few scathing remarks about the Idrisi.

He made twelve demands, as follows:—

- 1 Supply of munitions before commencement of operations.
- 2 Establishment of his rule and independence over all the Yemen, i.e., over that part which was once under the sway of his predecessors, as also over that which was under the sway of the British Government to undertake to close access absolutely and continuously to all, whoever they might be, who propose to make aggression in the Yemen.
- 3 His Majesty's Government to pay the same subsidy which the Turks used to pay all sums to pass through the imam's hands.
- 4 His Majesty's Government to have no direct dealings with any of the people of the Yemen, except the Sultan of Lahej.
- 5 Despatch of armaments.
- 6 Security for Yemen merchants in British dominions.
- 7 Prohibition of import of spirituous liquors, wanton pastimes, and all munitions except those intended for his use.
- 8 None but Moslem merchants to be allowed in the Yemen except with his permission.
- 9 Immediate opening of a port, e.g., Hodeidah or Mokha.
- 10 No interference.
- 11 Prisoners of war to remain at his disposal.
- 12 Recovery of debts due to him by the Turkish Government.



1925-18.

On the 10th September Sir Reginald Wingate proposed to reply to these demands as follows:-

- 1, 3, 9, and 11 To repeat undertaking given in the letter of the 20th March
- 5, 6, 7, 8, and 12 To give temporising replies
- 2, 4, and 10 (a) His Majesty's Government to reaffirm their willingness to ensure his independence in the Yemen without prejudice to their prerogative rights and their obligations to the British and stipendiary chiefs
- (b) His Majesty's Government to disclaim any wish to intervene in internal affairs of the country provided that the interests of British subjects would be adequately safeguarded there
- (c) His Majesty's Government to propose that, in return for the assistance, the imam should undertake not to enter into treaty relations with, nor to alienate territory, nor grant concessions to a foreign Power without their consent
- (d) The imam to be asked to send an envoy with fuller powers to treat and exact knowledge of his requirements in money and material

On the 25th September this proposed reply was approved, subject to the following considerations:-

- 1 Arms not to be supplied until more satisfactory guarantees have been received that they would be used against the Turks
- 2 Imam to be told frankly that His Majesty's Government could not agree to his second and fourth proposals

On the 14th October Sir Reginald Wingate reported that the proposed letter to the imam was being held up in view of the change in the Aden political situation. He also brought up the question of the amount of the proposed subsidy.

On the 31st October an armistice was concluded with the Turkish Government.

On the 20th November a report was received that the imam was obstructing the evacuation of Turkish troops from the Yemen on the ground that the Turkish Government owed him large sums for the upkeep of Turkish troops during the war.

On the 8th January a report was received that the imam was sending a deputation to Aden, and on the 15th January the General Officer Commanding, Aden, reported that his envoys had been sent back on the ground that they had presented letters to the French, American, and Italian representatives, and that this proceeding constituted a misuse of envoys.

On the 21st February a letter was received from the imam to His Majesty the King, in which he begged that his independence and rights of sovereignty in the Yemen might be recognised.

This letter had been handed in at Aden by the imam's envoys.

On the 6th March the Italian Delegation in Paris informed Mr. Balfour that the imam had asked for representation at the Peace Conference, and asked what answer should be returned. They were told that as the question of representation had been finally disposed of there could be no question of complying. Mr. Balfour impressed upon the Foreign Office the necessity for pressing on negotiations to bring the imam definitely into our orbit, and Sir M. Cheetham was instructed accordingly.

On the 11th March Sir M. Cheetham expressed the opinion that the imam's financial straits offered a hope that he might be induced to make a reasonable and durable agreement. He recommended the continued occupation by His Majesty's Government of Hudaidah, and perhaps Mocha, coupled with support of the Idrisi at Loheya.

On the 14th March it was suggested to Sir Milne Cheetham that, in view of the disappearance of Turkish rule in South-West Arabia, the general policy of His Majesty's Government towards the imam and the Idrisi should be reconsidered, and the system of subsidising small sheikhs should be discontinued.

On the 21st March Sir M. Cheetham reported that he had received a letter from the imam deprecating the summary dismissal of his envoys, expressing a wish to maintain his old-standing friendship with us, and asking for a recognition of his territorial and financial claims against the Turks.

On the 28th March Sir M. Cheetham was instructed to authorise the Resident at Aden to inform the imam that the question of his representation at the Peace Conference had been finally disposed of and that territorial questions were under consideration.

On the 27th March the Foreign Office pointed out to Sir M. Cheetham that the

proposal to bring pressure to bear on the imam by blockade measures. The imam objected to the Idrisi occupying Loheya, and it was thought unwise to take any step which appeared to prejudge the issue between the imam and the Idrisi. The suggestion was made that Colonel Jacob should be sent to ascertain the imam's views as a preliminary measure.

On the 10th April General Allenby telegraphed that he was in entire agreement

that a treaty should be formulated with the imam especially excluding boundary questions. A treaty was being drafted and would be telegraphed shortly for royal approval. General Allenby's reply was based on the assumption that Great Britain was to be given a mandate for Arabia. This point was referred to Paris.

On the 14th April General Allenby reported that in his opinion His Majesty's Government should endeavour to avoid permanent occupation of any points outside the present Aden protectorate, with the possible exception of Salih.

He also gave his views as to the boundaries between the imam and the Idrisi.

On the 16th April General Allenby telegraphed the outline of a draft treaty, by which His Majesty's Government were

- 1 To reaffirm willingness to ensure imam's independence throughout Yemen without prejudice to present British protégés
- 2 To deal with him alone within the Yemen, provided his rule is just and salubrious to his subject
- 3 To prevent import of liquor and harmful drugs.
- 4 To allow the Yemen free trade by sea as soon as military exigencies permit
- 5 To have all harbour and railway concessions
- 6 Not to be liable for continuance of old Turkish stipends nor for settlement of Turkish debts

while the imam was:-

- 1 To guarantee religious freedom of all his subjects
- 2 To conduct relations with the British Government alone.
- 3 Not to lease, sell, or mortgage any part of the Yemen to other Powers or Government.
- 4 To have no foreign troops in his service without the consent of His Majesty's Government.
- 5 To put the question of frontiers in the hands of a Commission, at which all concerned would be represented.
- 6 Both the imam and His Majesty's Government to have official agents—the imam at Aden, His Majesty's Government both at the imam's Court and at such of his ports as they deemed necessary.
- 7 To arrange, where circumstances permitted, that goods for the imam's personal use and for British Government official needs be allowed to pass customs free of duty.

Finally, His Majesty's Government were to pay the imam a subsidy to enable him to carry out his obligations.

On the 25th April General Allenby proposed that Colonel Jacob should be sent immediately to negotiate with the imam, and on the 28th April he requested that a reply might be expedited as it was essential that matters should be carried through before the 1st June. He was informed on the 1st May that definite instructions could not be given until a reply was received from Paris.

On the 6th May General Allenby proposed that negotiations should be postponed until the position of His Majesty's Government as regards Arabia was clearly defined and on the 7th May a reply was received from Mr. Balfour from which it was clear that His Majesty's Government were not to demand a mandate for Arabia, but that efforts were to be made with a view to their special position in the peninsula being recognised by the High Contracting Parties.

The Foreign Office accordingly referred General Allenby's proposal for the postponement of negotiations to Mr. Balfour on the 13th May and proposed to concur.

On the 17th May Mr. Balfour replied that there appeared to be some advantage to be gained by expediting the conclusion of a treaty with the imam, as, if and when

the question of Arabia came to be discussed by the Peace Conference, His Majesty's Government might be in a stronger position if they had by that time concluded a treaty with that ruler. He was content however to leave the date of Colonel Jacob's mission to Lord Curzon's and General Allenby's discretion.

The Foreign Office informed General Allenby of this decision on the 24th May and expressed the opinion that it would be well to defer the final conclusion of the proposed treaty until after the peace settlement. They thought, however, that it would be well to open negotiations forthwith, both to strengthen the British case at the Peace Conference and to reassure the main. They decided that Colonel Jacob should be despatched forthwith to open preliminary discussions.

On the 26th May Lord Allenby replied that Colonel Jacob was in any case unlikely to accomplish anything during the month of Ramadhan (June), and that Colonel Jacob himself was convinced that it would not be possible to come to any understanding with the imam until the position of His Majesty's Government vis-à-vis Arabian rulers was clearly defined. He made alternative proposals that a committee of delegates from Arab rulers should be formed in Cairo, and that Colonel Jacob should first visit London and Paris. The Foreign Office pointed out finally that there was no prospect of obtaining an early settlement of the Arabian question in Paris. The object of Colonel Jacob's mission was to secure a definite understanding with the Imam, and that he should reassure him as to the policy of His Majesty's Government, and inform him that they felt bound to recognise the Imam. Inaction might result in a conflict between the Imam and the Idrisi, which, taken in conjunction with the recent outbreak of hostilities between King Hussein and Ibn Saud might have serious results.

The formation of the proposed committee was for the time rendered impracticable in view of the strained relations between King Hussein and Ibn Saud and the despatch of experienced political officers to the imam and the Idrii was considered the best policy, provided that it could be safely and expeditiously carried out. Colonel Jacob should accordingly be despatched to the imam if the latter expressed his readiness to receive him, and Captain Clayton should at the same time be sent to the Idrii.

On the 13th June General Allenby reported that the two officers were ready to proceed. He pointed out that by their treaty of 1915 with the Idriai His Majesty's Government were bound to use every diplomatic means in their power to adjudicate between the Imam and the Idriai at the conclusion of the war.

On the 22nd June General Allenby suggested that in order to ensure a good reception for Colonel Jacob His Majesty the King should write a letter to the imam, and that the latter should be informed that this was being done.

On the 7th July the Foreign Office concurred in a proposal made in a despatch of the 19th June from General Allenby that Colonel Jacob should proceed to England ~~to~~ <sup>for</sup> the conclusion of his negotiations. They presumed that he clearly understood that no actual treaty was to be signed, and added that he should be particularly careful to make no promise that a subsidy would be paid to the man

On the 17th July a letter was despatched to the Imam by His Majesty the King in which the object of Colonel Jacob's mission was explained.

On the 3rd August General Allenby reported that a letter had been received from the man in which he stated that he would receive Colonel Jacob with special distinction. He would despatch officials and cavalry to Hodeidah as escort if he were given early notice of Colonel Jacob's arrival.

The mission left Aden for Hodidah on the 14th August, and proceeded on the 25th August to Bajal where the imam's escort was to meet them. They were escorted from Hodidah to Bajal by two sheikhs of the Quhra tribe.

On the 31st August Colonel Jacob reported that he and his mission were practically prisoners at Bajul having been detained by the Qulira sheikhs. The Imam's agent and escort were said to be similarly detained.

After protracted negotiations, the misetob were finally released on the 13th December and returned to Aden.

H W Y

(No. 74.)

(T. graphica)

Constantinople, January 25, 1920

MINISTER for Foreign Affairs called on me on 26th January and said that, in consequence of the news which were being circulating in Constantinople, it was intended to bring here division of Greek troops to maintain order. Turkish Government could not in any way vouch for accuracy of information, but thought it their duty to draw attention to *[sic]* my colleagues and myself to report, as such importation of Greek troops could not fail to produce consequences even more deleterious than those of Smyrna occupation.

Minister for Foreign Affairs said Grand Vuxier had also heard that Greek troops in Thrace were making ready to leave and were saying openly that they would be replaced by others, as they themselves were being sent to Constantinople.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the above mentioned matter. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the above mentioned matter. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the above mentioned matter.

Turkish Government has been informed that the Greek troops would be withdrawn from the smallest grounds for supporting the Greek troops would be withdrawn from the smallest grounds for any reason before announcement of peace terms. It is worth noting that the Greek Government most strongly Minister for Foreign Affairs' view that consequences would be considered.

As regards Greeks on railway, I understand my French colleague has explained to M. [redacted] Foreign Affairs that only change is that Greek troops hitherto scattered [redacted] collected in two or three stations where they are more

3

[174130]

No. 47.

*Rear-Admiral Webb to Earl Curzon — (Received January 28.)*

(No. 17. Confidential.)

British High Commission,

My Lord,

Constantinople, January 4, 1910

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith copy of a report submitted to me as Commander-in-chief by Commander H. C. Luke, R. N. V. . . . Officer on the staff of the Naval Commander-in-chief, on the effects of Bolshevism on the British Empire.

2. I also enclose a memorandum by M. J. [redacted] dated [redacted] regarding Luke's report.

3. I bring these two papers to your Lordship's attention in view of the experience of the writers.

I have, &c

RICHARD WEBB,

Acting High Commissioner,

Enclosure 1 in No. 47

Commander Luke to Rear-Admiral Webb.

Submitted:

"Iron Duke" at Constantinople.

December 25, 1919

THE recent Bolshevik successes are likely to give an impetus to what is, in submitted, one of the most important of Bolshevik aims, namely, to turn the Moslem world against the British Empire.

[4370]

C



supported, he should prove a valuable stumbling-block to the Bolsheviks' *Demarch Ostin*.

H. C. LUKE, Commander, U. S. V. R.,

Memorandum by Mr. Ryan.

8. Commander Lake mentions two other specific measures of conciliation, willingness to Ahmed El-Senussi and early recognition of Azerbaijan. I cannot speak confidently of either. We are awaiting a memorandum from Cairo as to the recent history of the Senussi and can then judge better. In the meantime, I do not think

9. I mistrust Azerbaijan politicians profoundly and dislike the idea of giving Azerbaijan any preferential treatment in the matter of recognition. I feel that for a long time to come an independent Azerbaijan will tend to gravitate towards Turkey. I think that pending a general settlement our attitude towards Azerbaijan should be one of amiable reserve.

1174487 ME 44 A

No. 15

*Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.*—(Received January 29.)

Aden, January 14, 1921

I have, &c.

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 48

[illegible]

1941-1942

Major Meek writes that Abdul Kadir, son of Shuen Pasha, has come back from Tain, where he held an official post. According to him, the sheikhs of the Tain district would rid themselves of the imam if any means offered. The trouble is that the Shi'ite tribes have no leader, and that every man is against every man. In Sam, people praise the imam, but in their hearts they hate him. Money and disloyalty are given short shrift.

Abdul Kadir heard nothing of the imam's intention to obtain the whole Aden or protectorate, and says the imam has taken Dalu because he has always claimed it.

Recently an agent of the Political Officer, Pondicherry, visited Sana. While he was there one of the imam's lieutenants, Sharif Abdallah al-Domani, left Sana with two bulaks (companions) of the imam's soldiers and one gun in the direction of Mevakha.

The agent says that taxes for the imam at the rate of 10½ per cent. are levied on the peasants and animals, and that great activity is shown in their recovery. Peasants are oppressed by the imam's treatment, but they fear him. They are ready to rise against him if they are given money and encouraged.

The agent states that his enquiries show that the imam is not really pleased at the release of the mission, though he pretends otherwise. He is suspected of having had a hand in their detention. The agent says that he cannot say what Mahmud Nudbin's intentions are, but he has heard that he has fallen out with the imam. His house at Sana is kept under surveillance.

The agent states that twelve cavalry and eight mules have left to escort him back to Sana from Hajil, and that it is publicly known in Sana that he had been the chief cause of the detention of the mission.

People in Sana are alarmed at the report that aeroplanes have gone to Malban. This report is of course incorrect.

It is not reports the arrival of a deputation at Sana from King Hussein. It is believed that the aim of this deputation is to form an alliance between King Hussein and the Imam against Ibn Saud.

I have now to state that any alliance is aimed against the Idrisi as against Ibn Saud only. Dham Dham and Dham, who is the Idrisi, arrived at the Idrisi with a letter from the assistant British agent there, and left for the Idrisi. He was accompanied by the Idrisi, and three followers. While here, the Idrisi stated that the Idrisi was going to Sanaa was to bring about a better understanding between King Idrisi and the Idrisi.

The agent also reports the arrival of a Saavid-as-Shahoodi from Constantinople. I am making inquiries about him and his mission in Sana.

From news received from another source, Joseph B. [redacted] states that he has information  
that [redacted] visits the imam every night, when matters are  
[redacted]. The latter visits the imam every night, when matters are  
[redacted].

One of the Germans in Zanzibar, S. K. M. B., has written to me about the people. The people are delighted at the news of the expedition and have moved to the for-  
ward direction.

It is reported to have taken place at Wazirabad. Majority of the people the outcasts of Zahed is in league with the Zairanika.

the outskirts of Zahed is in league with the Zairanis.  
A column of British troops from Hyderabad to the effect that on the 19th December  
a column of men set fire to a village of Wad Han and then advanced against Al-Tam  
and Madawala along the Qubra border. After a fight lasting three hours the place  
was occupied, the enemy killed, about forty being taken. The enemy's men th  
advanced and occupied Ban Saad after a few hours. The casualties here  
were heavy on both sides. Indian soldiers are reported to have captured and  
among the people of those places after their capture, having women  
choking and burning alive blind persons.

children and burning alive blind persons.  
I [redacted] that Mahmud Nadim, who left Hajl on the 19th December,  
accompanied by Syed Abdul Kadir and also [redacted], Mahmud Zaid Yoku  
[redacted] has been detained at Olal by the shukhs on receiving the  
above news. They have now declined to [redacted]  
who was apparently leaving with Mahmud Nadim. The shukhs accused the ex-  
[redacted] of treachery in getting the mission released as immediately  
[redacted]

saying that they had done certain wrongs at the hands of the British Government but  
they persisted in detaining the emissaries. Mahmud Nall took upon himself to  
prevent the imam from advancing into the Quana territory if the sheikhs promise  
to allow the Idjaws men to enter their territory. He warned them that the imam was  
the one who could destroy their country totally.

Sherikh Ismail Bagshaw wrote to the British Consul at Aden on the 11th inst. regarding the recent conferences at Al Tarnf, Al Ma-lawela and Bani Saad, and requested the British Government to take steps to stop the man's advance on the Qahra. The British Consul replied to Sherikh Ismail Bagshaw by the Qahra sheikhs with Colonel [redacted] and to the man a polite letter asking him to withdraw from the Qahra. He has also informed Sherikh

The strength of the Idrisi's force at these places is 700 all told with two guns. A reinforcement of 70 men left on the 2nd instant accompanied by the Qubra sheikh, fighting continues.

Inman's soldiers are said to be collecting at Bara for the capture of Marawa. Fighting continues.



Major Meek says that Sheikh Sahel Ali told him on several occasions that he wanted British protection, and, failing that, he was for the Idri. Sahel Ali is a butler of Suleiman Bakheit, the other sheikh of the Abuos, who has been acting all along with the Qubra, and it is possible that his personal animosity may have driven him to

the Idri. Sahel Ali's forces at Menak'ia. The chief of Aus, to summon his tribes to join his forces.

It is reported that a detachment of thirty men stationed at Bahib by the Idri hindered some of the imani's petty traders who were going with goods from Baji. The commandant of the detachment has been warned by Syed Abdul Kadir not to interfere with the trade route, and asked to return the loot.

Syed Abdul Kadir sent Sharif Hamdan and Mahomed Bahk to him to send his troops to protect the Qubra. At the same time to confirm Sheikh Abu Hadi, who has been unanimously elected the sheikh as amir of the district of Baji.

#### Aden Protectorate

News comes in that the Zendis have asked the Ahl Haiman, a clan nominally under Amir of Dala, to make submission. The sheikhs of the place have collected their arms and have started fighting with the Zendis. The news is not confirmed, but one of the sheikhs has written to me asking for assistance in arms and ammunition. I am sending him two cases of ammunition to enable him to co-operate with the Amir of Dala.

The Amir of Dala has gone to Haiman to try and get the people against the Zendis, and I have given him arms and ammunition among those who are ready to fight the Zendis.

Amir Naur bin Sharf reports his arrival at Al Rabwa and that he is engaged in collecting men. He asks for supplies of rice, jowari, dates, &c., for his tribesmen who support his cause.

The nakids of Mansatta are being sent 10,000 rounds of ammunition and assistance amounting to 500 rupees to enable them to continue their fight with the Zendis. They are said to be collecting men in Yafa and are expected to return shortly.

Two cases of ammunition and 300 rupees are being sent to the Mufahi sheikh as an assistance to enable him to resist the Zendis who have occupied his villages.

The Hadram sheikh is being sent a case of ammunition through one of his relatives in order that he may co-operate with the Mansatta, &c., in the defence of his respective country.

Sheikh Salim Saleh, the Duli, paid a visit to this residency and asked for assistance in arms and ammunition, &c. He was given 300 rupees and 10,000 rounds of ammunition and told that he should go and show his resistance to the Zendis by co-operating with the Mansatta, &c.

The Shami sheikhs, headed by Sheikh Saleh Salim of Al Malatia, paid a visit to this residency and asked for assistance in ammunition, &c., to enable them to go and resist the Zendis. They have been given five cases of ammunition and 300 rupees for this purpose.

No news of fresh occurrences have been received of late, but everyone in the district reports that he is collecting men and asks for assistance.

According to one Hamood Moham, a merchant of Dala, the imam's lieutenants who led the attack on Upper Yafa are

1. Sheikh Hamood-al-Dul'i-al-Bakih (of Bakih tribe). He commands 400 men.
2. Sheikh Ak'al Abdi of Hushal.
3. Ibn Mear Nohmi of the tribe of Nihm.

When they attacked Shaib, No. 1 had under him 200 men, No. 2, 300; and No. 3, 200. Their losses are stated to be 60, 70, and 40 men respectively.

Hamood Moham says that No. 1 is his friend and a man worthy of respect. He is said to be willing to give up fighting for the imam and come to Aden. There are others who would do the same, but are afraid of being imprisoned by us here.

Yours, &c.

J. M. STEWART

174582 ME 58.

No. 49

Received January 23.

Tiflis, January 23, 1920

Mr. M. ... is leaving to-day for Erivan, has just paid farewell visit to ... Affairs have been satisfactory, and he believes that Azerbaijan Government wishes to keep out Turkish and Bolshevik intrigue. Any controversial territorial question can be decided by commission of five neutrals plus one from each country interested.

Armenian Prime Minister told me conference here with Georgian President and Minister for Foreign Affairs on Bolshevik danger had resulted in agreement to resistance to Bolshevik aggression from outside, and to erect repressive measures at home with all groups omitted, and as spring approaches, when moving rains and flecks move to mountain pastures, danger increases. He begged me to put on your Localship urgency of settlement of Armenian question.

A ... millionaires called on his Excellency and said they were anxious to ... country, but could not do so till they had some political situation ... security. Armenia would be glad to accept British mandate or ... I replied I had not received hint of any such intention from His Majesty's Government.

Kurd and Armenian generally are working harmoniously, and Chief Pascha must have been deceived [group undecipherable] or Paris would not have maintained him; he, however, has no connection with Russian Kurds.

(Addressed to General Keves, No. 19; Constantinople, No. 30; and Tehran, No. ...)

174578 ME 58)

No. 50.

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon. (Received January 20)

I ... evening from Baku and leave to-morrow for ...

Tiflis on 21st January ... and new leaders of all parties ... grandly speeches in public, and party ... attitude, which was, I am informed privately, ... convention has rallied all responsible nationalist ... the mountaineers. Turkish ... show desire to merit dominancy of His Majesty's Government. If Allies ... Turkey are not too harsh, we ought not to have no difficulties with ... Only serious danger in Baku seems to be from Bolsheviks in case of ... Government assured me they have situation under control.

I ... I repeatedly made strong representations to Prime Minister and Minister ... Foreign Affairs, with whom I daily spent three to four hours, begging them to ... will try to avoid trouble. Last night Azerbaijan Prime Minister and Minister ... Foreign Affairs both went to banquet given to me by Armenian representative, ... side speeches friendly to Armenia.

German Commissioner in Baku gave me material assistance during my stay, and is very valuable helper, as he is highly respected.

Chief object of my visit to Erivan is to put strong pressure on Armenian Prime Minister to withdraw from dangerous region ... I have already telegraphed to his Excellency that, if Armenian Government does not cease aggression, I shall be forced to recommend His Majesty's Government to ... them.

(Sent to Tehran, No. 29, and Constantinople, No. 38.)

No. 51.

(No. 277.)

Paris, February 2, 1944

I have, &c  
DEBBY

Note communicated by French Ministry for Foreign Affairs.

Ministère des Affaires Étrangères, Paris.  
le 31 janvier, 1921

No. 52

(No. 9.)

*Poria February 2, 1920.*

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith to your Lordship a copy of a note by Mr. Fountain, of the Board of Trade, covering a series of draft articles and memoranda relative to the eventual economic clauses of the Treaty of Peace with Turkey. These documents are the result of unofficial discussions with the French, Italian, and Japanese representatives, which have been proceeding since the arrival of the British Delegation in Paris. These results cannot, of course, be regarded as final, but in any case an extent of ground has thus been covered which may eventually save much time. It has also become clear on what points the difference of view is likely to be most acute. The British Delegation cannot modify its view as regard to State

I have, &c.  
R. G. VANSITTART

Note by Mr. Fountain.

to between the new Governments and the concessionaires. They would no doubt be prepared ultimately to give up this position in favor of some arrangement for arbitration, but they consider that any arbitration must be based on the general legal doctrine that the new State succeeds in principle to all the obligations of the old



ly adopted. This document is marked "I understand that, so far as the French Ministry of Commerce is concerned, the principles embodied in this document are regarded as acceptable, but of course they raise political questions of some importance. I probably not absolutely safe to assume that the French Government, as a whole, are prepared to accept them."

A.

## SECTION 1

Article 1<sup>er</sup>. Le régime capitulaire résultant des traités, en vigueur au 1<sup>er</sup> août 1914, est rétabli au bénéfice des Puissances qui en bénéficiaient directement avant le 1<sup>er</sup> août 1914; le bannissement en sera étendu aux Puissances alliées et associées signataires du présent traité qui n'en jouissaient pas au 1<sup>er</sup> août 1914.

(Note à l'article 1<sup>er</sup> — La Commission économique estime qu'un article devrait être inséré prévoyant qu'en attendant l'institution d'un nouveau régime judiciaire dont le seul pourrait être rédigé sous l'autorité du Conseil de la Ligue des Nations par une Commission des Représentants des Puissances alliées et neutres, la juridiction consulaire devait être provisoirement rattachée au Turquin. Toute Puissance qui n'avait point de tribunaux consulaires en Turquie à la date du 1<sup>er</sup> août 1914 n'est admise à conclure des accords avec l'une quelconque des Puissances qui, à la même date, possédaient des tribunaux consulaires, afin que les procès intéressant des nationaux de ces Puissances (2<sup>e</sup>) consulaires, relativement à la juridiction consulaire puissent être portés devant un tribunal de l'autre Puissance contractante.)

3. La Turquie renonce expressément à tous droits de souveraineté " sur les territoires qu'elle occupe, sur les musulmans soumis à la souveraineté

du 25 avril 1907, relative aux droits d'importation en  
en vigueur en faveur de toutes les Puissances alliées et associées  
du présent traité, et de toutes les autres Puissances qui, à la date du  
du régime capitulaire

N. .... In Commission financière\* établie en exécution du présent traité pour, à tout moment, au cas d'une modification à ces droits d'importation

Toute Puissance alliée ou associée signataire du présent traité pourra, dans les

\* On a suggéré dans cette classe et dans la classe suivante, qu'une organisation sera établie par les Africains pour le contrôle des finances tunisiennes et pour conseiller le Tadjik au point de vue financier. L'Association des "Arabes du Sahara" a été choisie pour diriger cette organisation.

par une majorité des deux tiers de ses membres, la modification proposée par la Commission financière entrera en vigueur six mois après que l'opposition aura été introduite devant le Conseil.

[illegible]

La Commission financière aura également le droit d'autoriser l'application de toutes prohibitions à l'importation ou à l'exportation qui apparaîtraient nécessaires dans

L'Union allée ou associée, signataire du présent traité, pourra, dans les trois mois qui suivront la notification qui aura été faite des taxes et prestations, introduire son opposition auprès du Conseil de la Ligue des Nations. Si le Conseil de la Ligue des Nations ne fait pas droit à cette opposition par une majorité des deux tiers de ses membres, la modification proposée par la Commission financière entrera en vigueur six mois après l'opposition qui aura été introduite devant le Conseil.

Article 8. Voir article 273 du traité avec l'Allemagne.  
Article 9. Voir article 273 du traité avec l'Allemagne.  
Article 10. Voir article 273 du traité avec l'Allemagne.

## SECTION II

Article 282 du traité avec l'Allemagne avec substitution aux conventions indiquées dans ce texte des conventions suivantes:

En ce texte des conventions suivantes :

1. Convention du 14 mars 1884, du 1<sup>er</sup> décembre 1886, du 23 mars 1887, le protocole final du 7 juillet 1887 sous la protection des câbles sous-marins.
2. Convention du 3 juillet 1890 relative à la publication des tarifs de douanes à l'Union internationale pour la publication des tarifs douaniers.
3. Arrangement du 9 décembre 1907, relatif à la création de l'Office international de statistique à Paris.
4. Convention du 7 juin 1905, relative à la création d'un Institut international agricole à Rome.
5. Convention du 27 juin 1955, relative à l'emprunt turc.
6. Convention du 16 juillet 1963, relative au rachat des droits de péages sur l'Écarlat.

Convention maintiendra les conventions sanitaires auxquelles la Turquie est partie ou auxquelles elle adhère. Les autres lui seront imposées par un article ultérieur.

Convention du 29 octobre 1890, relative à l'établissement d'un arrangement international destiné à garantir le libre usage du canal de Suez.

Article 12. Voir article 288 du traité avec l'Allemagne

Article 13. Voir article 284 du traité avec l'Allemagne.

Article 14. (Rebat à la propriété industrielle). Voir article 106 du traité avec la

relative à la circulation internationale des automobiles.

- Accord du 15 mai 1886 relatif au plombage des wagons et protocole du 19 mai 1907

1907  
3. Convention du 31 décembre 1913 relative à l'unification des statistiques commerciales.

\* La Commission technique estime toutefois que la Commission financière devra s'intéresser surtout aux possibilités d'emploi des populations et devra à l'exportation sur les matières premières produites en Turquie.

4. Convention du 3 septembre 1910 relative à l'unification de certaines règles en matière d'abordage, d'assistance et de sauvetage maritime
5. Convention du 21 décembre 1914 relative à l'exemption pour les bâtiments hospitaliers des droits et taxes dans les ports
6. Convention du 18 mai 1904 et du 4 mai 1910 relative à la répression de la Traite des Blancs.
7. Convention du 4 mai 1910 relative à la suppression des publications pornographiques.
8. Convention sanitaire du 3 décembre 1903 et de toutes autres figurant dans le traité avec l'Allemagne auxquelles la Turquie n'est pas partie
9. Convention du 29 novembre 1906 relative à l'unification de la formule des *monnaies d'or*
10. Convention du 3 novembre 1881 et du 15 avril 1889 relative aux mesures à prendre contre le phylloxera
11. Convention du 19 mars 1902 relative à la protection des oiseaux utiles à l'agriculture.

Articles 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21. Voir articles 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295 du traité avec l'Allemagne.

### SIXIÈME PARTIE

Articles 26. Voir articles 190 à 195 du traité avec la Bulgarie

### SIXIÈME PARTIE

#### CHAPITRE I

Article 29. Les biens, droits et intérêts qui se trouvaient placés sous la juridiction des Puissances alliées et associées qui n'étaient pas, pendant la guerre, ressortissants ottomans ou sociétés contrôlées par eux, ou à des ressortissants ottomans jouissant de la protection consulaire de l'une quelconque des Puissances alliées ou associées, seront immédiatement restitués aux ayants droit, libres de toutes taxes, droits et charges, sous l'autorité du Gouvernement ou des autorités turques, sauf application conformément aux capitulations. Le Gouvernement turc devra prendre les mesures en son pouvoir pour remettre le propriétaire évidé en possession de ses biens, droits et intérêts.

Si la restitution prévue par le présent paragraphe ne peut être effectuée, ou si les biens, droits et intérêts dont il s'agit ont été aliénés, le propriétaire aura droit à une indemnité. Les réclamations formulées à ce sujet par les ressortissants alliés et associés ou par les sociétés contrôlées par eux ou par les protégés de l'une quelconque des Puissances alliées ou associées seront examinées, et le montant de l'indemnité fixé par une commission arbitrale désignée par le Conseil de la Grande Nation. Cette indemnité sera à la charge du Gouvernement turc et pourra être imputée sur les biens des ressortissants ottomans sur le territoire ou sous le contrôle de l'Etat du réclamant.

Article 30. Les ressortissants ottomans qui acquièrent de plein droit la nationalité d'une Puissance alliée ou associée ou d'un Etat nouvellement créé conformément aux dispositions du présent traité leur seront restitués tels qu'ils se comportent.

Article 31. Sous réserve de dispositions contraires qui pourraient résulter du présent traité, les Puissances alliées et associées se réservent le droit de retenir et de liquider tous biens, droits et intérêts des ressortissants ottomans ou des sociétés contrôlées par eux, sur leurs territoires, colonies, possessions et protectorats.

La liquidation aura lieu conformément aux lois de la Puissance alliée ou associée intéressée, et le propriétaire ottoman ne pourra disposer de ces biens, droits et intérêts.

Article 32. N'ont pas été considérés au sens des articles 31, 33, 34, 35 et 36 et du 2<sup>e</sup> § de l'article 29 comme ressortissants ottomans, les ressortissants ottomans qui acquièrent de plein droit, par application du présent traité, la nationalité d'une Puissance alliée ou associée ou d'un des Etats nouvellement créés.

Article 33. Les biens, droits et intérêts des ressortissants ottomans dans les territoires d'une Puissance alliée ou associée, ainsi que le produit net de leur vente, sont restitués aux ayants droit, libres de toutes taxes, droits et charges, sous l'autorité du Gouvernement ou des autorités turques, sauf application conformément aux capitulations. Les biens, droits et intérêts des ressortissants ottomans dans les territoires d'une Puissance alliée ou associée du paiement des monnaies d'or, sous l'autorité du Gouvernement ou des autorités turques, sauf application conformément aux capitulations. Les biens, droits et intérêts des ressortissants ottomans dans les territoires d'une Puissance alliée ou associée du paiement des monnaies d'or, sous l'autorité du Gouvernement ou des autorités turques, sauf application conformément aux capitulations.

Les biens, droits et intérêts ou le produit de leur liquidation seront restitués aux ayants droit dans la mesure où ils ne seront pas utilisés conformément aux articles 31 et 33.

Article 34. Le Gouvernement turc s'engage à indemniser ses ressortissants pour la liquidation ou de la rétention de leurs biens, droits ou intérêts au pays allié ou associé.

Article 35. Les Gouvernements administrant des territoires détachés de l'Empire ottoman par application du présent traité peuvent liquider les biens, droits et intérêts des ressortissants ottomans ou des sociétés contrôlées par eux dans ces territoires. Dans le cas de ces liquidations, nonobstant les dispositions des articles 31 et 33, on devra se conformer aux règles suivantes :

1. Le produit de la liquidation sera payé directement à l'ayant droit.
2. Si au cours des opérations, la Commission arbitrale visée à l'article 29 acquiert la certitude que les conditions de la vente ou les mesures prises par le Gouvernement en question en dehors de sa législation générale ont résulté injustement le prix obtenu, ils auront le pouvoir d'accorder au propriétaire une indemnité raisonnable, qui devra lui être payée par son Gouvernement.

Le présent article n'est pas applicable aux sociétés dans lesquelles les ressortissants des Puissances alliées et associées, y compris les ressortissants de la Puissance mandataire, ont la majorité des capitaux.

Article 36. Est confirmée la validité de toutes mesures attributives de propriété, toutes ordonnances pour la liquidation d'entreprises ou de sociétés, ou de toutes autres ordonnances, règlements, décisions ou instructions rendus ou donnés par tout tribunal ou administration d'une des Puissances alliées ou associées, ou réputés avoir été rendus ou donnés par application de la législation de guerre concernant les biens, droits ou intérêts ottomans.

Les intérêts de toutes personnes devront être considérés comme ayant valablement été payés de tous règlements, ordonnances, décisions ou instructions concernant les biens, droits ou intérêts ottomans, que ces intérêts aient été ou non expressément visés dans lesdites ordonnances, règlements, décisions ou instructions.

Il ne sera soulevée aucune contestation relativement à la régularité d'un traité de liquidation ou d'intérêts effectués au vu des règlements, ordonnances, décisions ou instructions mentionnés.

Est également confirmée la validité de toute mesure prise à l'égard d'une entreprise ou société, qu'il s'agisse d'arrestation, de séquestre, d'administration d'urgence, de réquisition, de surveillance ou de liquidation, de la vente ou de la liquidation des biens, droits et intérêts, du paiement ou du recouvrement des dettes, du paiement des fins, charges, dépenses, ou de toutes autres mesures ou instructions prises en exécution d'ordonnances, de règlements, de décisions ou d'instructions rendus ou exécutés par tous tribunaux ou administrations d'une des Puissances alliées ou associées, ou réputés avoir été rendus ou exécutés par application de la législation exceptionnelle de guerre, concernant les biens, droits ou intérêts ottomans.

Article 37. Aucune réclamation ni action de la Turquie ou de toute personne ressortissante de l'Empire ottoman au 1<sup>er</sup> août 1914 ou l'étant devenue depuis cette date ou qu'elle lie qu'elle ait sa résidence, n'est recevable contre une Puissance alliée ou associée ou contre une personne quelconque agissant au nom ou sous les ordres ou toute juridiction ou administration de ladite Puissance alliée ou associée relativement à toute ou toute émission concernant les biens, droits ou intérêts des ressortissants ottomans et effectués pendant la guerre, ou en vue de la préparation de la guerre. Est également recevable toute réclamation ou action contre toute personne à l'égard de tout acte ou émission résultant des mesures exceptionnelles de guerre, lois et règlements de toute Puissance alliée ou associée.

Article 38. Le Gouvernement turc, si on le lui demande, remettra, dans un délai d'un mois à partir de la mise en vigueur du présent traité, à chaque Puissance alliée ou associée, tous ses contrats, certificats, actes et autres titres de propriété se trouvant entre les mains de ses ressortissants et se rapportant à des biens, droits et intérêts situés



sur le territoire de ladite Puissance aliée ou associée, y compris les actions, obligations ou autres valeurs mobilières de toutes sociétés autorisées par la législation de cette Puissance.

Le Gouvernement turc fournira à tous moments, sur la demande de la Puissance aliée ou associée intéressée, tout renseignement concernant les biens, droits et intérêts des ressortissants ottomans dans ladite Puissance alliée ou associée, ainsi que sur les transactions qui ont pu être effectuées depuis le 1<sup>er</sup> juillet 1914 en ce qui concerne lesdits biens, droits ou intérêts.

Article 39. Les dettes entre le Gouvernement turc ou ses ressortissants et les ressortissants d'une Puissance alliée ou associée, contractées avant le 1<sup>er</sup> juillet 1914, pendant la guerre, soit qu'elles aient été payables avant ou après le 1<sup>er</sup> juillet 1914, soit de transactions ou de contrats dont l'exécution totale ou partielle a été suspendue par suite de la guerre, et qui n'ont pas été réglées avant le 1<sup>er</sup> juillet 1914, seront réglées dans la monnaie de celle des Puissances alliées ou associées dans laquelle les colonies et protectorats des Puissances alliées, les dominions britanniques et les possessions de la France ont été rattachés. Si les dettes doivent être réglées dans toute autre monnaie, elles seront réglées dans la monnaie de la Puissance alliée ou associée intéressée (colonie ou possession) et au taux du change en vigueur à la date de la guerre.

Pour l'application de l'article 39, le montant des dettes en monnaie d'appoint sera égal à la monnaie de la Puissance alliée ou associée intéressée.

Pour l'application de l'article 39, le montant des dettes en monnaie d'appoint sera égal à la monnaie de la Puissance alliée ou associée intéressée.

La conversion de la monnaie dans laquelle l'obligation est exprimée en la monnaie de la Puissance aliée ou associée intéressée sera relative au taux du change en vigueur à la date de la guerre.

La conversion sera également décomptée en la monnaie et au taux du change prévus ci-dessus.

Note.—Les dispositions ci-dessus visent le taux du change en vigueur à la date de la guerre, sous réserve d'un examen ultérieur par la Conférence de la Paix.

Les dispositions de cet article ne s'appliqueront pas au cas d'annulation de l'Empire ottoman en exécution du présent traité.

(Note.—On laisse à la Commission financière ou à tout autre organisme chargé de la monnaie de proposer toutes stipulations qui pourraient être nécessaires en ce qui concerne les dettes entre particuliers résidant en Turquie et ceux qui résident sur des territoires transférés.)

Article 40. Les dispositions des articles 29 à 39 s'appliquent aux droits de propriété industrielle, littéraire et artistique qui sont ou seront compris dans la liquidation des biens, droits, intérêts ou entreprises effectuée par application de la législation exceptionnelle de guerre, par les Puissances alliées ou associées ou par application des stipulations de l'article 32.

(Note.—La Délégation britannique réserve la question de l'insertion dans la partie I de l'Annexe de l'Egypte; elle se réserve également, si cela était nécessaire, de proposer toute modification de rédaction propre à couvrir ce point.)

## SECTION V

### Contrats, Prescriptions et J.

Article 41. Sous réserve des exceptions et des règles spéciales au sujet des contrats particuliers ou des classes de contrats mentionnées dans l'annexe ci-jointe, tout contrat conclu entre ennemis sera maintenu ou annulé conformément à la législation de la Puissance alliée ou associée dont est ressortissante celle des parties contractantes qui n'était pas sujet ottoman avant la guerre.

1. Les dispositions de l'annexe ci-jointe, auxquelles le contrat est maintenu ou annulé seront régies.

Article 42. (Voir article 300 du traité avec l'Allemagne, paragraphe 2.)

Article 43. (Voir article 301 du traité avec l'Allemagne.)

Article 44. Les jugements rendus pendant la guerre par une autorité judiciaire ou administrative turque quelconque contre ou au préjudice des intérêts d'une personne étant alors ressortissante d'une Puissance alliée ou associée, ou contre ou au préjudice des intérêts d'une personne étant dans laquelle un ressortissant allié ou associé d'une Puissance alliée ou associée, seront, si cela est possible et équitable, les parties seront arbitraires prévues à l'article 30.

Lorsque cela ne sera pas possible, le ressortissant d'une Puissance alliée ou associée qui aura subi un préjudice du fait du jugement aura le droit de réclamer telle compensation que la Commission arbitrale jugera équitable, cette compensation étant à la charge du Gouvernement turc.

Lorsqu'un contrat a été invalidé, soit en raison du fait qu'une des parties n'en a pas été partie, soit en raison du fait qu'une des parties n'en a pas été partie, la partie qui n'a pas été partie pourra s'adresser à la Commission arbitrale.

Cette Commission pourra ordonner la restauration de tous droits en Turquie qui auront été lésés par l'annulation dans tous les cas où, en raison des circonstances de l'affaire, une pareille restauration sera équitable et possible.

La Turquie indemnera tout tiers lésé par les restitutions ou restaurations effectuées conformément aux dispositions du présent article.

Article 45. Toutes questions relatives à des contrats conclus avant la mise en vigueur du présent traité entre des ressortissants des Puissances alliées et associées ou entre des États nouvellement créés et des ressortissants turcs seront réglées par les tribunaux nationaux ou les tribunaux communaux de la Puissance alliée ou associée ou de l'État nouvellement créé dont une des parties est ressortissante à l'exclusion des tribunaux ottomans.

Article 46.—Les jugements rendus par les tribunaux nationaux ou communaux d'une Puissance alliée ou associée ou d'un État nouvellement créé et les sentences de la Commission arbitrale rendues en vertu des dispositions du présent traité de la Commission arbitrale, seront reconnues en Turquie comme obligatoires, et seront exécutées sans qu'il soit nécessaire de les déclarer exécutoires.

## ANNEXE

Note.—Les dispositions des paragraphes 6 à 21 de cette annexe s'appliquent à tous les contrats conclus entre des ressortissants des Puissances alliées et associées ou des États nouvellement créés d'une part, pour lesquels le commerce avec la Turquie a été rendu illégal par loi ou décret.

Les dispositions en question ne seront de même pas applicables aux contrats entre les ressortissants turcs d'une part, et des compagnies et particuliers établis sur des territoires transférés à la suite de la guerre aux Puissances alliées et associées ou à des États nouvellement créés.

Les contrats d'assurance et de réassurance visés au paragraphe précédent seront soumis aux dispositions de l'article 41 (1<sup>er</sup> article des contrats).

### I.—Dispositions générales.

1. Au sens des articles 41 à 43 de cette annexe, les personnes parties à un contrat sont considérées comme ennemies lorsque le commerce entre elles sera devenu impossible en fait ou aura été interdit ou sera devenu illégal en vertu des lois, décrets ou règlements auxquels une de ces parties était soumise, et ce, à dater du jour où le commerce est devenu impossible en fait ou a été interdit ou est devenu illégal de quelque manière que ce soit.

2. R-estent en vigueur, sous réserve d'application des lois, décrets et règlements, les dispositions de l'article 41 (1<sup>er</sup> article des contrats).

\* Une exception concernant les États-Unis, le Japon et le Brésil doit être insérée si ces États sont signataires du présent traité.

prises pendant la guerre par les États alliés ou associés, ainsi que des clauses  
les contrats

- (a.) Les contrats ayant pour but le transfert de propriétés, de biens ou d'objets mobiliers ou immobiliers lorsque la propriété aura été transférée ou l'objet livré avant que les parties ne soient devenues ennemies.
- (b.) Les baux locations et promesses de location.
- (c.) Les contrats d'hypothèque, de gage et de nantissement.
- (d.) Les contrats passés entre des particuliers et l'État, les provinces, municipalités, concessions données par ledit État et lesdites provinces, municipalités ou autres personnes juridiques, administratives analogues.

(Note.—La question des concessions dans les territoires transférés fera l'objet d'une clause séparée qui devra être insérée à la suite des présentes dispositions générales et avant les dispositions particulières à certaines catégories de contrats.)

## II.—Dispositions particulières à certaines Catégories de Contrats

1, 4 et 5. Positions dans les heures de valeurs et de commerce (voir §§ 4, 5 et 7 l'annexe correspondante du traité avec l'Allemagne, sauf la dernière phrase du § 5)

### III.—Assurances

6. Voir paragraphe 8 de l'annexe correspondante du traité avec l'Allemagne

#### Assurances contre l'Incendie

7 et 8. Voir §§ 9 et 10 du traité avec l'Allemagne

#### Assurances sur la Vie

9. Voir le numéro 11 de l'annexe du traité allemand moins la dernière phrase

10, 11 et 12. Voir numéros 13, 14 et 15 de l'annexe du traité avec l'Allemagne

#### Assurances maritimes et autres Assurances

13 à 21. Voir numéros 16 à 24 de l'annexe correspondante du traité avec l'Allemagne, sauf le deuxième paragraphe du No. 22.

## Article proposed by the French for insertion in the Turkish Treaty

### LIQUIDATION OF GERMAN, AUSTRIAN, HUNGARIAN, AND BULGARIAN PROPERTY IN TURKEY

Le Gouvernement turc fera procéder, sous la direction des Puissances alliées et sous les conditions indiquées par elles à la liquidation des biens, droits et intérêts de l'Allemagne, de l'Autriche, de la Hongrie ou de la Bulgarie, à des personnes ressortissantes, à cette même date, de l'Allemagne, de l'Autriche, de la Hongrie ou de la Bulgarie.

Jusqu'à la liquidation, le Gouvernement turc interdira toute transaction sur lesdits biens.

Le produit des liquidations ainsi effectuées sera versé à la Commission des Réparations et affecté par elle au paiement des dommages visés à l'article 17 du traité de paix. Les sommes qui n'ont pu être payées conformément à l'article 17 du traité de paix

Le solde restant sera, le cas échéant, appliqué à la réparation des autres dommages de guerre.

### Note by the British Economic Representatives on the Proposed French Clause respecting Liquidation of German, &c., Property in Turkey.

It has been suggested that it is desirable, and that it is legitimate, to make use of the power conferred by article 155 of the Treaty of Peace with Germany in order to introduce into the Treaty of Peace with Turkey a provision for securing the liquidation of all German property in Turkey.

If it had been intended that all such property should be liquidated, there is no reason whatever why a provision to that effect should not have been inserted in the German Treaty itself. It appears, in fact, that such a proposal was actually made when the reparations clauses were under discussion, but that it was rejected by the Supreme Council,\* and that the only specific provision with regard to German interests in Turkey which remains is that contained in article 260 of that treaty. This article, as it will be remembered, merely puts the liquidation of German interests in Turkey, and in the other countries mentioned specifically in the article.

On a point of form, it seems clear that if article 155 had been framed with the view to the possibility of all German property whatever being liquidated, the phraseology employed would have been assimilated to that used in other parts of the treaty in which German property is spoken of as "droits, intérêts et privilèges." In view of the British economic representatives, Germany was entitled to suppose that speaking broadly the question of what was to be done with the property of her subjects in Turkey was already regulated by the specific terms of the German Treaty. It was therefore unreasonable that article 155 should be used to justify the confiscation of all German property in Turkey.

According to our information this article was introduced into the German Treaty not for the purpose of justifying any such general procedure, but solely with regard to certain German interests of particular descriptions in Turkey it might be necessary when these interests came to be examined to take action, the exact nature of which it was not at the time possible to predict.

The British economic representatives do not wish to be regarded as opposing themselves to the consideration of all such cases separately on their merits. They are satisfied that in the case of German institutions it may be necessary to take action of a character which could be represented as a liquidation, and which, will no doubt have to be so.

The British economic representatives think it necessary, however, to place formally on record their inability in any event to accept the proposal that all German property in Turkey shall be submitted to a process of forced liquidation.

They do not share the fear that the maintenance in Turkey of any German property would be a danger to the country which, after all, is going to be very materially reduced both in wealth and extent by the Treaty of Peace. They are satisfied that the provisions of article 260 of the German Treaty, supplemented, if necessary, by particular action such as is suggested above in certain limited cases or classes of cases, should amply suffice to guard the Allies against the danger of any effective renewal of German economic penetration in Turkey for a considerable time to come.

\* Since this was written we learn that the clause was referred by the Economic Commission to the Commission on Reparations, but was not included in the reparations clause ultimately adopted by the Supreme Council.



## D.

## Turkish Treaty

## DRAFT CLAUSE re CONCESSIONS PROPOSED BY BRITISH ECONOMIC REPRESENTATIVES.

(Note. Copies of this have been communicated to the French, and the British Government is at present prepared to discuss it.)

The Government of any State to which territory has been granted by the Ottoman Government, or by the Ottoman local authorities, on such transferred territory subject to the payment to the concessionaire, in the event of cancellation or modification, of equitable compensation.

For the purpose of determining the amount of such compensation in the absence of agreement between the parties there shall be set up, under the authority of the League of Nations, a tribunal which shall consist of one member nominated by the State to which territory is transferred and one by the State of which the concessionaire is the subject or citizen. In the event of the concession being held by a company, in which the subjects or citizens of more than one State have a substantial interest, share capital or in the control of the company, two members of the tribunal shall be nominated by the two States principally interested, and two by the State to which the territory is transferred. There shall be a chairman of some other nationality, who, in the event of disagreement between the parties, shall be appointed by the Council of the League of Nations.

The amount of equitable compensation to be paid, the tribunal shall determine on the principles set out in the Annex hereto, so far as they are applicable to the case in question.

The signatory Powers undertake not to recognize the grant or transfer of any concession by the Ottoman Government or by Ottoman local authorities which may have been effected between the date of the armistice and the coming into force of this Treaty. All such grants and transfers shall be null and void, and their cancellation shall give rise to no compensation whatever.

## ANNEX

## PART I.—Mining Concessions.

1. Persons in the enjoyment of mining rights in a given territory shall be entitled to exploit mines, quarries, or oilfields in a given territory under the terms of their original concession, and in the event of a modification or cancellation of the concession being rendered null and void, the concessionaire shall be entitled to compensation in respect of the interest, the compensation to be paid shall be based on the full recognition of that right.

2. Where persons entitled to prospect for minerals (including oil) in the transferred territory have succeeded in proving the existence of minerals, but have not yet converted their prospecting rights into mining rights, account shall be taken in fixing compensation for the cancellation of their rights of their reasonable prospect of profit, having regard to the discovery of minerals actually effected.

3. Persons entitled to prospecting rights who have not yet proved the existence of minerals shall only be entitled to compensation in respect of their actual expenditure on prospecting, and the benefit passes to the Government to which the territory is transferred.

## PART II.—Concessions for works of public utility (roads, railways, tramways, roads, harbours, docks, quays, telegraphs and telephones, and the supply of gas, water, electric light and power and other similar undertakings).

1. The compensation to be paid for the cancellation or modification of any concession for works of public utility which have been constructed and are in actual operation shall be based on a consideration of the expenditure of the concessionaire on any such

works, and (to such extent as the tribunal may consider equitable in the circumstances of the case) of the amount of profit derived and derivable therefrom.

2. Compensation shall not be granted in respect of rights of which the concessionaire had not made use or of rights to make extensions which the concessionaire has not made.

3. If a concession for a work of public utility has not been carried into effect or actually operated in any given locality, compensation in respect of such works as have been constructed in that locality shall be based solely on the actual expenditure by the concessionaire on land and in the construction or purchase of buildings, plant, or other tangible property, of which the benefit passes to the Government to which the territory is transferred.

## PART III.—Concessions conferring Monopoly Rights throughout the Ottoman Empire.

Exclusive rights in the purchase, sale, import, or export of any commodity and financial rights such as the rights of issue of paper money throughout the Ottoman Empire as a whole, need not be continued by the Government of any State to which territory is transferred by this treaty, and no compensation can be claimed by the concessionaire.

## E.

## Traité de Paix avec la Turquie.

## PROJET DE RÉSOLUTION RELATIVE AU RÉGIME ÉCONOMIQUE DES NOUVEAUX ÉTATS ISSUS DE L'ANCIEN EMPIRE OTTOMAN.

## Proposition de la Délégation britannique.

Le Comité spécial de la Commission économique s'est demandé s'il devait préparer des clauses relatives au régime économique applicable à tout nouvel État issu de l'ancien Empire ottoman, ou s'il devait se contenter de recommander à la Ligue des Nations un principe général.

Il a jugé que le travail était difficile, sinon impossible en l'absence de tout élément de comparaison, et qu'il était nécessaire de se baser sur la pratique qui s'est appliquée à ces territoires pendant la période de l'Empire ottoman, ou dans l'hypothèse d'un mandat, par la Ligue des Nations.

La forme à donner à ces clauses doit aussi dépendre nécessairement de la procédure employée pour le règlement de ces questions, soit qu'elles fassent l'objet d'un traité, soit qu'elles soient réglées par la Ligue des Nations.

Quant au degré et à la forme de contrôle qui sera appliqué à ces territoires, la Commission a jugé qu'il était nécessaire de se baser sur la pratique qui s'est appliquée à ces territoires pendant la période de l'Empire ottoman, ou dans l'hypothèse d'un mandat, par la Ligue des Nations.

Quant à la procédure finalement adoptée, la Délégation britannique désire que les dispositions doivent être prises pour prévoir.

1. Les dispositions doivent être prises pour prévoir.

2. Les dispositions doivent être prises pour prévoir.

3. Les Nations, aucune préférence n'étant accordée sur ce point à aucun État sous le consentement de la Ligue.

Mais il est désirable que le traité envisage des accords qui pourraient être conclus entre les États faisant partie de l'ancien Empire ottoman pour faciliter l'échange réciproque de leurs produits.

4. Que des dispositions devront être prises par analogie à celles des articles 15 et 17 du traité avec la Pologne du 25 juin 1919, pour assurer le traitement d'égalité aux nationaux des États alliés et associés, ainsi que la liberté du commerce pour les personnes, marchandises, navires, et pour les transports de ou pour un État allié et associé.

5. Que tous ces nouveaux États seront invités à adhérer aux principales conventions internationales auxquelles la Pologne s'est engagée à adhérer en vertu de l'article 19 du traité susvisé avec la Pologne.

## Liquidation des Biens allemands en Turquie.

La question de la liquidation des biens ennemis en Turquie n'est pas seulement une question économique, c'est aussi une question politique de la plus haute importance.

Les raisons qui ne permettent pas à la Délégation française de l'envisager autrement que par la destruction des droits de propriété allemands sont les suivantes :

1° Il est très important que la situation morale et matérielle de l'Allemagne et des Allemands en Turquie soit définitivement réglée.

On ne voit pas les intérêts que l'Allemagne peut s'acquiescer dans l'avenir, mais ceux qu'elle ou ses nationaux étaient eues dans le passé.

La guerre a fait de la Turquie un champ d'action dans tout le Levant et, d'autre part, l'alliance des deux pays a prolongé la guerre de quelques années, causé la destruction de la Russie et entraîné la perte de millions de vies humaines. Il n'est pas utile de développer ce thème trop connu, mais le rappel au souvenir de la guerre est nécessaire, car il suffit à justifier, au point de vue politique, toute action qui sera prise contre les Allemands en Turquie.

La liquidation des grandes concessions allemandes, quoique représentant l'acte le plus décisif dans cet ordre d'idées, ne suffit pas. Certains établissements allemands, comme la Deutsch-Orient Bank, certaines grandes sociétés, comme Hugo Stinnes, et d'innombrables compagnies et affaires privées allemandes, constituaient un capital de l'industrie allemande, siéant sans doute très réduit aujourd'hui, mais détruit et qui, restant en possession de ses bases d'action, ne tardera pas à reprendre son essor, tandis que s'il est liquidé les Alliés auront le champ libre pendant long-

2° La liquidation des biens allemands en Turquie ne représente rien d'exceptionnel et ne constitue pas une innovation.

Les Alliés ont partout admis le principe général et rigoureux que non seulement les grands intérêts publics, mais aussi les biens privés allemands seront liquidés.

En outre, ce principe est entièrement nouveau dans les annales de la guerre, et l'Angleterre a été la première à l'appliquer. La proposition française ne consiste qu'à l'étendre à la Turquie, elle tend seulement à étendre pour des raisons de justice à un pays de plus.

On ne peut découvrir la plus petite raison d'ordre moral qui justifierait la sauvegarde à Constantinople des biens des Allemands. Nous pouvons, en France et en Angleterre, liquider les biens des Allemands et nous réserverons ces biens en Turquie le droit de liquider à notre gré les biens des Turcs. D'où viendrait donc qu'en

Turquie pendant la guerre et avoir fait de ce pays une place d'armes la plus dangereuse pour la raison suffisante pour les Allemands à s'y réserver une terre d'avenir ? Est-ce par pitié et pour ménager quelques petits gens ? Les Allemands de Turquie qui ont été les plus riches personnages et rentrent dans les catégories qui ont été les plus riches tant en Angleterre qu'en France. Rien ne s'oppose, d'ailleurs, à ce que nous nous en donnions pour les humbles, car le droit de faire liquider ne se confond pas avec l'obligation de faire liquider.

3° Y a-t-il des textes qui s'opposent formellement à la liquidation des biens allemands en Turquie ?

Il est exact que l'article 260 n'a pas prévu la liquidation des biens privés allemands en Turquie, mais seulement "l'acquisition par l'Allemagne pour être mis à la disposition de la Commission des Réparations des droits de ses ressortissants dans toute entreprise d'utilité publique ou concessions dans un certain nombre de pays, y compris la Turquie".

La forme très générale de cet article démontre qu'il ne vise que la question des entreprises pu- bles et concessions, et non celle des biens privés qui est, au contraire, visée par l'article 155.

La Délégation fait objecter que la proposition de liquider les biens allemands en

Turquie n'est pas entièrement nouvelle et que, formulée il y a de longs mois, elle a été rejetée par le Conseil suprême. En réalité, ce n'est pas la chose de la liquidation des biens allemands en Turquie qui a été rejetée, mais une thèse générale présentée au moment où les problèmes turcs n'avaient pas été abordés et qui était celle de la liquidation des biens allemands dans les autres pays.

Il a été admis sans discussion au cours de tous les débats qui se sont déroulés depuis un an que le problème turc était spécial et devait être traité d'une manière spéciale. On comprend donc ce que le Conseil suprême n'a pas admis : c'est

borné à écarter la question pour l'Europe, ce qui, à notre avis, ne l'écartera que pour la Turquie. La liquidation des Allemands à Vienne n'était ni justifiée ni même concevable, tandis qu'à Constantinople, où ils sont peu nombreux, riches et influents, nous ne pouvons les

ne peut pas davantage tirer argument du fait que la Turquie est mentionnée dans des concessions d'intérêt public allemandes dans l'article 260, tandis qu'elle

le réajoute, est très général : il traite des concessions allemandes dans le monde entier (Russie, Chine, Autriche, Hongrie, Bulgarie), il ne pouvait donc passer sous silence la Turquie, les concessions les plus importantes (Bagdad, Amman).

Enfin, dans le Conseil suprême a traité certains problèmes d'Europe qui ne mentionnent la Turquie, tout en réservant l'examen de ces problèmes. On peut citer, par exemple, les divers traités de protection des minorités et surtout le traité visant la protection des minorités en Grèce, dont les termes s'appliquent, de l'avis général qu'à l'Europe, et non aux territoires turcs d'Asie, bien que les mêmes problèmes s'y posent et demandent des solutions spéciales.

L'absence de texte n'implique pas forclusion, et dans le cas spécial qui nous occupe nous invoquons l'article 155 de Versailles.

1° L'Allemagne peut-elle prétendre que le sort des biens privés allemands en Turquie est déjà réglé par le Traité de Versailles et ne peut plus être modifié ?

La Délégation britannique estime que "parlant dans un sens large, l'Allemagne avait le droit de supposer que la question du sort des propriétés de ses nationaux à travers le monde était déjà réglée par les termes du traité allemand et qu'il est par suite déraisonnable que l'article 155 soit utilisé pour justifier une continuation totale de toutes les concessions allemandes".

Turquie n'est pas réglée et elle ne peut pas être considérée comme étant sous la charge de la protection des minorités. La Turquie est un pays à part, ses affaires turques. C'est pourquoi la France appuie avec une entière confiance sa thèse sur les termes suivants de l'article 155.

L'Allemagne s'engage à reconnaître et à agréer tous arrangements que les puissances passeront avec la Turquie et la Bulgarie relativement aux droits, intérêts et privilèges quelconques auxquels l'Allemagne ou les ressortissants allemands jouissent en Turquie et en Bulgarie et qui ne sont pas l'objet de dispositions de ce traité.

Il est impossible de tirer de ces termes si clairs, si nets et si étendus, la conclusion que l'Allemagne peut exiger de l'article 155 pour renvoyer les Alliés à l'article 260, qui ne vise que les concessions publiques allemandes à l'étranger. Les termes impliquent que les Alliés ne sont réservés toute latitude. Ils ont été arrêtés postérieurement aux délibérations du Conseil suprême invoquées par la Délégation britannique. Les représentants des parties, ils lient l'Allemagne, ils donnent toute latitude aux Alliés. Nul doute qu'après avoir recommandé la question et pesé en détail les mots employés par l'article 155, la Délégation britannique ne reconnaisse dans le simple domaine de l'argumentation économique le bien-fondé de la thèse française, qui est celui



que toute latitude nous reste pour régler le problème et que la solution est celle de la  
le terrain politique, où la Délégation française ne manquera pas d'évoquer le débat, s'il  
le fait.

Le 29 janvier 1920

176819]

Field Marshal Viscount Allenby to Lord Curzon, — (Received February 6)

My Lord,

Cairo, January 25, 1920

WITH reference to my despatch No. 526 of the 27th October, 1919, I have the  
honour to transmit to your Lordship a third report by the Arab Bureau on the subject  
of the detention at Hajl of Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob's mission to the Imam Yahya.

This document has been compiled from copies of official and semi-official letters  
received from the Political Officer, Hodeidah, through the Resident, Aden, and deals  
with the closing stages of the negotiations.

Enclosure in No. 53

Note 3 on the Seizure of Colonel Jacob's Mission to the Imam Yahya

IN the last paper (Note 2) on the seizure of the Jacob mission, events were  
led up to the middle of October. Shortly afterwards a long letter from Colonel  
Jacob dated the 5th October, arrived in Cairo by telegraph from Aden. Colonel Jacob  
expressed his recommendation that the Qahrah tribe should be appeased by the Y.  
being allowed to remain within the Turkish sphere of influence and by the Turkish civil  
officials and a limited number of Turkish troops being permitted to return. This  
the Y.

As was expected the Foreign Office refused to consider such a proposal. The  
Qahrah sheikhs, who apparently had nourished the belief that the return of the Turks  
was a possibility, then put forward an alternative proposal. This consisted of  
for arms and ammunition with which to defend themselves against the Im-  
the handing over by us of the town of Hodeidah to their nomads, Seyyid Abdul K-  
M- Qah-  
sheikhs formally demanding the release of the mission, promising a free pardon to the  
upfront, and stating that it was the intention of His Majesty's Government to  
at Hodeidah until arrangements should have been concluded for the safeguarding  
the interests of the town and of the Shaafi tribes in its vicinity.

At the end of October the Resident, Aden, had suggested that stringent orders  
should be sent to Colonel Jacob to discontinue negotiations for his release, and that  
Major Meek, who had been specially sent from Aden for the purpose, should  
charge. This was approved by the Foreign Office, and Colonel Jacob was telegraphed  
accordingly on the 7th November.

On the 3rd November the Foreign Office made enquiries as to the probable  
be expended in obtaining the release of the mission, the Political Resident,  
having ordered 20,000/ in gold from India on receiving instructions from the  
Commissioner, Egypt, that attempts should be made to bribe the tribesmen. In  
Aden telegraphed that no definite amount of ransom had been stated by Colonel  
what Major Meek was opposed to making payments to the Qahrah, but was  
using funds to induce tribes from all possible supporters. At that date  
(6th November) the Qahrah had not, reported Major Meek.

On the 23rd November Major Meek received a deputation  
Hodeidah, when in his words, "he offered our protection against unprovoked aggre-  
sion until a final settlement has been effected," together with a free pardon. This offer  
he repeated in a letter he wrote the same day to Sheikh Omar Saghar, of the Zaranig

Colonel Jacob, having been informed by Major Meek of his offers to the tri-  
and on the 25th November, pointing out that he failed to see how the Gov-

protect the tribe's interests if attacked. "What are our means?" he asked.

A demonstration flight took place over Hajl on the 26th November. The  
late effects are best described in a letter sent by one of Colonel Jacob's  
pilots (Major Reilly):—

"An aeroplane flew over Hajl this morning," he wrote, "causing intense  
There was a good deal of firing at the aeroplane, and after it had  
was to attack us, numbering without exaggeration, about 300  
to rise and fire at it for about twenty minutes.

Jacob reported that had it not been for Seyyid Abdul Kadir,  
Mahmud Nadim and the Qahrah sheikhs the members of the party would have been  
killed. Nevertheless, the Political Resident, Aden, proposed that a bomb-dropping  
be abstained on the 1st day after an interval of ten days.

Meek reported that the results of the flight had ultimately  
grieved the aeroplane's appearance over Hajl was due to the machine devel-  
engine trouble and so falling a few hundred feet. The High Commissioner, how-  
ed that the bomb dropping should not be carried out, as it might provid-  
reprisals on the mission, and this suggestion was approved by the Foreign

On the 27th November, Major Meek said that he had  
seen the Qahrah deputation and had explained to them that arms could not be given.  
He gave the deputation a letter addressed to the Qahrah sheikhs in which he  
repeated an offer he had made verbally, the more important points of which were as  
follows:—

"I am certain terms with regard to arms in your letter which we regret  
disapproval either by the British Government or by the Allied  
measures for the security and freedom of nations,  
not be confined to your case, but would  
have to include other tribes which would come forward with similar requests, and  
it will readily appreciate the danger to security in this part of the Yemen which  
would result from."

"I am not in respect of the mission."

"We give you permission you and the other tribes of the Hodeidah district  
to be at Hodeidah for the discussion of the question of the appointment of  
a ruler acceptable to you, and we declare that the British Government will remain  
at Hodeidah till this matter has been arranged in a manner conformable to the  
views of the people; and we undertake to do so that they may be considered in connection  
so that they may be considered in connection."

"I which form part of the major conditions of the mission."  
at Britain and the Aden.

"We undertake that the British Government will protect you from any  
reason (which God forbid) so long as you behave well and do not disturb the  
not against the general welfare and Islam."

"Trade in Yemen by land and sea will be secure and nothing will be done to  
impede its freedom."

On the 29th November Major Meek wrote to Aden stating that, in a letter he had  
sent to Abdul Kadir, he had given the Seyyid to understand that if the mission were  
released he would hand over to him a small amount of arms and ammunition, his  
being to give about 100 rifles.

Colonel Jacob continued to urge that arms and ammunition should be used as a  
medium of negotiation, and on the 29th November said that he considered guns to  
be the best medium of negotiation.

Major Meek's offer to the Qahrah deputation produced an effect in due course, for  
on the 31st December Colonel Jacob telegraphed to him as follows:—

"The sheikhs have accepted your proposals for release, but desire me to  
corroborate over my signature. This I have done with slight alterations which are  
logical amplifications of your text. The modifications are as follows:—

"1. Seyyid Abdul Kadir is chosen at once with approval of all the tribes  
concerned to be temporary ruler until the peace treaty with Turkey,  
when a permanent ruler is to be freely chosen by the tribes."

"2. The general amnesty is stipulated to include release of Arab prisoners taken in attacks on Hodeidah since our occupation.

"Seyyid Abdul Kadir now wants a message from you confirming the agreement thus amended and endorsing my action in signing it. If you so desire, I will send you the original draft by special messenger for your approval and signature."

Major Meek immediately replied to Colonel Jacob as follows:—

"I agree generally to modifications in keeping with general tenor of my terms to sheikhs. I agree also to release of Arab prisoners.

At the same time Major Meek sent an Arabic telegram to the sheikhs to the effect that he accepted the terms of the agreement arranged between them and Colonel Jacob, and he further reported to Aden as follows:—

"Owing to my anxiety to secure release of the mission, I have acted as if the Qubrah may elect Abdul Kadir as temporary ruler, and if they wish to themselves with the Qubrah in the matter—which is the election will be held in Hodeidah or the Temnah."

used, the Abos, Zaranig and other tribes will not, I am sure, agree with the Qubrah in making Seyyid Abdul Kadir as ruler. Further, it has been clearly shown that Hodeidah interests must be fully safeguarded, and I have readily admitted this necessity. We can afford, then, to accept general terms indicated."

And Abdul Kadir, Major Meek sent the following message:

"We have received your telegram this evening. We desire to assure you that the tribunal elect you as their ruler pending the settlement of the future of the country we shall approve of their election and congratulate you on your appointment. As to the question of future government as a permanent measure, that has been fully provided for in my letter to the sheikhs given to the deputation. The Arabs taken prisoners in operations at Hodeidah will be released as we have previously assured you. We hope you will take steps to secure the immediate release of the mission, as the prolonged delay may exhaust the patience of Government. We welcome you to Hodeidah."

Colonel Jacob's draft agreement with the Qubrah was duly despatched by him to Major Meek on the 5th December. "It is based," he wrote, "on the terms sent by you. I decided to adopt the amendments on my own responsibility. The sheikhs insisted on having my signature to the document and said they would accept it. I regard the amendments as merely dotting the i's and crossing the t's of your draft with regard to the amendments."

In a note added later to the above message, Colonel Jacob stated it had appeared that Seyyid Abdul Kadir required Major Meek's endorsement. "My telegraphic approval," he continued, "does not satisfy him, so I send the document with your consent and approval on behalf of the Government. I hope you can return the document at once without reference to Aden or elsewhere."

The terms of the draft agreement as translated from the Arabic were as follows:—

"I, the undersigned, Lieutenant Colonel H. F. Jacob, His Britannic Majesty's envoy, take oath in the name of God the Almighty, Jesus Christ, in whom all Muslims believe, and on whom he peace, and also in the name of His Majesty the King of Great Britain and Ireland, the British nation and Government, and on my honour, and make promise to fulfil the following conditions:—

"1. That whereas I am fully aware that our detention in Bajil by the Qubrah was based on misunderstanding and distrust of our purpose of forcibly subjecting them to the governance of someone they did not want, I consider their conduct excusable.

"2. Therefore, owing to my knowledge of the truth of their excuse, I promise to them that they will not receive punishment or the slightest harm at the hands of the British Government, now or hereafter, on this account.

That whereas Bajil Qaza generally, and the Abosyah and Zaranig tribes, have elected, with their free consent and will, the candidature of Seyyid Abdul Kadir to be their absolute ruler, I, therefore, engage to the said Seyyid Abdul Kadir and the afore-mentioned sheikhs and those associated with them that the British Government will restrain, absolutely both Imam Yahya and Mohammed-el-Idrisi from interference with the Qubrah and the above-mentioned tribes by necessary means, either diplomatic or by force, as long as the said tribes continue to behave well and do not disturb the peace nor the general welfare of Islam and the dictates of law."

"4. During the continuance of our occupation, I will provide postal facilities by sea to all outside places, and to give safety to all the people of the Yemen who may want to enter Hodeidah, or leave it, and they will also not be any let or hindrance."

"5. No crime will be extended to all tribesmen who, since our occupation of Hodeidah, have committed crimes in Hodeidah involving loss of life or property and our acts are also condoned. Also that no one will be taken to task at all by the British Government, and I, therefore, engage the release of all prisoners, Qubrah, Zaranig, now confined in Hodeidah, Aden or other places in British territory."

"6. Since the sheikhs have engaged to conduct us with our men, animals and property to Hodeidah in safety and with due respect, and leave to me the question of their honourable treatment after arrival at Hodeidah, I accept the fact."

"7. The people of the Yemen will be free to travel by land or sea, wherever they wish to go, both now, before the conclusion of the Peace Treaty, or after."

"8. Whereas the people of the country do not want to be associated with any Government, and insist on remaining under the control of the Sublime Turkish Government, they will remain as stated in paragraph 3 above, and will manage their affairs through the medium of their ruler-elect, the afore-mentioned Seyyid Abdul Kadir without our intervention or that of any other party, till the conclusion of the Peace Treaty with the Turkish Government, when they will be free either to continue in their present condition or to come under the protection of the British Government."

"9. Whereas Hodeidah is the property of the Qubrah and Abosyah, who are under the authority of the Marabout of Marawa, Seyyid Abdul Kadir-el-Abdal, and if, in these circumstances, the Qaza of Bajil, Abosyah and Zaranig require funds for the management and conduct of their affairs, the said Seyyid Abdul Kadir-el-Abdal is empowered to establish customs in Hodeidah, if he so wishes, personally or through some agency, without objection from any other, provided no levies are laid on articles, necessities and luxuries, and provided also that the customs officer will be under the supervision and protection of the Military Commander of the army of occupation in Hodeidah."

With the draft agreement Colonel Jacob sent the following explanatory notes:—

"1. The main aim of the Qubrah sheikhs is their desire to be independent of the British Government. They detained the mission, which they believed was bent on taking their country to the Imam."

"The sheikhs are very pleased with Major Meek's declaration, but prefer that the same should be put forward by one whom they know. This is a condition of the Arab, and no slight factor in the delay of operations after the arrival of Major Meek."

"3. This engagement is only temporary, and is dependent on the will of the country after the Peace Treaty passes its fiat on the Turks of the Yemen."

"4. Therefore it is immaterial whether Abdul Kadir be elected now or after our return to Hodeidah, provided we are sure of the general desire to-day of the tribesmen for his personality. The house of Al Abdal is famous throughout the Tehamah, and its influence extends north to the territories claimed by Idri.

"5. After the declaration of the Peace Conference the tribesmen will finally elect their future ruler. They will have, they say, to choose between the present Seyyid or one of his house, the Imam the Idri, one of their own sheikhs or



9 I have therefore taken upon myself the full responsibility for the course

And yet M. de la Motte, in the same conversation, having said that he could not agree

"If you can get out on this agreement at once, good and well. If not, I require that you take no part whatever in negotiations except in so far as I may specially request of you. On your advice I would Abdul Kadir and the sheikhs that I would accept your agreement with them but fortunately Abdul Kadir pressed me for a more explicit reply, which I gave him, and which in its keeping

\* Letters from Meek, dated the 6th December, allow differences between him and Jacob, which are no doubt hampering release of mission. Jacob still regards

Writing on the 14th December, Major Meek stated that, at an interview he had with the Qubrah sheikhs who took the mission to Hodeidah, reference was made to the agreement, and he, Major Meek, was asked if he accepted it. He stated that he at first evaded direct reply, but afterwards told Abu Hadi, the leading Qubrah sheikh, that the "Government could not endorse an agreement made by an officer in captivity," and Abu Hadi regarded this as self-evident and said that he was sure the sheikhs would

decide in a few days to take the hint and leave the settlement of affairs entirely in hands of the Government.

Major Meek spent about 6,500 rupees on the release of the mission, whilst Jacob spent 2,800 rupees. The total expenditure therefore amounted to 9,300 rupees at the present rate of exchange. This does not, however, include such as cost of aeroplane, transport, etc.

The release of the mission appears to have been brought about by a combination of causes. Firstly, Major Meek's terms to the Qahrah, which were adopted and amended by Colonel Jacob, but which in their final form were agreed to by Major Meek, except clause 9, although he did not satisfy them. Secondly, the moral pressure of the aeroplane flight. Thirdly, persuasion from the Idrii who was in touch with the sheikhs. Fourthly, and almost certainly the least in effect, in spite of its extent,

The first fruits of Colonel Jacob's agreement with the sheikhs appeared on the 29th December. On that date, in spite of Major Meek's previous intimation to Sheikh Abu Hadi that the Government could not recognise a covenant entered into by a captive officer, the sheikh informed Major Meek by letter that the Idrii was withdrawing forces on the Qahrah border, and that his tribesmen looked to him for protection according to their agreement with Colonel Jacob.

Major Meek replied, ignoring the request for protection, and advising Abu Hadi and his men to exercise restraint in order to avoid conflict with the Idrii, but conceding that if the Idrii attacked them, the British Government would not object if they sought the aid of the Idrii.

As an indication of our good intentions," added Major Meek, "I will send you 100 rifles and some ammunition, for defensive purposes only, if you will send a party of trustworthy men to receive them."

K. CORNWALLIS, Colonel,  
Director, Arab Bureau

Arab Bureau, Cairo, January 15, 1920.

[17775]

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—Received February 6.)

(No. 102  
(Telegraphic)

FRENCH High Commissioner called and informed me that he had received from General Gouraud to the effect that latter viewed situation in the north of Aleppo arising from events at Marash with grave concern. Situation was such that it could no longer be called armistice, and that hostilities between his forces and the Turks. General Gouraud's Turkish regular formations were moving from central Asia Minor towards Constantinople. I informed High Commissioner of this.

On the contrary, reports had been received to the effect that plans of Nationalist leaders were based on idea of concentrating all available forces on Smyrna and attacking on Greeks should Smyrna be given to latter by the Allies.

French High Commissioner is making representations to Turkish Government on subject of hostile movements of Turks against General Gouraud. Cabinet has no control over Nationalists, and its position even in Constantinople is insecure pending crystallization of attitude of Chamber towards it. On 31st January, Minister for Foreign Affairs in conversation with me put forward fresh complaints 2nd January, and he gave me to understand Turkish Government took most serious view of whole situation in Cilicia. I have no means of getting unbiased account of what happened in Marash area.

If, as seems possible, these events are beginning of general military operations on the part of Nationalists, the contingency may arise of French either asking us effectively to control movements of Turkish armed forces in General Milne's sphere of Asia Minor

or to give free hand to General Franchet d'Esperey to deal with them. Latter is relieved to have twenty battalions at his disposal in European Turkey. I contemplate representations to Turkish Government in support of my French colleague. (I am, Sir, your obedient servant, and Political, Baghdad, for General, Baghdad.)

176545 ME 44)

No. 55.

War Office to Foreign Office.—Received February 6.)

Secret.)

Sir,

War Office, February 6, 1920.

I AM commanded by the Army Council to forward, for the information of Earl Curzon of Kedleston, the enclosed copy of a telegram which has been sent to the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief the Army of the Black Sea.

The Army Council consider it most important that General Milne's position with regard to General Franchet d'Esperey should be strengthened as much as possible, so that they can see no grounds for the action which General Franchet d'Esperey has threatened to take in withdrawing French troops from General Milne's command. I am to say that the Army Council hope Lord Curzon will find it possible to reach the French Government on the subject, with a view to preventing any further interference on the part of General Franchet d'Esperey.

I am, Sir,

R. B. CLIBITT

February 3, 1920

That the garrison at Batoum be withdrawn with all equipment speed and quartered under your orders in Constantinople, and that the command in Constantinople should remain as heretofore in the hands of the British.

You will therefore inform General Franchet d'Esperey that, as he has thought fit to withdraw some of his troops from General Wilson's command, you are reinforcing General Wilson from Batoum. You will report to me the reason or pretext why General Franchet d'Esperey has withdrawn his troops, and the Foreign Office will be asked to call for an explanation as well.

When he sees this move on our part, persists in withdrawing more French troops from the Constantinople command, you will inform me what further reinforcements, if any, you will require. Please report your proposals re withdrawing the Batoum garrison, and you will of course carry out this move in conjunction with the Admiral.

A further telegram will be sent you as to the future regime in Batoum after your troops have been withdrawn, but two things remain clear: first, that your troops in Batoum will be withdrawn to Constantinople and remain under your orders; and secondly, that under no circumstances will the command of Constantinople pass to our hands.

[177085]

No. 56.

Dr. Weizmann to Earl Curzon.—Received February 7.)

My Lord,

Paris, February 4, 1920.

I HOPE you will find the enclosed report on our work in Palestine of some interest and use. It is my present intention to return to Palestine the end of February with the hope of initiating the programme of actual work therein outlined. I am particularly anxious, as I have already had occasion to inform your Lordship, that Dr. Arthur Ruppin, who is perhaps the foremost authority on Palestine, should accompany me. I am sure that his services would be most invaluable, and as Lord Allenby has no objection I hope that his going will meet with your approval.

I am, Sir,

CH. WEIZMANN



My Lord,

SUPPLEMENTING my conversation with your Lordship last week, I beg to submit for your consideration the following observations respecting Palestine:—

*The Arch Position in Palestine.*

It is not easy to give a clear account of the Arab position in Palestine. It is a complex situation, and the Arab position is affected by the political and military developments in Egypt and Syria. There is certainly an intense rivalry between Jerusalem and Damascus, and Jerusalem is the center of the Arab world. Many Arab forces, especially from Nablus, have entered the country, and the Syrian army is also present. The Syrian army and Turkish agents are coming through Palestine on their way to Egypt. The Arab position is becoming more and more complicated, and rumors spread with great rapidity throughout the country, often in an extremely exaggerated form.

In Palestine itself there are some clubs and circles, consisting chiefly of young men, in which nationalist ideas are preached and fostered. This nationalism is in a strong religious element, and the nationalist teaching assumes often the form of hostility against the infidel. It would be difficult to ascribe to this great political value. There is no political organization and no political action; the Arab families and tribes are much too divided among themselves. The nationalist movement is too pronounced. They are not welded together, and do not form, at present, anything like an homogeneous body.

The hostility against Zionism, which was so manifest six months ago, is due to various causes. Firstly, to ignorance of Zionist aims and methods. The Arabs were repeatedly told that the Jews were coming in masses into the country in order to dispossess them of their land and property. Naturally they became enemies of the Jews. Some exaggerated statements in the Jewish press and speeches of extremists like Mr. Zangwill have also served to mislead the Arabs as to the real aims of Zionists, and have done the Jewish cause in Palestine incalculable harm.

is second cause is also perhaps more economic than political. It is applicable to the effectors, or large landowners. These people were in a privileged position during the Turkish régime. They controlled large numbers of fellahs, or peasants, whom they beat white. They were the backbone of the Turkish government, and they continue to do so now under the temporary military British rule. (It is not for me to criticize their administrative methods and habits. No doubt the Foreign Office is aware of those from the reports of its own agents.) The establishment of the Jewish national home would lead no doubt in the course of time to a considerable change in the personnel and methods of administration, and this offends their privileged position slipping away from them. (He shares all European methods, feeling that they would mean a reform of the political system from which he profits. But the British being too strong for him, he uses them as a very convenient pretext in order to embarrass the

The presence in Palestine of numerous agents of great European Powers who try to influence the population. It is interesting to note that foremost amongst the Powers which display a considerable, and a somewhat dangerous, propaganda are the Italians. In that connection one should remember that in Palestine the Vatican and the secular Italian Government seem to be identical. The cleavage which exists in Rome is not apparent in Jerusalem; almost every religious order, particularly the Franciscans, are at the same time political agents. The "Unico di Milano," which is a Vatican link, is trying, even under the present military law, to create vested interests in Palestine by methods which cannot always be considered as the very best. The French propaganda has also been active and extensive, although recently, especially since the occupation of Syria by the French, it has abated, and is likely to diminish still more in the future if a settlement of the Anglo-French relations in the Near East is not unduly protracted. All these foreign influences operate on the population of Palestine and keep it in a state of unrest. They all appeal to its national and religious instincts, and they all make use of Zionism as a weapon against

The place in Palestine occupies a somewhat particular position, both in its attitude towards Britain and to Zionist policy, that is Nablus. Nablus is very powerful. The prosperity of Nablus is based chiefly on the olive-tree and the industry connected with the production of oil and soap. The chief argument against the Jews is that they are not successful with their oil industry. One important agitator based his opposition against me particularly on the ground that I was a chemist and probably had the intention of making soap in Palestine.

Nablus is also a powerful centre not merely of Mahommedan but of Turkish influence. Extensive communications are established between Nablus and Moustapha by rail. Large stores of arms and ammunition are accumulated there. Through the co-operation of the Zionist Intelligence Service several thousand bombs and rifles of German origin were recently discovered in Nablus.

The feeling in Nablus against the Jews, unlike in other parts of Palestine, is of long standing. No Jew has lived in Nablus or the neighbouring towns of Tiberias and Kalkia for centuries. The anti-Jewish feeling is due in no small measure to the ancient Samaritan community which still dwells in Nablus and still retains its belief in the animosity between Samaritans and Jews which was supposed to exist in Biblical times. These ancient prejudices will, however, I am convinced, disappear in time when the Samaritans see what help the Jews can be to them. I have been in very close touch with their High Priest, unfortunately a man of not a very high character, and not entirely reliable and trustworthy. As a result of our

The Zionist Commission has hitherto never concluded any marriage outside their own area. If intermarriage would take place it would contribute greatly towards the establishment of an entente between the Jewish community and the Arab community. It would in their turn use their good offices in order to pacify the Arab community. It is a good thing to break down prejudices which have been persisting for almost thirty centuries. Not from a political point of view it is very desirable that the Jewish community should be in a position to help the Arab community to the welfare of the Palestinian. The Zionist Commission has been doing a great deal of work in the schools and sending down some teachers, and also

to set up a bank in Nablus which would give long term  
distinct charge for the latter as compared with six months  
establish a branch of the Anglo-Palestine Company in Nablus  
hostility. I also visited Kalkilia and Tulkarm, and, outwardly at a  
receptant was most cordial. It would be erroneous to suppose that these signs of  
oriental cordiality denote a deep change in the Arabs' attitude, but one is driven to the  
conclusion from the experience one gathers in the country that the Arab hostility  
should and can be met by a frank, honest and bold policy. The Arabs were told that  
we were bringing numbers to Palestine to which we had an inalienable claim  
and that we were working for a well-organized immigration; that there was ample room  
land for us and for them, and that the development of the country would ensure to  
common benefits. On the whole such a statement is taken by the Arabs in a friendly  
spirit. They are suspicious, perhaps critical, and therefore I think that more  
propaganda on our part would not help matters. It is only through the beginning of  
actual work in Palestine, and the association of the Arabs with that work, that we can  
hope to remove completely their suspicion and distrust.

It should be remembered that Arab hostility towards Jews and Zionists is a product of comparatively recent development. The Arabs knew the general tendencies of Jewish colonising activity, and understood that it meant more to the Jews than the Jews themselves. At a time when Jews would be coming into the country in great numbers, still they never showed any hostility to our colonies; on the contrary, the relations between the colonists and their Arab neighbours were cordial. And even now a great many of our colonists have numerous connections with the Arab world, especially among the Bedouens, who

always come to them for advice and guidance. The case of our colony Metullah is interesting as an illustration of this. Metullah, which is at present in the French sphere (we trust only temporarily), is a Jewish village placed almost at the foot of the Hermon, rather away from the rest of the Galilean Jewish colonies. It is surrounded by a very mixed population of Arabs, both Christian and Mohammedan, Druses, Circassians and some Turks. There has never been any trouble between the colonists and their neighbours. The colonists are even now in this troublesome period the only Europeans who can go about unmolested in the remote Transjordanian districts of the Hauran and Jaulan. Our colonists receive daily numerous offers from landowners, big and small, and requests to come and buy property in various districts. Metullah has recently become a centre of disturbance, but that is due entirely to friction between French and Arabs. One notices the tendency of certain French agents to try to present the trouble in Upper Galilee as Arab hostility against the Jew, but the facts belie this contention. The British political officer, Colonel Waters Taylor, had an opportunity to watch and study from Haifa the conditions in North Galilee, and he would bear out this statement fully. I went over the whole of the Litani district, visited Meirah, and had ample opportunity of investigating the position. One could

conclude from a small number of visits to the area that the hostility to Jews and Jewish aims is not directed against the Jews, but against the French and not against the Jews. From many facts and observations gain

the conclusion that the hostility to Jews and Jewish aims is

working in the dark, operating against Great

East. These agencies assume very different aspects. They

the guise of Egyptian, Arab or Turkish nationalism, they sometimes utter

deceitful threats. These dark forces of destruction work on the imagination of the

primitive Bedouin, drive him to brigandage, pillage, and

as long as these political conditions in the Near East

the duty of the Zionists in Palestine to take the Arab movement seriously

and establish friendly relations with the Arab community on a basis of honest

co-operation. This is possible, and a great service would be thus rendered to the cause

of civilization in the Near East.

The Land Question

The land question is a crucial one. The Jewish national home must be rooted in

the soil and grow up about a sturdy Jewish peasantry. The improvement of the

poor state of the Arab fellahs also depends largely upon a proper handling of the land

question.

Palestine is at present very uneconomically cultivated. The Arab method of

agriculture is primitive and extensive. With irrigation, modern roads, sanitary

conditions, and the use of machinery and other methods of modern

more than one-sixth of the land which at present is used by

required to yield a livelihood for a family.

power of the large absentee landowners, the oppressive

ignorance of the fellahs combined to prevent a more

the Turkish regime.

The incidence of taxation at present falls almost wholly upon the

land. The development of intensive farming, which entails comparatively heavy

the un-economic use of the land and its retention solely for speculative gains. In the

interest not only of Jewish colonization, but the Arab peasant as well, the system

as the uncertainty of land titles existing in the country for the Zionists to take effective steps to acquire considerable areas of land. The first measures required to facilitate the Zionist program for the economic development of the country therefore is a cadastral survey.

Taxation reform and a cadastral survey would make for the improvement of the economic welfare of the country and incidentally tend to bring land into the market for Jewish colonization. But it is doubtful whether these measures would be adequate in

the price bearing any relation to the productive value of the land or at all comparable

to the price paid for similar land in other countries. The Zionists would have to rely

direct measures to secure access to the land. From the point of view of national

a great deal could be said in favour of the compulsory breaking

of the large latifundia which are wastefully cultivated

and in favour of settling Jews upon them, after first providing, of course, for the

of the present tenants. It would, however, probably be politically unwise to

to the present time for such measures, which might provoke host

upon the part of the landlords and lead to the intentions of the Zionists being

interpreted to the people. There are, however, large quantities of state lands, waste

and uncultivated lands in Palestine, and it seems to me only right and proper that

these should be turned over to the Zionists upon reasonable terms and conditions for

the purpose of colonization and development. Of course, the Zionists would have to

make satisfactory provision for the comparatively few tenants who are now dwelling on

such lands.

Public Works.

I shall attempt only to touch upon a few of the main types of public works which

should be carried out in Palestine in the nearest future. My remarks should be

by reference to the attached report of Sir Charles Metcalfe and Sir

Longue (a limited), which deals with a number of projects of public works and

which was drawn up after a technical inspection and survey of the country extending

over several miles.

Foremost among the public works which will have to be undertaken are those

connected with drainage and sanitation. The prevalence of malaria stands in the way

of any organized immigration. The vitality of the immigrants would be sapped and

imperilled by the malaria mosquito. The drainage of the marshes is of course

a thorough way to combat malaria, all other sanitary measures simply serve as a

means of the disease. The number of marshes to be

drained is relatively small and this work should be begun immediately.

The most important district is the lake of Huleh to the north of Tiberias. The

draining of the lake of Huleh would have a triple advantage: firstly, it would get rid

of the greatest centre of infection; secondly, it would set free a large tract of very

fertile land; and thirdly, it would make available for irrigation the waters of Meron

which are at present a source of disease, thereby increasing the fertility of the

neighbouring district perhaps tenfold. It is the opinion of competent engineers, both

British and Jewish including the head of the Department of Public Works of the

British Administration, that the drainage of the Huleh could also yield a very



The establishment of a harbour in Haifa, which is destined to become the leading port of Palestine and the neighbouring countries, should also receive early attention. Contrary to the usual projects, however, I should not contemplate the immediate extension of the harbour for two or three ships, as the trade of Haifa and the surrounding district is not yet sufficiently developed to justify at present the building of a costly modern harbour with all the necessary appliances. More extensive facilities can be provided when the needs of the country grows and develops. The views of the present Administrator of Palestine on this subject coincide with mine.

the further development of the present network of roads and railways, except for a

however, the present service of maintenance and repair stands

A few remarks should be made on the future of the railway. This railway at present is run at a loss of approximately 600,000 l. a year. It is, like Sir Charles Metcalfe, the losses could be greatly reduced by a more efficient management but even under the best conditions there is very little prospect of the railway yielding a return unless a through service could be

to agricultural purposes in the ordinary sense of the word, but it is sufficient to observe

I may be permitted to touch upon the subject of education, which is most important in the Zionist movement. The Zionist movement has attracted attention to the

development of a system of Hebrew education in Palestine. Before the advent of the Zionist movement into Palestine there was no unified system of Jewish education. Every European who came to Palestine brought with him his own system of education. Those schools taught in the

schools of the various nations, for instance, established an influence in Palestine through supporting the Hebrew language, and French influence spread in Palestine through the medium of the Jewish schools. The Zionist movement entered into competition with France for the hegemony in the Near East. The activities of the Hilfsverein Deutscher Juden in Palestine were directed towards the establishment of schools with German as their language of instruction.

the Hebrew language in Jerusalem, became a veritable tower of strength, and so Palestine, and particularly Jerusalem, became a veritable tower of strength.

fit for remaining in the country, but the Zionist movement has not yet succeeded in convincing them to look upon the country as their motherland. The Zionist movement has found its practical expression in the one fundamental demand for a national system of schools with one language of instruction, which naturally was Hebrew. Out of 15,000 Jewish children who go to school in Palestine, 14,000 are at present in the Zionist schools, but even the remaining 2,000 who are still in other schools are forcing them to adopt Hebrew as the language of instruction. This does not mean that there

approximately 200,000 inhabitants per square kilometre, there is room for another 155 million inhabitants per square kilometre. Assuming the proper boundaries for Palestine, it would contain approximately 30,000 square kilometres, and could support a population of 4,000,000 people. If one takes

into consideration that the Transjordanian plains are almost empty, that at present it is practically no man's land, and that it is very fertile and crossed by numerous streams. These estimates appear to be rather conservative.

Mention should be made also of the possibilities of trade and industry. With the ports of Haifa, Gaza and Jaffa, Palestine should enjoy much of the trade of the land of Syria and Arabia. It should become the point of transit between Mesopotamia and Egypt, when the Haifa-Damascus railway is extended to Bagdad. Trade communications should be maintained with the ports of the Black Sea, as the Jews coming from Russia, Roumania and other Balkan States would naturally maintain their connections with those countries. There should also be various industries in the country itself, like silk-weaving, carpet-making, glass, industries connected with agriculture, wine, &c.

### Colonisation and Defence of Transjordan

I should like to add a few words on the subject of the maintenance of law and order immediately after the publication of the mandate. I had many opportunities of discussing the question with the competent authorities in Palestine. It is generally thought that during the next two or three years, especially if the fermentation in Egypt gradually be replaced by a militia or gendarmerie recruited locally and under British guidance and tutelage. But the weak point is such a scheme centres about the defence of Transjordan. The eastern frontier of Palestine is always open to the incursions of bedouin tribes, and it can only be rationally defended through the planting of a settled population in Transjordan. Already the Turkish Government tried to meet the bedouin danger by founding Circassian villages, especially in the north-eastern part of Palestine. In the vast unpopulated plains of Transjordan are particularly suitable for Syria, it would seem from every point of view desirable to bring into those districts Transcaucasians who are capable as here, good agriculturists and who have for centuries a pure Hebrew tradition. Their number is about 60,000 to 70,000, and from numerous letters and petitions which reach us at present they are all ready to emigrate. During my stay in Palestine two representatives of these Jews arrived there, having made their way on foot from Daghestan. Such people would form a most valuable nucleus for the colonisation and defence of Transjordan.

### Immediate Programme.

What is now required above all else in Palestine is the beginning of actual work. In this respect there has been too much talk from all quarters. The starting of work is bound to relieve the political situation from both Arab and Jewish points of view. For all practical purposes it may be accepted as a fact accomplished that Palestine is to be placed under a British mandate and to be re-established as the national home. With the co-operation and under the supervision of His Majesty's Government the Zionist Organisation can now quietly and unambiguously begin its constructive work.

A modest programme has been prepared by the Zionists with the help of experts, and this has met with encouragement from Lord Allenby and the Administration in Palestine, as is evidenced from letters annexed to this report. We are prepared to initiate this programme at once. It calls for the improvement and extension of a number of the existing colonies and the preparation of the soil, looking towards the establishment of new settlements, particularly upon tracts of the State domains. It includes an extensive housing programme, as at present the dire lack of accommodation stands in the way of even a most restricted immigration. The erection of 1,000 houses in various parts of the country would be insufficient to meet the demands of the coming year. Our housing programme will require the setting-up of a number of factories to manufacture and prepare bricks, tiles, slabs and other building materials.

Zionists are also prepared to undertake experiments in the afforestation of the desert areas placed at their disposal.

As the malaria swamps must be drained at once, if the Government is not prepared to carry out this most urgent public work, the Zionists would also undertake it under arrangements which would enable them to acquire the land reclaimed.

The magnitude of the Zionist work in Palestine is not to be underestimated. The land which is now used mainly for education

and it will be greatly increased as soon as the possibility of constructive work becomes a reality.

The Zionists will carry through their programme without the full-hearted co-operation of the Government. In order to restore more normal conditions in the country and to make it possible for the Zionists to undertake the contemplated work once by His Majesty's Government:-

1. The enactment of the Land Ordinance which was submitted to His Majesty's Government some time ago by the Chief Administrator with the approval of the Chief Political Officer.

This ordinance would modify the present prohibition of land transfers and permit small stretches of land to be transferred under proper conditions. The embargo was necessary during the war to prevent illicit transactions and harmful speculations in land but its usefulness has now ceased. It is crippling the economic life of the country and causing great hardship. Unrestricted dealings in land would almost be preferable to the present all-embracing embargo, which prevents even the most legitimate and necessary transactions.

The Land Ordinance proposed by the Administration does not permit all transactions in land, but endows the authorities with powers adequate to prevent speculation and abuse. It permits, in a limited degree, transfers necessary to the resumption of the normal economic life of the country. It would enable the peasants and small proprietors to obtain credit upon their land. It would enable the Zionists to obtain small tracts of land and to start their building programme. It would rid the present state and abnormal economic state.

2. The setting up of a Land Commission for the following purposes:-

- (a.) To make a cadastral survey
- (b.) To revise the present system of taxation so as to encourage the close settlement of the land.

- (c.) To arrange terms and conditions under which State, waste and other lands

are to be transferred to the Zionist Organisation for colonisation and development, the rights of the present cultivators of such lands being equitably safeguarded.

The making of a cadastral survey has been greatly simplified by modern improvements in the art of aero-photography. One of the leading experts in this field is now in Palestine, and the Zionists would be pleased to place him at the service of the Government. He has with him the most modern photographic appliances and equipment and would be prepared to undertake this work.

3. The modification of the present immigration laws.

The present immigration laws, which restrict the entry of Jewish immigrants to Palestine, would not advance the Zionist cause. But the gates cannot be kept closed for ever, and the more regulated immigration is allowed the easier it will be for the Zionist Organisation to allay the growing impatience of the masses and to control the flow of immigration in the future. There is no reason why expert and technical men desiring to familiarise themselves with the conditions and possibilities of the country, business men contemplating establishing productive enterprises in the land, and other people who in the process of the Zionist Committee would be likely to find productive employment and not become a charge upon the community, should not be permitted to enter Palestine. It is believed that the present military administration might be quite prepared to accept the recommendations of



the Zionist Commission in this matter. With the consent of His Majesty's Government, there should be no difficulty therefore in working out a policy of immigration along the lines suggested.

#### 4. The drainage of malaria breeding swamps.

As already indicated the only effective way of combating malaria, which is the curse of the country, is through the drainage of the swamps. The American Medical Unit is doing great constructive work in fighting malaria, but the more active co-operation of the Government in the drainage of the swamps is required. If the Government is not prepared to do this work directly, the Zionists, as stated above, would undertake to do it under arrangements which would enable them to acquire the land reclaimed.

#### 5. The placing of stretches of dunes and hills at the disposition of the Zionists for experiments in afforestation.

In order to enable the Zionists to carry out contemplated experiments in afforestation on a larger scale than has heretofore been possible, suitable arrangements should be made to place at their disposition stretches of dunes and hills.

#### 6. Reforms in the Administration.

The Administration in Palestine is at present in a state of chaos. The officials, almost solely Syrian, are inefficient and corrupt. In the personnel of the post-offices, railways and other administrative offices would, in my judgment, make for increased efficiency and a better esprit de corps.

I am conscious that this report is not entirely unbiased. The Zionist idea is so much a part of my being that it naturally must influence my judgment. But I have tried, however, as far as possible to have my conclusions confirmed by trained experts and corroborated by the British authorities on the spot. It is now more than ever my firm conviction not only that Palestine will prove the best means of solving as a part of the world's peace the difficult and far-reaching Jewish problem, but that it will as the Jewish national home prove a source of strength and satisfaction to its mandatory, Great Britain, which stands for in the East.

I have, &c.

CH. WEIZMANN

Enclosure 2 in No. 56

Field Marshal Viscount Allenby to Mr. Lloyd George

Dear Prime Minister,

Cairo, December 24, 1919

Dr. WEIZMANN, the bearer of this letter, is leaving Egypt to-morrow after a prolonged visit to Palestine. He will no doubt explain to you the State of Zionism in Palestine.

I should like to add that Dr. Weizmann has been of great assistance to my administration, his moderate views having gone a long way to ameliorate the political conditions in Palestine and restore the confidence of the Arab in the Jew.

Dr. Weizmann has prepared a comprehensive programme for the preliminary work necessary to inaugurate the Jewish national home, and I trust he will return to Palestine at no distant date to give me the benefit of his further advice.

Yours sincerely,

ALLENBY

Enclosure 1 in No. 57

General Bala to the Chief of the Imperial General Staff.

Headquarters, Occupied Enemy Territory Administration  
(South), Jerusalem, December 21, 1919.

I am sending this to you by Dr. Weizmann. He has been out here a couple of days and has done such good work in dealing with all matters in a quiet and

impartial way. I think there is little doubt that antagonism to Zionism has been reduced by his action, and my view, after a month as Chief Administrator, is that there will be no serious difficulty in introducing a large number of Jews into the country provided it is done without ostentation. There are a few paid agitators, and of course their cry for an individual Syria will.

I am anxious to see the country developed quickly in order to make the people content and to prevent them from being forced to make the budget balance. The Government should be ready to meet the financial needs of the country. I am a bigger financial adviser than I am a Zionist.

With such a loan—say, 10 or 20 million—I feel certain I can develop the country quickly and make it pay, and gradually the population should increase from 900,000 to 2½ million. There is plenty of room for this. The country should hold a million instead of its present thousand.

But we must have water. The northern and eastern frontiers must be arranged to ensure control of the Litani River and the Jordan. These matters are of no use to the British and eastern neighbours, and they are essential to me.

I hope, then, that—

You will send Weizmann

You will send Sir H. B.

If this is done I can promise you a country of milk and honey in ten years, and I can promise you will not be bothered by anti-Zion difficulties.

I have written this to you, as I do not know Lord Curzon sufficiently well to write a note.

Early information of the probable date of the mandate will help me.

Sincerely yours,

L. J. HOLDS

176806 ME 44

No 57.

War Office to Foreign Office, (Received February 7.)

Sir,

War Office, February 6, 1920

With reference to Army Council letter dated the 21st November and your letter dated the 3rd December, and previous correspondence on this subject, I am commanded by the Army Council to forward, for the information of Earl Curzon of Kedleston, and to General Allenby, the following information.

I understand that Lord Curzon is making the point, which are alluded to in the letter, that the Council would be obliged if they could be furnished, in due course, with a copy of the communication made to the French Government. They will forward to Lord Curzon a copy of General Milne's reply to their telegram as soon as it has been received.

I am, &c.

B. B. CUBITT

Enclosure 1 in No. 57

War Office to General Milne (Black Sea).

(Telegraphic)

War Office, February 3, 1920

YOUR telegram of the 29th January. It was decided by the Cabinet this morning under your orders in Constantinople, and that the command in Constantinople shall remain as heretofore in the hands of the British. You will therefore inform General Franchet d'Esperey that as he has considered it fit to withdraw a portion of his troops from General Wilson's command, you are reinforcing General Wilson from Batoum. You will report to me the reason why or pretext upon which General Franchet

General Franchet d'Esperey has withdrawn his troops, and the Foreign Office will also be requested to ask for an explanation.

If, when he sees this move on our part, General Franchet d'Esperey persists in withdrawing more French troops from the Constantinople command and you will inform me what further reinforcements, if any, you will require. Please report your proposals re withdrawing the Batoum garrison, and you will of course carry out this move in conjunction with the Admiralty.

A further telegram will be sent you as to the future regime in Batoum after your troops have been withdrawn, but two things remain clear:-

1. That your troops in Batoum will be withdrawn to Constantinople and remain under your orders.
2. That under no circumstances will the command of Constantinople pass from our hands.

Enclosure 2 in No. 57

War Office to General Bridges, Constantinople.

(Telegraphic)

War Office, February 3, 1920

FOLLOWING has been sent to General Milne:-

"This morning the Cabinet decided that the garrison at Batoum be withdrawn with all convenient speed and quartered under your orders in Constantinople, also that the command of Constantinople remain in the hands of the British.

You will therefore inform General Franchet d'Esperey that you are reinforcing General Wilson's command. You will report to me the reason why or pretext which General Franchet d'Esperey has withdrawn his troops: we will request Foreign Office to call for an explanation as well.

"If, when he sees this move on our part, General Franchet d'Esperey persists in withdrawing more French troops from the Constantinople command and you will inform me what further reinforcements you will require, if any. Please report your proposals re withdrawing the Batoum garrison, you will of course carry out this move in conjunction with the Admiralty.

"A further telegram will be sent you as to the future regime in Batoum after your troops have been withdrawn, but two things remain clear: First that your troops in Batoum will be withdrawn to Constantinople and remain under your orders; secondly, that under no circumstances will the command of Constantinople pass from our hands."

2. General Franchet d'Esperey's procedure cannot but afford grave dissatisfaction to His Majesty's Government. By unwarranted interference with General Milne's dispositions for the control of Constantinople, he has tended more to the creation of an impossible situation. The Government are quite determined that under no circumstances will they allow the British commander to be ousted by any other force.

Franchet d'Esperey's part, which you should make perfectly clear to him forthwith. Also at your discretion you may hint that we may be compelled to ask the Government to press for his removal on personal grounds if he persists in this line of action.

[177156 ME 58,

No. 58.

Mr. Hardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 8,

N 1. (Confidential)

(Telegraphic) R.

Tiflis, February 2, 1920

FOLLOWING for Georgian Delegation, Paris:-

Message re recognition of de facto Georgian Government received on 12th January.

"Entire Georgian people ready to defend independence. On partial mobilization, can place 60,000 under arms. On general mobilization, 200,000. Munitions of war, provisions, urgently needed. Trying to hasten help. Point out that we can wholly defend ourselves, provided Black Sea littoral guaranteed."

"Bolshevik proposal of military agreement refused, at the same time accepting political negotiations based on principle of independence and non-intervention in internal affairs, with a view to establishment of good neighbourly relations. No reply to the present."

"Country quite orderly"

"State organisation proceeding on solid foundations. No dissension. Food crisis alone hindering labour. Finance disorganised, chiefly owing to artificial rise of foreign currency."

"Take such steps as you properly can to hasten final solution of Batoum problem. We await daily solution favourable to the province as well as to Georgia. Georgian Government consents that Batoum remain British base, but administration of province and town should be Georgian."

"Strong Bolshevik and Turkish propaganda now in Batoum. These frontiers of republic quite unprotected. Mortal danger threatens Great Britain as well as unless urgent measures are taken. Georgians relying on popular sympathy of Batoum province; can establish necessary order and definitely guarantee frontiers from possible Turco-Bolshevik invasion.—GURGENKHAORI (sic), Minister for Foreign Affairs."

176894 ME 58]

No. 59

Mr. Hardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 7)

N 54

Tiflis, February 6, 1920

"I HAVE received a cypher message, purporting to come from General Milne, stating that, under orders from home, British are evacuating Batoum forthwith."

"I have had no indication whatever that such a step was contemplated, and I am not even now aware to whom it is proposed to hand over Batoum."

"The one stabilising influence which has kept Transcaucasia quiet has been our occupation of Batoum."

"I am sure His Majesty's Government can possibly realise momentous consequences of such a step."

"I am sure that Great Britain will not allow Transcaucasia, and abandon it to its fate."

"I earnestly request that any orders given for evacuation should be immediately rescinded and that a decision in the matter be deferred until I have been able to consult with the British Government."

"I am, Sir, very respectfully,  
Your obedient servant,  
N. B. Curzon."

[177317,

No. 60

Acting High Commissioner, Constantinople, to Earl Curzon. (Received February 9)

(No. 102)

My Lord,

Constantinople, January 18, 1920

"I HAVE the honour to invite your Lordship's attention to a report entitled 'The Nationalist Movement in Turkey,' compiled by the General Staff, Army of the Black Sea, under date of the 10th January, 1920, a copy of which has, I assume, been sent to you."

"This report is a summary of reports received from the various military control officers in the interior, in reply to a questionnaire issued by the General Staff on the 28th October. There are two points with regard to it on which I submit the following observations:-

(a) Little or no mention is made of the present unsatisfactory condition of the Christian population in the interior and their precarious future should the Nationalist leaders consider it advantageous to adopt violent methods of oppression as a means to bring pressure to bear on European opinion.

(b) There is a general tendency unduly to belittle the potential strength of the National movement. Considered from the standpoint of an officer living in a provincial centre, the local nationalist organisations may well appear to have lost ground during the past few months, to have a restricted number of adherents, and to possess no hold over the sympathies of the greater part

[4370]

11



of the population. Moreover, the very number of the separate local organisations may give the impression that there is no common purpose among them, and no general organisation connecting them and directing them as a whole.

I do not consider that this view is correct. It is true that the supporters of the movement are everywhere in a minority; they are, however, the energetic and enterprising minority such as, I understand, has always dominated the movement in this country, whilst the rest of the population is passive and accepts the situation. The enforcement of drastic peace terms by the Allies will automatically bring them into the arms of the Nationalists, and again set the organisation on its feet. At the same time the Allies will not be able to count upon any support in imposing such terms from the moderate and pro-Entente elements of the population and their political and local leaders, who would otherwise have been at one with them in wishing to desist from the Committee of Union and Progress and to re-establish normal conditions in the provinces.

I venture to submit, as I have frequently done before, that if it is intended to enforce drastic peace terms in Anatolia it will not be possible to carry this into effect unless the Allies are prepared to support their decisions by the employment of physical force to break the National movement.

I have, &c.  
RICHARD WEBB.

[177607 ME 44.]

No. 61

Rear-Admiral Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 10.)

My Lord,  
With reference to my despatch dated the 15th September, 1919, relative to the Capitulations, I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your Lordship's information, and to inform you that the Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs has replied to his Excellency in reply.

2. I would invite your Lordship's attention to the second paragraph of the letter from the Sublime Porte, in which there is (1) recognition of the fact that a state of war exists between Turkey and Greece, and (2) an assumption that a fundamental principle of future peace is the unrestricted sovereignty of Governments within their territorial limits.

3. Copies of the letter received from the Minister for Foreign Affairs, and of the post notes dated the 10th September, are being sent to His Majesty's Minister at Athens.

I have, &c.  
RICHARD WEBB,  
Acting High Commissioner

Enclosure in No. 61

Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs to British High Commissioner, Constantinople

Sublime Porte, le 20 décembre 1919.  
J'ai l'honneur de vous adresser, par votre Excellence, les copies de la lettre que votre Excellence a adressée le 15 septembre dernier, conjointement avec les Excellences MM. les Hauts Commissaires de France et d'Italie, au sujet des capitulations en faveur des sujets hellènes résidant en Turquie du régime privilégié qui leur était appliqué avant la guerre.

Je tiens à vous faire remarquer que la guerre a mis fin à tous les traités internationaux grecs-turcs, l'état de paix n'ayant pas encore été rétabli, les Hellènes ne peuvent pour le moment reconnaître aux étrangers relevant l'état n'ayant aucun traité avec le Gouvernement impérial, que le principe fondamental admis pour la paix future est celui de la pleine souveraineté du Gouvernement territorial dans ses possessions, j'ai l'honneur de faire observer que le régime antérieur des Hellènes n'aurait en pratique été mis en vigueur en raison de l'état de choses actuel.

Aussi, vu les inconvénients qui résulteraient de la mise en suspens dans la période de transition, les capitulations, les Hellènes ne se soumettent pas aux agents de la force publique ottomane, les injurent; se refusent à payer les impôts du pays, se soustraient à l'application des lois du pays, se soustraient à l'application des infractions même graves, laissent les agents de la Dette publique ottomane, et le régime et la fermeté de la justice.

C'est état de choses, qui menace gravement la sécurité publique et l'ordre, exige un prompt et efficace en raison de la tendance des Hellènes à aller de la situation existant au-dessus des lois et de toute sanction. En soumettant ces considérations à la bienveillance de votre Excellence, la prière de vouloir bien m'adresser des instructions en conséquence à la garde nationale impériale. Veuillez agréer, &c.

Pour le Ministre le Sous-Secrétaire d'Etat  
ISMAIL BULNANY.

Enclosure 2 in No. 61.

British High Commissioner to Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs

Constantinople, le 9 janvier 1920.  
Les Hauts-Commissaires de France, de Grande-Bretagne et d'Italie ont l'honneur de vous adresser, au Ministère impérial des Affaires étrangères, la lettre en date du 15 septembre 1919.

Les considérations contenues dans cette lettre concernant la paix, votre Excellence Monsieur Mustafâ Reuch Pacha leur ont été communiquées pour leur refus de l'application des capitulations, alors que le Gouvernement impérial conteste la qualité du Commissaire hellénique. Le Gouvernement impérial a été en guerre avec la Grèce.

Les Hellènes n'ont pas reconnu les traités antérieurs par la guerre. Ils ne peuvent pas reconnaître les traités antérieurs par la guerre. Ils ne peuvent pas reconnaître les traités antérieurs par la guerre.

A DEFRANCE  
RICHARD WEBB  
MAÏSSA

E47 47 41]

No. 62

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 12.)

Sir,  
I HAVE the honour to forward, for your information, copy of letter dated the 2nd January, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have, &c.  
J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

(Secret)

My dear Lord Allenby.

Aden, January 28, 1920

THE following is a summary of news reported since the despatch of the 1st dated the 14th January, 1920—

Imam.

A Turkish officer, named Sabri Effendi, came into Hodeida on the 14th inst. for repatriation. According to him the Imam is universally disliked on account of his harsh treatment and heavy exactions. All the Turks now in the Yemen would gladly surrender if they received the necessary assistance from the Imam, which is not forthcoming. Should at any time the Imam consent to their surrender, they will come via Aden and not Hodeida, as he is afraid of their joining the Idri. The Turkish lives are unable to come to Aden, as they have no money. They are in a most deplorable condition, especially the widows, orphans and Turkish pensioners. They receive from the Imam only  $\frac{1}{4}$  a kadah ( $1\frac{1}{2}$  maund) of barley monthly. Mahmud Nadhim has strongly represented their case to the Imam, and has asked him either to provide them with sufficient maintenance or to arrange for their repatriation. Sabri Effendi expects some good result to come out of the representation.

Mahmud Nadhim has also written to the American Consul asking him to arrange to forward to the Turkish Government a letter asking for 20,000l. to enable him to arrange for the repatriation of the Turks in Yemen. This letter came into my hands on the 14th inst. The Turkish Government itself furnished money for its officers and their families I had no objection to offer.

Referring to the deputation from the King of Hedjaz, Sabri Effendi said that the deputation discussed matters in strict secrecy. He, however, heard from a reliable source that the Imam had declined the King's offer. The Imam is not likely to agree to any terms the Imam wishes to impose.

On the 14th inst. in the fighting between the Imam and the Idri forces on the 4th instant the Imam lost seven killed. He heard a report that the Idri's men had attacked and captured two guns from the Imam's forces in Beni Saad in about 2,000 men. The Imam is preparing to revolt against the Imam.

The Sheikh of Hama has addressed a letter to the Political Officer, Hodeida, in which they state that the Imam has occupied their country, but that they do not like his government, as the people are badly treated. They are capable of turning out, but need ammunition. They ask the British Government to espouse their cause and protect them.

Akil Umar Saghar, of the Jamalia section of the Qahra, in a letter dated the 4th January, 1920, refers to the agreement signed by Colonel Jacob, and asks for

He says that the Imam's army has surrounded the Qahra country in all directions and a force is collected in the Al Taraf and Beni Saad country. He has had a conference with some of the Qahra tribes, and has received by Syed Abdul Kadir from the Imam a promise to attack Bajil and Hodeida and to furnish him with arms and ammunition.

On the 2nd instant Sheikh Abu Hadi sent in for the perusal of the Political Officer Hodeida, two telegrams in original received by Syed Abdul Kadir from the Imam. In one the Imam entreats Syed Abdul Kadir to settle the question of Al Taraf and Mudawala as to hostages, tribes, &c., and to ensure peace to those who are loyal to himself. The Imam hopes to get their active co-operation in a holy war which he intends to wage unceasingly against the friends of the British. He refers to the refusal of Shihab in our protectorate as a great victory.

The other telegram acknowledges a telegram sent by the ex-Vah Mahmud Nadhim promising on behalf of the Imam not to interfere with the Qahra. The promise is confirmed on condition that the latter remain faithful and obedient to the Imam. It is hoped that they will fight with him in the cause of religion. They are assured that the Imam will exact in connection with their recent conduct in detaining the mission.

In a subsequent telegram the Imam is reported to have accused Syed Abdul Kadir of arguing with the Idri, and ordered him to leave Bajil with his friends, as he has proved himself unworthy to be his amil in the Tehama.

An informant from Bajil reports that Sheikh Mahomed Ahmed of Haggala, with the Al Taraf and Beni Kurash sections of the Qahra tribe, has made submission to the Imam.

The informant states that in a joint telegram the Imam and the ex-Vah Mahmud Nadhim have warned the Qahra sheikhs that unless the Idri retires from the frontier they will send a big expedition against them with a train of artillery extending from the gate of Shabara to Hodeida, and will kill their women and children. The informant confirms the order to Syed Abdul Kadir to leave Bajil.

The fighting between the Idri and Imam in the Beni Saad country continues. News received shows that the Imam's men have been worsted and forced to retire, and a telegram from Major Meek shows that the Idri troops have entered Bajil amidst a rejoicing population.

Jamil Bey, a nephew of Mahmud Nadhim, has come into Hodeida from Bajil. He made the following statement—

His uncle does not wish to remain in the Yemen, but is anxious to get his family out first. On his departure from Bajil, he asked Jamil Bey to stay at Bajil and await the result of the negotiations. Once they are out, it will not be difficult for his uncle to leave the Yemen. Owing to the fighting between the Imam's men and the tribes lying on the Bajil and the Haggala route, the telegraph line is interrupted, and, in consequence, he has had no communication from his uncle as to what he has done. He has therefore come to Hodeida to speak to the Political Officer on the subject. He intends to stay in Hodeida or go back to Bajil to await the result. He cannot leave for his country until he knows that his uncle's arrangement has failed.

As the propaganda carried on by his uncle, Jamil Bey said that his uncle had nothing to gain by it, but he was forced to do it in order to please the Imam. It serves

Yemen still holds good, and to detain the Vah and other Turks in the Yemen. He does not think that the Imam would allow the Turks to leave Yemen willingly.

In drawing a comparison between the Imam and the Idri, Jamil Bey stated that the Imam was merely ambitious, and covetous. The Idri was a better ruler for the Tehama, where the inhabitants were Shafaa, and his administration was fairer than that of the other.

When the Imam's forces were collected at Memkha, Beni Saad and Hodeida, he estimated their number at 6,000 to 7,000 men. The Imam's collecting troops at Beni Saad and Hodeida was to engage the Abu and the Zarnik, and prevent them from joining the other tribes of the north, such as the Qahra, Beni Saad, &c. Jamil Bey thinks that the Imam will never spare the Qahra, because they have irritated him, firstly by stopping the mission from going to him, and secondly, because they have sought the Idri's protection.

Jamil Bey says that the strength of the Idri force on the Qahra frontier is about 700 men. He thinks that with this small number it is impossible for the Idri to cope with the larger strength of the Imam's forces. If the Idri throws in more men, he might get the upper hand, as he will have the co-operation of the local tribes, who are in his favour.

Jamil Bey expressed his opinion that Government should at once intervene and stop the fighting between the parties, and that they should not abandon Hodeida in favour of either party. The Imam should remain within his own limits, and the Idri should not go beyond Zaidiya. Until this is made clear to them they will not cease fighting.

An akil of Beit-el-Fakh who lately visited Hodeida stated that all the Zaranika were in favour of the Idri, except Sheikh Munassar Saghar and his small party. Sheikh Munassar has been trying hard to get the Imam's men in. He lately went and saw Sheikh Suwaiman Bukheit, of the Abwa, at Ar Had.



According to latest news telegraphed by Major Meek, an Idrisi force entered Bay on the 14th January amid popular rejoicings, and men have been posted on the main roads in Qubra and Abos territory. Idrisi orders were promulgated in Marsa on 20th idem. The Zaranika threatens to expel the imam from Zubaid.

#### Aden Protectorate.

In his latest letter, received here on the 25th instant, the imam informs me he has issued instructions to his representatives at Dala that, unless they are attacked by the British, they are not to leave the protectorate. He, however, does not withdraw from Dala pending the arrival of our mission, and continues to regard his operations there as a matter of internal administration.

The Upper Yafin are organising resistance under the leadership of Sultan Saleh bin-Omar against the Zaidis. I have sent Sultan Saleh 10,000 rounds of ammunition.

The Amr al-Hind has been in the area since the 1st of the month. He has been in the area since the 1st of the month.

On the 3rd day, any further attempt on his part the tribesmen cannot be maintained in the field of a properly-organised supply and transport with the Amr in the area.

The Alawi sheikh writes that the Zaidis have come into Tafsa close to his border and makes a pressing request for British troops to be moved up to An Soda and Al. I am unable to comply, as, with the present force under my command, it is impossible for me to advance beyond my advanced camp at Nohat Duk.

I have sent the Government to a telegram I have sent to the Government. I could send to the imam's letter asking for further Arab attacks on the Zaidis, provided the latter would agree to restrain Amr, as he had already started on his march.

I should want on the imam's evacuation of our protectorate before holding conversations with him either in Aden or elsewhere. I am also strong of opinion that we should support our protected chiefs with our troops, but the size of the forces at present under my command renders me powerless to enforce any demands I may make, and the Arabs themselves are of no account for offensive operations.

M. STEWART.

E 291 289 44.

N.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 18.)

(No. 107.)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Constantinople, February 6, 1920.

Your telegram No. 27 of 10th January.

High Commissioners have decided to proceed with formation of Inter-Allied Tribunal. I have drawn attention of my colleagues to your points regarding need for subsequent indemnity.

Do you also now agree to reopening of Consular Court? Italians have already opened theirs, and French will do so when suitable accommodation can be secured. Both point out that access to Consular Court is conditional on reciprocity, and that if our Court does not function, British subjects will be disabled from instituting proceedings against French or Italians.

Once we have laid down principle that capitulations are in force notwithstanding state of war it is difficult to see what international ground there would be for contesting validity of jurisdiction of Consular Court.

A definite ruling is required to enable me to reply to my colleagues, but there is no need for Judge Grain to hurry back here till he has had his full leave. I should be glad to learn when he may be expected.

E 58 3 44.

N.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 12.)

N.

Constantinople, February 10, 1920.

My telegram No. 107 of 10th January.

Government has made terms with Nationalists by consenting to retention of

Ministers.

Reconstruction took place on 7th and 8th February, and reconstructed Cabinet obtained practically unanimous vote of confidence in Chamber on 9th February.

Ministers sacrificed to Nationalists are Foreign Affairs, Interior and Justice. Successors are Nationalist nominees, though not men in forefront of movement. Minister of Agriculture has also resigned.

New Minister for Foreign Affairs is Sefik Bey, who acted in same capacity during Pasha's absence in Paris last summer. He is diplomatist of second-rate

policy presents no new features. It contains internal reforms with assistance of foreign specialists; protest

complete hold on Government, though they have rather thought it unnecessary or

complete hold on Government, though they have rather thought it unnecessary or

E 32 3 44.

No 65

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 12.)

N. 112.)

(place.)

Constantinople, February 10, 1920.

Mr. HAROLD BUXTON, who left Adana 4th February, gives following account:

At Adana, which was still isolated, said to be burning and great part destroyed, hands, possibly with Arabs co-operating, were active throughout districts of Marash, Hageh and Beye.

After fighting at Marash had begun, inhabitants of some seven Armenian villages were taken to Adana. Administrator of Cilicia puts down number of

French at Adana say Nationalist plan is to advance south in three columns through Marash, Adana, and Antakya, and then to Antakya.

Colonel Beaumont comments that he has situation in hand.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

At Adana, the Armenians have been murdered.

[E 103 3 44]

No. 66

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. (Received February 11.)

N 127

Constantinople, February 12, 1920

MY telegram No. 109 of 6th February. I have most disastrous reports from the situation in the city which it would, in my opinion, be most desirable to publish.

If, therefore, it has not been definitely decided to deprive Turks of Constantinople, I suggest that, even if it is not possible to make any immediate public announcement, I may at least be authorised to make some tranquillizing communication in that sense which might tend to allay present excitement and obviate to some extent danger of excesses now threatened.

My French colleague and my Italian colleague are telegraphing in similar senses.

E 102 3 44]

No. 67

Mr. Aneurin Williams, M.P., to Mr. Phipps. (Received February 14.)

Dear Mr. Phipps,

House of Commons, February 13, 1920

CILICIAN MASSACRES. When I left you a copy of my cable from Constantinople to-day I promised copies of two others; here they are. I have not shown either of them to the French Embassy, but I gave them a copy of the one from Constantinople. I also sent a copy of it to Mr. Balfour, as the secretary at the French Embassy thought the matter might be brought before the League of Nations Committee by M. Bourgeois (I was surprised at that).

I have also put down a few lines in answer to the letter from Mr. Williams.

Yours faithfully,  
ANURIN WILLIAMS

Enclosure 1 in N 127

From Chief Agent of Lord Mayor of London's Fund for Relief of Armenian Refugees.

Constantinople, February 11, 1920

REHRET must confirm news of massacre of 1,500 Armenians at end of January near Marash by Nationalist bands. On 1st February two Americans, James Parr, General Secretary International Y.M.C.A., Turkey, and Johnson, his colleague, were killed, believed by same bands, near Aintab. Much indignation is aroused here who call for strong hand against these continued outrages.

Enclosure 2 in No. 67

Cablegram from Constantinople.

LES ANGLAIS ont reçu de Constantinople, le 11 février, un télégramme de M. de Robeck, Vice-Amiral, adressé au Haut-Commissaire de France à Beyrouth. Le télégramme, en langue et très énergique dépêche suivante dans laquelle, en exposant la situation des Arméniens dans la région qui se trouve en véritable état de siège, le Vice-Amiral dénonce l'attitude des autorités d'occupation qui, malgré leurs nombreuses et fréquentes démarches, n'ont rien fait pour défendre les Arméniens de Cilicie ou du moins pour leur permettre de se défendre eux-mêmes. Dans cette dépêche est signalé que par cette situation le prestige français et l'influence des autorités civiles et ecclésiastiques arméniennes sont sérieusement ébranlés aux yeux des populations arméniennes dont l'exaspération a atteint paroxysme, et mesures suivantes sont indiquées comme indispensables pour calmer et rassurer Arméniens. Formation

milices exclusivement arméniennes; épuration gendarmerie turque des éléments suspects musulmans; recrutement gendarmes chrétiens pour assurer la sécurité absolue et rétablir l'ordre général populations arméniennes pour relèvement de son poste du Gouverneur du Djebel Bereket, Capitaine André, personifiant aux yeux arméniens politique musulmane. Si réclamations sont pas satisfaites sans plus délai toutes les autorités arméniennes se verront forcées démissionner en bloc déclinant responsabilité ultérieure. Conseil mixte, Patriarcat arménien, a adressé aujourd'hui aux Hauts-Commissaires allés à Constantinople, une note formulée dans le même sens. Dans cette note est déclaré que la responsabilité morale des Alliés se trouve désormais engagée la grave question des Arméniens éparpillés et dispersés malgré eux à travers la Turquie vaincue et occupée.

Enclosure 3 in No. 67

Telegramme du Patriarcat arménien à Boghos Nubar Pacha, Président de la  
Délegation nationale arménienne, Paris

Constantinople, le 6 février 1920

UNION ARMÉNIENNE, Adana, informe que régiments turcs et bandes nationalistes ont envahi région Marash Zaitoun plus 2,000 Arméniens. Cilicie et autres agglomérations arméniennes sont en danger. Population Cilicie exige armes pour se défendre. Situation alarmante. Avertissez organisations amies. — ZAYEN

E 122 3 44]

No. 68

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. (Received February 14.)

N 128

Constantinople, February 13, 1920

MY telegram No. 109 of 6th February

On 10th February General Mahe informed me that he had received very disgusting news from his control officer at Konia, who informed him that regiments disarmed had been set on to excite the population and that massacres were apprehended within a few days. While discounting danger of immediate massacre, I feel that situation in Cilicia since Marash incident, justifies grave anxiety. As result of discussions with French colleagues, it was decided that political officer of the three Powers should visit Grand Vizier on Monday and make arrangements for him pointing out that, after recent reconstruction of Government, the confidence in the Central Government could not direct any further by acts of Nationalists. His attention was called to various points by this establishment of complete identity between Government and Nationalists, and amongst others that of guarding against massacres.

E 103 3 44]

No. 69

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).

(No. 123)

(Telegraphic.) D

Foreign Office, February 16, 1920

MY telegram No. 127 of 12th February: Constantinople

You may make public the fact that it has been decided by the Conference not to allow the Turks of Constantinople. You should, however, add that, if the peace terms will probably be modified to the detriment of the Armenians. (Repeated to Paris, No. 243, and Rome, No. 67.)



E 246 166 44]

No. 70.

Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby (1)

My Lord,

Foreign Office, February 16, 1920

I HAVE the honour to forward three copies of my report on the tour of my mission to the Yemen. Copies have been handed in to the Foreign Office here

I have, &c  
H F JACOB.

Enclosure in No. 70

Report by Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob on his Mission to the Yemen.

Paragraph 1.—I left Cairo on the 15th July, 1919, for the Yemen as envoy of His Majesty's Government to the Imam of Sana, to whom I carried a letter from His Majesty the King (Appendix (A)). It was thought in Egypt that the occasion was premature (note telegrams that passed between the High Commissioner of Egypt and the Foreign Office) —

- (1) From High Commissioner to Foreign Office, No. 652, of April 26, 1919
- (2) From High Commissioner to Foreign Office, No. 709, of May 4, 1919
- (3) From Foreign Office to High Commissioner, No. 612, of May 24, 1919
- (4) From High Commissioner to Foreign Office, No. 855, of May 26, 1919
- (5) From Foreign Office to High Commissioner, No. 661, of May 30, 1919.

The High Commissioner's contention was that the vic known, but it was essential for Colonel Jacob to be able to affirm the validity of the mandate, otherwise the Imam would continue to look to the intervention of the Powers to strengthen his position and would procrastinate. We knew that in 1919 addressed the consuls in Aden of the United States, France, Germany, and Italy. The Foreign Office thought that the position of His Majesty's Government might be stronger if able to explain that a treaty already existed. After the report of the High Commissioner that it would not be possible to come to any understanding with the Imam, the Foreign Office decided that the visit was intended rather to show to His Majesty's Government were prepared to recognize the Imam's position as one of our general policy, and they added: "If we remain inactive trouble will arise between the Imam and the Idrii which might have disastrous results."

Paragraph 2.—I should here like to emphasize the fact that the keynote of my policy during the four months of my detention in Bajil was so to act, or to forbear from acting, that all friction between these two rival rulers might be minimized, if not eliminated. I was not concerned so much with freeing the mission from detention as safeguarding the future welfare of the country. Hence, in part, our protracted stay in Bajil.

Paragraph 3.—I should like to mention that I made various propositions in my telegrams to Egypt and the Foreign Office. I referred in my paragraph 18 to prove that had my proposals been accepted, we might have been released two months before our actual exit from Bajil on the 12th December. As a matter of fact, my proposition re "Shafai blue" was accepted later through the intervention of Mr. Mack.

The other propositions were also accepted. The Imam is more plastic than is generally supposed. The crux of the situation in which I was placed was the Tihama of the Yemen and its port of Hodeida, which the Imam covets and claims as the heritage of his ancestors. We were aware that early in 1919 the Imam was in correspondence with the Kubra tribes (whose habitat lies between Hodeida and Al Hudayda), and that matters culminated in May of 1919, when the Kubra put up their "fourteen points," and, if accepted by the Imam, the Kubra were prepared to accept the Zaidi nominal suzerainty. The Kubra

had nominated their head shakh, Abu Hadi, as the administrator of their territory on behalf of the Imam. There was a hitch in the proceedings mainly because the Imam wished to nominate his own "amil" (or governor) at Bajil, he desired free access for his troops through the Tihama, and a quota towards their salaries, in that event, to be paid by the Kubra tribesmen. If the Imam could have sent his escort to Hodeida as asked, his power and influence there were established. If not, his failure to do so I was intending to instance as a useful object lesson to him, but as I had no concrete methods, he could not hope to extend his rule in the Tihama. I therefore pointed to Hodeida as my best route to Sana. My intention was to secure a decided advantage. It was, however, a mistake to do so.

Paragraph 4.—There is a religious side to Yemen politics. The Foreign Office would have preferred not to accentuate this point. It could not, however, be discounted. It is just because the Imam has in his prospective domain other and contumacious elements that I am writing to advise him to consolidate these elements. In Viscount Allenby's letter to the Imam, I pointed out that the Imam's position was the hearts of those who, during the past few years, had been estranged from the rule of your enlightened Government. It is this rule which His Majesty's Government is anxious to reconstitute. It can be effected only by the Imam's Government. The Imam's Government must be that the qualities of a just and enlightened ruler are the qualities of your Excellency." (Appendix (B)).

Paragraph 5.—After the High Commissioner dated the 10th July, 1919 just prior to my departure for Cairo on this tour, I wrote that the Shafai and the Idrii would not sit down quietly under the Zaidi unless—

- (1) These recognize that His Majesty's Government will deal solely with the Imam, and
- (2) they see the Imam consolidate his rule in a conciliatory manner.

The Kubra's "fourteen points" showed us the antagonism. It is true that I have deprecated undue accentuation of the religious question, but it is only right to state its existence, though it is not unimportant.

Still earlier—on the 24th December, 1918—when in Aden, I telegraphed to the High Commissioner that in view of the arrival in Aden of the Imam's forces, I had full respect to all the people, but that the Imam was the strongest man and would in time gain supremacy; that as we were not going to live in the country, soon to be evacuated by the Turks, it was impolitic for us to allocate the various bounds of Zaidi and Shafai; that all we could do was to stipulate with the Imam that he guarantee Shafai Courts in Shafai areas as the Turks had done before.

It is not alone a religious difference that separates the Zaidi from the Shafai, but also the fact that the former in the mountains and the latter the lowland. We have had ample proof in our Aden protectorate of the possibility, on occasion, of a Zaidi and Shafai combination, of intercourse and marriage between the two sects, and not infrequently of a Zaidi becoming a Shafai, and vice versa. The Tihama Shafai is more religious than his co-religionist of our Aden protectorate, yet even in the Tihama he wears his creed as readily as he dons his dress, and will espouse the cause of the party which offers the greatest inducements to worldly advancements. Sheikh Ahmed al Mashhur is an instance. Though a Shafai, he is Imam's amil at Bajil. He has adapted his mind to the times. An Arab once told me that he would both cross his arms over his breast or extend them at length at both sides,\* and so he conformed to the practice of the country.

The Arab of the Yemen is very akin to Puryan's Mr. By Ends, who had always the luck to jump in his judgment with the present way of the time, whatever it was, and get thereby. Others were for rushing on their journey all weathers, as for waiting for wind and tide. Others for hazarding all for God, and he for taking all advantages to secure his life and estates. With another as unscrupulous ruler than Imam Yahya, the Tihama Shafai would have more in common nor would they refuse to submit to Zaidi predominance and suzerainty, if left alone to live their lives according to the dictates of the Shafai conscience. Yemen history in all its changes of dynasties will prove my assertion. Even in Bajil, where the Kubra

\* The Sunai and Shafai mean respectively of beginning prayer.

but it did affect the Chinese sense of self and group identity. As a result, the Chinese saw more in the Yenching Summer School. The Chinese were not only interested in the English language but also in the American culture. The Chinese were not only interested in the English language but also in the American culture. The Chinese were not only interested in the English language but also in the American culture.

very true that the Shafais are weak through dissensions and a be-  
their co-religionists will not assist them. It is a common saying

It is true that Abu Hach, the head sheikh of the Imam's Governor in Bayd, denied the Imam when the Imam came to call the Kuba his children. He insisted the uncle of the Imam, but his dwelling husband of his lowland wife, the Kuba. It was he said, for the husband to woo his distant wife who wished none of him. In that case, he said, his attention! I was, however, much struck by the Imam's story in Bayd, the Kuba, the Imam's

... and the Imam were on the eve of coming to an amicable understanding. This may be true, but any understanding would be based on the Imam's nominal suzerainty only. The Imam had promised to escort my mission to Mekele and to Bana. In this he was balked by the Kuban who took the young back on the word, neither allowing us to proceed up to Bana nor go back to Mekele.

...the Majesty's Government, who would naturally doubt

The idea of a United States of Arabia may seem chimerical to many, and yet, so far from variety destroying unity, it is the only ground of unity, and between those only who agree to differ. It can bind together. If there is not in this country a can be a transmutation of prejudices. It

the two for  $n = 1$  to  $n = 10$  are  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{11}$ .

I have to inform you that the Government of the Yemen is in the position of having to evacuate the town of Aden after the Turkish rule has been eliminated from the Yemen by the action of the Peace Conference. I had proposed that this town be evacuated as soon as His Majesty's Government was convinced of the Imam's ability to impose there his peaceful rule. The Foreign Office, replying to the High Commissioner in their No. 362 of the 21st December, 1919, telegraphed as follows:—

should reply as proposed or, alternatively, somewhat on the following lines:

"The Government has been advised by its military authorities that it would be inadvisable to undertake the representation to them of any wish which the Imam may express on the subject."

There are three inferences I should like to draw from the above alternatives, and I shall refer to them later in this report:—

- (1) Until the Peace Treaty with Turkey is concluded, the Yemen is admittedly Turkish.
- (2) Haduda might fall to the Imam if our treaty with him were ratified, and was possible only after the official close of the Turkish rule in the

It was primarily and principally a military measure  
to the surrender of Turkish troops under the terms of the armistice

When this object was attained, the troops of occupation had no further *locus standi*. They, however, remained to keep order in the locality, and incidentally to wait the return of my mission from Sala. Telegraphing to the High Commissioner on the 12th May, 1912, the War Office said that, "Until negotiations with the Luam are completed, it has been decided to keep a battalion in Hosenda.

Paragraph 6. I arrived in Aden from Cairo on the 19th July, where I completed my arrangements, and awaited the letter from His Majesty the King to the Emperor of Sina. This arrived on the 13th August, and I started for Hodeida that afternoon. The Political Officer in Hodeida, Mr. Richardson, had telegraphed to the Political Officer in Aden, that the routes were unsafe.

General Officer Commanding, Aden, Brigadier-General L. Beatty, thought an escort of twenty-five Aden troops under an Indian officer absolutely essential for guarding the treasure and presents and for occasional purposes. He did not consider it sufficiently strong for the safety of the mission, and thought that it might possibly act as a bait. On the other hand, he thought a big escort would entail endless transport and might be resented by the Imam. I agreed with these views, and chose the lesser of the two evils. I have travelled extensively in our protectorate, and amidst wild tribes where no Englishman has been before me. I found it wise always to rely on the escort of the tribes through whose territory I was marching, and I never took a large escort. I always took a personal escort. These guarded my tents and baggage. This plan was always successful. The tribes were always friendly and the party were as safe as if at headquarters.

In my telegram from Aden on this subject dated the 28th July, 1919, I gave the above arguments of General Beatty, and added, "If possible, I am very anxious to be escorted from Hodeida by the Imam (who had promised to meet me with his escort) or, failing the Imam, by the Shama tribesmen of the Kubar from Hodeida to Al Hujaila at which place I expected to be met by the Imam's escort. Full responsibility for the safety of the mission is taken by me."

Paragraph 7.—The mission landed at Hodeida on the 10th August. The party consisted of myself as envoy, Major R. R. Heilly, O.B.E., Assistant Quartermaster, A.D.C., to E. A. P. Buck, R.A.M.C.; Captain Nasrudin Ahmed, Political Assistant in Aden, who was my private secretary and treasurer; Captain D. T. Richardson, M.C., R.A.M.C., and Sheikh Abdallah-al-Muclura.

Paragraph 8.—On arrival in Hodeida I found no Imamite escort had arrived. I was received by Mr. Richardson, the Political Officer, both from Abu Hadi, head of the Kuhra, and from Sheikh Ismail al Baghawi, whose territory stretches from Hodeida to Hajl and eastward, that the mission should not leave Hodeida till they arrived. I notice that Mr. Richardson, writing his report to Aden on 29th August, 1919, stated that his Political Assistant told him [sic] that Sheikh Ali al Salami the Imam's representative at Hajl, had telegraphed that Abu Hadi and Ali Baghawi were leaving Hajl to escort Colonel Jacob. At all events, when I reached Hodeida these two sheikhs arrived, and assured me that they had come to terms with the Imam, who had guaranteed them concordance. Ali al Salami was quartered at Hajl with the consent of the Kuhra, and had 100 Zaidi soldiers and eight Zaidi horsemen as my escort. Again, Abu Hadi, the Kuhra head sheikh, was the Imam's representative as Governor at Hajl. Everything therefore pointed to a successful mission on the trilemonen giving hostages; I have never resorted to this practice in the Yemen. I prefer to trust the Arabs. Up to this time I have never been deceived.

[illegible]

territory. This goes to the assurances given to Mr. [redacted] by the Imam's Governor at Hajil his name was not essential to the bond. Ahmed Khuzam's [redacted] because he was pro-Idrisi. Other sheikhs were won over later by Ahmed Khuzam's love for Idrisi, though Ahmed Khuzam must have laid stress on threatened Idrisi invasion. Mr. Richardson wrote to Mahmud Nasim, the Vah, on the 18th September 1919, remarking that the *Kahra* sheikhs had engaged to take us up. I had broken their word. Mr. Richardson, then, was perfectly assured that our journey carried no risks. I, also, was similarly assured.

I would state that Major-General Sir James Stewart, the Political Resident, Aden, in his notes at the foot of my telegram of the 35th November, 1919 (Appendices C and



Paragraph 12. - Thus it was that later on they asked for guns, arms, and ammunition to defend themselves against the Imam and the Idari. These sheikhs are no fools, but a cunning diplomatic lot of men, and they were well aware that the bare

Major Meek reported to the Political Resident, Aden, in October that the *Hodrida*

\* This statement must be borne in mind when reading the agreement.  
† Leaves from the plant called "Catha balaia," of which the people eat immediately.

people were anxious for the return of the Turks. This is a fact that cannot be denied.

Paragraph 15.—In Arabia, as in the East generally, it is the personal element that counts. Everyone should know this. I know it well after thirty-two years' service in the East and fifteen years spent in the Yemen. If Eastern peoples once take a fancy to you they are careless of all others outside their ken. It goes against the grain to say so, but looking to the remarks made by Aden and the political officer, Hodeida—Major Meek—who deprecated all intervention, I must in defence say that my far-  
gone a long way in the Yemen—not for any intrinsic, inherent virtues (which I do not

As their saying goes—"An Arab's intellect lies in his eyes." Arab  
I know what they will not begin to look at with others. The Arab's eye is a "good catch," and they meant to derive some advantage from my presence. At the same time I did not court their adherence to me. I

I was

Major Meek and others to go to Aden. I

My

I was

of the telegram that passed between X and the Imam and that was

X" was sent down by the Imam to effect our release, and the Kuhra elected

their mouthpiece to represent their case to me, although, Arab-wise, they did not

him full powers and could not on occasion resist putting in their act

For good reasons I did not visit Abdul Kadir but once, though he wrote frequently

to me. He was afraid of being accused by the sheikhs of too great an intimacy with

the opposite side. My assistant, however, Captain Nasrudin, visited him on my

behalf almost daily, and I was in close touch, through many agencies, with the

work. I had, too, access to his letter. I gave him presents from time to time

granted facilities to his entourage for conveyance of goods to Aden. For

reason I cannot admit the dictum of the Acting Political Resident, Aden, made on the

2nd October, 1919, to the High Commissioner, Egypt, that he considered Major Meek

in a better position to take a clear view of the situation than a man surrounded by

hostile guards. (This was, of course, not the case.)

The Political Resident, however, went on to propose that while Major Meek

should take charge of negotiations, "he should consult Colonel Jacob, if possible before

taking any important step." I have never seen any letter or order cancelling this

scheme of consultation, and therefore I was at a loss to follow General Sir John

Stewart's remarks in his letter to Viscount Allenby, dated the 3rd December, 1919

(Appendix (C)), where he says: "I am of opinion that Jacob's proximity with the

sheikhs and the conditions warp his views. In any case he has been told that

the point of view is likely to be shared by the sheikhs. I am anxious to disabuse

the sheikhs and Mahmud Nadim of any idea that their prisoner (sic) now exercises

control." Facts disprove General Stewart's surmises. His views of my impotence were

not shared by the sheikhs. They and I were in the very midst of things. My detection

was carried out by them, and with them alone was all parleying to be conducted. To

isolate them was

I have never initiated any negotiations, but, as aforesaid, I have co-operated with

the political officer, not as an envoy (though this office was merely suspended), but as

the political expert in Yemen politics and as adviser of the High Commissioner, Egypt,

South West Arabia.

Paragraph 16.—Major Meek talks idly of the "Jacob-Kuhra" agreement. This is

a clumsy misnomer. I had certainly, in my telegram dated the 8th September, 1919,

to the address of the Acting High Commissioner, Egypt, advocated, for stated reasons,

to the Government of Egypt and the Foreign Office (I refer to these telegrams in my paragraph 19 below).

It was Major Meek who finally put forward this promise of "protection." The Kuhra,

however would not treat with him, and brought me the agreement in Arabic, taking

Major Meek's promise as the basis. I still further modified the same in the interests

of His Majesty's Government, translating the abstract into the concrete, and to this

agreement the sheikhs and Sayyid put their seals. I had cogent reasons for my action,

which I will touch on below.

The incontrovertible proofs that I was not tongue-tied nor inefficient are instanced

in the final scene of the last act, where, in co-operation with the political officer

Hodeida, the mission was extricated at a time when things had come to an impasse

between the Imam and the sheikhs. At that time, as I have already said, the Imam and

Hodeida, and troops, I knew, were not easily available, and if available an expedition

was anathema. The tension between the Imam and the sheikhs had reached a climax.

By the Imam's order, I prevented these two rivals from meeting.

As I rode out of Hajil on Friday, the 12th December, at 4.30 p.m., and on the

following day, accompanied by all sheikhs, akds and hundreds of militant tribesmen

entered Hodeida. The mission, our escort, followers, mules, tents and baggage

all arrived safely. Our chests with rifles were all intact. The cause of our release was the

work of days, and is attributable to many and various causes, but none will deny that

the causes at work were operating more strongly in Hajil than in Hodeida, and the

prime factor determining our exit was the belief of the sheikhs in the word and promise

behalf of his Government made by the writer of the memorandum, who had been

the policy of attack.

Paragraph 17.—Too much was said of the "Jacob-Kuhra" agreement. His Majesty's

Government. It was essential to understand what was really going on. We were

not known in these parts save as a Power who, on the Aden front, had failed to break

the back of the sheikhs. "You have money, we know," they said, "but you fight

with a weak hand. You are not a militant people." According to their lights and

reasoning the Kuhra were wise in detaining us. The only way was to recognise the

impasse and patiently wait it through. I did not believe that His Majesty's Government

favoured an expedition (the only alternative), and I telegraphed to High Commissioner

November, 1919 (Appendix (D)), that we should humour the tribes

and so preclude any military operations which would give the

by them as betokening ulterior designs on the coast. We were

not expect to obtain anything so tangible as a mandate.

Paragraph 18.—I will now treat of my telegrams to High Commissioner, Egypt, and

the Foreign Office, all of them sent through the Political Resident, Aden. Their dates

and contents are as follows. I give much detail, because I learnt afterwards in

Cairo that these messages had not come through in their

(1) Dated the 27th August, 1919, to High Commissioner

I refer to distress in the Tihama owing to the blockade; that this has not only

adversely affected my position and influence, but will prejudice our cause and our

to be the most interested Power in the country's future. I urged immediate opening of

all Arabian ports.

This was forthwith done by an order from Aden. The blockade was instituted to

the blockade hit the Arabs very hard, and completely ruined Arabian enterprise in the

the blockade was the result of the struggle of goods from the port of Jazan (Idrisi) both by native craft and

by land caravans, which conveyed goods along the length of the entire Arabian littoral

extremely unpopular everywhere, to say nothing of the numerous dhows we destroyed

all of my being held up by the Kuhra sheikhs, and that people here regard the

attempt on our part to advance the cause of the Imam to their own detriment. (The

Imam's letter to His Majesty the King and to the Ministers of the Allied Powers will

prove the reality of Arab suspicion.)

(2) Dated the 8th September, 1919, to High Commissioner, Egypt

The Kuhra object to our going up to Sana, and had made us prisoners. That "A

men were treating for our release (this was not based on bazaar rumours, but on

the fact that our return to the coast was impossible, that the Kuhra

47

agreement the sheikhs and Sayyid put their seals. I had cogent reasons for my action,

which I will touch on below.

The incontrovertible proofs that I was not tongue-tied nor inefficient are instanced

in the final scene of the last act, where, in co-operation with the political officer

Hodeida, the mission was extricated at a time when things had come to an impasse

between the Imam and the sheikhs. At that time, as I have already said, the Imam and

Hodeida, and troops, I knew, were not easily available, and if available an expedition

was anathema. The tension between the Imam and the sheikhs had reached a climax.

By the Imam's order, I prevented these two rivals from meeting.

As I rode out of Hajil on Friday, the 12th December, at 4.30 p.m., and on the

following day, accompanied by all sheikhs, akds and hundreds of militant tribesmen

entered Hodeida. The mission, our escort, followers, mules, tents and baggage

all arrived safely. Our chests with rifles were all intact. The cause of our release was the

work of days, and is attributable to many and various causes, but none will deny that

the causes at work were operating more strongly in Hajil than in Hodeida, and the

prime factor determining our exit was the belief of the sheikhs in the word and promise

behalf of his Government made by the writer of the memorandum, who had been

the policy of attack.

Paragraph 17.—Too much was said of the "Jacob-Kuhra" agreement. His Majesty's

Government. It was essential to understand what was really going on. We were

not known in these parts save as a Power who, on the Aden front, had failed to break

the back of the sheikhs. "You have money, we know," they said, "but you fight

with a weak hand. You are not a militant people." According to their lights and

reasoning the Kuhra were wise in detaining us. The only way was to recognise the

impasse and patiently wait it through. I did not believe that His Majesty's Government

favoured an expedition (the only alternative), and I telegraphed to High Commissioner

November, 1919 (Appendix (D)), that we should humour the tribes

and so preclude any military operations which would give the

by them as betokening ulterior designs on the coast. We were

not expect to obtain anything so tangible as a mandate.

Paragraph 18.—I will now treat of my telegrams to High Commissioner, Egypt, and

the Foreign Office, all of them sent through the Political Resident, Aden. Their dates

and contents are as follows. I give much detail, because I learnt afterwards in

Cairo that these messages had not come through in their

(1) Dated the 27th August, 1919, to High Commissioner

I refer to distress in the Tihama owing to the blockade; that this has not only

adversely affected my position and influence, but will prejudice our cause and our

to be the most interested Power in the country's future. I urged immediate opening of

all Arabian ports.

This was forthwith done by an order from Aden. The blockade was instituted to

the blockade hit the Arabs very hard, and completely ruined Arabian enterprise in the

the blockade was the result of the struggle of goods from the port of Jazan (Idrisi) both by native craft and

by land caravans, which conveyed goods along the length of the entire Arabian littoral

extremely unpopular everywhere, to say nothing of the numerous dhows we destroyed

all of my being held up by the Kuhra sheikhs, and that people here regard the

attempt on our part to advance the cause of the Imam to their own detriment. (The

Imam's letter to His Majesty the King and to the Ministers of the Allied Powers will

prove the reality of Arab suspicion.)

(2) Dated the 8th September, 1919, to High Commissioner, Egypt

The Kuhra object to our going up to Sana, and had made us prisoners. That "A

men were treating for our release (this was not based on bazaar rumours, but on

the fact that our return to the coast was impossible, that the Kuhra

47



September, 1919, the Officer Commanding, Haidra, wrote me that the High Commissioner, Egypt, had wired to ask what was being done for release, and that the political officer should now ask the Kubra their terms for the imam. He added that, "as basis for discussion, he could negotiate on the lines of Jacob's suggestions," and one term read as follows: "His Majesty's Government will assist the Imam in subjugating the Shafaw." This may refer to my telegram No. 10 of the 8th September, 1919, in which I had opined that the Shafaw would ask for "guarantee against Zaid encroachment." This was not strictly on the "basis of my suggestions," for I had suggested a "Shafaw bloc" owing to the Imam's inability to come down to Haidra except by force. I had talked of handing over Haidra to "X," &c., but no instructions were issued on this very important point.

I say that, although the Kufra may not refuse rates in money, the solution of the matter lies elsewhere. It is has proved only too true, and the diagnosis was made by me. But it was not until very recently that the British officers have done their best, but that a settlement is best effected in Bahr. I once more put forward the Shafar's proposals, one of which will be refusal of His Majesty's troops to assist in the expedition. I am, Sir, the British Consul-General, and I say I deplore any reference being made to the Inham's responsibility in the matter, even if a quota of blame can be laid at his door. I refer to his sympathetic

men, where with the removal of the Turkish forces, the  
sole ruler who could enforce his way is  
was partly a  
but in the year 1934 he was still a  
I suggest so putting  
in conjunction with airplanes. Otherwise our stay in Basra will be prolonged indefinitely and will encourage Idrin aspirations to the Tihama. Not only so, but our failure to exercise a policy here will set in motion a large Shafai combination probably hostile to us, and certainly not amenable to our advice and direction. Finally, with regard to "X's" suggestion for the future of the Tihama, we are now confronted with the effacement of a united Yemen under the Imam. (This was an important telegram, but

no pronouncement was made till the 26th October, i.e., over a month later, when Major Meek wrote in reply to my reminder that nothing on these lines was to be considered. Were the messages detained at Aden? It is all very mysterious.)

(5.) Dated the 4th October, 1919, to High Commissioner, Egypt

I refer to previous telegram where I made two proposals—both temporary expedients and neither of them involving any permanent policy—that the temporary return of the Turkish troops to Hadramaut should be decided on the future of the country before the terms of the Peace Treaty are divulged; that a Shafai defensive combination (this is the other suggestion advanced) seems the best policy to adopt to preserve the equilibrium of power maintained by the Turks since. I promise fuller details.

(6.) Dated the 5th October, 1919, to High Commissioner, Egypt

I report Imam's intention to crush the Kuhra in spite of His Majesty's Government's wishes to the contrary; that "X" and myself have telegraphed to resist from this course, which would both imperil the mission and thwart a peaceful settlement; that Imam, however, replied that he was resolved on the campaign, and he recalled "X" to Sana. Whereupon, at a large representative gathering of sheikhs at Sana, "X," while protesting his devotion to Imam, declared he stood for the Shafai cause if Imam attacked. He told the sheikhs he was their representative with me, and we awaited the decision of the British Government on the return of Turkish troops.

"X" stated our "marriage-time" he was launching out on the sheikhs' proposal. I telegraphed all the neighbouring Shafais to prepare for Zaidi invasion; that the sheikhs had offered to extricate us, but I decried his intervention on the grounds of Arab partiality.

("X," too, was against his offer.) I then referred to my telegram of the 8th September, 1919, where I proposed to hand the Government agreed to act "on basis of my suggestions" (the reference was unfortunately, vague). I reiterate the fact of the presence of the Governor-General the Turkish Civil Government (present even if inoperative), that "X" declines Imam's invitation to return to Sana on grounds of his Turkish status, that he has taken over Kuhra affairs and is responsible for the safety of the British mission to the British Government and to humanity; that "X" tells the Imam that the main issue is the return of the Turkish troops to Hadramaut.

I stated to attack the mission up to personal responsibility. (All this is hostile to us.) I remark that "X" although I am aware he speaks from the Turkish standpoint. I agree with us we are responsible to replace a stable Government in the Yemen, and that unless we check this threat of constant chaos in the country we shall imperil the further, shall lower our prestige as the Government interested in the country's welfare. (This is important, looking to our future demands from the High Contracting Parties at the Peace Conference.) I believe a change of policy is unavoidable, and I show the superiority of supporting the Shafai passive independence over our adhesion to an imperious ruler who is too far away to be controlled, and who will not treat with us unless we guarantee him financial support, while for the present he awaits the result of the Peace Conference as to the Turkish future. By my proposal we shall exert a pacific influence along the entire Red Sea Arabian littoral, starting from Hodeida north and passing along the Asir littoral and down to the south. I refer to before the war a retirement to the littoral ports was discussed by Turkish officials that country's best policy, that if the Imam is sensible he will take up the threads of his peaceful policy with the Kuhra where he dropped them in May 1918, but in the long run he will descend to the coast, because he longs after possession of the port of Hodeida and covets his ancestors' old-time dominions since occupied by the Turks. I say that the Imam had again wired to "X" to enquire if it were the policy of His Majesty's Government to treat with him. I repeated that former policy had not ceased,

for the time at least, by reason of Major Meek's programme as conveyed in his letter to the Kuhra sheikhs. I conclude if the crime is projected it is not with punitive forces, as this would entail shedding of Muslim blood.

Continuing my telegram to the High Commissioner, I say I await the Government's order re the temporary return of Turkish troops. If the Government reply is

in the negative, and if the Imam grow restive, I ask for a promise to assure the Shafais that the Government will protect them against unprovoked Zaidi invasion, for without such a promise our return to the coast will entail a show of force. (This prophecy was fulfilled, and the Kuhra released us only on receiving the above assurance.)

I state, and for reasons, the permission given to the Imam to attack the Kuhra on our release. I support "X's" candidature, and advocate this radical change of policy, (a defensive bloc) in order to secure the requisite equilibrium of power.

I state, and for reasons, the permission given to the Imam to attack the Kuhra on our release. I support "X's" candidature, and advocate this radical change of policy, (a defensive bloc) in order to secure the requisite equilibrium of power.

Paragraph 19.—In commenting on the Turkish Governor's work in Bajil and espousing the use of the Turkish troops as a police force for a specified period it has been held in certain quarters that I am pro-Turk. So far as the general Turkish question and the Caliphate are concerned, my experience in Asia, and the regard for Turkey; further, the position of His Majesty the King as the Sovereign governing more Moslems than any other ruler in the world yes, I am pro-Turk, and glory in the same. I refer, however, to other and careless considerations which suggest my being prompted, by my close interviews with "X," by an anti-British attitude.

(1.) Major Meek, reporting to Aden, says: "Did I not know Jacob as I do" (a copy to Cerebus), "I would be tempted to declare that evidence showed him an agent of Turkey."

(2.) General Stewart's remark to the High Commissioner, Egypt: "Jacob is a Turk."

The inference they would draw is fairly clear. I accept the fact that these remarks may be privileged, though in bad taste. They apparently are a statement of rendering the facts secondary to their own opinions and will mark, "It is not a question of fact."

Paragraph 20.—The seventh and eighth telegrams I sent to the Foreign Office through the usual channels. They are dated the 20th and 22nd October respectively.

In the first one I complain of want of replies to my several telegrams. I have learned indirectly that Egypt considers the introduction of Turkish troops impossible, and that Major Meek has been asked to report on the possibility of releasing the mission through Idari agency. (It is this latter item that surprised me. I had started with a definite programme from the Foreign Office and from Egypt, and although my office of envoy was temporarily interrupted, the message had still to be given. Why, then, this new and startling opening without apprising me of the same, more especially as I had given solid grounds for rejecting Idari assistance, and had striven throughout my stay in Hajil to combat this extraneous and evil influence.) Doubtless it is open to His Majesty's Government to cancel any past policy, but the

especially as we were in friendly correspondence the while with Imam Yahya.)

In the second telegram I ask for early and authoritative announcement that the Turks shall not return so that we may be able to propose final negotiations before

that the best policy is reconciliation between themselves and the Imam on the lines of self-determination, and some such scheme is already in train. I remark here that after our release the above seems the correctest solution, and it is not impossible to satisfy

custom of his ancestors to administer these tracts, by appointing local sheikhs as their representatives. I deprecate the punishment of the Kuhra as likely to upset the peace and to encourage the descent of the Imam. I ask the Government to discount

humiliation and loss of dignity, and explain the motives which prompted the Kuhra to arrest our journey up to Sana. Finally if the offer of a ransom is withdrawn by the Government, or if the Kuhra demand the alternative of protection from an aggressive Imam, I think that Major Meek at an interview will be able to convince them that after our release the Government will assuredly protect them against unprovoked Zaidi attack, to do less will not be human. In the meantime, it is not



[illegible]

By it known to your Majesty that the success of the present expedition is dependent on our receipt of an intimation from that quarter and also on the receipt by us of a reply to our appeal to His Majesty the King of Great Britain." (In other words, before obtaining Turkish support, the Imam Yahya would receive definite assurances of British assistance. The Imam is no fool.)

I was able, through the paid officers of the Turkish telegraph operator in Bayl and through other agencies, to see the various telegrams that passed between "X" and Akim Kadir, between "X" and the Kuhra sheikha, and between "X" and the Imam, and I cannot but admit that "X" was sincerely genuine in working for our release either Sanawards or to the coast. If whilst in Bayl I was regarded as a closely-confined prisoner, doubtless my success in arriving at the truth would have been very limited, but being, on the contrary, in close touch with all the personalities there and with the sheikhs of the surrounding tribes, who would visit me bi-weekly on market days, I became conversant with the people's demands, and was fully able to gauge their aspirations. For this reason, I so often wrote that it was from Bayl that our release must be effected, for it was in Bayl that all the *dramatis personae* resided, and they would deal with me only. "X" was beset by grave difficulties. He was the Imam's representative to gain our release, and had taken up the Kuhra cause at their request; he had to combat also the pro-Ismaili proclivities of Sayid Abdul Kadir, for he knew only too well that the entrance of this factor would not alone upset the Imam's programme, but would cause bloodshed and incessant unrest in the Tihama. "X" further was aware of his own unpopularity with ourselves, and he was not sure of the wishes of his own Government. He had to submit daily to insults from the Kuhra sheikha, who saw him despoiled of his former power as a Governor backed by force. In the circumstances, "X" did extremely good work and his motives were sincere. Aden has looked mainly

There is no doubt that the Imam would not have been anxious to treat with us. We knew of the exit of the Turks from the Yemen. I am also very sure, and I have written this many a time in the past, that the Imam would not dislike the return of the Turks to the country. He requires money and assistance to render his position secure. He has many internal foes, and there are many aspirations for the Imamate. He is financially stingy, and he cannot control his lieutenants and the holders of turbulent Saryids, &c., who, while he himself has liberal views towards non-Muslims, themselves are the scene of fanaticism. The Turks paid his lawless tribesmen on the Hadramut and Bakil, and assisted the Imam Yahya by force, if the former showed the slightest hint of rebellion. With the Turks gone and no foreign Government living in the country, the Imam foresees trouble ahead; hence his desire for the stay of the Turks. "X" knew this fact, and so long as the matter of the temporary stay of the Turkish soldiers to Hodeida was undecided, he induced the Imam to keep quiet. With that idea was scouted, the Imam again became restless for his personal power. He

... taken prisoner by the Turks to Sam. He had ... who were sent by the Turks as prisoners. Messrs. Cowdrey and Bakewell will testify to "X"

Abdul Kadir, his role was pro-Idrisi, but he was not a fanatic. He was a man of peace to us, and quieted the passions of the tribes with his calm and hatred. His attitude was not a result of the occasion of the war. David was foremost in our minds throughout our stay in the country. Abdul Kadir, however, is easily swayed by the passions of the tribes. He was not a peace-loving David, but a mediator and a true server. His love for the Idrisi is explicable, for he believed the Idrisi, who has no love for the holy men outside his own persuasion, would try to conquer the Tihama, and he saw salvation for his special creed possible from Aser alone. No Arab believes that His Majesty's Government will stay in Hadramut indefinitely. It is only natural then that the Aseris should fend for themselves and seek combination amongst themselves. They resent our parcelling out the country and then standing at a distance among platitudes of good counsel. Abdul Kadir has been abused, but looking to his lights he did us well. He might have extricated us earlier by his influence with the tribesmen, but he was looking not only to our personal safety, but far ahead to the future of his country and his house. Major Meek's tampering with the Murawwa Dawids to isolate Abdul Kadir annoyed this man and made him obstinate.

The use of the aeroplane on the 26th November, 1919, was a little premature, since the Kuhn aliekhin delegates (whom "X" had persuaded to go into Holland) were there parleying with Major Meek, and the appearance of the aeroplane was taken

In the early days of our detention I was very suspicious of the Idrisi's part in the play. I am not at all sure that he did not encourage our detention to further his own ends, vis-à-vis the Itum. There was general suspicion that he had considerable interest in our not going up to Sana. Sayid Abdul Kadir is his friend, and a feeling of mutual admiration exists between them. Sheikh Ahmad Khuzam, one of the Kufiras, was Idrisi's agent in Bajil. This sheikh constantly disappeared from Bajil and made lengthy stays in the Idrisi territory. He openly advocated to me Idrisi intervention



Frequent letters passed between the Idrisi and Abdul Kadir. Ultimately, presumably from Aden, was brought to bear on the Idrisi to intervene (see paragraph 25 above).

I heard on the 24th October of a letter written by the Idrisi to Sayid Abdul Kadir in which he claimed Bajil. Abdul Kadir asked him to postpone his visit but the Idrisi pressed his claims to the place. The Bani Kase of Jabul Milhan, where Idrisi troops were then quartered, are at variance with the Kuhra and would help Idrisi. The Jorabih, who live to the north-west of Bajil, are one with the Kuhra, and had a short time before gone to Bajil to discuss with them the future of the country. I append Appendix (F) as of Idrisi to Abdul Kadir. This letter is for the purpose of showing that

was a rule on Idrisi's part to impress the tribesmen with the evils of further delay. In any case, it proves Idrisi's ambitions. Sayid Abdulla of Munira also came to see me. He was sent by Idrisi to counsel the sheikhs to let us go. He naturally did not favour the grant of any concessions to the Kuhra, and was candid enough to state that the Kuhra, by compliance with his master's wishes, would have the benefit of his master's protection. The Kuhra sheikhs, except the agent above named, who is a potentate in the tribe, would have none of the Idrisi. On the night before the sheikhs signed the engagement they signed a declaration of independence from the Idrisi.

The Kuhra sheikhs, who were at the time in the hands of the Idrisi, were sent to me by the Idrisi. I wrote to them, and wrote a strong warning him to keep clear of this locality. The Kuhra (the Idrisi's hands) was another, with several other counsellors, who were sent to me by the Idrisi. I wrote to them, and wrote a strong warning him to keep clear of this locality. The Kuhra (the Idrisi's hands) was another, with several other counsellors, who were sent to me by the Idrisi. I wrote to them, and wrote a strong warning him to keep clear of this locality.

The Kuhra deputation, whom "X" eventually persuaded to go in to see Major Meek, consisted of a local mufti and Sayid Hamud, who was Idrisi's "spot" man. The mufti, who was a local mufti, was a man of some standing, but, suffering some slight at his hands, changed his camp and was welcomed by the Idrisi. It was this Hamud who on our first arrival in Bajil had been chief adviser to the Kuhra to prevent our going up to Sana. He rightly knew this would enrage the Idrisi. He knew his new master, the Idrisi, would be correspondingly pleased, and for this reason partly I have said that the Idrisi was interested in blocking our advance to the hills. There are some who cannot hear any disparagement of their Idrisi idol. It was I who brought the Idrisi into the arena against the Turks, and I have studied his antecedents, his policy and his machinations more than any other. I know his value, and have always recognised his special work. I have objected to his aims in aggrandisement in territory to which he has no claim and where his power is weak. His true motive is to work out the future of Aden, to contest the possession of Kufila, the part of Abba and to send the Idrisi to the hills. When the Idrisi steps into the Yemen Ithama he pushes. The Kuhra and Company do not wait Idrisi's suzerainty, though if hard pressed by the Idrisi and his trusty lieutenants, it is very likely they may be compelled to throw themselves into the Idrisi's arms. Thus we should try to avert.

Major Meek's true object was, as ordered by His Majesty's Government, to extricate the invasion. When he began to devise plans for the future of the country he was acting beyond his role and ken, and I was constrained to put in my word. How the question of our release was inextricably woven with the future politics of the country. How, then, was it possible for me to remain aloof?

Paragraph 24.—As regards the agreement with the Kuhra and Company, I was refusing to deal with the political officer in Hodeida. Another reason for not holding myself aloof was the growing tension in the country between the Idrisi and the Imam, and also the state of mind of the Kuhra, which was always so variable. When at last I found them united in council and their minds malleable, I struck while the iron was hot. This agreement is not a perfect one, but if we had stayed to discuss it, or wait for a better, no advance would have been possible. The original terms given by Meek were vague and inexplicable to the sheikhs. With Arabs it is all important to leave no suspicion of *arrière-pensée*. Their themselves are simple and direct, and do not understand *surroundings*. The agreement is attached (Appendices (J) and (L) (1)).

Meek's first letter to the sheikhs is dated the 14th November, 1919. It will be found in Appendix (A). The sheikhs, who were at the time in the hands of the Idrisi, were sent to me by the Idrisi. I wrote to them, and wrote a strong warning him to keep clear of this locality. The Kuhra (the Idrisi's hands) was another, with several other counsellors, who were sent to me by the Idrisi. I wrote to them, and wrote a strong warning him to keep clear of this locality.

"It is the intention of the British Government to remain in Hodeida until arrangements have been made which will safeguard the interests of Hodeida and the Shafai tribes in its vicinity. The Government will not leave Hodeida and Abba in the lurch; and will endeavour to effect a just settlement which will be suitable to all interests."

In short, and as it was understood by plain folk like the sheikhs, Major Meek declared—

- (1) Our stay in Hodeida is temporary only
- (2) We recognise a community of interests between Hodeida and the Shafai tribes in its vicinity
- (3) We will safeguard their mutual interests before we leave Hodeida and them satisfied and happy

On the 21st November, 1919, I asked Meek what he meant by "not being left in the lurch," and if arms were to be given to them. In this my letter written five days before the 'plane appeared, I wrote to deprecate air operations unaccompanied by a ground force. I suggested that the gift of a few old surrendered Turkish guns would meet the need.

On the 21st November, 1919, I telegraphed to Viscount Allenby who had just arrived in Aden. (This will be found as Appendix (D).) I pressed for a gift of arms. It was doubtless the easiest way for us out of the difficulty. I might have annoyed the Imam. Al Salami, Imam's agent at Bajil, told me that he would ask the Imam for a few old guns to satisfy the Kuhra. I telegraphed to him with his master, and this showed that we were in touch with the tribes.

On the 21st November, 1919, I wrote to Meek. I wrote to him to be careful of the sheikhs, that the Bayid has been warned strictly by Abu Hadi as to the even consequences should the Government's patience be exhausted, that the Shafai remains all this, but is only in the hands of the potter, and feels obliged to be complacent to all. He, however, told Abu Hadi that he would await the result of the Kuhra delegation now in Hodeida, and would then look to the sheikhs' complaints.

On the 21st November, 1919, I wrote to Meek again re his interview with the Kuhra. I wrote to him to be careful of the sheikhs and protection to the sheikhs in the event of a 'plane flying over Hodeida. (See Appendix (H).) The delegates had asked for a 'plane with Hodeida notables, and this was granted. Thereafter all came to Major Meek and presented him with a set of resolutions agreed to unanimously. Major Meek wrote, "The resolution contained points I laid down."

On the 21st November, 1919, I wrote to Meek. I wrote to him to be careful of the sheikhs, that the Bayid has been warned strictly by Abu Hadi as to the even consequences should the Government's patience be exhausted, that the Shafai remains all this, but is only in the hands of the potter, and feels obliged to be complacent to all. He, however, told Abu Hadi that he would await the result of the Kuhra delegation now in Hodeida, and would then look to the sheikhs' complaints.

On the 21st November, 1919, I wrote to Meek. I wrote to him to be careful of the sheikhs, that the Bayid has been warned strictly by Abu Hadi as to the even consequences should the Government's patience be exhausted, that the Shafai remains all this, but is only in the hands of the potter, and feels obliged to be complacent to all. He, however, told Abu Hadi that he would await the result of the Kuhra delegation now in Hodeida, and would then look to the sheikhs' complaints.

As above stated, I concluded an agreement with the sheikhs on the lines of the above letter. It was dated the 5th December, but was not signed or sealed till the 12th December. It, with accompaniments, will be found (Appendices (K), (L), (M)). Clause 9 was eventually ruled out at Meek's instance by the aid of "X." I will explain the spirit of the treaty in my paragraph 29.

Paragraph 28.—I give copies of correspondence that passed between Major Meek and myself, dated the 6th and 7th December, regarding this agreement. It will be found (Appendices (N) and (O)). The gist of the matter is just this: protection was promised by Meek, who was the Government's agent. This is the main point at issue, the only one that counts. One cannot escape from it, nor can the promise be whittled down. As I have said, a gift of arms might have precluded protection, but once protection was promised, and the fact was at last accepted by the sheikhs and the Sayid ruler, it was surely monstrous and un-British for Major Meek to say that the protection he contemplated was only of "secondary importance." This was the first experience by fanatical and exclusive Arabs of His Majesty's Government, whose representative they had first suspected of treachery and then learned to respect. These sheikhs were out for protection only; money was of slight import. What would have been the effect on the Arab mind of such a volte-face? Again, I say, it is fortunate for the credit of my Government that I insisted on co-operation, for acquaintance with this tract would have left a stain on our escutcheon. A system of amateurism run amok.

Paragraph 29.—Before dealing with the agreement itself, which was signed by all the five sheikhs, the Sayid and myself, I will ask your Excellency to read Appendix (P) (Q) (R) (S). These will show Meek's assent to my signing the agreement to the Kuhra in Hodeida, after I had left for Aden, and would not recognize the validity of a document signed by a prisoner was therefore unnecessary. It is true that after all my protestations as to Meek's prerogative to sign the document, Sayid Abdul Kadir at first telegraphed to ask if the agreement would be accepted, but after Meek's letter to me (dated 6th December, 1919) (Appendix (N)), Abdul Kadir fell in with the sheikhs' views and unreservedly accepted my rôle. See also final paragraph of the agreement dated the 12th December, 1919 (Appendix (L) (1)), which states that they will deal with none other than me. This is the original document. Its translation will be found (Appendix (L)). Clauses 1 and 2 of the agreement are clear. Regarding clause 3, I hold letters from the Abus and the Zeranik which prove that these tribes were hand and glove with the Kuhra. The Abus were bought over by Meek, but I have read a letter from the Abus head sheikh to Sayid Abdul Kadir in which, in answer to our release, he urged our further detention in Bilal owing to the appearance of a "plague over the holy city of Murawaa." The largesse expended here by Major Meek did not prevent the sheikhs from, in this case, through a cunning Bakht, was treated very honourably by Major Meek, and sucked thereby no small pecuniary advantage.

I hold a true copy of another letter written by the head sheikh of the Zeranik, who, addressing all the Kuhra sheikhs by name, urges them to detain the mission until the terms are satisfactory to the Shi'ah generally, for he believed that the British Government and the Imam might thereafter unite to crush the tribes.

It is to the credit of the Kuhra and to "X's" exertions that the Kuhra placed no heed to these warnings. Had I dallied at this point, the situation would have doubled on their tracks. It was necessary for the satisfaction of the Kuhra to particularise the Imam and the Idrii as the ones they feared, and though I disliked to mention the Imam, who had backed the candidature of Abdul Kadir, I was glad to instance the Idrii, for I knew Abdul Kadir's attitude towards him. The sheikhs were aware of this, and they said to me: "We do not wish to be under one who is himself under you."

If the Sayid or the Kuhra now bid for Idrii's assistance against Imam, or if the Kuhra and other tribes mentioned reject Abdul Kadir's leadership, our promised protection ipso facto ceases.

I have insisted on the inclusion of the diplomatic means of protection, although the sheikhs were bent on our using force only, and distrusted palaver.

As to the words "will absolutely restrain," to which Meek objected, the better translation should be "effectively." Unless effective, protection is a misnomer, and the use of the word mischievous. There is, however, more to be read into this clause than meets the eye. The Kuhra and Sayid Abdul Kadir are fully aware we shall not starve in Hodeida and clause 4 goes with the assurance of protection.

from the Kuhra and the Idrii, and, as a result, the Kuhra and Idrii (see paragraph 18 (S) above). These Arabs form a very strong combination of Sha'ah and Idrii, and will resist any attempt to force them to accept our protection, which alone our protection would be effective from the point of forceful measures against an Arab invasion by the Imam of Sana.

Clause 8 brings out still more clearly their love of independence and desire to be left unshackled and free from the constraint of any non-Moslem Power till such time as the Peace Treaty shall determine the exit of Turkey, when they will be free to determine a fixed form of Government conformable with the Arab genius. If they then elect a ruler from amongst themselves—such as Abu Hadi—or choose the Idrii, or can come to some amicable settlement with the Imam, our hands are freed so far as this agreement goes. Again, our protection is contingent on their good behaviour, as per Major Meek's phraseology. We should be able to dissuade the Idrii, our ally from attacking them, and the Imam also. The Imam has passed his bond to Abdul Kadir and all the Kuhra sheikhs that if they release the mission he will grant security (see Appendix (E)). If the Imam keeps faith and does not molest the mission, there is no other enemy to be feared. Here, however, we are brought up to the point where the Imam did urge the Idrii intervention—contrary to the policy already adopted by His Majesty's Government.

In his telegram to Meek (No. 253 A.P., sent to me on the 22nd November, 1919) the Political Resident, Aden, did not approve of arming the Kuhra, as "the giving of arms is against the present settled policy of the Allies." He goes on to say: "You can assure them that we will protect their interests if attacked. The means must be left to us. We cannot always have aircraft available." By what means, then, was protection contemplated? By enlisting Idrii's aid? No Arab would have accepted this bare assurance couched in abstract terms. I translated the abstract into the concrete. The only way to secure our release, had I not stepped in to modify Meek's original proposal, was to equip an expedition—a costly affair and inexpedient (see War Office Memorandum No. 1919, to the effect that "the cost of an expedition to the coast of the Red Sea would be enormous").

The only way to secure our release, had I not stepped in to modify Meek's original proposal, was to equip an expedition—a costly affair and inexpedient (see War Office Memorandum No. 1919, to the effect that "the cost of an expedition to the coast of the Red Sea would be enormous").

The only way to secure our release, had I not stepped in to modify Meek's original proposal, was to equip an expedition—a costly affair and inexpedient (see War Office Memorandum No. 1919, to the effect that "the cost of an expedition to the coast of the Red Sea would be enormous").

As regards paragraph 9 of the draft of the 3th December, this has been eliminated from the actual text of the signed agreement of the 12th December (Appendix (L) (1)). This modification was due entirely to "X's" exertions. Hodeida belongs by reversion to the Kuhra and Abus tribes and to none other. Our declared policy has been to obtain from Agart a concession to allow us to use Hodeida as a base for our operations. Hodeida was but a stepping stone to the coast.

It is surely no concern of ours, in that event, if Hodeida was to be used as a port, just as Mokha, Al Jah and Ghulehika have already wanted, owing to local government.

The agreement carries with it no pecuniary liability devolving on His Majesty's government. The Kuhra sheikhs scorned the offer of a ransom, and their aims were purely political.

Paragraph 30.—There remains one more point to be discussed, namely, the question of the Anglo-Turkish border of 1904, which, with the deposition of the Idrii, ceased to exist. I cannot understand the attitude of the Imam in regarding protection at Dala unless he thought that the Tihama and Hodeida were lost to him. He may have launched out into this new sphere where for years he has sought aggrandisement. Dala was once an appendage of his ancestors, and Amir Nsar, our stipendiary, is chiefly to blame for having encouraged Turkish and Imamite friendship during the war. In 1915 Amir Nsar wrote to the Hyah acids (within our protectorate) as follows: "The Islamic Government is our Government and our Caliphate. Whoever submits to this Government submits to God and His prophet; whoever rebels is an outcast." It will be recollected, however, that we were not in a position



to assist the Amir, who had solicited our aid, and for this reason pardon has been extended to him, and he was in Aden when I left there just before Christmas.

Paragraph 31.—The lesson did all he could by diplomatic means to release me from my detention. He was in Athens when I was taken there just before Christmas, 1901, and I am still his warm personal friend. In consequence of his efforts benefits to come after the Turks had evacuated the country. I append (A) my letter to him written after offering his aid. I replied I had received no answer from the Government to withdraw to Hodeida, and his best course was to refrain

This friendly message to Nayind Aye, K. A. No. 1, H. A. No. 1, above referred to, was inspired largely by my letter to him dated the 3rd November.

On the 8th November, 1912, "X" had made up his mind to back the Kührakhs unless Abdul Kadir gave way. A later letter of the Imam to me is Appendix (V). It indicates he is open to negotiations and calls on us to restrain the Uluks. The Imam is surrounded by fanatical Sufiys, and it is just possible these have forced his hand on a provocative border. This taking of the law into their own hands has often been exhibited by his wayward lieutenants on our north-east border.

In the 2nd December, 1912, the Imam wrote to his agent at Bajil: "I hope for the realization of my aims after the entry of the mission in Hodeida." I was hurried away from Hodeida on the 13th, and left negotiations in the hands of Major Meek.

Paragraph 39. - The Imam has recently written to the Po... commenting on the return of his budget of letters sent to the Allied foreign Ministers, but he argued that our action would seem to imply that we had "squared" our Alliance and would do the best we could to satisfy his natural...

This interruption of our relations with the Inuit calls for very delicate handling. He wants our friendship after the furks go. His message to the Kuluks has been taken to prove his enmity to us. It is a mere ruse to effect our release, and the Kuluks saw in it a trick to swamp their country and paid no heed to it. The Inuit said: "Let the mission go. After its arrival at Hedekuk we will watch events. If Hedekuk is a  
 . . . y examined, I will come down with a force and in conjunction with you will force the Bestum out of the country."

I am strongly opposed to  
 evaluating every diplomatic

he knows our money to keep our profits. The Turkish released assets. The limit has for years coveted the

him away here and along our northern border, and, as I have said, the General of the Yemen was over our friend in the past and checked him and

I have been styled an "Imanophil." My advocacy, however, has nothing to do with a personal but with a principle. I have always foreseen Iman Yabun's po-

There was in 1905 a strong Turkish Arab party who plotted to get Enver Pasha to leave Yemen, and even to support his claim to the Caliphate.

When, before the war, the Turks were considering a retreat to the coast, they planned the surrender of the whole interior to the Imam. Imam Yahya has long nursed

[illegible]

Verab. Of two things I am sure. —

6. The young ~~man~~ boy towards the Shafais, Imam Yahya can in course of time acquire ascendancy over them, and they, in their turn, will acknowledge him as their lord, as the Kuhraa did in their earlier letters to me.

(2) These Shinto trinomies will not stomach a Zendi ruler, who would ride  
 over their prophecies.

Paragraph 83.—I am a staunch believer in the Imam's future ascendancy, if he lays his cards well. His agent in Bayd told me that his master would treat with us, and added significantly that "a scoundrel cannot hold two swords," in other words the Imam would disapprove of any Power living in the Yemen. If we cross him, we may give a cue to the Shafis to resist him by joining our ranks. It would be a great

disastrous both for the future and for our prestige as "the best friend of the Arabs. The Turks, despite their shortcomings, are Moslems, and the Yemen is very strongly pro-Islam.

Paragraph 34.—The Imam has never quite forgotten the dismissal without a

to the representatives of France, Italy and the United States in Aden. As Chief Political Officer of the Aden Field Force I opposed this action of the General Officer Commanding and Political Resident, but was overruled. The latter's idea was to get the best possible terms in the Yemen, and he thought this could be achieved by the sympathies of all our Allies. He wanted no treaties with any of these powers. He recognised our predominate position in the Yemen, but was determined to

on this mission the Imam again wrote to High Commissioner, Egypt, enclosing a second batch of letters, in open covers, addressed to all the foreign Ministers. Quite recently the Imam has asked to see me, and I think he should be approached on our protectorate border. He will brook no interference from outside, and will not admit Sherif Hussein's claim to Arab sovereignty. He will not style Hussein as King, the Arab ruler will admit this title. Hence his wish, formulated through Italy, to be represented at the Peace Conference, distinct from the Udaya. He does not however love the Italians, as his many letters evidence. The Italians, on the other hand, have

...y rulers are getting to themselves the style of "Amir al-Muminin." I ... self adopts this style!

The own protectorate has always vacillated on the question of the Imam. I can prove by chapter and verse, after a close study of this region for many years, that our policy has been entirely wrong and dangerous against Zenzibula. Every Arab naturally likes to be independent, and he is, of course, keen to have direct relations with the Majesty's Government, because he can fill his pockets thereby and need pay no taxes. It is a mistake to imagine that religious prejudices are a determining factor here. Ever since 1904, when I was Political Agent on the Bahr el Jebel,

You must call it "religiously."

Paragraph 25 - There was a great scarcity in the country owing to our past blockade of the coast. I distributed some grain locally but could not touch the district in the whole countryside. The four Kuba sheikhs objected to my going to the grounds. Suidun, however, should be punished in the same way as the

On the way back to the ship, I met a young man in a white shirt and dark trousers. He was walking towards the ship and I went over to him. He said he was a student at the University of the Philippines and was on his way to the ship to see his father. He said his father was a doctor and was working on the ship. He said he was very happy to see me and that he was looking forward to seeing me again. He said he was going to the ship to see his father and was going to stay there for a few days. He said he was going to the ship to see his father and was going to stay there for a few days. He said he was going to the ship to see his father and was going to stay there for a few days.

by a trusty messenger. This will clear the air and reassure him of our friendly feelings.

Paragraph 37 - Statement of accounts will be found (Appendix (X))

It is usually supposed that the Arab is a money-grabber and oaf for nothing else.

Monetary grants to the abekhs were in consequence very small.

No. 38. --My chief helpers in Bagil, each in his own way, were "X," the Sayid Abdul Kadir, Abu Hadi and the friendly Kuhra akas, also the Mamuk of Jamil Bey (kaumakam), Yusuf Hasan (mute-murafi), Modabadi (the merchant), [redacted] (Abdu Hadi's clerk). A [redacted] (my envoy from Suva) at [redacted] named Ahmed-a-

Mr. Hadi was particularly helpful to me throughout. I cannot speak too highly of him, who is also a person of great worth to the human race. If he were hereafter elected as the ruler of this great country, Government would have a sincere and faithful friend, one ever ready to study British interests. His work deserves special recognition as a splendid type of an Arab gentleman, and is far ahead of any other Thammashakh in deportment and culture. "I am prepared to do anything," he said to me, "to help you out of this difficulty," and his work proved it.

Paragraph 39.—I owe very much to the personnel of a mission. Major Reilly, O.B.E., is a first-rate political officer of large experience in Arab affairs, and one who has served seven years in the residency. He is deservedly popular with all classes of Arabs. His work deserves higher recognition.

... in Nasrudin Ahmed, one of the political officers in Aden, was invaluable to  
as the Moslem member of the party, but as my assistant and secretary.

He is a very able officer, hardworking and reliable. He was responsible for the political accounts of the mission. I trust he will be given a permanent appointment either in Mesopotamia where he was before, or in another Arab country. He had to suffer numerous insults from the sheikhs because he had allied himself to a "Kafir" mission but his temper was always unruffled.

Captain E. A. P. Brock, R.A.M.C., was the life and soul of the party, a very great political asset to me, and perhaps the most useful member of the mission. Assiduous in his duties by day and night, he became very popular with the sheikhs and tribesmen. His presence and cheerful temperament did more for the mission than any other factor.

Captain D. T. Richardson, M.C., R.A.M.C., was my transport officer. He has four years' service in the Aden Field Force, where he was considered a most efficient officer. He helped Brock in the medical work and was also my reconnaissance officer. He was the organizer of the games which did so much to convert the populace of Bajil from foes to friends.

I attach a copy of a letter I wrote in Aden eulogizing the services of the Aden Troop and the Supply and Transport Agent Abdul Ghafur (Appendix (X)).

Sheikh Abdulah al Mughira, a Nejd Arab, one of the pan-Arab party employed by General Sir John Maxwell of Cairo at the beginning of the war, has been often used by me and was of great assistance. He kept me up to date on Turkish matters. He was a friend of "X," whom he had known in earlier days. He had been in this part of the country on a former occasion.

Paragraph 40. — Abu Musa'ir is at present languishing in the Imam's dungeon in Aden. It was proposed by Egypt, or by Aden, to the Imam that he should liberate this man when it was supposed the Kuhra would release the mission. This gentleman is of no account. He was the head sheikh of the clan of which Mohamed Zaid is now successor. He is a head of the worst reputation and was interned by the Turks at the instance of the Kuhra tribe, who do not want his release for he would upset the whole country. I do not understand why his name was quoted. It was a joke and much talked of in Bajil.

At the risk of prolixity I will rehearse as shortly as possible the chief points in my report.

This was the first time since 1873 that any European had travelled by the Hodeida Sana route unescorted by Turks. There was no reason to suspect treachery after the precautions taken. I have never before known Arabs to violate a written bond. Usually no bonds are given in the Yemen. An Arab's word has always been sufficient.

I went to Hodeida for the simple reason that the crux of the situation lay here. We know the ground in our own hinterland and we know the Arab mind there.

3. The Imam engaged to take me up, and his agent in Bajil had received a bond from the three Kuhra sheikhs for my safe-conduct. It was extremely diplomatic on the Imam's part in the circumstances to be kept in Aden at Bajil. He was working along the line of least resistance. He is not, therefore, responsible for the impasse.

4. My entrance into a Turkish province to come to an understanding with a prospective Arab ruler was premature. The Turkish element might have proved nasty. On the contrary, "X" and his fellow-Turks did all in their power to extricate the mission. Full credit is due to "X."

5. I consider myself fortunate to have been delivered from the hands of the Shafai mind that was possible from a reconnaissance mission to the Tihama at the psychological moment of the Turkish invasion. A unique revelation of the Shafai mind that could not have been obtained while the Turks were in military occupation.

6. The Tihama Shafais are very strong for Islam and the Turkish Caliphate. Turkish propaganda has made great strides during the war in this Turkish Tihama. Here King Hussein is regarded as a heretic. The British Government has adopted the idea of the temporary recall of Turkish troops to Hodeida as the best policy available to keep the British, Russian and Company present and to keep out the Imam. I was working with the fixed policy of His Majesty's Government, and not for its release. For this action I have been accused of dalliance with the Turk and of being under "X's" thumb. This slander is born of ignorance and bias. *Les chiens hurlent; la caravane passe.*

7. The delay in effecting our release was caused partly by my "marking time" to know the result of the Turkish proposition at Hodeida and partly by reason of an undercurrent of intrigue from the Idri. If the Idri was not an active thwarting of my mission, I think he was both. I therefore deplore the telegram from Aden thanking him for his services. It was possible some two months earlier.

8. By co-operation alone was it possible to extricate the mission from Aden. Whether I was an "envoy" or not was a factor outside the question. My mission was essential owing to the sheikhs' refusal to deal with Major Meek.

9. The Kuhra sheikhs believed in my promise, which was based on Meek's formula of protection, and they personally conducted the mission, intact in every detail, to Hodeida.

10. The Kuhra, our captors, are the strongest and most influential Tihama tribe. All work outside this tribe was doomed to failure.

11. I had early in the proceedings asked for aeroplanes on reconnaissance work. I had over in my telegram I discounted their use, unless in combination with a mobile column, for our release. Five days before the aeroplanes came Bajil I had warned the political officer to keep them away from us.

12. It is ill advised to "ignore" influential parties who have already committed themselves to negotiations. You may perhaps ignore them, but you must not convert to force by tactless statements.

13. If Idri has since come to Bajil, in spite of Aden's earlier warnings to him to keep off, we shall be confronted by very grave difficulties. The Imam will accuse us of cowardice or treachery, and the Idri will require a *quid pro quo*, if indeed he does not forcibly seize a tract which is not his to enter on. His domain lies to the north, where he has conspired to solve with King Hussein.

14. If the tribesmen in fear of the Imam have, as a *pis aller*, called in the Idri, and have called the latter off. In this event, since the Idri was one of the

of the 12th December, 1919 is but temporary and does not sit His Majesty's Government at all seriously. It looks as if it were a protection they asked was mainly from without by men. Hence their final acceptance

forceful assistance only.

16. I submit we should even now submit to the Idri from this region and go to Hodeida to the tribesmen. They will elect their own ruler, and he will probably be our friend Abu Hadi. This would please the Imam, who would be free to deal with

the Idri. The Idri is a powerful ruler, and in old times, before the advent of the Turks, his house was most

powerful. The Idri is a powerful ruler, and in old times, before the advent of the Turks, his house was most

we stand before the High Contracting Parties at the Peace Conference? Whichever of our opponents the Peace Conference is the most powerful. It is all this, I protested against the Idri's intrusion, which was, further, against the original policy of His Majesty's Government.

18. The Idri has many outlets in the Red Sea. The Imam has no outlet at all, and Hodeida is the natural port of Sana. Our intention was to bring down the Imam first by proxy. It is better to agree with this powerful ruler while we are in the way with him, and to convert a potential foe into a real friend. The late Sultan of Iraq, Sir Ahmed Fadhil, a shrewd statesman, was ever asking the Aden Residency to terms with the Yemen's strong man, and Sir Ahmed had himself concluded a secret treaty with Ismail Yabrah.

19. It is impossible to deal with a host of Arab tribal chiefs. Our experience in our Aden protectorate has taught us this lesson. Here we have concluded numerous treaties with sultans and sheikhs amongst whom is no cohesion, nor to us any real



erty of these tracts, our loss would have been negligible, and our monetary gain

The Imam has been emasculated by the sojourn of the Turks in the Y. . . . .  
and he has still to find his feet. It is well to meet him half way, and the

but I believe, if left alone, the Tihama and other "non-Zendi" parties will eventually come to terms with the Imam of Sana.

The Imam is still calling for me, and I shall be very glad to meet him if His Majesty's Government approve. I am well known in the Yemen and my personality will tell. I feel sure that our several spheres can be decided amicably after an interview, and by a policy of give-and-take.

22. The moneys spent in Hodeida were of no avail in effecting our release, for the simple reason that the Kuhra wanted not money, but protection. The sums spent on other tribes men to isolate the Kuhra served only to infuriate them.

23. I regret that this report contains so much of the personal element, but this is unavoidable. I was battling in a friendly way with my Arab captors and trying to carry out the fixed policy of His Majesty's Government. I was surprised, therefore, to find my action adversely criticised by those who were not in a position to judge. The instructions made that were without foundation. This extra burden, more . . . . .  
inconveniences met with from Arab hands, aggravated the situation and

H. F. JACOB

Foreign Office, February 16 1920

#### APPENDIX (A)

##### Message from His Majesty the King to Imam Yahya

WE have not failed to interest ourselves in the question of your Excellency's rights of sovereignty in the Yemen, and it is with the object of full discussion of this important matter that we are sending Colonel Jacob to you as the envoy of His Majesty's Government.

It is not hidden from you that we have no intention of interfering in your affairs, but we are anxious to uphold and respect the rights and interests of the rulers of Arabia and to see them living in future in peace and happiness.

GEORGE V

#### APPENDIX (B)

##### His Majesty's Special High Commissioner for Egypt to His Excellency the

(After compliments)

It is known to you . . . . .  
Y. . . . . is being deported to y . . . . .  
He has been . . . . .  
tance to yourself . . . . .

Rest assured that His Majesty's Government are desirous of seeing your Excellency established as the autonomous ruler of the Yemen and living in friendly relations with the other autonomous rulers—your friends and ours.

The question of boundaries also may be freely discussed. His Majesty's Government is anxious to know your claims, and will do its utmost to support the same; but your Excellency will readily realise that any authoritative settlement is not possible at this juncture.

It appears to His Majesty's Government that your Excellency's wisest policy is to conciliate the hearts of those who, during the Turkish occupation of the country, have become estranged from the rule of your enlightened ancestors. It is this rule which . . . . .  
our omacy and goodwill. His Majesty's Government believes that the qualities of a just and broad-minded ruler will be found inherent in your Excellency.

Accept, then, my sincere and hearty compliments, together with my assurance that . . . . .  
of your country and its peoples is bound up in your Excellency's

His Majesty's Government think it necessary, after free discussion with Colonel . . . . .  
b. that a convention be called of rulers, or their accredited representatives, where . . . . .  
salaries may be definitely settled with the concurrence of  
all the parties.

I think this course will commend itself to your Excellency as the only one that promises firm  
(Compliments.)

#### APPENDIX (C)

##### Note by Major-General Stewart, Political Resident, Aden, on Colonel Jacob's Telegram

I have decided to send this in original by post for the following reasons—

1. The Eastern Telegraph Company have asked to send no more telegrams than absolutely necessary.
2. Owing to the black out telegraph lines it will not mean more than a few days.
3. I wish to make a few remarks on the telegram itself.

I do not know to what telegram Colonel Jacob is expecting a reply—possibly those regarding payments of considerable sums of money to Mahmud Nudhun. Colonel Jacob may be in close touch with the sheikha, but I am of opinion that this proximity is not in his vision. In any case, he has been told that negotiations are out of his hands. Yet I fear he still regards himself as our "envoy," and this point of view is likely to be shared by the sheikha. I think it is a mistake for him to send . . . . .  
under consideration, direct to the High Commissioner. I . . . . .  
our political officer in Hodeida (Major Mack), and have . . . . .  
refrained from communicating direct with any of the sheikha. I welcome all views of . . . . .  
Colonel Jacob and those with him, and am always very glad to receive his opinions and . . . . .  
of Major Kelly, &c., but I am anxious to disabuse the sheikha, Mahmud . . . . .  
of any idea that their prisoner now exercises control.

Colonel Jacob says Government sent him prematurely to this country. I am not . . . . .  
who originated the mission. Personally I was always in favour of . . . . .  
communications with the Imam, but I held that the meeting should be in Hodeida . . . . .  
Colonel Jacob once told me there was no reason, political or religious, why the Imam . . . . .  
come to Hodeida. However the mission originated, I understand that . . . . .  
it was advised, both in Aden and Hodeida, that it was not safe for him to . . . . .  
in his own influence with the Arabs, he decided to start.

I . . . . . the mission except compliance in principle . . . . .  
I differ. I fear that if I comply with . . . . .  
will but breed fresh demands. We have no security that Arabs who have broken faith . . . . .  
will not do so again.

He suggests, as an alternative, the employment of a strong composite and mobile force accompanied by aircraft. Looking to all the difficulties connected with the employment of such a force, I consider it must certainly be only a last resource. I am of opinion, too, that before such a force the mission will either be hurriedly retired or . . . . .

As regards the use of aeroplanes . . . . .  
what we expected. It has, perhaps, created greater . . . . .  
at the undisciplined tribesmen than was anticipated. I . . . . .  
and where they may be subjected to the emotions of the moment. But I do think they may in time be advantageously re-employed.

What, in my opinion, should be our line is to convince the captors that they have nothing to gain and much to lose by retaining the mission, and that any harm done to . . . . .  
of the mission will react on them and their tribesmen for a prolonged period and . . . . .  
crack the name of Tihama Arabs for ever. I agree that this may take time, and I regret the prospect of delay. Like all others concerned, I am all anxiety to secure their early and safe release. As regards the Imam and Mahmud Nudhun, I think both are working entirely for their own interests, as they conceive them, and are using the mission as a pawn in their . . . . .

We know that Mahmud Nadhim wants the return of the Turk and his restitution to power. Out of the Yemen, Mahmud Nadhim has no position to hope for. The Imam is a more doubtful quantity. I think, personally, his policy throughout has been influenced by Mahmud Nadhim, and I feel confident that he is really loyal to us, but realises that he cannot stand alone either politically or financially. We have always safeguarded his interests and accepted him far from benevolent neutrality.

If the sheikhs can elect a champion and if the Imam will accept him I think the Government would gladly recognise the scheme, but I cannot agree that Mahmud Nadhim should have any hand in it. He has refused to obey the Government and should receive no recognition whatever from us.

I am sending a copy of this note through Major Meek to Colonel Stewart.

J. M. STEWART, Major-General.

Aden, December 3, 1919

#### APPENDIX (C) (1)

Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby

Bajil, Yemen, December 11, 1919

I expect we shall leave for Hudaida tomorrow. It has been a tedious delay in largely due to a lack of co-operation between the political officer, himself.

It is idle for Aden to say I should not interfere. The Arab will trust me as the man whom he sees. They became attached to me and my ways and have positively refused to treat except through me. Aden has failed to recognise this.

I have seen a copy of Aden Resident's notice (No. C 974 of the 3rd instant) on my telegram to your Excellency. It is necessary for me to remark—

1. Your Excellency is aware of my reference to my being sent prematurely to this country.
2. I absolutely deny that I was advised both in Aden and Hudaida that it was not safe for me to proceed.
3. I decided to start for the simple reason that I was under orders, and the road was declared safe.
4. I am a pawn in the hands of neither Imam nor Mahmud Nadhim. They have self-interests to watch, but have both of them been working for our release.

I reserve further remarks for my full report later. It is a case of *les agents ont toujours tort*.

I am, &c.

H. F. JACOB

#### APPENDIX D

Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby

(Through Political Resident, Aden.)

(No. A)  
(Telegraphist)

November 25

I have received no replies to my telegrams. Am in closest touch with sheikhs and understand their attitude better than any other. Government have sent prematurely to this country, and nothing can extricate us except either a compromise principle with demands of our captors or, failing this, the employment of a strong composite and mobile force accompanied by aeroplanes. Mere intimidation by aircraft is not only useless but puts us in a predicament. Reference has been made to present of arms in measure. It is essential to have above mentioned is the alternative. Both Imam and sheikhs oppose, as they seek our protection and require ocular proofs to Hudaida but sheikhs oppose, as they seek our protection and require ocular proofs

to Hudaida but sheikhs oppose, as they seek our protection and require ocular proofs

to go back. It is possible to give H. deida to Idri as a condition of his ending our release, but this will be a breach of faith with the Imam, alienating him from us and will lead to endless wars in the country. The sheikhs want candidature of one of the Holy House of Marawa as their champion, and this scheme is acceptable for the time being to the Imam. I earnestly ask most careful consideration of my proposal, which will preclude involving us in military operations which will give occasion to Allies to his phone. I am very hopeful of being able, in conjunction with "X" (who in spite of his past indiscretions has wonderful influence in the country and over the mind of the Imam), and after our return to Hudaida, to bring about an understanding between the Imam and the sheikhs' champion, and of thus securing the quiet of the country and establishing the paramountcy of British influence in the Yemen. All measures well.—JACOB.

#### APPENDIX E

Telegram from the Imam to Sayid Abdul Kudir (Agent at Bajil) and all the Chiefs and Sheikhs.

Have received the Vali's telegram telling of the discussion in the affair of the Imam, whose detention has caused anxiety. His Excellency has done well in explaining to you and to the British agent the decision for the return of the Imam with honour to Hudaida. We did not wish them to return before their journey up to us, because we hoped that on reaching us we should have discussed what would result for the good of Islam and Muslims; but events would have it thus! The Vali has handled matters well; please then be expeditious so that he may return to us. Whatever suspicions anyone of us may have against the other, I have written this as a pledge of complete security to all the chiefs and sheikhs and all the people of the Kuhra upon oath, provided that the mission departs without molestation or delay and there be a unity of the word in a manner pleasing to God and in conformity with His law. We pray God for His blessings on us and on all Muslims, both in this world and in the world to come.

Dated 7 Rabi-ul Awwal 1338 (the 1st December, 1919)

#### APPENDIX F

Translation of a Letter from the Idri to Sayid Abdul Kudir-al-Ahwal

(After compliments.)

I have received your letter through our friend the B. Sa'id, who was accompanied by Sayid Ahmed Khuzam, the son of our brotherly friend (N.B.—This is one of the Kuhra sheikhs who, as I have said, was the Idri's agent in Bajil). We have executed their affairs and they are now returning to you. We shall instruct the military commander in the country of the Jorabika to look after their interests. You have referred to the mission that you are in agreement with them, but the tribes have claims which the British, through whose fraud you are not secure, have not accepted. I had written you before explaining the situation, and then my cousin, Sayid Mustafa, went to Lohiya for the same purpose. In short, you are like those who have come to understand my policy towards the country which God has put in my charge, and how it has progressed and flourished. If you trust me, His prophet, and in me, please release the mission with their arms. I am with them in any way, especially in writing, because by your lack of experience of the world you may harm both yourself and the country. Listen therefore to my advice, which is for the good and maintenance of the Moslem country, and release the mission. Do not be afraid of anything because my arms, which are being concentrated in the Jorabika country will, after occupying J. Mulsan and other places, proceed to Bas-al-Fakh, while a part of them will remain in Bajil; and if anything happens by way of sea or land, whatever befalls you will betail my troops. (N.B.—The allusion to the sea is a hit at His Majesty's Government.) So leave it to me, for when I have put my hand to this affair you need not worry, and I will do



the necessary to safeguard your interests. Do not listen to intriguers and do not believe those who may say that I have worldly interests behind all this. Nothing remains after my advice but "red fire" and regrets when it is all too late. Give good advice to the tribes, and if they do not listen to it you had better come to us to arrange something to protect the village of Marawa from harm, which we will do.

that ancestral village. This should be considered sincere advice, the truth of which was underlined by that father of us all, Sayid M. Hamed-bin-Yahya-al-Ahdal Mansah of Munira, who has seen much of the world and is ripe with experience. He has already advised you what is good for you. Now the time is over, and the delay of the is very harmful, because in reality Jacob and his staff are of no importance. In fact their Government would like their captivity to be prolonged as an excuse for breaking their engagements with the Arab on the ground that the latter have broken their promises\* by capturing the mission and they will then move to Sana, and take possession of it as they have done of Baghdad, Irak, Damascus, Jerusalem, &c. They will then rule over you as they like. The tribes at your place are ignorant men, and they who pretend in Bajil to be politicians and manage the correspondence according to their own ideas are very want of the politics of these days. There is nothing more to explain, and must lead guide everyone into the right path.

Dated 12 Rabi ul-Awwal 1338 (the 5th Dec)

#### APPENDIX

I was told that the mission is now in Kama and the great

2. Know, oh sheikha, that you are responsible for the safety and the welfare of your people. If you make a false decision now you will be responsible for the destruction of all the villages of your land and for loss of life. You will be responsible before God, as also your ministers.

3. You are already aware that I am prepared to discuss matters affecting your interests in Hadeida. I have invited you to come to Hadeida, and have offered you safe conduct which still holds good. Do not forget that I am the representative of the Government, and that it is your duty to come to me and not mine to go to you.

4. Let it not be hidden from you, oh sheikha, that we are fully aware of all the circumstances of your arrest of the mission. We know that you are full of intrigues. This intrigue was not for your good, but against your interests. A person who instigated you made you believe to be true that he made you believe that you would benefit yourselves and your people, when he had care for you whatever, but sought only to gain advantage for himself. Even now he would lead you on to disaster, caring not what becomes of you in the execution of his foolish design. Do not let yourselves be any longer led astray, but act for yourselves and do what you yourselves know to be correct, and you know now, oh sheikha, that you can trust me and trust Government, which will support you. You have been advised by all the sayids and by the sheikhs and by sheikhs that the action you have taken has been mistaken, and you have been advised that you can place your reliance on me. Take this advice now, my friends, quickly, before it is too late. I again offer you the hand of friendship: you release the mission immediately, and promise you full pardon, as we know that what you have done you have not done of your own accord, but at the wicked instigation of a self-seeker who seeks to ruin you. Come into Hadeida immediately and deliver up the mission, and you will be honourably received. If you do not comply

It is the intention of the British Government to remain in Hadeida until such time as there have been made which will safeguard the mission.

\* I have heard of the Kuba in capturing us, and that their engagement to take us through the desert was broken.

Shafai tribes in its vicinity. Government will not leave Hadeida and the Kuba and Abu in the lurch, and will endeavour to effect a just settlement which will be equitable to all interests.

My last word to you is accept the friendship I offer you. Allow no more idle talk, and accept immediately my invitation to Hadeida, where we will discuss matters and make arrangements for your welfare and prosperity.

A. S. MEEK

November 14, 1919

#### APPENDIX (II)

Minor Week to Lieutenant Colonel J.

No. 91

Dear Colonel,

November 26, 1919

The Kuba deputation spent two hours with me yesterday, as on Saturday they had nothing to say for themselves or their friends. I began with Turkey, touched on past history, the war, present position; I spoke of our Arab policy generally and of the position here and our intention with respect to it. I referred to blockade, world and local. Then I went through the sheikha's truculent letter sentence by sentence, a study and impropriety. I explained that arms could not be used in the atmosphere of Bajil with that of Hadeida—the attitude of the unfortunate savage with knife in hand with that of quiet common sense and reason. They agreed with me on all points; and they had not understood the position of

of arms, that it was absurd to compare the Kuba with important Arab rulers who had a furnished by Government with arms for particular reasons, that talk of "insider" was nonsense—that they could rely on Government; that it was impossible to make

being more savage and beggar for patronage. I dwelt at length on the position of sayids and others, occupy among the Arabs of these parts, of their position as counsellors of the sheikha and leaders of popular opinion, of my holding a position of responsibility. I stated that without making mention of names I knew

my actor in this play and the part he had played. I stated that without making mention of names I knew my actor in this play and the part he had played. I stated that without making mention of names I knew my actor in this play and the part he had played. I stated that without making mention of names I knew my actor in this play and the part he had played.

learned that they left me "dumbfounded" and altogether at a loss as to what to do to their action now with the sheikha. During their stay here—this is the fourth day

we have been well treated, but I arranged that they should be regularly bombarded out Hadeida friends. On leaving me yesterday they asked for a meeting to-day with the chief men of Hadeida. The meeting was held and it discussed the position. Then all came to me, the deputation included and acting as partners with our friends, and presented me with a set of resolutions agreed to unanimously by them. The resolutions contain the points I lay down in my reply to the sheikha, a copy of which I attach or will send hereafter.

I hope both Baghawi and Yehia Ali will soon lose their bullets as sheikha. The demonstrations have made great impression everywhere. The disturbances between the various factions in Bajil will no doubt cause you unpleasantness and anxiety, but I feel sanguine that your safety will not be endangered.

I have written the merchants and people of Bajil and Obal warning them that the action of the sheikha may react to their detriment, and I have congratulated Omar

for his good work.

Yours, &c.

A. S. MEEK

Since writing the above your telegram re the flight above Bajil has arrived. I have arranged to send a plane over Bajil and Obal yesterday. I had contemplated

\* Contrary to my advice given on the 21st November, 1919.

a straight flight, but it looks as if the 'plane were some time overhead. This effect has come to me as a great surprise and I am sorry you were placed in a position of waiting. I am repeating your wire to Aden and await receipt of your telegram to Allenby.

Very kind wishes from us to all of you in Hajil.

A. S. MEFK

November 27, 1919

#### APPENDIX (J)

*Translation of an Arabic Letter sent to the Kuhra Sheikhs by hand of their Deputation to Hodeida.*

The terms of the letter were agreed to by the deputation and representatives of Hodeida. The letter was signed by the Political Officer, which the meeting begged to have in the form shown.

We have received your two emissaries in Hodeida with honour and respect. We have had meetings with them and discussed the questions at issue.

There are certain terms with regard to arms in your letter which are impossible of acceptance either by the British Government or by the Yemenis who are now engaged in measures for the security and freedom of the country. Reference on this subject would not be confined to your case, but would have to take into consideration the danger to security in this part of the Yemen which would result from the possession of arms by you. If you will find here everything that will please and pacify you, we give you the following assurances:—

1. We offer you full pardon for your conduct in respect of the mission.
2. We will not permit you to assemble at Hodeida for the discussion of the question of the appointment of a ruler acceptable to you. And we declare that the British Government will remain at Hodeida till this matter has been arranged in manner comfortable with the view of the people. And we undertake to refer your wishes in regard to these matters relating to the Yemen generally, which form part of the major considerations now receiving the attention of Great Britain and the Allies.
3. We undertake that the British Government will protect you from any aggression (which God forbid!) so long as you behave well and do not disturb the peace and not against the general welfare and Islam.
4. Trade in Yemen by sea and land will be secure and nothing will be done to impede its freedom.

We offer you the hand of friendship from which you will profit. Do not reject this offer from those whom you will find good friends and bad enemies.

A. S. MEFK.

November 27, 1919.

#### APPENDIX (K)

*Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Major Merk.*

N 27  
Dear Merk

Bajil, December 5, 1919

I am sending you the agreement which Abdul Kadir and the sheikhs wish me to sign and which is based on the terms sent by you, and in some parts amended to you this morning. I am sure that you will find the principles of the

agreement drawn up by you. I therefore agreed to them. My reasons for doing so at once were—

1. I considered it essential to strike while the iron was hot. There are undoubtedly forces here which are working counter to us, and which seize every opportunity for sowing suspicion and working for delay. I therefore did not wish to give any time for reconsideration, and decided to accept the amendments on my own responsibility.

2. The sheikhs insisted on having my signature to the document and said they would accept it.

3. I regarded the amendments as merely dotting your i's and crossing the t's of your draft.

I hope you agree to 3, but if you do not, I will take all responsibility on my shoulders with regard to the amendments.

The whole matter was going well until yesterday morning and I hoped to be out to-day, but yesterday it appeared that a hitch had occurred. Everyone was reticent as to what the trouble was, but we heard reports from various sources that there was jealousy between the sheikhs and akils, the former accusing the latter of having received money from you to induce them to get us out by force and upset the sheikhs. Other explanations were also given, such as that an anonymous letter had come from Muli (possibly inspired by Enoch) telling the Cooks not to let us go. What the truth was I do not yet know, but to-day it appears that the sayid wants to see me.

Your telegraphic approval, for which I am very grateful, and I sent the document itself to you for your assent. The sayid is said to be much pleased with it, but some evilly-disposed person (I suspect Ali Bari, who has just come from Aden) is putting doubts into his mind as to our sincerity. "X" has worked hard for the agreement, and is much put out at the hitch that has occurred. "X" has acknowledged to me that he has been in the wrong in using propaganda since the armistice, and I believe he is now honestly working for our release.

I hope you can sign and return the document at once without reference to Aden or elsewhere. My principal fear about delay is that Abdul Kadir may try to improve the terms from his point of view by knocking out the temporary character of his rulership and trying to get us to recognize him at once as permanent ruler, an alteration which might lead to a deadlock, for Abu Hadi has aspirations for the permanent, but not the temporary headsip.

Yours, &c  
H. F. JACOB.

#### APPENDIX (L)

*Text of an Agreement arrived at Bajil on the 3rd day of December, 1919, with the Kuhra Sheikhs.*

I, the undersigned, Lieutenant-Colonel H. F. Jacob, His Britannic Majesty's envoy, take oath in the name of God the Almighty, Jesus Christ, in whom all the Muslims believe, and on whom be peace, and also in the name of His Majesty the King of Great Britain and Ireland, the British nation and Government, and on my honour, and make promise to fulfil the following conditions:—

1. That whereas I am fully aware that our detention in Hajil was based on misunderstanding and distrust of our purpose of forcibly subjecting them to the governance of someone they did not want, I consider their conduct excusable.

Therefore, owing to my knowledge of the truth of their excuse, I promise to them that they will not receive punishment or slightest harm at the hands of the British Government now or hereafter on this account.

2. That whereas Bajil Kaza generally and the Atmyah and Zaranik tribes have elected, with their free consent and will, the candidature of Sayid Abdul Kadir to be their ruler, and I am fully aware that the British Government will restrain absolutely both Imam Yahya and Sayid Muhammad-el-Idrisi from interference with the Kuhra and the above-mentioned tribes by necessary means, either diplomatically or by force, so

[4376]

11





If I require that you take no part whatever in negotiations, except in so far as I may specially request you to do so, I will accept Kadir and the sheikhs that I would accept your agreement with them, but fortunately Abdul Kadir pressed me for more explicit reply, which I gave him in accordance with my true position. How I shall now proceed in matter for further thought.

Yours sincerely  
A. S. MEK

#### APPENDIX (D).

Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Major Meek

Dear Major Meek,  
I received your letter of the 21st of the 6th instant and two accompaniments received. I should display a lack of dignity were I to reply to you in the terms of your letter. I shall therefore defer my defence hereafter to my chief. Let me say, however, your remarks re Abdul Kadir are too puerile for words. Crediting yourself with common-sense, you deny this quality to me.

I have already given you my reasons for "butting" in, quite unwillingly, in this matter. A little co-operation between you and me, and we should have got out ere this.

It is inevitable that in the terms for our release you should have touched on questions of the future of the country, and on this point I am probably as competent as you, and you cannot expect me necessarily to accept your opinions at their mere value.

As to your remarks about Hadrama, I care little what you and the Kura sheikhs' agent may have agreed upon as to the sheikhs' inability to rule there. I see no Government decision on this score. If one exists, your business it was to tell me. Before the return of General Stewart, his predecessor treated me shabbily by depending on the future policy in the Yemen without informing me, who was, and still am, the Government's accredited officer, of the proposed change, and this in spite of the fact that the dictates of courtesy required me to keep the Resident informed of my views and of what was passing.

As to "our declared intention to remain in Hadrama" (see your letter under reference), your letter to the Resident (see also your letter to the Resident) bears belief that our stay in Hadrama was intended to be permanent.

You say to the sheikhs: "We give you permission, you and the tribes of the district," &c. Precisely; and it is because these tribes have interest in the town that the solution of its occupation so closely affects their interests. You beg the question when you apparently suggest that Hadrama be treated as a separate enclave outside the tribes' zone. In other words, I am asked to accept your ipse dixit as gospel. Your letter to the Resident you sent to the tribes. Hadrama is a separate enclave, and hereafter, you have certainly misled them; for the wording of your letter gives them to suppose that the views of the people would be asked in this matter also. Other than the tribes mentioned, I know of no Arab candidates for Hadrama unless Idria is one, but no, from what I have seen of Idria, he is definitely excluded. There remains the Imam. If you will read my wires to Egypt and Foreign Office, you will see my reasons for excluding the Imam both now and in the near future from any ability to enforce his claims there. The proposed agreement, however, was purposely made temporary, and the use by him of wise diplomacy, even if it failed in bringing under his nominal suzerainty the Shalal element, would, in any case, have excluded his rival's entrance. Again, the candidature of the Sayid has been actually approved by the Imam, and for the above reason.

I do not think you have grasped the reason for which I was sent to the Yemen, nor that my efforts to secure the Imam's formal recognition of this temporary shift will be rewarded after my release, for this reason I think that when you recently sent two letters to the Imam it would have been well for you to direct as to me their contents. They may have contained nothing important. I contend for a principle.

As to clause 8, I know you would accept the hand of "X." Do you think that I failed to see his hand myself? The fact remains that the Yemen technically at

belongs to Turkey, so that the inclusion of these words is explicable, even if it proves irritating to you personally.

I cannot understand your objection to word "absolutely" in clause 3. I took care to insert the saving clause "either diplomatic or." This was omitted in the original Arabic draft. In other words, I amplified your promise to sheikhs and gave Government a loophole to escape from the use of force only, and got the sheikhs to agree to the use by us of diplomacy, which they never contemplated nor desired.

You say that the protection of the Kuras is only a "secondary consideration." I should be sorry to be a party to a promise that was only half a promise, or not seriously meant, or not even properly considered in advance. Better give no promise at all.

When I mentioned the receipt of an anonymous letter from Mudi I meant what I said, and I know its contents. Many other and genuine letters have been received from that direction.

I take it from your letter received to-day that, in spite of the agreement being considered a poor one you are ready to ratify it after the exclusion of clause 9. I have put the matter before the Sayid and sheikhs, and I believe it is coming up for discussion to-night. If they agree, and I get this in writing, I trust you will close with the agreement as it then stands. It is the best possible one in the peculiar circumstances of the case, as explained to you in my last letter.

Yours sincerely  
H. F. JACOB.

#### APPENDIX (P).

Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Major Meek

I received your proposal for our release, and I have considered the same over my signature. Thus I have accepted the modifications suggested by them, and these are logical amplifications of your text. The modifications are as follows: Firstly, Sayid Abdul Kadir is chosen at once as ruler of the tribes concerned to be their temporary ruler until the arrival of a permanent ruler from Turkey, when a permanent ruler is to be freely chosen by the tribes. Secondly, the release of Arab prisoners taken in Hadrama is to be effected. These stipulations are in conformity with the principles of settlement laid down by you, and I have taken on myself the full responsibility of agreeing to them. I have now sent a message from you confirming the agreement thus amended, and endorsing my action in signing it. I hope you will do this at once, as any delay affording opportunity for further discussion or amendment would be most undesirable. This message from you is all that is now required to effect our release. If you so desire, I will send you original draft by special messenger for your approval and signature.

#### APPENDIX (Q).

Hadrama, December 30, 1919.  
Your telegram of the 26th. I agree generally to modifications in keeping with general tenor of my terms to sheikhs. I agree also to release of Arab prisoners.  
MEK

#### APPENDIX (R).

Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to Major Meek.

(Telegraphic.)

December 11, 1919.  
Thanks for your telegram re Kuras. Expect to arrive Hadrama with mission on Saturday morning. Agreement concluded minus clause 9.\*—JACOB.

\* Meek had said that if I could get out on the agreement barring clause 9 he would accept it in the circumstances, though he did not like the agreement on the whole.



We await your arrival and shall give you a very cordial welcome. I am  
 informing Aileen till you arrive. All good luck to you all and bon voyage!

[N B.—Meek knew, therefore, that I had signed the agreement.]

## APPENDIX (T)

Translation of a Letter dated 12th Zil Hajja, 1337 (September 7, 1919) from the Imam Yahya to Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob

(After Campbell et al.)

[illegible]

Explain in detail to me clearly what your views are  
 greetings and compliments from me to all your companions  
 Ask God to give success to you and to myself.

## APPENDIX (V).

*Translation of a Letter from Imam Yahya to Colonel Jacob*

(After compliments)

I received your letter of the 9th Safar, 1338 (2nd November, 1919) which, though it came late, has given me the good news of your health and safety. I have been much annoyed with your delay, and I treated the matter with patience and I avoided bloodshed and to comply with your wishes and the advice of the British Government and others. I hope everything will turn out for the best, and that those who caused your detention will be led to the right path. We have to wait, however, till you come back to Hodeida, and we will postpone taking any measures against those who caused your detention while awaiting the good results, and because we love leniency and justice. I am thinking of the Idrisi movements, which are contrary to the counsels of the British Government and against your recommendations of peace and tranquillity. I cannot understand if he has broken the relations existing between himself and the British Government, or if he has gone astray and neglects their good counsels. I hope you will send me the answer to this from Hodeida on your arrival there, as the Vali Mahmud Nadih Bey informs me that facilities are being made for your return to Hodeida as per your Government's instructions. I think that you are now in full knowledge of the situation and of all the movements and the moves thereof,\* which are contrary to the intentions of all. May God be with you.

*Indo-4* Rab-ul-Awwal, 1338 (27th November, 1919)

## APPENDIX (W)

*Lieutenant-Colonel Jacob to the First Assistant Resident, Aden.*

I enclose a statement of accounts aggregating rupees 43,255 : 18 : 9 only, of which rupees 28,077 . 4 : 9 are debitable to political funds, and the rest are advances

<sup>a</sup> Alloties to the forest.

Idem, December 18, 1919

AMOUNTS drawn from the Civil Treasury at Aden for the Jacob Mission, for which an Account has to be rendered

[illegible]

H. F. JACOB, Librarian (C)

		Brought forward	1918	1919	1920
December 31, 1919.	Other expenses incurred in connection with the	500	7,464	2,188	13 0
(Cont.)	Interest	—	—	435	15 0

Total	7,500	4,444	1,111
Equivalent in rupees	7,500	4,444	1,111
Total in rupees, only			

Aden, December 18, 1919

*Lieutenant Colonel Jacob to Major C. C. J. Barrett, C I R., First Assistant Resident,  
Aden.*

[illegible]

There is another name I would like to mention, that of Mr. Mohammad Abdul Ghafur, the supply and transport agent attached to the mission. Amongst a horde of grasping shirkhs who occasionally threatened him with death because he refused to comply with their demands for exorbitant charges for supplies and camel-conveyance, Mr. Ghafur maintained a wonderful poise and performed his very arduous duties to the satisfaction of all. He is worthy of advancement, and I consider him very capable and hard working.

Head of the Political Mission

No. 71

Constantinople, February 19, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 27 of 10th January

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a formal address, and it begins with the words "My Countrymen," which is a traditional way of addressing the people in a formal document. The letter is written in a formal, dignified style, and it is signed by Abraham Lincoln.

[4970]



judgment. If Turks participate judgments would naturally be given in name of Sultan. If Turks be also of it seems necessary that judgments should be in names of heads of three Allied Governments. Can decisions of this point be taken in agreement with French and Italian Governments and communicated to us as soon as possible? My colleagues are telegraphing to their Governments in same sense.

E 376 1 58

Karl Curzon to Mr. Wardrop (Tidra).

(No. 82. Confidential)

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, February 20, 1920

CABINET have decided that evacuation of Batoum shall proceed no further pending the decision on a proposal that a joint Allied force should hold the port until its future destination has been decided by the Peace Conference.

Please repeat to Tehran and Constantinople.

E 382 166 44]

Memoranda on proposed Treaty with the Imam Yahya. (Received February 21)

[See Summary of Events leading up to the dispatch of a Mission under Colonel Jacob to the Imam of Sanaa. No. 45, supra.]

#### Foreign Office Note.

BEFORE discussing the proposed treaty clause by clause, it is necessary to consider our future policy in this part of the world as a whole. From Mr. Balfour's No. 875 of the 6th May it appears that the position for which our working is that, while His Majesty's Government will not actually have a say for Arabia, they will be recognised as having special political interests in peninsula and in certain islands. Other Powers will seek neither territorial aggrandisement for themselves, and will respect treaties

the chiefs whose territories lie within certain areas.

It is not quite clear what the term "treaties of a tribal nature" is intended to cover. The Persian Gulf colonised in 1823 in the name of the British Government, under which it was provided—

That there should be a complete cessation of hostilities at sea between the subjects of the signatory chiefs and "a perfect maritime peace."

(b) That in the event of aggression on anyone by sea the injured parties should not retaliate, but should refer the matter to the British Government in the Persian Gulf.

(c) That the British Government should watch over the peace of the Gulf, and ensure at all times the observance of the treaty.

As this created, as Lord Curzon pointed out in his speech to the Tribal Chiefs of the Arab coast at Sharjah in 1903, political ties grew up between them and the Government of India whereby the British Government became their overlords and protectors, and they bound themselves not to enter into a treaty or correspondence with any other Power; not to admit the agent of any other Government; and not to part with any portion of their territories. At the same time the British Government bound themselves not to allow anyone else to tamper with their rights or liberties. Provided that the chiefs governed their territories with justice, and respected the rights of the foreign traders residing therein, the British Government had no intention of interfering in their internal affairs. The British Resident would always use his influence to prevent internal dissensions from coming to a head, for the British Government could not approve of one independent chief attacking another chief by land simply because he was not permitted to do so by sea, and thus evading the spirit of his treaty obligations.

The policy indicated in the above summary is clearly that His Majesty's Government should bring pressure to bear on the Arab chiefs through their agents at the sea. The treaties have sprung out of the maritime truce, and it is only at the ports that His Majesty's Government are represented. The question now arises whether relations of this kind will meet all requirements on the other side of Arabia, and whether it will be enough for us to base our relations with King Hussein, the Emir, and the Imam, in the first place, on maritime interests, or whether we are to ask the High Contracting Powers to recognise treaties of a more detailed character which are not based solely, or even in the first place, on maritime considerations. The position

on the coast of Arabia at the present time is very different from that on the Gulf coast at the time of the Treaty of Perpetual Peace. Not only are maritime operations between rival Arab chiefs almost unknown, but other European Powers have already endeavoured to establish interests which do not fit with our own. The Hijaz is now open to the outside world by railway, and our maritime hold on King Hussein will consequently be weakened, unless some part of the railway is to be under a British date. Another important factor is the position of King Hussein as the guardian of the Holy Places of Islam, a position to the support of which we are now more or less committed by our having championed the Arab revolt during the war.

It appears from these considerations that such treaties of a tribal nature as we may in the future enter upon with the rulers of Western Arabia cannot be based solely upon maritime relations. Though we can still use our maritime supremacy to enforce the fulfilment of treaty obligations, and there is no need for us to depart from our traditional policy of avoiding inland commitments for ourselves, the tribal relations between the Arab rulers must be more comprehensive than those between the petty rulers of the Tribal Coast. Any treaty with an independent chieftain of Western Arabia must include an undertaking on his part to refrain from hostilities by land as well as by sea until the question under dispute has been referred to the British Government.

The next point for consideration is with what rulers should these treaties be made. It was suggested in Foreign Office telegram of the 14th March that we should follow the policy of dealing only with the overlords, and General Allenby has expressed his entire agreement in this proposal. The only two rulers who can really claim to be overlords are King Hussein and the Imam Yahya, but two other local rulers must also be reckoned with. The Emir of Asir is an upstart, whose independence was first encouraged by the Italians in the Turco-Italian war, and who has no real claim to

can hardly drop him now. It is, however, open to question whether, when the present form dies, his successor will be able to retain his position.

His position at Aden also necessitates a separate agreement with the Sultan of

beyond these four rulers there does not appear to be any necessity for our entering into tribal relations with any other ruler, unless we propose to extend our influence of Aden further inland than the territory ruled over by the Sultan of Asir. The War Office have lately revived the question of the occupation of a rail station in the hinterland, and have expressed the opinion that with the exception of the Imam the Sheikh Said peninsula, the existing frontier of the Aden protectorate requires no readjustment from a military point of view. What then are to be our relations with tribal chiefs other than the Sultan of Lahij, whose territories fall within the existing artificial frontier? Are we to make tribal treaties with all of them, or are we to recognise the Imam or the Sultan of Lahij as their overlord?

Colonel Jacob, who originally drafted the proposed treaty with the Imam, has suggested that the treaties of our so-called protectorate might be slightly revised. He deprecates any attempt to extend our influence inland, and he outlines a scheme of railway construction, education, and raising of levies which has for its object the attaching to ourselves of the allegiance of the multitude in place of the privileged heads of the tribes inside the existing protectorate. His remarks, like the draft treaty, are based on the assumption that His Majesty's Government are to have a mandate for Arabia, and his proposals amount to a consolidation of the existing protectorate into a British administration. If this result is to be contemplated by the Peace Conference it may become necessary to insert a clause in the treaty with Turkey to make this clear. On the other hand, it is possible that our position in the Aden protectorate is not to come

before the Conference at all. In that part of the Yemen which adjoins Aden we are faced with three alternatives —

1. To consolidate the existing position, and to leave the area in its present occupation to its present ruler.
2. To recognise the Imam as the overlord of all territory within the existing boundary which is not required for the defence of Aden.
3. To recognise the Imam as overlord up to the existing boundary, and to attempt to keep up a buffer of small States between him and ourselves as we attempted to do between ourselves and the Turks.

The third alternative did not prove successful during the war, and is not likely to be any more successful against the Imam than it was against the Turks. If General Allenby adheres to his proposal to make a preliminary treaty with the Imam in which the question of boundaries is to be specially excluded, this point does not at present arise. But now that the negotiations are to be delayed it may be considered advisable to discuss the future boundary between the Imam and ourselves with a view to the inclusion in the treaty of a clause which at least gives some idea of what our policy is going to be.

I take the draft treaty clause by clause.

Clause 1. — "The Imam will accept such a vague phrase as 'throughout the Yemen.' There is great danger that he will make use of it when his boundaries with the Idrii are discussed later. The reference to British protégés is also open to misconstruction. If there are to be British protégés they will not be under the Imam, if the policy suggested by the Foreign Office is to be carried out. It might be suggested to General Allenby that the clause should be redrafted to read:—

"I, the Imam, do hereby declare that I will ensure the Imam's independence and overlordship in all the territory to be referred to in future as the Yemen, and by those of King Hussein and the Idrii on the other hand, this territory to be referred to in future as the Yemen."

Clause 2. — Subject to the proposed modification to clause 1; no remarks.

Clause 3. — No remarks.

Clause 4. — The reference to military exigencies will be unnecessary if negotiations are postponed until after the conclusion of the war.

Clause 5. — This cannot be described as a crucial clause. In any case the wording is too vague. It would appear preferable for His Majesty's Government to express their readiness to assist in any port or railway projects which the Imam might contemplate in the future.

Clause 6. — As we are not to be a mandatory Power this appears unnecessary.

Clause 7. — This might be taken as undue interference.

Clause 8. — The Italians have had an agent in Sanaa for thirty years. We shall have to go very carefully about this clause, but it is justifiable on the analogy of our position in the Sudan.

Clause 9. — This is certainly desirable, but might possibly be treated by the Imam.

Clause 10. — This is certainly desirable, but might possibly be treated by the Imam.

Clause 11. — This clause should be expanded to include crucial guarantees and should come earlier in the treaty. The Imam should undertake to refer all questions at issue between himself and other crucial chiefs to His Majesty's Government before taking hostile action.

Clause 12. — No remarks.

This clause is out of place in the treaty. The Imam will make his own arrangements to ensure that goods for his personal use should pass free of customs duty, and an undertaking that British agents should have a similar privilege would more suitably be arranged separately.

Final Clause. — This is a very vague commitment and would never pass the test of a treaty. It is not clear from this telegram whether the subsidy is only intended to meet the war or whether it is to be paid in perpetuity. It would appear desirable to set a term of years in any case.

Some reference to the special position of King Hussein might well be suggested

to the Imam. Sir Reginald Wingate discussed this point in his note of the 24th December, 1917, and gave it as his opinion that in all negotiations with Arab chiefs we should be careful to define our attitude towards King Hussein and our intention to support the principle of Arabian autonomy. He thought that we should also affirm our desire to see the principles of cohesion and co-operation supersede separation and discord in Arabia. A stereotyped preamble on some such lines might well be introduced in future in any agreements with independent Arab rulers. It would be well to mention that the negotiations which of his neighbours was looked upon by His Majesty's Government as a precedent for the present negotiations were those with King Hussein, the Idrii, Ibn Saud, the Sultan of Shehr and Mokalla, and possibly the Sultan of Lahj. The latter would not, however, be considered as an independent ruler if our Aden Protectorate is to be consolidated up to the existing boundary or even to the boundaries of Lahj.

H. W. Y.

May 14, 1918

Memorandum by Colonel Jacob.

1. There is some misunderstanding. No treaty has been drafted. Headlines only of a possible treaty were enunciated, and these not strictly in their logical sequence. My suggestion was that I should go as an accredited envoy with explicit data for a treaty which would have been prepared for His Majesty's Government's approval.

It is inapplicable here.

2. It is very necessary to suggest to Imam Yahya the special position of King Hussein. One cannot press the point, however. The principle of Arabian autonomy is what we have all along advocated. The difficulty is to fix the boundaries of each autonomous ruler.

3. Idrii Sayid Muhammad-bin-Ali may be an upstart, but it is true we are seriously committed to his recognition by treaty.

I do not understand the necessity for any separate agreement with the Sultan of Lahj other than the treaty we already have.

4. With regard to "the three alternatives with which we are faced," I would remark that we have a large protected area inhabited by treaty chiefs. We do not intend to lose this area. It is incorrect to say that we had buffer States between ourselves and the Turks; we had a clearly defined boundary. This has, however, disappeared with the exit of the Turks, the tribes under our protection, however, remaining intact. These are our protégés referred to in clause 1 of the headlines for a proposed treaty, and also our protégé, the Idrii Sayid, with whom we concluded a treaty in April 1915.

A fourth alternative is as follows:—

"Our protected area, as recognised by the Turks, will continue to be recognised in its entirety by Imam Yahya, who will also recognise the boundaries to be decided hereafter 'twixt himself and Idrii and between Idrii and King Hussein. Further, Imam Yahya will accord full religious freedom and the exercise of their civil liberties to all those of his subjects within his to-be-defined autonomous area, to wit, those of the Shafai and Ismailiya persuasions, and we will not interfere with his rule, nor deal directly with any individuals or bodies of men situate within his autonomous area, provided his rule is sane and just."

The Yemen of the Imam cannot be fixed till the chiefs or their representatives meet in conference.

5. If the High Contracting Parties at the Peace Conference admit our predominant interests in Arabia as defined by the Foreign Office, there seems no need for us to annex Sheikh Said nor in any way to enlarge our present sphere of interest. In fact, to do so would provoke Arab remonstrance, as, indeed, it is admitted would be the case if we seized the Red Sea islands ourselves.

6. It is a mistake to say that my scheme for adoption in the Yemen, as outlined in my memorandum "The Future of the Yemen" (13th March, 1919), amounts to a consolidation of the existing protectorate into a British administration. My cry has



ever been "Hands off direct administration." I have merely urged intensive predominance  
 within the sphere of the railway. The place for initiating reform is Aden itself.  
 It is a policy of production without  
 The railway would develop trade

✓ W. C. Ferguson, 1107 E. 1st St., St. Paul, Minn. —

- No. 5.—In case we ever want to build a railway and get concessions, e.g., Hodendah (which, if it became a flourishing port, would kill Aden), the Imam would help us in this direction. The Arabs will do nothing in this line if left alone. We would keep out foreign syndicates.
- No. 6.—Imam would certainly ask us to make good Turkish debts. We should refuse. This need not form an item of the treaty.
- No. 7.—This is the main object of the treaty. Were there no other religious parties in the State, many of whom are afraid of a powerful chief of a crowd, there would be little need of concluding a treaty. We can deal with all these petty chieftains, and so we put our money on one man stipulating that he will conserve the other's rights. We have Sheikh Muhammad Naur Mukbil that we will safeguard his rights. I have a leading man of Shafa'i persuasion and was, under the Turks, a leading man of Al Kaimari adjoining our border. Many another Shafa'i from us.
- No. 8.—It seems essential to ensure the Imam will not deal with others than His Majesty's Government. We should discount the Italian Caprotti, who lives at Suva.
- No. 9.—The words "without the consent of His Majesty's Government" are inferred, but should be added.
- No. 10.—Most essential to our interests that he engage no foreign ally. I have only placed before Imam, but perhaps can be omitted.
- No. 11.—My remarks had special reference to goods passing through Aden for the Imam's personal use. I would propose that they should pay duty. However, this can come up separately.

12 I think much could be done, and much light thrown on the present situation in the Yemen, if I were to go home and represent the facts to His Majesty. The Yemen is so little known and its affairs have so recently come with, the Foreign Office, that a mission to the Imam is delayed. After a full discussion with the Imam, I would suggest I go home with the heads of the proposed treaty and such counter proposals as that ruler may have made.

Cairo, June 14, 1910.

H. F. JACOB, Lieutenant-Colonel.

*Foreign Office Note on Colonel Jacob's Memorandum of June 19.*

1. The misunderstanding was a natural one. General Allenby stated in his report No. 514 that the British had concluded a treaty with the Emir of Aden in 1915. It was only later that we discovered that he meant a treaty with the Emir of Aden, not with the Emir of Aden.
2. No remarks.
3. No remarks.
4. No remarks.
5. The treaty we already have is presumably the one recently concluded at Aden awaiting ratification. My original remark that a separate treaty with the Emir of Aden was only intended to show that for treaty purposes he must be considered as an independent or separate ruler, not that the

existing treaty with him would not be sufficient. We are now awaiting the India Office on the proposed new treaty which has just been completed.

Future of the Yen

at Camaran could be equally  
as proposed by the Peace

predominance, backed up by a railway and tribal levies, and the consolidation of the existing protectorate into a British administration.

9. My criticisms of the draft treaty were based on the assumption that it was to be a preliminary or provisional arrangement. I have since been cleared up, and Mr. Balfour has given us a much more ambitious programme. I enclose No. 833 of the 29th May.

10. The above should now be amended as in Mr. Halliday's despatch No. 228—  
i.e., no concessions to be granted to foreigners without the consent of His Majesty's  
Government.

It has been suggested by Colonel A. T. Wilson of Harard that a clause should be inserted prohibiting Akhwan propaganda in any future treaties with Arabs. This would not agree with Colonel Jacob's proposal at all. I am opposed to

[illegible]

Abdool Jacob himself, in his "Notes on the Future of the Yemen," says: "Too much attention is made of the conflicting religious differences in the Yemen. What has to do with Somalia and Zanzibar? Why seek to accentuate and so perpetuate these differences? Certain self-seekers will make much ado if the Zaidi ruler come down to the place left by the Turks—into a Sahara where once before the Zaidi Imam reigned. Since the Turks left the Yemen in 1640, Imams of Saana, Asbraf and Aden, and the Abba-Kayptarra As, have succeeded in turn as rulers of various portions of the country. The return of the Turks in 1873. In the same way as King Hussein of the

recently demolished, so Ina-Yahya expects to be put into the Turks room, Yemen, and the Idria looks forward to the mastery over Asir and its netherlands. Looking at things broadly I can see no better claimant for the Yemen than Ina-Yahya. If they it were placed by him, will make a) Shafii objections vanish. b) our religious creed or Suchman mission c) d) e) f) g) h) i) j) k) l) m) n) o) p) q) r) s) t) u) v) w) x) y) z)

persuasions readily intermarry. So long as the Imam is sympathetic, just and tolerant - and he cannot otherwise consolidate his rule - all religious differences may be waived, more especially if we stand aside and disclaim and reserve ourselves to administer any Yemen trade."

*James S.*—My objections no longer hold, in view of Mr. Palfin's despatch  
N. 222

(House 9.—4'ol. nr. Jacob agrees,

Clause 11.—Colonel Jacob agrees that there may be some difficulty about this.

16. We might enquire from General Allenby how much the Imam used to get

$\{r_1, r_2\} \subseteq S^2$

...n after he has wanted the Imam. He knows more about the Yezzen than

transmission is discussed clause by clause by cable or despatch.

H. W. Y

E 432/3 44

The Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 21)

(No. 200.)  
(Telegraphic)

Paris, February 21, 1920.

MARSHAL FOCH has on instructions from French Government summoned a meeting this morning of Allied Military Committee at Versailles to discuss military action of Turkey. Sir [redacted] As a Member of the Committee, Sir [redacted] has been asked to express his views on the subject. Sir [redacted] has not expressed much from meeting, but that it was really more with a view of [group in decipherable] discussing general question that French Government has referred matter to Military Committee.

I should be glad to know whether it is the wish of His Majesty's Government that this question shall be dealt with by Military Committee sitting at Versailles, or whether it is their view that the matter, like the rest of Turkish question, should be dealt with at London. If it is to be dealt with at Versailles it is necessary that General Sackville-West should be furnished with precise and definite instructions.

General Sackville-West has forwarded copy of General Weygand's letter summarizing meeting to Chief of Imperial General Staff.

E 289 289.44]

No. 75

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).

(No. 149.)  
(Telegraphic)

Foreign Office, February 21, 1920

FOLLOWING for Ryan from Vauclerc:—

Delegation have communicated to Peace Conference note, dated 17th February, containing scheme for judicial reform. My immediately following telegram contains text of [redacted] which note proposes to insert in treaty for the [redacted] New scheme [redacted] has been drawn up by experts, including [redacted] and based on Egyptian system. In order to emphasize analogy with Egyptian system frequent references to Egyptian laws are quoted.

"Please telegraph at once preliminary opinion of Waugh and yourself as to scheme with particular reference to following points:

"1. [redacted] Anglo-French-Italian expert commission [redacted] and provide for Turkish acceptance of any scheme agreed on by [redacted] This was our original idea. The French are, however, pressing for the immediate adoption of the present text in approximately its present form.

"2. Is French scheme acceptable enough to be basis of commission's discussions?

"Please note that, with regard to Section (B), French note explains that Mixed Courts would have jurisdiction in matters of personal status.

"We should also be glad to have as soon as possible by telegraph your considered and detailed criticism of the whole text. In view of the course of discussions here the matter is one of great urgency."

[E 289 289 44]

No. 76.

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 150.)  
(Telegraphic.) R

Foreign Office, February 21, 1920

MY telegram No. 149 of 21st February

## CHAPTER I.—REVIVAL OF THE CAPITULATIONS

## ARTICLE 1.

The [redacted] which was [redacted] by [redacted] decision of the Sublime Porte, is revived until the date of the introduction of a [redacted] of judicial reform.

## CHAPTER II.—JUDICIAL REFORM

## SECTION A.—Organisation of Mixed Courts in Turkey

## ARTICLE 1

A Court of Cassation, sitting in the capital, courts of appeal and tribunals of first instance [redacted] determined by agreement between the Powers and the Ottoman Government.

## ARTICLE 2

In all courts and tribunals of all degrees the preponderance of the foreign over the Turkish judges shall be assured.

## ARTICLE 3

Both the Turkish and foreign judges shall be appointed by the Porte, the latter nominated by their respective Governments. The number of foreign judges and the number of Turkish judges shall be determined by international agreements.

## ARTICLE 4

The appointment of all judges, foreign or Turkish, shall be irrevocable.

## ARTICLE 5

The higher and lower courts shall have honorary Turkish presidents. The actual presidents shall always be a foreign magistrate.

## ARTICLE 6

A procurator-general and a deputy procurator-general shall be appointed [redacted]

The procurator-general appointed to the Court of Cassation shall at the same time act as head of the *parquets* set up for the courts and tribunals.

The procurator-general and his deputy must necessarily be foreigners. They shall be appointed by the Government upon nomination by the Powers.

The procurators and their deputies shall be appointed by the Government upon nomination by the procurator-general, and with the approval of the general assembly [redacted]

Procurators appointed to the courts of appeal must necessarily be foreigners. Procurators appointed to the tribunals and deputies appointed to the courts and tribunals may be Turkish.

The proportion of foreign procurators and deputies and of Turkish procurators and deputies shall be settled by agreement between the Porte and the Powers.

A [redacted] police and a penitentiary service shall be attached to each *parquet*. The head of each service shall be a foreigner, responsible to the procurator-general. The latter shall appoint and dismiss all the personnel, with the approval of the courts of tribunals.



Section (B).—Jurisdiction of the Mixed Courts in Civil and Commercial Matters.

ARTICLE 10

1. Jurisdiction ratione personarum.

(a.) In civil and commercial cases the mixed courts shall have exclusive jurisdiction between Turks and foreigners, between foreigners of different nationalities, and between foreigners of the same nationality.

(b.) The jurisdiction of the mixed courts shall include all matters, even those between Turkish subjects only, whenever the suit appears to involve any foreign interest.

(c.) The mixed courts shall also have jurisdiction in cases between Turks in the event of the two parties submitting by mutual consent to their jurisdiction.

A plea of no jurisdiction shall only be raised in *limine litis*.

When once a suit has been brought before the mixed courts, the mixed courts shall become definitely and exclusively competent to judge the suit. Decisions so pronounced shall be binding upon all other Turkish courts.

(d.) Suits between the Turkish Government and all branches of the Turkish public service and foreign nationals shall be tried by the mixed courts.

Exceptions.

The exceptions restricting the jurisdiction *ratione personarum* of the mixed courts in civil and commercial matters shall be as follows:—

(a.) Foreign diplomatic or consular officials in Turkey shall be entitled to bring suits against third parties before the Turkish mixed courts, but shall themselves not be amenable to those courts as defendants, except in the case of counter-claims not exceeding the amount of the original claim.

If they carry on any trade or industry, or if they hold or own property in Turkey, they shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the mixed courts regarding all commercial or industrial matters, but not affecting their official capacity in which they are not involved in their official capacity.

(b.) Religious or educational establishments possessing immovable property in Turkey shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the mixed courts, but not diplomatic and consular officials.

2. Competence ratione materiae.

(a.) The jurisdiction of the mixed courts shall include all matters relating to movable or immovable property.

(b.) Claims brought by foreigners against a pious foundation with regard to real estate held by that foundation shall, nevertheless, not be tried by the mixed courts.

The mixed courts shall, on the contrary, have jurisdiction in cases in which suits with regard to property are brought by the pious foundation as plaintiff.

(c.) The mixed courts shall also be competent to try cases relating to legal possession, whoever may be the plaintiff or defendant.

(d.) Turkey shall promulgate without delay a law prepared by the Powers with regard to the organisation of real estate and land registration.

Until this law comes into force all transfers of immoveable property shall be concerned must bear the visa of the mixed judicial authority and be registered by a chamber of the mixed tribunal shall be specially charged with such

(Section C).—Execution of Judgments in Civil or Commercial Matters

ARTICLE 8.

The execution of judgments pronounced by the mixed courts in civil and commercial matters, whether against foreigners or against Turkish subjects, shall take place independently of any administrative, consular or other action, and on the order

of the court. It shall be effected by the court bailiffs, if necessary with the assistance of the local authorities, but in any case without interference by the administrative authorities.

Section (D).—Jurisdiction of the Mixed Tribunals in Criminal Cases.

ARTICLE 11

(a.) The mixed court shall have exclusive jurisdiction in offences against Turkish police laws committed by foreigners.

(b.) With regard to crimes and misdemeanours the mixed court alone is competent to examine and try all offences committed by foreigners against other foreigners or against Turks, or by Turks against foreigners.

Section (E).—Prosecution and Preliminary Enquiry before the Mixed Courts in Criminal Cases, and Execution of their Sentences in Criminal Cases.

ARTICLE 12

The prosecution and preliminary enquiry in cases of crime and misdemeanour before the mixed courts, as well as the execution of the sentences pronounced in such cases, shall be carried out without any interference on the part of the administrative or consular authorities, unless such tribunals wish to appeal for the help of the local authorities for purposes of execution.

Imprisonment or hard labour will be served in establishments under the direct supervision of the mixed courts.

Section (F).—Law to be applied.

ARTICLE 13

(a.) A commission composed of lawyers of the Allied Powers and of Turkey shall be constituted with a view to drawing up the codes to be applied by the mixed courts. The commission shall base its labours upon French law and the Egyptian codes, taking into account where necessary the local laws.

The civil code drafted by the aforesaid commission must contain general rules for the settlement of conflicts of law. Should it, however, prove impossible to arrive at an agreement, the commission shall merely insert a general provision of more or less the following tenor:—

The mixed courts shall apply the rules contained in international convention law, or, in default thereof, the general rules of private international law. In cases where the national law, which is applicable under such rules, refers the matter to another law, the courts shall act in accordance with such reference.

(b.) The mixed courts shall not begin to sit until the codes have been drawn up and promulgated by the Turkish Government.

(c.) Additions to and modifications of the codes in force shall be discussed and voted by the general assembly of the Mixed Court of Cassation.

The right to propose such new laws shall rest with the Court of Cassation, with one of the Powers adhering to the scheme of reform, and with Turkey. They shall be voted by a majority of two-thirds. The Powers shall be entitled, within a period of three months, to demand, either individually or jointly, a second discussion of the laws voted by the Court of Cassation. Within a further period of three months the Powers may—but only in case of unanimity—object to the promulgation of the laws voted after two discussions by the Court of Cassation. If no objection is raised within the fixed time limits, the court shall submit the laws so voted to the Turkish Government, which shall promulgate them forthwith.

(d.) Police regulations issued by the Government or the Turkish authorities shall be binding on foreigners in the event of their being approved by the general assembly of the Court of Cassation in the conditions set forth in the preceding article. The promulgation of additions and modifications to the codes).

on (G).—Administrative Jurisdiction

ARTICLE 12

The mixed courts shall have jurisdiction to deal with acts of sovereignty taken by the State in execution of laws and regulations issued with the assent of the Powers, except to decide as to the conformity of the said acts and measures with the aforesaid laws and regulations, and to deal with cases of prejudice inflicted upon the rights of foreigners by a violation of such laws and regulations.

ARTICLE 13

On the other hand, the mixed courts shall not have jurisdiction to deal with acts of sovereignty and measures taken by the State in execution of laws and regulations issued with the assent of the Powers, except to decide as to the conformity of the said acts and measures with the aforesaid laws and regulations, and to deal with cases of prejudice inflicted upon the rights of foreigners by a violation of such laws and regulations.

CHAPTER II.—JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS

ARTICLE 14

Pending the putting into application of the judicial organisation, the disputes which arose, prior to the war, between the Powers and the Turkish Government regarding the interpretation and application of the capitulations shall henceforth be settled according to the following provisions.

(a.) The judgments of all civil or commercial courts trying mixed cases, the value of which exceeds 1,000 piastres in value, shall be delivered with the assistance of foreign judges. Judgments delivered without such assistance shall be automatically annulled, and the case shall be referred with the obligatory assistance of foreign judges.

(b.) The judgments of civil or commercial courts of all grades with regard to mixed cases shall be considered null and void whenever the consular representative shall have protested.

(c.) Civil or commercial courts trying mixed cases shall interpret the Turkish commercial code and code of commercial procedure in accordance with the usage of international trade, or, failing that, in accordance with French law.

(d.) In matters relating to immovable property the Turkish courts shall alone have jurisdiction to try actions regarding real estate, and the only competent Turkish courts shall be the civil courts. Actions regarding personal property shall be tried by the civil courts when all the parties are foreigners, and by the mixed commercial tribunals in the case of actions between foreigners and Turkish subjects.

Consular courts shall, moreover, alone have jurisdiction to try all cases relating to movable property in connection with the settlement of the testamentary inheritance of foreigners.

(e.) In mixed cases, the subject of which does not exceed 1,000 piastres in value, may alone be tried in the absence of the consul.

(f.) The residence of a foreigner may not be visited by the local authorities except in the presence of a consul, subject to the exceptions contained in the Protocol of 1878.

The presence of a consul shall always be necessary in cases where the local authorities have to prosecute searches or perquisitions in printing works or bookshops belonging to foreigners, or in hotels or apartment houses kept by foreigners.

Foreign ships may not be visited nor searches carried out on board except by the consul.

(h.) Foreign subjects accused of crimes or misdemeanours committed against foreigners or against Turkish subjects shall be detained before trial in the consular prisons, except in the event of a request to the contrary being made by their consul.

(i.) The competence of Turkish courts in criminal cases shall be restricted solely to crimes and misdemeanours committed by foreigners against Turkish subjects or Turks against foreign subjects.

(j.) The presence of the consul shall be obligatory in mixed criminal proceedings both in the lower and higher courts.

(k.) Consular assistance shall be assured to all foreign witnesses before all Turkish courts.

(l.) Sentences pronounced by the Turkish courts in mixed criminal actions shall be deemed null and void in all cases where the consular representative shall refuse his assistance.

(m.) Foreign subjects condemned by Turkish courts shall serve their sentence in consular prisons, unless their consul makes a request to the contrary.

CHAPTER IV.—STATUS OF MAHOMMEDANS WHO ARE NATIONALS OF ALLIED POWERS

ARTICLE 1

Mahommedan nationals, subjects and protected persons of Allied Powers shall enjoy the same regime in Turkey as other nationals, subjects and protected persons of the same Powers.

[E 414158.]

Mr. Wardrop to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 2.)

My Lord,

Tiflis, January 1.

ENCLOSED I have the honour to transmit copy of a despatch No. 9 of the 15th January, addressed to me by Colonel Stokes, with reference to the Asiatic reply to the Bolshevik proposal for an attack on the Volunteer Army.

I am, Sir,

O. WARDROP

Enclosure 1 in No. 77.

Lieutenant-Colonel Stokes to Mr. Wardrop

Sir,

Baku, January 1.

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith the originals and translations of the drafts of the reply sent by the Azerbaijan Government to the wireless message from the Bolsheviks asking them to attack the Volunteer Army.

Through the courtesy of the Georgian representative at Baku I was much acquainted with the first draft, and as, in my opinion, it was undesirable that the Azerbaijan Government should reply expressing its willingness to enter into negotiations with the Government of the Soviet Russian Republic, I saw the Minister of Foreign Affairs, and urged him to have the last sentence of the draft deleted. Dr. Alakhebaia (the Georgian representative) supported my representations. Fath Ali Khan Khoski (Minister for Foreign Affairs) undertook to put my views before the Cabinet, and meanwhile to delay the despatch of the telegram.

He also endeavoured to prevent the publication of the draft in the local press, but in this he was only partially successful. The Cabinet, having heard the Minister of Foreign Affairs, changed the words "the Government of Soviet Russian Republic" to "the Russian people," and the reply was sent as in draft (B).

It would, I think, have been preferable had the last sentence been entirely deleted, but I consider that the change made in the original draft, and the intentional vagueness of the language employed, render the message fairly innocuous.

I have, &c.

C. B. STOKES, British Political Officer, Baku.



Draft (A)

(Radio-telegram.)

Moscow

(Translation)

To the People's Commissar of Foreign Affairs

IN accordance with your telegram dated the 22nd January, I am informing you that the Azerbaijan Republic, at last received its freedom and independence.

The Azerbaijan people in great historical events, by means of great efforts, at last received its freedom and independence.

Our Government is based upon the principles of establishing a democratic republic. The Azerbaijan Republic stands on the point of view that every nation has a definite right to arrange its own fate and life in accordance with its views. This is why the Azerbaijan Government never allowed any interference in the inner affairs of its people, and, on its part, never interfered in the affairs of other people.

In accordance with these principles, the Azerbaijan Government considers it to be impossible to interfere in the affairs of the Russian people in their struggle in arranging their inner life. The Azerbaijan Republic always remained neutral, but it is always ready to defend its fate and independence from the forces outside. That is the reason why the Azerbaijan Government had a severe struggle with the Tsar's General (Denikin) who always threatened the independence of the Azerbaijan people, and in order that this struggle may be a successful one, we made a defensive alliance with the neighbouring Georgian Republic. The above policy of the Azerbaijan Government dictates the letter of establishing friendly terms with the neighbouring people, and that is the reason why the Azerbaijan Government is ready to converse with the Government of Soviet Russian Republic, in order to establish good friendly feelings in connection with the independency of both Powers.

Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Azerbaijan Republic.

Draft (B).

Answer of the Azerbaijan Republic

Moscow

(Translation)

IN accordance with your telegram of the 22nd January received by me on the 23rd January, I am informing you that the Azerbaijan Republic, at last received its freedom and independence.

The Azerbaijan people in great historical events, by means of great efforts, at last received its freedom and independence. Our Government is based upon the principles of establishing a democratic republic. The Azerbaijan Republic stands on the point of view that every nation has a definite right to arrange its own fate and life in accordance with its principles, and that is why the Azerbaijan Government never allowed any interference in the inner affairs of its people, and on its part never interfered in the affairs of other people. In accordance with these principles, the Azerbaijan Government considered it to be impossible to interfere in the affairs of the Russian people in their struggle for arranging their inner life. The Azerbaijan Republic always remained neutral, but it is always ready to defend its independence from any forces. That is the reason why the Azerbaijan Government had a severe struggle with the Tsar's General (Denikin), who always threatened the independence of the Azerbaijan people, and in order that the struggle may be a successful one, defensive alliance was made with the neighbouring Georgian Republic.

The above policy of the Azerbaijan Government dictates the letter of establishing friendly terms with the other people, and that is the reason why the Government of the Azerbaijan Republic is ready to converse with the Russian people in order of establishing good friendly feelings in connection with the independence of both Powers.

KHOISKY,

Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Azerbaijan Republic

E 483 166 14]

No. 78

Major-General Sir J. Stewart to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 23)

(No. 4)

Aden, February 5, 1920

Sir, I HAVE the honour to forward, by request of His Britannic Majesty's High Commissioner in Egypt a full report by Major A. S. Meek, C.M.G., on the negotiations resulting in the release of Colonel Jacob's mission from detention in Bajil.

I feel it incumbent on me to make a few remarks thereon—

- A Court of Enquiry was held on the conduct of the 7th Rajputs—they were absolved from all blame.
- The strictures on Dr. Richardson, the political officer, are open to question.
- Colonel Jacob's attitude has already been the subject of much correspondence. I am only concerned in his unwillingness, in spite of orders, to recognise that when a prisoner he had no *locus standi*. No doubt, too, his judgment was affected by his surroundings.
- I have frequently expressed my conviction of Mahmud Nadhim's untoward worthiness. Long before the surrender of the Turkish garrison I had formed this estimate, and have never had reason to change it.
- I have already brought to notice Major Meek's able conduct of the mission. I am grateful that they have received the ready recognition of Government.

The services of Khan Bahadur Ali Jaffer I am representing in a separate letter. Further copies will be sent as soon as they have been typed.

I have &c

J. STEWART, Major-General  
Political Resident, Aden

Enclosure in No. 78

Report on Operations for the Release of the Jacob Mission

I ARRIVED in Hodeidah on the 5th October, 1919, charged by the Resident of Aden, Major-General Sir Walter Delamain, with the duty of effecting the release of Lieutenant-Colonel H. F. Jacob and his party, who had been held prisoners in Bajil by the sheikhs of the Quhra tribe since the month of August. The party consisted of

Major Reilly, O.B.E.

Captain Richardson, M.C.

Captain Brock

Captain Nasir ul-Din, and an escort of twenty five sowars of the Aden troop under an Indian officer

Mr. Richardson, O.B.E. who had been vice-consul at Hodeidah for many years before the war, and had been for some time a prisoner in the hands of the Turks during the war, had acted as political officer at Hodeidah during the British occupation of the town, and I relieved him of that office on the 8th October. Mr. Richardson was ill at the time of his relief, and we did not have much opportunity of discussion before his departure on the 8th October, but he informed me with bitterness that, though he had been entrusted with full powers of negotiation for the release of the mission, Colonel Jacob had opposed him, and had prevented the sheikhs of the Quhra tribe from accepting his invitation to meet him in Hodeidah, so that he, Mr. Richardson, had been unable to make any advance in

negotiation. Colonel Jacob himself had held continual fruitless discussion with the sheikha, who subjected him to continual indignity and insult in a spirit of insolent truculence, all of which Colonel Jacob withstood with infinite patience.

2. The position I found on my arrival I will now describe. The town of Hodeidah was deserted and dead. Of a normal population of from 45,000 to 50,000 people, it was reckoned there were from 1,000 to 1,500 left. The bazars were closed; there was no trade with the town by sea or land, there was great poverty and starvation, the people were hostile to the British, though grateful for the doles

being issued, and for the charity of the 1st Brahmana, whose sepoya gave away their surplus food. The recent raid of from 40 to 80 men of the neighbouring tribes, who had held the town for five hours, and looted all there was to be had in the shops and in many houses, after killing a number of Arab and Rajput guards

the town, which followed the raid and was repulsed with heavy loss, and which led to military measures involving the destruction by fire of a large number of huts in the town, and the search of houses and theft and destruction of property by inconsiderate sepoya of the 1/7th D.C.O. Rajputa, heightened the popular ill-feeling. The bitterness of the inhabitants towards the British Government and the 1/7th Rajputa, which had been the only regiment of the garrison at the time of the raid and subsequent attack, was reciprocated by that regiment, which had been subjected to some disgrace in the raid, and which regarded with ill will the townsfolk, who had not been concerned in the incident. Beyond the town, in the interior the British were regarded with intense hatred. The Tehama had, in spite of the flow of supplies through Idku ports, suffered heavily in common with the Yemen as a result of the blockade of the coast, failure of rain had added to the general distress, and starvation stalked the land, trade and industry dead. Hope had been held out that with the armistice and the surrender of the Turks the blockade would be raised, and the tribes were anxious to see the last of the Turks, who had brought on them misfortune, and to welcome the English, who, they believed, would succeed

and bring to the country food and prosperity. But there was great delusion

of the blockade, and when, after many months of continual suffering, the orders for its removal were issued they were not given effect in Hodeidah, which

I found in a position of virtual blockade on my arrival there. The result was a feeling of intense indignation and hatred for the British throughout the tribes of the Tehama with whom I had been called upon to deal. This feeling was bound to reflect unpleasantly on the agent of Government at Hodeidah and, while the blockade was still in force, called for the exercise of great tact on his part. It is germane to the subject of this report to show that not only were no effective measures taken

and the blockade when its removal was ordered, but that the political officer displayed an attitude of callous and contemptible towards the people, tribesmen and townsfolk, which resulted in the raid and attack on Hodeidah, and all the loss of life and ill-effects which occurred, materially and politically, and which came within the scope of robbing him his life the object the raid was designed to secure. My report

the 12th October on the circumstances leading up to the imprisonment of the mission in Bajil takes note of this fact of the hatred entertained towards the political officer. How closely it bears on the fate of the mission will be further emphasised when I relate that Sulaiman Hassan Bakheit the sheikh of the Abos tribe, stated to me that prior to the departure of the mission his agent had come in to warn the political officer that it should not proceed, had been refused an interview, and been treated with disdain, and had left Hodeidah in high dudgeon declaring he would have the political officer's life. The sheikh took his revenge by allying himself with the Qubra sheikha, and acting in conjunction with them all through till his defection was secured. To effect the release of the mission, I had a greater service to Government to perform; it was essential to break down the bad feeling of the country, to win the good-will, respect and confidence of the people, to build up in place of hatred the foundations of a new prestige for the British name. The capture of the mission was the final blot on that name, a blot the deeper from the indignity of its own conduct of negotiations and of the conduct of its captors.

3. Before proceeding to an account of my work in Hodeidah, reference will be made to the proposals put forward to Government by Colonel Jacob prior to my arrival and on my views with regard to them. Colonel Jacob's first telegrams to his Excellency the High Commissioner in Egypt recognised the impossibility of handing over Hodeidah to the Imam, and advocated the gift of the town to Mahmud Nathum, the Turkish Wali of the Yemen. Later, on the 24th September, Colonel

Jacob reported that Mahmud Nathum had taken over negotiations from the Qubra

of Turkish troops to Hodeidah, showing the Wali's further proposal that if eventually Turkish power were ruled out of the Tehama by the final Treaty of Peace with Turkey there should be a Muslim Governor of the Tehama to stand for Shafai interests under the British Government's support. Again, on the 4th and 5th, he telegraphed his advocacy of the same policy, showing the Wali's public attachment to Shafai interests and defiance of the Imam, and his demand for replacement of British by Turkish troops in Hodeidah. He noted the Wali's praise of British justice, and went on to argue the advisability of appointing Mahmud Nathum as a permanent independent ruler in the Tehama backed by British arms and money. But to this scheme he showed preference for restitution of Turkish rule pure and simple.

4. Perusal of Colonel Jacob's telegrams and of his letters to Aden, which are not before me here, convinced me of the impropriety of leaving negotiations in his hands, it seeming clear that he was not taking a dispassionate and calm view of the situation,

position as that in which Colonel Jacob was. When required, therefore, to proceed to Hodeidah, I wrote a note in Aden before departure showing the view that negotiation could not properly be conducted by a prisoner, that it could not be

conducted from Bajil and Hodeidah simultaneously by two officers, that the Hodeidah officer should alone act and have full authority. I further showed that in my view it

should accept dictation of terms as a condition of the mission, that, recognising the position of the Tehama as then disclosed, and with sympathy but that no such discussion should be entered upon till the mission had returned, that the offending sheikha should be promised immunity from punishment

for their seizure of the mission, but that to secure this they must surrender the mission unconditionally. These views were accepted and I proceeded to carry out this policy in Hodeidah.

5. On the 6th October I intimated to Colonel Jacob my arrival and the policy to be adopted, showing my view that parleying in Bajil was undignified, and that a stronger line of action would not endanger the safety of the mission. I stated that I had been given to understand that Mahmud Nathum was a scoundrel, that he was in the Yemen in contravention of orders to surrender himself, and that he was an adventurer whom to recognise in other guise would be a mistake. I requested Colonel Jacob to send the Qubra sheikha to see me in Hodeidah, and, my instructions enjoining me to consult Colonel Jacob when possible before taking any important step, and my own wish being to secure his co-operation to the fullest extent possible, I invited him to regard me as the instrument in his hands, he being a prisoner and I

agent, and to give me the benefit of his advice and information. In reply Colonel Jacob declined to surrender negotiation to me solely without direct orders from the Foreign Office, on the ground that his negotiations had gone too far to admit of fresh beginning. He disagreed with my estimate of Mahmud Nathum, whom he styled the negotiations as the Wali of the Yemen, even after the Resident's statement that he could not be recognised as holding any official position in the country. Colonel Jacob held that it was impossible to refuse him recognition, and the Qubra sheikha had selected him to represent them, and he maintained that Nathum was genuinely anxious to serve His Majesty's Government and that part of Arabia

return of the Turks being negotiated. He declared himself unable to ask the sheikha to visit me in Hodeidah owing to his position in respect of Mahmud Nathum.

in to see him will be recalled here. It was his desire to deal with the matter himself, and Nathum has shown that, at a later stage immediately prior to his departure from Bajil he sought to obtain from the sheikha a written declaration that they would recognise no one but himself. Throughout the period of his imprisonment he insisted on and again that the difficulty could be solved only in Bajil, and only by himself, he being on the spot and at the very heart of the trouble. He only he could see the truth and understand the facts, the officer in Hodeidah knowledge but what he gained in hearsay.

6. The position then as between Colonel Jacob and me was difficult from my entry on the scene. He had got himself into a series of difficulties, and the mortification of having to declare his Yemen policy impracticable, and had thrown himself into the hands of an enemy Turk whom he regarded as actuated by the most friendly,



altruistic, Anglophile motives, and whose proposals he seconded, this at a time when highly dangerous Turkish propaganda was rampant throughout the Near and Middle East, and in spite of all the official utterances which had been made in recent years in respect of the Arab and Turkish policy of His Majesty's Government and their allies. Mahmud Nathum had been engaged since the armistice, as before it, in anti-British propaganda, and when he was posing as Colonel Jacob's friend in Bajil he was disseminating falsehoods as to Turkey's position, England's treachery to Islam, the coming insurrection of British Mahomedans, and Britain's downfall.

7 In reply to Colonel Jacob's letter refusing to hand over sole control to me I agreed to await the instructions of the Foreign Office, but I pointed out that control must be undivided and decisive, and showed that in the event of my assuming such charge I would require to make a clean sweep of all past negotiation in Bajil and the cessation of all further discussion there. In the meantime preparations were pushed

8. Letters were addressed to all the sheikhs of the countryside, informing them of my arrival, of the termination of the blockade, and of Government's desire to re-establish trade and prosperity. I invited them to come to Hodeidah and see me. Steps were taken to secure the practical re-establishment of trade and, though the blockade had ceased some time before I arrived, I was hailed throughout the country as the author of its termination. In Hodeidah I studied every means of winning the good-will of the people, moving freely among them, making my house and office free to all at all times, inviting the notables to meet the officers of the garrison, securing modification of distasteful military regulations, in which matter Lieut. Colonel W. B. Douglas, in command, was anxious to co-operate, providing medical aid and extending provision of doles for the poor, enquiring into the many cases of destruction for military reasons and awarding compassionate grants to the sufferers.

of whom had lost all their belongings in the burning of the huts and in many other minor ways. I called a meeting of merchants for the consideration of matters of local importance, appointed the former mayor of the town to his old post, appointed a kazi, and ordered the reparation of the chief mosque of the town, which had been badly damaged by shell fire in the naval bombardment of the town during the war. Results came rapidly, and the inhabitants of the town became very friendly and sympathetic in the work for the release of the mission, and many volunteering their active services. The leading merchants of the town and the two headmen of the neighbouring village of Manthar, which I frequently visited, busied themselves in propaganda, some of the merchants and the two headmen stumping the country for that purpose. Before I left Aden an Arab merchant there had called attention to the failure of the political officer in Hodeidah to enlist the aid of the mansab, the leading sayids, who wield great power in the Tehama. Letters were addressed to the leading sayids from Zaidyah to Zobeid explaining the position showing Government's good intentions, and using all possible diplomatic persuasion in the enlistment of their aid. The Mansab of Hodeidah, a refugee at Dorahim, returned and became one of the growing number of lieutenants. The news of the new regime in Hodeidah spread as news spreads in the East, friendly letters came in from sayids and sheikhs who came in themselves to see me in gradually increasing numbers. Refugees came in to claim compensation for huts destroyed and remained there with no claim returned in gradually increasing numbers to their old homes.

The Government has no thought but the welfare of the people and the prosperity of the country. The detention of this mission is foolish for while it lasts it prevents the re-establishment of these conditions Government is seeking and if it persists and Government is driven to take military action all the good now set on train will be undone and the country will go back to suffering worse than it has already experienced, for the British Government will not continue to brook insult from petty sheikhs and is strong to punish, it being false that Turkey is victorious and that the British Government is weak. This was the message sent out over the country and thus was popular opinion influenced and finally completely changed. On the 11th October the orders of the Foreign Office placing entire control of negotiations in my hands were conveyed to Colonel Jacob. Till about that time no notice had been taken of the Qihra-Abos sheikhs, but I had written to Sheikh Munassar of the Northern Zeranik a letter showing him that I was aware of his association with the Qihra in the matter of the mission and warning him that friendship with Government would pay him better than hostility.

This caused him to deny any part in the conspiracy, and to declare himself a friend, but all through he acted a double part which, however, was of no importance.

9. On the 10th October a friendly letter was addressed to Sayid Abdul Kadir, the Mansab of Marawan, who is the leading mansab of the Tehama and wields much influence with the Qihra and Abos tribes. This man had been for long the friend of Mahmud Nathum, who had been accustomed to deal with tribal affairs through him. He had been living in Bajil since the imprisonment of the mission, the chief agent of Mahmud Nathum and the principal figure in the drama, the sheikhs ordering their conduct—Abu Hadi, the head sheikh of the Qihra, in great measure an exception—according to his suggestion or command. It became quickly evident what the character and part of Sayid Abdul Kadir were, but my work was rendered exceedingly difficult and the detention of the mission prolonged, by Colonel Jacob's persistence in esteeming him one of his best friends and as devoted to the cause of the mission's release. Colonel Jacob would not accept my estimate of Mahmud Nathum, though he at the end of his imprisonment admitted Mahmud Nathum's anti-British propaganda, but that was a logical position and he could argue not that he had fallen under the spell of this clever Turk but that he knew him personally, while I did not. But he not only refused to

accept my warnings as to Sayid Abdul Kadir but defended the mansab with such severity to desert making unpardonable conduct and greatly was I astonished when at the very end of the mission's imprisonment I was informed by my Bajil messenger and by Major Reidy, one of the mission party, that Colonel Jacob had had his first meeting with Sayid Abdul Kadir and had suffered disillusionment as to his character. Colonel Jacob confirmed this himself, showing the mansab just such a man as would be a fit tool in another's hands. The very false estimate of Sayid Abdul Kadir and what must be termed the

or refusal to accept warning from Hodeidah, must be attributed in part to the fact that an Indian Officer of the Political Department on the mission acted as scribe and A.D.C. to Colonel Jacob. This officer visited the mansab and acted as go-between, and he took so much part in affairs and talked mission and general politics so harmfully in Bajil that I had to beg Major Reidy to endeavour to silence him all together. No more success attended this.

10. I then attended the effort to secure the silence of Colonel Jacob himself, whose persistence in close and intimate partnership with Mahmud Nathum and continual discussion with the sheikhs acted in direct opposition to the purpose of Government as sought through Hodeidah. The mansab did not reply to the letter addressed from Hodeidah, but the Qihra sheikhs wrote to say that they had put the Bajil telegraph office in order and requested me to open the Hodeidah office that communication, which had interrupted after imprisoning the mission, might be resumed. This

and I took the opportunity offered of informing the Qihra sheikhs of my nomination of complete charge of negotiation, inviting them to Hodeidah.

11. In respect of the raid and attack on Hodeidah I had let it be known that Government would take no action against offenders who came in. The leader of the raid, a well-known highwayman and camel driver of the Rabaa clan of the Abos tribe, showing himself anxious to come in and explaining his action as due to hatred of the former political officer, I sent him safe conduct but for some days he hesitated and had to be coaxed, lying up often outside the town armed to the teeth and on one occasion arousing himself by drawing a bead on me as I talked to some camel men outside the picket line. His advent was regarded with much popular satisfaction, for it broke the ice and made possible my plan to win over the Abos tribe and detach it from the Qihra. The man at once became an ally and all his friends came in, going away pledged to secure the allegiance of the whole tribe.

12. On the 18th October Sheikh Ismail Baghawi, of the Jamadia clan of the Qihra tribe, sent a long telegram referring to his advice to Mr. Richardson that the mission should not leave Hodeidah, to the slaughter of his people in the attack on Hodeidah and other matters. In reply I acknowledged his advice to Mr. Richardson but showed him that he and Sheikh Abu Hadi had accepted responsibility for the safety of the mission by moving off with it to Bajil. I offered him pardon if the mission were released, promised to hear the wishes of the tribes and assured him of Government's good intentions towards the country. I dealt with other matters in his letter and invited him to Hodeidah giving him safe conduct.

13. At the same time I wrote to Mahmud Nathum a letter intended to make plain to him that I did not share Colonel Jacob's estimate of him and to disturb his security in his position with Colonel Jacob. Colonel Jacob was greatly incensed

at this attack on his friend, who was at that time doing his utmost to damage the British Government in the interests of Turkey and himself. The letter informed him of my assumption of sole charge of negotiation for the release of the mission and the discontinuance of discussion in Bajil. I referred to his friendship with Mahmud Nathim and thanked him for his good offices, and I showed him I regarded him as having acted privately and as holding no official position. The proposal to reintroduce Turkish troops into the Tehama had not yet been negatived by Government, but I made plain to the ex Vali that the proposal could not be accepted. I referred to the anomaly and danger of his position, and to his disobedience of orders to surrender, advising him to accept the hospitality of Government and leave the country. I touched on the offence of the sheikhs in detaining the mission, gave warning that continuance of the offence would lead to disaster, and showed that the interests of the tribes would best be served by compliance with my demand. Government undertaking to safeguard the interests of Hodeidah and of the neighbouring tribes, and to give opportunity for discussion of affairs in Hodeidah. I had prior to that, represented to Government that the settlement of the question of the Tehama should not be dictated by the temporary considerations involved in the release of the mission but as a result of the reasoned examination of the subject in all its bearings, and that it would be my duty to evacuate Hodeidah till settlement of the whole question had been effected, Government having assumed definite responsibilities towards the people of the town by their evacuation of it. The occupation of the place by their own troops. This view was accepted.

13. On the 20th Oct her second letter was issued to Sayid Abdul Kadir reproving him for his failure to reply to the first communication. It mentioned the honour of the Qubra sheikhs in imprisoning the mission, stated my terms, referred in flattering language to his influence, and gave warning of the result of the failure of the sheikhs to comply. I added that Arabs imprisoned in Aden in connection with troubles in Hodeidah would be released on release of the mission.

14. At this stage great advance had been made in winning over the people of the country. Bajil remained closed against me, but I was assured on all hands that Bajil would certainly succumb to the onslaught of popular feeling. I was quite confident of that myself, but allowed myself to be discouraged by Colonel Jacob, who was at that time at Hodeidah, who were being

means that I had not won the place over, that the feeling there ran against me. I Hodeidah Bajil Sanaa road was open, the Qubra camel-men were in Hodeidah daily, and were in contact with the friendly feeling the country generally. The town and garrison were purchasing their increasing daily supplies from Qubra men and women, who disclaimed any connection or sympathy with the sheikhs. The people of Bajil were drawing their supplies from

very accurately through general and confidential agency what was going on in Bajil. Secret agents in the Tehama towns added the general news collected from the continual flow of visitors from all directions. In Bajil on the other hand Colonel Jacob, who so positively insisted that he alone was in touch with the problem to be solved was fed on information prepared for him and absorbing readily the nourishment proffered by his cunning jailors, he grew to their mental measure and became in their hands an instrument against himself and against me, the agent of Government.

15. On the 21st October the Qubra sheikhs, Abu Hadi excepted, wrote to acknowledge my letter to them. They declared they were anxious to meet me in Hodeidah to discuss the subject of the mission and effect a settlement "conformable to the wishes of Government, without prejudice to our rights and security, our demands being considered according to the laws of the freedom of nations." They stated that the matter was one affecting not themselves alone, but the whole Tehama and that it would serve no purpose for them to come to Hodeidah. They invited me to go to Bajil for discussion there, and offered me safe-conduct. Colonel Jacob was desirous that I should go to Bajil, but after despatch of the sheikhs' letter he warned me of intended treachery. I received many letters from people of the country warning me of the sheikhs' designs against my person, but I had no intention

of going to Bajil, as such procedure, even if safe, would have been undignified and improper. It was for the sheikhs to come to me. Seeing so clearly the hand of Mahmud Nathim at the helm of the Bajil ship, aware of his propaganda, and influenced also by Colonel Jacob's false appreciation of the situation I recommended to the Resident that military operations should proceed, intending thereby that plans should be got ready for the application of force should such measures become actually be proceeded with. All along I had been making use of indirect threats, showing my many visitors and friends that, while Government were anxious to benefit the country and forgive the Qubra sheikhs, their patience under affront was strictly limited, and that, if force were resorted to, it would be thorough and disastrous, not only to the Qubra, but to the whole country. By the 24th October it had become evident that the Qubra clansmen, as apart from the sheikhs, were becoming anxious and were pressing the sheikhs to give way. The sheikhs, too, had been shown to have become uneasy and a little suspicious of Mahmud Nathim. They, Colonel Jacob wired to the Foreign Office on the 24th October, spoke of acceptance of a ransom in the event of Government's rejection of the proposal to reintroduce Turkish troops.

17. On that date Sayid Abdul Kadir wrote to me excusing his failure to reply to my communications at earlier date, explaining that he had gone to Bajil at the request of the sheikhs to protect them from the Imam's designs against them

and Mahmud Nathim engaged in efforts to effect its release. He pledged my safety, if I went to Bajil. The mansab holding the position of power among the tribes which he did, I did not show him or anyone outside my office that I regarded him as the chief villain in the play. I thanked him for his good work, and sent a consignment of rice as a gift to members of his family in Marawaa, the Sayid village twelve miles from Hodeidah, which had been a boiling cauldron of ill will towards the British, but which I was rapidly taking off the boil. These gifts were well received, and created good impression. Similar presents were made to other mansabs further afield. It was in Marawaa the raid and attack on Hodeidah were planned, and there also were hatched plots for further ventures against Hodeidah, which we heard of and prepared for from time to time, but which did not mature.

18. On the 24th October, when Sayid Abdul Kadir professed his good intentions, he caused to be drawn up a document, the parties to which declared on oath that they would co-operate against the British Government as also against the Imam and any other power and that they would under no circumstances go in to Hodeidah. The Qubra sheikhs, Sheikh Suleiman of the Abosa, Sayid Ali Bari, and a few others signed the document. It was the result of the success of Hodeidah propaganda in Marawaa, one of the leading sayids of Marawaa having gone at that time to Bajil to remonstrate with Sayid Abdul Kadir.

19. Mahmud Nathim had agents in Hodeidah, the chief of whom were the telegraph clerk formerly in Turkish service, and Zubdi Bey, formerly Director of Customs in the Yemen. The more Mahmud Nathim knew of affairs in Hodeidah the better, and I treated these men well and employed Zubdi Bey in local affairs, endeavouring to influence the ex Vali through him, as the ex Vali endeavoured to influence me by the same medium. Zubdi would show me letters written to him by Nathim illustrating his warm attachment to Colonel Jacob and efforts in his aid the British Government's interests. One such letter declared that the ex-Vali regarded Colonel Jacob with the affection of a brother and averred that when I came to know him personally I would lose my mistrust of him and become one of the fraternity. It is of interest to note here that recently Mahmud Nathim has sent me a verbal message from Sanaa calculated to discredit Colonel Jacob, a matter previously referred to. Zubdi Bey left Hodeidah in November, when he saw the game was lost, proceeding to the Hedjaz.

20. After some hesitation on the subject and with the advice of the Mansab of Hodeidah the chief men of Hodeidah agreed to circularise the sheikhs and mansabs of the whole country, showing the salient facts of the war in the Turco-British and good intentions towards the Arabs and the Tehama, and the offence and folly of the action of the Qubra sheikhs. Special messengers of influence and persuasion carried the message to various centres. It made a great impression, and so great was this in Bajil that the present Kazi of Hodeidah, who delivered the message there, was in danger of his life and had to be smuggled out of the place the same night.



It is noteworthy that one of the sayyids in Bajil declared that he had been entirely misled as to the British position and that he would henceforth work for the release of the mission.

21 On the 27th October the sheikhs sent to the signatories of the circular and to the political office clerks a telegram accusing them of selling their faith for Christian gold, calling the curse of God on them, stating that Hodeidah was the property of the Qubra and Aboos tribes, giving six hours for the full renunciation on penalty of the extermination of themselves and families. After consultation a reply was sent denying any lapse in religion or harm to the tribes; maintaining that the circular was a declaration of fact, calling on the sheikhs to meet them at a rendezvous for discussion and showing that the interests of the sheikhs and themselves were identical. This brought a friendly reply from the sheikhs, who promised to meet the elders of Hodeidah in Marawaa, a meeting which, however, did not take place. The Marawaa sayyids wrote to disclaim any connection with the Qubra sheikhs and to state their disapproval of their conduct, and further efforts were made to enlist their active assistance in securing the release of the mission, a certificate of pardon to the sheikhs if the mission were released being issued. I showed Colonel

Jacob the telegram and stated that we could safely take a strong line. Colonel Jacob at this time noted the dissatisfaction of the Qubra people with the action of their sheikhs, and at the same period the first Zeranik sheikh to visit me came in. He showed the Zeranik to have no sympathy with the Qubra sheikhs, Sheikh Munasser of the lesser northern section being alone in his connection with them, his action prompted by avarice and by his desire to keep on good terms with Nathum, whose creature he has long been.

22 On the 2nd October a respectable merchant of Sanaa, Mohammed Abdullah Swaid, visited me. My Arabic clerk had been formerly the British agent in Sanaa and when in the month of June he was attacked in his house by a gang of men employed by the Imam and Mahmud Nathum, he escaped and sought shelter with Mohammed Abdullah Swaid, who kept him for a month and then took him in disguise to Madi. Mohammed Abdullah stated that he had travelled with two men of note in the Yemen, a certain kazi and one Sayyid Mohammed Zabara, the Imam's governor of the Khailan tribe. He learned from Sayyid Mohammed that Mahmud Nathum had persuaded the Imam to adopt a scheme for the thwarting of British policy in the Yemen, it being Nathum's aim to restore communication with Constantinople and to secure the re-introduction of Turkish troops into the Yemen and the resumption of the Turkish connection with that country. In pursuance with this scheme he acquainted the Qubra sheikhs of the coming of a mission, stated that it might be an Allied mission, in which case it should be detained in Bajil pending his orders, or that it might be a solely British mission, in which case it should be detained, the sheikhs being at liberty to impose their own terms on it. Nathum arranged with the sheikhs that after the detention of the mission he would go down to Bajil from Sanaa in the guise of mediator and that they were to profess to make him their prisoner. The informant declared that Nathum and the Imam were in full harmony. At a later date he was posted as intelligence agent in Sanaa, and he has recently asserted that this harmony still exists and that the Vah, as Nathum is still called, has the most complete influence with the Imam. Whatever the actual detail of the plot, the man's story, which I have every reason to credit, strengthened my own conviction that there had been such a plot and that Mahmud Nathum was the author of it and the principal figure in its enactment. This became more assured as time passed, and now, six weeks after the mission's release, remains fully established.

23 A letter from Mahmud Nathum to my address was received at the end of October. It referred to his exertions for the mission's release, it denied that he had suggested the return of Ottoman troops, attributing this desire to the sheikhs. It averred that the people had eagerly expected a mission composed of representatives of the Sublime Porte and of the Great Powers, and had heard of the detention of the mission in Bajil with sorrow. The Qubra sheikhs, the letter continued, had stated that they had risen to defend their religion and native country, and would have now but the Ottoman Government. It recounted that Colonel Jacob had given the sheikhs and Nathum to understand that the Treaty of Peace would provide that the Yemen should remain an Ottoman province, with Nathum its Governor, that being the wish of the people, and Colonel Jacob's visit to the Yemen having been for the purpose of ascertaining their wish. It stated that Colonel Jacob had enjoined Nathum to take over Hodeidah and that he, Colonel Jacob, would arrange for the evacuation of the British garrison. After reference to Moslem feeling on the subject of the future of

the letter went on to show that Nathum was Governor of the Yemen, and that by the Imam, and that Governor he would remain. The Yemen, he said, was obliged to resign office he would continue to live there. The Turkish debts in the Yemen and means of evacuating the Turks remaining in the country, were dealt with, as also the future of Syria, and the letter ended with a repetition of Nathum's good intentions in respect of the mission.

24 Towards the end of the period of the mission's imprisonment Nathum showed anxiety to stand well with me and sent me frequent verbal and telegraphic messages to that effect, and I showed him that I was anxious for his release, which he said was improper. When the sheikhs of the neighbourhood came in to visit me, they all accepted without hesitation the attention of the people of Hodeidah that Hodeidah must not be evacuated by the British till an effective settlement had been arrived at. The elders of Hodeidah showed unmistakable preferences for the restoration of Turkish rule, on grounds of religious sympathy and not on those of popularity of administration, but the tribal

many making for British control in preference to that of the Turk, a feeling latterly become more pronounced. The general feeling among the tribes was and is that a strong Government is essential, British or Turk, and the weight of preference probably for British. That the words in the mouths of the Qubra sheikhs were from Nathum's dictation is evident, when it is pointed out that Nathum had made utmost endeavour to prevent the surrender of the Turkish garrison in the Yemen with a view to the forcible continuation of Ottoman rule after the armistice, but the tribes would have nothing to do with his scheme, refused to feed or assist to feed the Turkish troops if they remained, and obliged Nathum to give up his plan and permit troops to depart. The tribes showed then most clearly they desired peace and were taking their lead for the Turk was a thing of no moment in their future lot.

25 Many sheikhs have told me that at the time of the armistice they looked forward to the advent of British rule, a feeling that was subjected to change by the continued blockade. As to the ex-Vah's remarks on the subject of an Allied mission, it is clear that an Allied mission had been spoken of before the Jacob blockade, and there seems no doubt that the report of the coming of an Allied mission emanated from Nathum as part of the machinery of his plot. Recently since the release of the mission, Nathum has professed desire to leave the country, and has sought my assistance in the matter, action I regard as probably insincere.

26 On the 20th October the chief sheikh of the Habash clan of the Aboos tribe came in and tendered allegiance. On the 30th October the Qubra sheikhs wired to say they had received a safe-conduct to Hodeidah through Marawaa sayyids, but that they could not act upon it and desired me to go to Bajil. The elders of Hodeidah, suspecting treachery from the sheikhs, had invited them to Hodeidah in place of Marawaa for the meeting previously referred to, and this brought an irate telegram from the sheikhs, stating that they were not going, and that they were in the

side of infidels against Moslems, of having misled the sayyids of the country to the same wicked action. They again laid claim to Hodeidah and described the people of Hodeidah as foreigners, terminating the message with dire threats. The elders replied in mild terms, begging the sheikhs to beware of the misleading schemes of the people in Bajil and to see reason.

27 At the end of October Colonel Jacob sent me an original letter from the Qubra sheikhs, the Kutabia sheikh and the Aboos sheikh Suleiman Hassan who always styled himself also, without authority, as agent of the Zeranik. The letter was addressed to Colonel Jacob as British envoy. It recounted grievances in the matter of the blockade, Mr. Richardson's treatment of the people, the attack on Hodeidah. It referred to the fresh offence of my local work in Hodeidah, to the anger it caused to the people, who threatened to again attack Hodeidah. It went on to show that the sheikhs had visited Colonel Jacob that day and declared to him the part made by all the Tehama tribes from the Qubra to Zabed that they required the return of Turkish troops, the evacuation of Hodeidah by the British, the rule of the Turkish Government under the principle of self-determination, the return of arms and ammunition taken from the Turks. Failure of compliance, the letter stated, would result in popular indignation and renewed attack on Hodeidah. Colonel Jacob remained blind to the real meaning of these communications from the sheikhs, which he should have refused to accept, as he should have refused to hold discussion with the sheikhs. He accepted so completely positions placed before him, and was so

much in the hands of his captors and so entirely ignorant of popular tribal feeling that he himself backed up the protest in respect of municipal work in Hodeidah. Such ignorance would have applied to any prisoner similarly placed, and would not be mentioned had not Colonel Jacob so persistently claimed to be alone in touch with tribal affairs. He was satisfied with my demonstration of the facts, which he explained to the sheikhs, who, of course, were fully aware of the real position. The ex-Vah was hard pushed to find a good subject for agitation. Nevertheless, he succeeded in rousing the sheikhs to discuss an attack on Hodeidah and their threats were carried to Hodeidah and served to bring a public declaration of now friendly Abos in the neighbourhood of the town that raiders on Hodeidah would reckon with them.

27 It seems needless to say that the mark of Nathim stood in unusually strong relief in that letter. It seemed to stamp equally the envoy and his captors, for the letter was signed by him. The period between the armistice and the Peace Treaty is that of Colonel Jacob in his correspondence with me. An earlier letter from the sheikhs of the Qubra tribe alone—Sheikh Abu Hadi did not subscribe to these compositions—bore as strongly the mark of the ex-Vah. Before noting on its contents, it may be remarked that in the letter above quoted the statement that a pact had been made among all the tribes of the Tehama down to Zabeed was entirely false. There are many such tribes, and the Qubra and Abos are but a minor portion of the whole. Acting with the Qubra were only a portion of the Abos and the sheikh of the northern or smaller section of the Zaranik, shown before as the creature of the Vah. Occasionally some minor sheikh arrived in Bajil would place his seal on a Qubra document, but to suppose that the Qubra sheikhs, less their own head sheikh and with one of the two principal Abos sheikhs acting with them, represented the Tehama is an error.

28 The earlier letter addressed to Colonel Jacob is of great length. It speaks of the popular joy at the prospect of an Ottoman-Alied mission and the surprise and disappointment on the arrival of a British mission. It declares the determination of the people to have the Ottoman Government restored, and refers to Colonel Jacob's undertaking that he would remain in Bajil till the return of the Ottoman troops. It deals with Mr. Richardson's unpopularity and the blockade. It declares there was no ill feeling between the Qubra and the Imam prior to the advent of the mission, and that they had regarded him with reverence as the great pillar of Islam in the Yemen. The Imam, the letter goes on, objected to the detention of the mission, but acquiesced in the Qubra's action when he understood that their object was to safeguard their country by demanding the restoration of Ottoman rule, the Imam being the great friend of Turkey and her ally by treaty. Religion and patriotism are touched on, in company with the principles laid down by the Peace Conference. Arms and ammunition are asked for, for protection against the Idrisi, in accordance with earlier promise made. Play is made on England's friendship for Islam, and her duty for acting in the interests of Islam in this case. The Imam as the great pillar of Islam in the Shafai eyes of the Tehama, is a conception the Qubra sheikhs would not make public now in Nathim's absence. Soon after the mission's release, the sheikhs begged the Idrisi's protection against the Imam acclaimed the Idrisi troops on arrival in Qubra territory and they now hope to smash the pillar Nathim built for them. That Colonel Jacob should fail to find his real position with Nathim in such communications, with all his knowledge of the Arab to assist him and with my own repeated warning to stimulate his suspicion, is a fact sufficient to show the difficulty in my extricating him from a position in which he seemed determined to act as his own jailor.

29 The defection of the Abos clans was going on apace. They were entertained in Hodeidah when they came in, and I discussed the whole position with each party on its arrival. Great good will was shown and the party would swear friendship and co-operation in the work of release of the mission, by force of arms if necessary. Considerable presents were given to these Abos clans to hasten the arrival of others, and give impetus to the undermining of the Qubra sheikhs' position by attraction of the Qubra to Hodeidah. Presents were given to important saiyids who visited me, as also to sheikhs of tribes other than the Qubra and Abos, and a continual stream of people of all sorts kept me very fully employed. On the 1st November good progress was reported to Aden, but Nathim's presence made the result so uncertain that recommendation was made that preparation for aeroplanes and other measures should proceed.

30 Major Reilly informed me on the 30th October of the insolence of the Qubra sheikhs to Colonel Jacob, and of the absence in Bajil of any signs of improvement. He agreed with my estimate of Nathim. He informed me that Colonel Jacob was making no proposals to the sheikhs, who, however, continued to make suggestions. Colonel Jacob was, however, still taking an active part, for he sent me a letter from the sheikhs to his address, dated the 30th October. The letter acknowledged Colonel Jacob's letter of the 28th October, in which he intimated to the sheikhs that Government had decided that the Turkish Government would not be reinstated in Hodeidah, and that he was prepared to recommend to His Majesty's Government the evacuation of Hodeidah by them in favour of a representative of the Abos on condition of the mission's release. The writers declared that if this were correct they nominated Sayid Abdul Kadir bin Abdul Bari, nephew of the mansab, as their representative to take over charge of Hodeidah. They made a demand for

31 By the 3rd November there were signs of the speedy break up of the Qubra sheikhs frequently changed position, and it appeared at that time that all the sheikhs, except Mohammed Zaid, were in favour of the release of the mission. Mohammed Zaid accused Nathim of the plot and of now turning traitor to the cause and went so far as to threaten him with his jambea. Mohammed Zaid is a man of strong character and is the sheikh of the clans lying between Bajil and Obal. When he visited Hodeidah at the time of the mission's arrival he denounced Nathim as the cause of all the trouble. At this time he was incited to bigotry and hostility by Sayid Ali Bari, a dangerous firebrand who did much to prevent the earlier collapse of the sheikhs, and whom I was unable to persuade to visit Hodeidah before the mission's release, fear keeping him from accepting the bait I offered, and which he greedily longed to accept, matter to be touched on again further on.

32 Colonel Jacob expressed indignation at this time that Government had not seen fit to spare a single aeroplane, which would probably have secured the immediate release of the mission.

33 Sheikh Yusuf Ali of the Manafira tribe, paid me a visit on the 3rd November, and on that day came also to see me the first important Qubra sheikh. He was the brother of one of the headmen and he showed that the Qubra headmen generally were for us, and that, now that he had tested our intentions, they would work for us. There were signs that Mahmud Nathim was changing his mind as declared by Sheikh Mohammed Zaid but very soon afterwards there was a renewal of his anti-British propaganda and I continued to regard him as an active enemy. The Imam now seemed anxious that Nathim return to Sanaa, the mission going to Sanaa or Hodeidah.

34 Colonel Jacob now pointed out that the success of my work in winning over the country was exciting suspicion that His Majesty's Government intended taking over the Tehama. This was so, and I reported the matter to Aden, asking for the despatch of aircraft to hasten a decision. I was careful to show that Government had no intention of taking over the country, a result many people desired. Sheikh Bura and lesser people in the Imam's territory had asked for British protection, as also some of the sheikhs in the Tehama. Sheikh Sahel Ali, controlling the district of Aden, had also been won over to the British cause.

His visit was a great success. Suspicious at first, it was in much doubt of my intentions, he agreed to be taken out to a man-o'-war with two lesser sheikhs. The entertainment on board and description of all there was to be seen made a great impression, and his return to his country after a few days in Hodeidah was a triumphal march as the ally of England. He declared, however, that he would seek the lead of Government in any question as to the settlement of the Tehama, and act on Government's advice, and he undertook if called upon to carry off the mission. Sheikh Sahel Ali's visit had immediate effect on Sheikh Suleiman Hassan al Bakheit the partner of the Qubra sheikhs and Sahel's rival and enemy. He was won over to the British cause, and soon afterwards came in. I wrote at great length to Suleiman Hassan explaining in detail the history of affairs, and showing him the course I desired him to follow. His nephew paid me a visit immediately afterwards as did Salim Hassan of the Jebha tribe and a large body of saiyids from Dornihimi. Sheikh Yusuf Ali, of the Manafira tribe, also came in at this time, and Akila, of the Katabia tribe, whose sheikh is a friend of Sheikh Suleiman Hassan, and had been acting on occasion with him. All were attended by large parties, and all went away enthusiastic in our cause.



35. After the release of the mission there came to light a letter composed by Sayid Abdul Kadir in Bajil early in November. It purported to be from the Qubra sheikhs and the people of the Tehama to the Idrisi. It recounted the threat of invasion by the Imam and the agreement which they made with the Imam before the coming of the mission. It described the reports of the coming of an international mission, and the arrival of a British mission and its arrest. This arrest of the mission, the letter stated, had aroused the anger of the Imam, and the Tehama tribes therefore threw themselves on the Idrisi and sought his protection. It is not known whether this letter was ever signed and delivered, but it was after its preparation that Sheikh Khuzam of the Qubra visited the Idrisi, at which time also the Jorabih tribe, neighbours of the Qubra on the north, declared for the Idrisi.

36. It became evident at this time that there was a pact between Sayid Abdul Kadir and the sheikhs that they would have no connection with Hodeidah, and would not go there. The notorious Sayid Ali Bari, already mentioned, wrote to me to show that he was anxious to come in, but could not do so under an agreement which bound him unless I could secure the permission of the sheikhs. This was the declaration of the 24th October previously described, but retained a secret till now. Now Mr Richardson had averred that Colonel Jacob had declined to ask the sheikhs to come in to me, and I will now show that after the release of the mission, Nathim sent me a document prepared by Colonel Jacob as a draft declaration for the sheikhs that they would have no dealings with anyone but Colonel Jacob. Colonel Jacob was anxious to save his name, and there seems little doubt that he directly or indirectly did much to prevent the sheikhs meeting me in order that he should appear eventually as the saviour of the mission. And certainly he did not act on the spirit of the order that he was not to take part in discussion, prolonging my work and the imprisonment not only of himself but of the mission.

37. On the 5th November news of the coming of aeroplanes reached us. I had no secret of their coming, and was hopeful that the resistance of the sheikhs would come to an end before their arrival. Speaking generally I had now secured the good will and allegiance of the whole sayid community of the Tehama, as of the tribes. Popular opinion was for the release of the mission unconditionally, and in their continued resistance the sheikhs and their inspirers and friends were isolated and acting as individuals. I spread the idea now that there was no question as to the early release of the mission, either by force or without it, and that it would be well for the sheikhs to act at once as of their own accord thus performing a popular deed and winning themselves honour.

38. Mahmud Nathim, having failed in his first venture, nominated Sayid Abdul Kadir as his successor. This prospect of kingship, so ludicrous to all outside Bajil, captivated the worthless but revered mansab, who now worked steadily for the attainment of his own glory, estranged from his own people of Merawan, who went so far as to threaten to depose him from the mansabship. Nathim no doubt hoped to use Sayid Abdul Kadir as his pawn in this way, to secure for himself the lordship of the Tehama, a position he himself showed he desired, and which Sheikh Ali, as Salami the Imam's agent in Bajil told me, after the release of the mission, that Nathim had greatly coveted. That Colonel Jacob's faith was still unshaken was shown by his wire to the High Commissioner of the 11th November, in which he stated that he had offered Sayid Abdul Kadir a sum of 10,000 for the relief of the Turks in Yemen.

39. Nathim's propaganda fell flat in the Tehama in the face of that from Hodeidah but it is remarkable how effective it was in Bajil itself, which remained isolated from Tehama feeling, a fact shown by the fear of the Bajil merchants in dealing with Hodeidah. Of course, the sheikhs were very fearful of punishment, and the people of Bajil no doubt felt themselves involved. So great was this fear of punishment that on the 11th November, the day of the mission's departure for Aden, the sheikhs left Hodeidah a few days after the mission's departure for Aden.

40. On receipt of news of the coming of aeroplanes, I wrote to Sayid Abdul Kadir acknowledging his offer of safe conduct to Bajil sent some time previously and pointing out that it was not for Government's representative to go to the sheikhs. I invited them again through him to visit me. Colonel Jacob's faith in the mansab remained whole, though I assured him that all the mansab's people and intimate

associates declared that Sayid Abdul Kadir could if he wished secure the immediate release of the mission. Colonel Jacob, a prisoner, knowing now from me how closely I touched with the people, stubbornly refused to accept my views, and continued

on the 6th November I was driven to write to him that I regarded him as his own worst enemy. And so strong was my feeling at his remarkable attitude that he had been alone and unaccompanied in Bajil I would have been inclined to leave him to work out his own salvation. We redoubled our exertions in Hodeidah, however.

41. A number of Qubra headmen came in at the end of the first week in November, and it was clear that opinion among the Qubra clans was coming rapidly to my side. Colonel Jacob argued that the Qubra cared little for Hodeidah or its trade prosperity, but the Aboos and Qubra men who visited me showed me they regarded their interests as entirely bound up with those of Hodeidah and its trade. They showed no particular wish, in free speech in Hodeidah, for the return of the Turks, desired only to have a strong Government in control, showed themselves amenable to Government's advice, and displayed their preference for the Idrisi if any Arab ruler were to be nominated, treating as humorous the idea of Sayid Abdul Kadir as a ruler, though expressing regard for him as a religious leader. At this period Idrisi propaganda in Zeranik country was meeting with marked success, but Sheikh Munassar, of the Northern Zeranik, wrote to me to say he wanted neither Idrisi nor Imam, but sought British control.

42. The Resident at Aden, Major-General Sir James Stewart, visited Hodeidah on the 8th and 9th November, interviewed some of the local people, and found the position satisfactory. About the same time some of the sheikhs threatened to remove the mission from Bajil to more distant quarters, a threat frequently advanced.

43. On the 9th I was summoned to the telegraph office to take a message from the sheikhs. The message enquired whether I approved of the scheme of Abdul Kadir as ruler. I refused discussion and invited the sheikhs to Hodeidah. Reply invited me to Bajil. I learned afterwards that the sheikhs had not sent the messages but Sayid Abdul Kadir. Late that night I had a secret visit from skulls of Sheikh Ali and Sheikh Ismail Baghawzi clan. They begged that their visit should be kept secret, and sent off with letters to their sheikhs.

I presents to all Aboos men coming in, but I gave no rewards to the Qubra, promising them their reward on the release of the mission. All agents had been working on my behalf throughout the country many visits had been made corresponding with people there. On the 14th I reported to Aden

making use of more direct threats. I warned all visitors that Government's patience was drawing to a close, that I would use aeroplanes if speedy result were not forthcoming, that such measures would entail destruction of life and property. I was not to be confined in its application. I wrote in this sense to the sheikhs and other influential people, and a fresh campaign was started by them on these lines. I addressed a long letter to the Qubra sheikhs on the 14th November. The letter acquainted them with the arrival in Khamran of a force of aeroplanes and summoned them to at once declare their friendship, it warned them of their responsibility for the safety and welfare of their people, and of the harm a false declaration would do.

The letter had a most beneficial effect on Moslem feeling. It showed that I was ready to discuss matters in Hodeidah and that safe conduct issued remained good, stress being laid on my position.

In the circumstances of their arrest of the mission and of the intrigue of which they were the victims, intrigue designed for the benefit of another at their expense, it called upon them to break away from this sinister influence and to act for their own good on their own initiative, placing their trust in Government which had demonstrated itself, through me, trustworthy, it held out the hand of friendship, offered them forgiveness as men who had not committed fault of their own motion, called upon them to deliver up the mission, and showed that thus they would escape the punishment that would otherwise be meted out to them. The letter went on to intimate the intention of Government to remain in Hodeidah until arrangements had been made which would safeguard the interests of the town and the Shafai tribes of its neighbourhood, Government undertaking not to leave Hodeidah and the Qubra and the Aboos tribes in the lurch, and to endeavour to effect a just settlement equitable to all interests. The communication ended by invitation to the sheikhs to accept the friendship offered, cease idle talk, come to Hodeidah and discuss affairs there. Colonel

Jacob was again incensed at the attack on Nathim in this letter, which he declared had made Nathim very angry.

44. On this date, the 14th November, Colonel Jacob again displayed his complete lack of grace in holding it ungracious to quote so freely from the record to Colonel Jacob's detriment, but his ungenerous conduct in seeking to diminish the effect of his mistakes and omissions was even more marked. I went to the telegraph office in Bajil and requested me to go to the Hodeidah office for the exchange of messages. After testing his identity I informed him it was not a fact that I had asked that he alone should be brought to Hodeidah to discuss matters with me personally, as had been given out by Sheikh Sulaiman. I required him to say, that the whole mission came in on my original terms. He replied in these words, "I shall tell the Vah and communicate with you again." I informed him by telegraph later the same day of my message to the sheikhs, and on the day following a letter issued to Akil Omar Saghir and all the Qubra headmen, enclosing a copy of the letter to the sheikhs, referring to their good-will towards ourselves and to our good intentions towards the tribes, and counselling them to see to it that the sheikhs take no action detrimental to their people. On the following day a party of Akil Omar Saghir's men came in. I adopted a severe tone, showed that further talk and promise were idle and that friendship must be proved by action. On the 17th November the Qubra sheikhs wrote a threat that if my interference with their people did not cease they would launch the mission in such a way as to bring me into disgrace with my Government.

45. The Merawan sayids were given money freely for providing food and drink for the men of the community visiting Bajil. Omar Saghir remained in contact with Hodeidah till the release of the mission was achieved taking a leading place in rousing popular feeling against the sheikhs, and being supplied with money for his entertainment of his friends and following in Bajil.

46. On the 20th November I reported to Aden that the Qubra still held strongly to their demand for rifles, machine guns, field guns and ammunition from Turkish Government. I could not do so on the ground that the Qubra tribe was not a controlled body and unable to use field and machine guns, that Nathim was still present that the supply would probably fall into the hands of the Imam and that if one Tehama tribe were armed all could claim similar treatment, a large armament of the Qubra alone placing that tribe in a position which would threaten their neighbours. The Resident agreed with my view.

47. The same day Colonel Jacob wired that Sheikh Abu Hadi had informed him that the Qubra sheikhs intended leaving for Hodeidah that evening to visit me and that there seemed likelihood of agreement with me. He asked for confirmation of safe conduct which I gave by telegraph. Information prior to this showed the sheikhs to have become much afraid of their position and anxious to secure a safe exit from it. They sent me however, a threatening message as the result of my visit to the Qubra a few days previously, where I had warned the people of the sheikhs, whose action, if persisted in, would entail the destruction of villages. The headman of the village had gone off to Bajil to beg his sheikh to release the mission. This threatening message was followed by a polite one to say the sheikhs were despatching a deputation to Hodeidah. The Qubra mansab was now working for me, and on the 21st letters of exhortation were issued to him, to principal Qubra headmen and all the Abasa sheikhs. Sheikh Munassar, of the Northern Zeranik, sent in a party on the 22nd declaring his co-operation and offering to send in members of Sheikh Abu Hadi's family from his country as hostages for the safety of the mission. This at first seemed attractive, but I refused the offer and declined to give the sheikh a present till he came to himself.

48. The Qubra sheikhs now released Hassan Kanjouni, a clerk, whom Mr. Richardson had sent to Bajil after the imprisonment of the mission and who had himself been made prisoner. Hassan Kanjouni gave me to begin with, a panegyric on Nathim, and it seemed evident he had been charged to execute that office. He quickly veered round, and ended by what appeared a more exact description of the Turk showing him the prime mover in the Bajil plot and its execution and describing Nathim's friendship with Colonel Jacob while in his presence, and hostility to his interests elsewhere.

49. The deputation from the Qubra consisted of Sayid Ahmed Murshidi, the mufti of Bajil, and Kadhi Mahommed Harwood, a creature of Nathim's who had

been a deputy for the Tehama in the Turkish Parliament, and had been a chief agent in inciting Sheikh Mahommed Zaid to hold up the mission in Bajil. It arrived on the 24th.

Back again via Merawaa. On that date I had news of a wrathful letter from Sayid Abdul Kadir to Sayid Ali Bari who had asked permission to visit me. The mansab used strong language, accused Ali Bari of consorting with infidels in language similar to that often used by Nathim, and threatened him with dire calamity if he disobeyed his behests. At the same time the Kadhi of Merawaa sent to me a letter written to him by Sayid Abdul Kadir, and endorsed by Nathim, summoning him to Bajil urgently for conference on matters affecting the interests of Islam. The kadhi wrote that he desired to have nothing to do with Abdul Kadir, but said that if I thought he could advance my purpose in any way he would do as I desired. Similar letters were issued by Abdul Kadir to other influential sayids without effect.

50. I will quote now from a note I recorded on the use of aeroplanes in connection with release of the mission:—

"The planes will be used by way of demonstration in the first instance. The Arab believes what he sees, and popular opinion being now generally favourable to the release of the mission, this use of the planes will combine fear with reason and bring pressure on the sheikhs to its maximum power. The sheikhs themselves will be under the influence of Mahommed Nathim on one side, employing the fanaticism, religious and secular, suspicion, greed and power, and, on the other side of popular opinion of faint touches of reason, of greed and of fear. The first set of influences are in continual and close operation the second intermittent and remote. The planes will intensify the pressure of popular demand and fear . . . ."

The first flight was productive of great results. The members of the mission were confined to their quarters and were very critical at first and anxious as to the effect, informed me that Sayid Ali Bari was alarmed. This of the one man who held the keys in his hand was most gratifying to us in Hodeidah. Merawaa and the whole countryside were greatly afraid and exaggerated stories travelled through villages of the Tehama and the Yemen. Great pressure was brought upon the mansab by the sayids and upon the sheikhs by the people, and the anxiety of the sheikhs grew. The speedy release of the mission was now assured.

52. The deputation from the Qubra remained several days in Hodeidah. They saw the plane on the morning of the first day and were duly impressed. They paid me a friendly visit and accepted an invitation to tea with me the same day. The merchants of the town and other of our friends were with them continually showing the error of their ways, before they came to me on the third day for discussion. They brought with them a statement of the demands of the Qubra tribe. It was a long and detailed statement, and in the months the mission had been negotiating with them, at all times acquiescing in their demands, asking for time to communicate with Government, and then stating Government's disapproval. They remarked on my efforts to release the mission "by intrigue and money," and endeavoured to show that my dealings with the tribesmen instead of themselves were useless: a statement their own attitude was sufficient to

and Ottoman troops, and that Colonel Jacob had agreed but then gone back on his word, he thereafter of his own motive proposing that the British should evacuate Hodeidah and deliver the town to them, the owners of the land. After vague remarks on breach of faith on the Aden authorities, they demanded fulfilment of Colonel Jacob's promise of evacuation and delivery of the town, together with a gift of 1,000 rifles, 2,000 boxes of ammunition, 5 field guns with 2,000 shells, and 5 machine guns with 100 boxes of ammunition. The deputation had nothing to say and merely begged for patience. I kept them a long time, however. I explained Turkey's past and present position, British policy in Arabia and intentions as to the Tehama. I referred to blockade, world and kind. I dealt with the letter from the sheikhs serially, showing its impropriety, and explaining that arms could not be given. I contrasted the atmosphere of Bajil and Hodeidah—the attitude of the importunate savage, knife in hand, with that of quiet and common sense and reason. They agreed on all points, said they had not understood the position of Turkey, admitted that the Qubra were not fit to use or control a considerable supply of arms, that talk of "infidels" was absurd, that they could rely on His Majesty's Govern-



ment, that it was impossible to hand over Hodeidah to the tribes. They excused the sheikhs as being mere savages and begged for patience. I dwelt then on the position of enlightened men such as themselves and the sayids, and of their responsibility as counsellors of the sheikhs and leaders of opinion. I showed I knew the part played by each actor in the mission play. I promised pardon and protection to the sheikhs in the event of immediate compliance with my demand, and full opportunity for discussion thereafter. I gave severe warning that I would brook no further delay, that no Government but the British would have shown such clemency and stayed their hand so long. They were greatly impressed and took leave, pledged to work for the immediate release of the mission and assuring me that this would be speedily effected.

On the following day, the 20th, the deputation met the elders of the town for discussion. They all afterwards came to me, and in my presence they set down the terms which they desired I should adopt as my final terms to the sheikhs, in slight modification of those I had myself prepared and shown them. The document gave reasons for refusal of armament, required the return of the mission, and gave the following assurances:

- (1) Full pardon.
- (2) Permission for discussion by the tribes in Hodeidah of the question of a ruler, the political officer undertaking to refer their wishes to Government for consideration with Yemen matters generally, which form part of the greater considerations now receiving the attention of His Majesty's Government and the Allied Powers, the British Government remaining in Hodeidah till the question has been settled conformably with the views of the people.
- (3) Pending settlement, protection from unprovoked aggression.
- (4) Freedom of trade.

The document closed with admonition to accept the proffered friendship.

54 On the 23rd November letters were issued to the merchants of Bajil and Obal showing the harm that would fall on them if the mission were not released, and explaining the position generally.

55 I had arranged for an aeroplane to pass over Bajil and Obal on the morning of the 28th and had given warning through Akil Omar Saghir. The plane left Kammuran, had engine trouble, turned back, picked up again, and proceeded on its journey. When over Bajil the engine gave out again, and the plane dropped from 3,000 to 1,000 feet. There was great alarm. The pilot feared a forced landing, but picked up and went off a number of shots being fired at him as he went. A Turkish soldier on a roof discharged his gun through a window of the mission house when approaching the plane and several others followed suit. One of the medical officers of the mission was shot at point blank but escaped, while a man who had proposed to me to arrange an Arab raid on Bajil for the release of the mission, and whose offer I had rejected, made rush into the house with a knife shouting death to Colonel Jacob. He was arrested. Had the pilot been forced to land he would undoubtedly have been murdered, and the safety of the mission would have been jeopardised. As it was, it was fortunate no one was hurt. Colonel Jacob wired in much excitement details of the occurrence, unaware of the engine failure, and made the following observation: "For God's sake send no more of this craft which does not advance our cause one iota." On the 30th I received letters from Colonel Jacob and Major Reilly to inform me that the effect of the flight had been excellent, and that there was great advance towards settlement. The sheikhs, Sayid Abdul Kadir and Nathun, all behaved well in protecting the mission on the 28th.

56 Meeting me on their arrival, the deputation had wired the sheikhs to come to Hodeidah. After the

sheikhs had come, they complained that the deputation had spoken well of the political officer, but that this action. The deputation repeated their opinion, and wired my final terms to the sheikhs, who replied in chaste words, asked the deputation to return, and stated that there was now prospect of their acceptance and of final settlement.

57 It has been shown that Sheikh Sahel Ali, of the Aboos tribe, volunteered to release the mission by force. Many such offers were made to me by individuals of the Aboos and tribes from time to time. On the 28th November Akil Omar Saghir informed me of his pact, with certain other akils of the Qihra tribe, that it was practically certain that the mission would be released by peaceful means but that if this failed they would release it by force. On the 1st December Yusuf Abdullah, the head of another group of akils, informed me that his group had demanded of Sayid

Abdul Kadir the release of the mission, that Sayid Abdul Kadir had promised release in eight days, and that they had agreed to allow that time. My confidential messenger showed that there was great rivalry for the honour of releasing the mission, and that release was assured. On the 3rd December Colonel Jacob wired as follows: "Sayid Abdul Kadir and sheikhs have now definitely agreed to our release. They want a message from you congratulating them on this decision, and renewing your invitation and promise of honourable reception in Hodeidah to them all. On receipt of this they will escort us into Hodeidah. I recommend you to send this message by telegram." The telegram was confirmed by a letter in which Colonel Jacob stated that he had suggested to Nathun that he should accompany the party to Hodeidah, and he asked me to issue an invitation to Nathun.

58 Independent information showed that on the 2nd December Nathun, Sayid Abdul Kadir, the members of the deputation, the sheikhs, less Mahommed Zaid, who was away in his village, and Akil Omar Saghir and another, had decided to release

protested against this robbery, and demanded release of the mission intact. That evening Sheikh Mahommed Zaid came in with a party of armed men and refused to allow the mission to leave. A quarrel ensued, and a meeting was fixed for the 4th December to settle the matter. On the 5th Colonel Jacob reported a hitch, and the same day the sheikhs wired to me to say that Abdul Kadir, the sheikhs of the Qihra, and they asked if I would accept its terms. I asked them to send the agreement for inspection. Later in the day Colonel Jacob telegraphed as follows:

"Sheikhs have accepted your proposal for our release, but have desired me to corroborate the same over my signature. Thus I have done with slight alterations inserted by them, and these are logical amplifications of your text. The principal modifications are as follows: Firstly Sayid Abdul Kadir is chosen at once with approval of all tribes concerned to be their temporary ruler until the signing of the Peace Treaty with Turkey, when a permanent ruler is to be chosen by the tribes. Secondly the general amnesty is stipulated to include all Arab prisoners taken in attacks on Hodeidah since our occupation of town. These

and I have therefore taken on myself full responsibility of agreeing to them. The Sayid and sheikhs now want a message from you confirming the agreement thus made, and endorsing my action in signing it. I hope you will do this at once, as any delay affording opportunity for further discussion or reconsideration is undesirable, and the receipt of this message from you is all that is now required to effect our release. If you so desire I will send you original draft by special messenger for your approval and signature." Now, Colonel Jacob had no authority to make any agreement with the sheikhs. They had accepted my terms, and I was bound to enlarge on them. As all through the period of my work in Hodeidah I had been silent there would have been no trouble. I had no intention of making any form of treaty with the sheikhs, the whole sense of my position having been that the sheikhs must conform to my

I find the mortification of seeing Colonel Jacob at the moment of success, taking action contrary to the principle which had guided my work all through. However, I was most anxious to cut the matter short. It did not affect the position if the sheikhs elected Abdul Kadir as their ruler. There was no likelihood of such an action being accepted by all the tribes, the idea being regarded as ridiculous by tribesmen visiting Hodeidah, and the Qihra were acting alone, it was a trivial matter. That Hodeidah could be contemplated as included in the sphere of Abdul Kadir's rulership was a matter not dreamt of. The Aboos sheikhs, Qihra headmen, and people of the Tehama generally scouted the idea of Hodeidah being placed under the rule of Kadir or any other ruler from among themselves. The Qihra deputation had as Colonel Jacob was aware, pronounced such a plan impossible, and I had all along shown that Hodeidah interests must be safeguarded. The terms presented to the sheikhs by the deputation clearly showed this position. The following answer was therefore telegraphed to Colonel Jacob the same day: "I agree generally to mod-

release of Arab prisoners." I informed the sheikhs by telegram that I would accept the terms of agreement between them and Colonel Jacob. In reply to this wire to the

sheikhs, my endorsement of Colonel Jacob's agreement with them meant, an incident that

seemed significant. The reply, in Arabic, was as follows: "We desire to assure you that if the tribesmen elect you as their ruler pending the settlement of the future Government of the country, we shall approve of their election and congratulate you on your appointment. As to the question of future government as a permanent measure, that has been fully provided for in our letter to the sheikhs given to the deputation. The Arabs taken prisoner in operations at Hodeidah will be released, as we have previously assured you. We hope you will take steps to secure the immediate release of the mission, as the prolonged delay may exhaust the patience of Government. We welcome you to Hodeidah."

On the following day, the 9th December, was received a letter, No. 27 from Colonel Jacob enclosing the agreement with the sheikhs, which he had agreed to sign. It was signed by Saïvid Abdul Kadir required my signature. This letter and agreement were received as not only representing a final act of supreme folly on the part of Colonel Jacob but as showing in his telegram of the previous day a complete misrepresentation of facts which had secured my concurrence in his action. Fortunately that concurrence was expressed with such qualification that Saïvid Abdul Kadir was not satisfied, and insisted on my signature on the actual document. I attach this letter and its accompaniments for ready reference, a mere perusal of the documents being sufficient to illustrate the justice of my anger, which I expressed in no uncertain language to Colonel Jacob, who will, no doubt, produce the letter he received from the sheikhs in the proper quarter.

40 Examining the terms of the agreement we find in Clause 3 a statement that the Bajil Qaza and Abmayah (referred to usually in this report as Abma) tribes have elected Saïvid Abdul Kadir as absolute ruler. That is intended to imply that all the many tribes from the Qubra on the north to the Southern Zeranik on the south had elected a ruler, a complete falsehood. No tribe but the Qubra was properly represented in this agreement. Sheikh Suleiman represented only a lesser portion of the Abmayah, and though associated with the Qubra, he and his people had shown in Hodeidah that their needs were very different from those now expressed. Sheikh Mamasar of the Northern Zeranik was not present, and showed in a letter afterwards that his seal was placed, and which is thus in effect a forgery. The document as affecting the tribes generally is null and void. If it could be held applicable at all it could apply only to the one tribe, and Abu Hadi the head sheikh of that the Qubra, tribe, declared to me afterwards in Hodeidah that the instrument was useless, that he disapproved of it and gave his signature in this knowledge in order to hasten the release of the mission. But danger lay in placing such a written instrument in the hands of Nathum.

41 The remaining portion of Clause 3 expressed assurance of restraint of the Imani and Idrii from aggression against the tribes. Such assurance I had given in general effect, and the use of the word "absolutely" gave emphasis which was improper.

42 Clauses 4 and 5, 6 and 7 are of no importance.

43 Clause 8 declares that the people of the country have never made any such declaration. As to the rest of the paragraph, the people of the country as a whole were opportunity offered, accept Abdul Kadir as ruler in free election.

44 Clause 9 gives the ruler, Saïvid Abdul Kadir, authority to establish Customs at Hodeidah under the supervision and protection of the British military commander thus recognising the control of the tribes over Hodeidah. There seems no necessity to call in question the propriety of such an engagement. I repudiated it entirely.

45 After perusal of Colonel Jacob's communication above considered, express letters of warning were despatched to the members of the deputation and to Akil Omar Saghir. A letter of severe warning was also sent to Saïvid Abdul Kadir, requiring immediate release of the mission. The Qubra akils replied that the whole people were determined that release should be effected, and that the sheikhs would be deposed if they refused longer to comply. The saïvids were again exhorted to urgent action. The Idrii, who had been asked to lend his influence in the cause, took action in that sense and sent Saïvid Mustafa to Ibn Abbas, opposite Kamaran, to keep in touch with Bajil to which place an Idrii deputation was despatched.

46 On the 8th a messenger from Sheikh Mohammed Zaid Sheikh Ismail Baghawi, and Saïvid Ali Bari showed that the release of the mission was imminent and asked for 1,000 dollars each. I undertook on the 9th to make these payments on

condition the mission arrived by the 13th with all treasure and property intact. Nathum sent me frequent messages showing that the mission was about to be released. The members of the Qubra deputation wired on the 5th that the mission would be released on my terms, and again on the 11th that the mission would be released on the 13th. On the 11th Colonel Jacob wired that he had concluded a fresh agreement with the first, but excluding Clause 9, and that he would arrive in Hodeidah on the 13th.

47 The mission arrived in Hodeidah on the morning of the 13th December accompanied by all the Qubra sheikhs, Sheikh Suleiman Hassan of the Abma tribe and a motley following of about 1,000 men, for the most part armed. The townsfolk met them outside the town and the occasion was one of much interest to the people. The sheikhs and following were entertained at the expense of Government for several days, and no trouble was experienced from the presence of so many tribesmen in the town. The members of the mission proceeded to Aden the same day.

48 The following day I had a meeting with the Qubra sheikhs, discussed their conduct and pardoned their offence. The sheikhs referred to their agreement with Colonel Jacob, and asked if I had seen and accepted it. In reply it was shown them that Government's position had been explained to them in communications from me and Saïvid Abdul Kadir from Hodeidah. It was impressed upon them that Government's one interest was to secure the best possible scheme of government for their country, and to secure their welfare, and with that they declared themselves satisfied. Later in the day it was explained to Sheikh Abu Hadi that Government could not endorse an agreement made by an officer in captivity, and he regarded this as self-evident, and stated that he was sure that in a few days his fellow sheikhs would decide to take the hint which had been given them and leave the settlement of affairs entirely in the hands of Government. It was not found advisable to refer again to the subject during the stay of the sheikhs in Hodeidah, and they on their part made no further reference to it. Soon afterwards the Imani advanced towards their borders and they placed themselves under the protection of the Idrii, whose troops occupied Qubra and Abma territory on the invitation of the sheikhs.

49 Sheikh Mohammed Zaid stated in Hodeidah his regret for the part he had played in the plot of Nathum and Abdul Kadir, and Sheikh Abu Hadi, who alone of the sheikhs had behaved consistently well towards the mission in captivity whatever his part in causing its arrest, showed that Nathum's presence in Bajil had not been for the benefit of the mission.

50 Considerable payments of money had to be made to the sheikhs in satisfaction of promises given and as presents to themselves and followers, for it appeared advisable to send them away contented and friendly, they having brought in the mission intact with its property, excepting such portion as had been carried off in the earlier period of imprisonment. In round figures the sum expended prior to the arrival of the mission was Rs. 20,000 and after its arrival Rs. 37,000. The latter sum inclusive of certain payments made for mission debts in Bajil. Reckoned in sterling, it is submitted that this represents small cost to Government for not only the release of the mission, but also for the prevention of further attack on Hodeidah, of military operations in the Tehama which might have become necessary, of the pacification of the country and the establishment of a new prestige for the British name in this part of Arabia. Whether the mission could have been released without the aeroplane demonstration is matter for speculation. From the history of events it would appear that the sheikhs, the mere agents of intrigue, could not have withstood the force of popular opinion and that the aeroplane demonstration served only, very effectively, to hasten the issue.

51 I laid a plan for the capture of Nathum, but Colonel Jacob's connection with him made it impossible of execution.

52 It may be noted that since his release Colonel Jacob has stated that he knew full well that Abdul Kadir could not possibly fulfil the office of ruler, and that he made the proposal to secure his release, knowing it could not be carried into effect. On this point I repeat what has been previously demonstrated, that Colonel Jacob regarded Abdul Kadir as an exalted character up till the time of his first meeting with him towards the end of his imprisonment, when the release of the mission was already assured.

53 The imprisonment of the British Envoy is an incident of history. Hodeidah is populated and its people contented, and they regard with markedly friendly senti-



ments the connection of His Majesty's Government which has brought again  
 the Dowlah " which Turkish intrigue sought to belittle in their eyes

74. I am sure that I am not alone in feeling that the work of the British Mission in Aden has been very materially assisted the work for the release of the mission, but his loyalty and  
 Government, to whose gratitude I beg strongly to recommend him. Of the chief  
 capacity, and Tabir Rajah Effendi and Sheikh Omar Mizjaji, leading merchants of  
 enlisting on my side against the tribes, of whom his knowledge is great, and in the  
 face of the then hostile popular opinion.

A. S. MEEK, Major  
 Political Officer

Holstead January 31, 1920

#### APPENDIX

Colonel Jacob to Major Meek

(No 27)

Bajil, December 5 1919

I ENCLOSURE the agreement which A. K. and the sheikhs wish me to sign, and  
 to which I have agreed. It is based on the terms sent by you and in some parts  
 embodying your actual words. The additions are as I telegraphed to you this  
 morning logical expansions of what you proposed, and in no way alter the principle  
 doing so at once were —

- (1) I considered it essential to strike while the iron was hot. There are  
 undoubtedly forces here which are working counter to us, and which  
 seize every opportunity for sowing suspicion and working for delay. I  
 therefore did not wish to give any time for reconsideration, and decided  
 to accept the amendments on my own responsibility.
- (2) The sheikhs insisted on having my signature to the document, and said they  
 would accept it.
- (3) I regarded the amendments as merely dotting your i's and crossing your t's  
 of your draft.

I hope you agree to (3), but if you do not I will take all responsibility on my  
 shoulders with regard to the amendments.

out of Bajil to-day, but yesterday it appeared that a hitch had occurred. Everyone  
 was very reticent as to what the trouble was, but we heard reports from various  
 sources that there was jealousy between the sheikhs and akils, the former accusing  
 the latter of being in league with the British. An anonymous letter had come from Midi (probably inspired by Enoch) telling the Cocks  
 that the saidid wants your endorsement on the agreement amended. Your  
 I believe he is now working for our release

I hope you can sign and return the document at once without reference to Aden  
 or elsewhere. My principal fear about delay is that A. K. may try to improve the  
 terms from his point of view by knocking out the temporary character of his ruler-  
 ship, and trying to get us to recognise him as permanent ruler, an alteration which  
 might lead to a deadlock, for Abu Hadi has aspirations for the permanent, but not  
 the temporary headship.

#### Explanatory Note on the enclosed Agreement

1 The main aim of the Qubra sheikhs is the desire to be independent. For this  
 reason they detained the mission, which they believed was bent on transferring their  
 country to the Imam.

2 The sheikhs are very pleased with Major's Meek's declaration but prefer that  
 it should be put forward by one whom they know. This is a common trait  
 of the Arab, and no slight factor in the delay of operations after the arrival of  
 Major Meek.

3 This engagement is only temporary, and is dependent on the will of the  
 Imam.

4 Abdul Kadir be elected now or after our  
 provided we are sure of the general desire to-day of the  
 personality. The house of Al Abdal is famous throughout the  
 and its influence extends North to the territories claimed by Idrisi.

5 After the declaration of the Peace Conference, the tribesmen will finally  
 elect their future ruler. They will have, they say, to choose between the present  
 Sayid or one of his house, one of their own sheikhs or ourselves.

6 For this reason, they wish to retain our military garrison until affairs are  
 more or less settled. They further want us to stay because our presence will be able  
 regulate trade by sea, to which they attach great importance.

7 The promise to return prisoners is, I know beyond my province but seems a  
 natural sequence of the forgiveness which has extended to the marauding tribesmen  
 by Major Meek.

8 I have taken on myself the responsibility of modifying Major Meek's terms,  
 without, I believe, materially altering their provision. This I have done because I  
 know that the Imam is anxious to see the mission on its feet, and also because I am aware of the daily telegrams passing between the  
 Imam and his officials in Bajil. I know the Imam's eagerness to effect our release  
 which will reopen negotiations between himself and us. The longer we stay here, the  
 nearer the probability of Idrisi intervention and the embroiling of the country in  
 civil war, which would upset our calculations based on our endeavours to keep both the  
 Idrisi and the Imam outside this arena until matters have arrived at a satisfactory  
 conclusion.

9 I have, therefore, taken upon myself the full responsibility for the course I  
 have adopted.

Text of an Agreement arrived at Bajil on . . . day of December, 1919,  
 with the Qubra sheikhs

I, the undersigned, Lieutenant Colonel H. F. Jacob, His Britannic Majesty's  
 envoy, take oath in the name of God the Almighty, Jesus Christ in whom all the  
 Moslems believe, and on whom be peace and also in the name of His Majesty the  
 King of Great Britain and Ireland, the British Nation and Government, and on my  
 honour, and make promise to fulfil the following conditions

1 That whereas I am fully aware that our detention in Bajil by the Qubra was  
 based on misunderstanding and distrust of our purpose of forcibly subjecting them  
 to the governance of some one they did not want, I consider their conduct excusable.

2 Therefore, owing to my knowledge of the truth of their excuse I promised  
 to them that they will not receive punishment or slightest harm at the hands of the  
 British Government, now or hereafter on this account.

3 That whereas Bajil Qaza generally and the Abeyah and Zaranik tribes have  
 elected, with their free consent and with the candidature of Sayid Abdul Kadir to  
 be their absolute ruler, I, therefore, engage to the said sayid and the aforementioned  
 sheikhs and those associated with them, that the Great British Government will





the frontiers are defended, that the armies are ready for action, that the Islamic public prayers of Friday and the other festivals are celebrated, that controversies arising between parties are decided, that evidence in matters of right be heard, that provision be made for the marriage of minors of both sexes who have no guardians.

"It is necessary that the Imām be visible, not hidden, nor awaited in the distance. On the other hand he should properly appertain to the sub-kin of Hashim or to the descendants of Ali.\* It is not to be laid down as a condition for the Imām that he be impeccable and infallible, nor that he be superior in merits to his contemporaries; on the other hand it is to be laid down as a condition that he be apt for absolute and complete power, a good governor, and capable of causing to be observed the regulations of Islamic law, of warding the confines of Mussulman territory, and safeguarding the rights of the oppressed against the oppressor. The Imām cannot be deposed on account of bad moral conduct and of tyranny."

This concise exposition of an *Nasāh* clearly shows that the *Caliph* is nothing other than the *Supreme Monarch*, to whom is entrusted the care of the interests of all the Mussulmans and the maintenance of their political unity, he is the secular ruler, the supreme judge, the holder of the sword or other coercive means, but he is not the protector of the divine law nor the director of consciences, and he cannot interfere with matters of dogma or ritual, unless one except his duty as the State Authority, to see to the regular performance of public worship.

What is the function of the Caliphate? The answer is given in the *Nasāh*. The Caliph is to maintain a dogmatic question, having invested it with a religious character of divine right (thus withdrawn from the operation of man's will) they of necessity treat of it in their theological books, we Sunnites, having to oppose every heterodoxy and to eliminate every error derived therefrom, are compelled to speak of the Imānate in our theological works, although it does not belong to matters of dogma or faith, just as we speak of the faithful against the affirmations of the Shites."

The Sunnite Islamism has never admitted a supreme Head of the Islamic Church. A Church, in the sense of a sacerdotal hierarchical organization, does not exist. Religious unity is maintained, not by the Caliph, but by the doctors (the *ulama*), who are "the heirs of the prophets." They alone in the past have elaborated the dogma, the ritual, the canon law, without any interference from the Caliphs or other sovereigns; to them alone it now belongs to preserve unaltered the traditional doctrine, and to decide on the orthodoxy or heterodoxy of new teachings and new usages. In all these matters, it is not the will of the Caliph that counts, but the consent of the doctors: a consent that was naturally in certain cases the fruit of bitter disputes drawn out through several generations, from which a final common opinion was at last formed.

The *ulama* are not a body of men connected with the State, but those who freely devote themselves to study: eminence publicly recognised, not government authority or academic diploma, confers on them the doctor's title, only those among them become public functionaries who accept nomination as judges (*qādis*) or as *muftis* of the Government, that is, legal government advisers on abstract theoretical questions.

\* This too is a polemic against the Shites, for whom the Imām is such by divine right, limited to descendants of Ali: a polemic also against the Ismaili partisans of the Abbasids who, according to them, the Caliph must be a descendant of Ali.

\* This too is a polemic against the Shites, for whom the Imām is such by divine right, limited to descendants of Ali: a polemic also against the Ismaili partisans of the Abbasids who, according to them, the Caliph must be a descendant of Ali.

Books on ethics, on jurisprudence, and on the religious sciences earnestly warn off the doctors from too great intimacy with sovereigns and high State functionaries, they cite examples of illustrious *ulama* who pertinaciously refused the career of judge offered them by Caliphs, and they do not fail to set in relief that, among the *ulama*, the falsification of the traditions relative to Mahomet, not the least was the desire "to ingratiate oneself with high political authority: the Emirs," by means of words or examples meant to justify questionable acts and tendencies of such personages.

Recapitulating, then, what has so far been expounded, we can say that the *Caliph* is the *Prince of the faithful*, the universal Monarch of the Mussulmans, not the head of the *Mussulman religion*, as regards dogma or ritual he is a simple believer, obliged to observe the traditional doctrine preserved by the *ulama*. He is a defender of the Islamic faith, an enemy of heresy, only in the same way that European Emperors, Kings and Princes were defenders of the faith and extirpators of heresy in bygone days.

The Caliph is distinguished from other Mussulman sovereigns (Sultans, Kings, Emirs, &c.) only by the fact that his sovereignty extends, or at least should extend, over the whole Islamic world, and that his mission is to conserve the political and territorial unity of all the Mussulmans, and to bring to submission all the States of the Infidels as soon as he has the means to do so. Hence it comes that the position of the Caliph in the Islamic world corresponds almost exactly to that of the Emperor or universal Monarch of Christendom, according to the conception of the medieval Ghibeline jurists. And as, according to these last, Kings, Princes, Dukes, &c., are rightful sovereigns of a given region only when the Emperor has conferred on them the feudal investiture for that region, thus, according to Islamic public law, only those Sultans, Kings or Emirs are legitimate to whom the reigning Caliph has conferred his own authority over a determined region, that is, has granted the feudal investiture.

Clearly from the text of an *Nasāh* translated above that, according to Islamic law, two things are indispensable for one who would be Caliph: (1) descent from the tribe of Koreish, (2) governing capacity. This second requisite implies that one is of full age (which, according to Mussulman law, commences with the age of puberty), and is of sound mind, and is of noble birth, and is of noble family and a certain degree of culture. An *Nasāh* is silent about two other requisites, viz: (3) to be a Mussulman, (4) to be a free man, but this silence is justified by the fact that it was superfluous to mention these things, it being understood that the non-Mussulman and the slave cannot exercise dominion (*imārah* *al-ḥakm*) over Mussulmans.

One should also add that, by the jurists, the conferring of the dignity of Caliph is considered as a contract between him who accepts the office and the Mussulman community, the contract is not complete unless accompanied with the *bay'at* or solemn oath of allegiance on the part of the community. The nomination can be made either in virtue of an election when the office falls vacant, or by the previous designation of an heir to the throne made by the reigning Caliph, but also in this latter case it is necessary that the person designated be of full age and have formally accepted, and that there have been performed previously in his favour the *bay'at* by the representatives of the Islamic community. Thus also in the ages when the Caliphate was hereditary in the dynasty of the Ommyads, or Omayyads (661-750 A.D.) and in that of the Abbassids (750-1258 A.D.), the hereditary succession was always understood either under the form of a real election by the representatives of the community, or under the form of the designation of an heir to the throne previously approved by the community itself: minors were always excluded from the office, and the choice of an heir was freely made among the sons (first born or otherwise), the brothers, or other relatives.

It is something about which I shall have to speak later on: let

that Ibn Abi Dīnār, writing the history of Tunis, notes how he had assumed the title of *imārah*, a title that belongs to the German Sovereigns (*imārah al-āman*) since their kingdom is most ancient and the largest.

The *bay'at* is also used for the other sovereigns by their respect: the nomination of Husayn Kamel to be Sultan of Egypt (December 1914) on his declaration of independence of Turkey by the actual Sherif of Mecca, Husayn ibn Ali (1910), and is always in use for the Sultans of Morocco, the Bey of Tunis, &c.

centuries in most Mussulman countries, one of the *insignia of sovereignty* is, that the benediction of God be invoked on the name of the reigning Sovereign of Islam at the *khutba* or sermon on Friday, which is held in the so-called Cathedral Mosque. According to this custom not to name the sovereign towards the end of the *khutba* when the preacher invokes the benediction of God on all the faithful, is equivalent to a proclamation of rebellion against him. Mussulman history supplies us very frequently with examples of this.<sup>16</sup> Accordingly, when the Caliph exists, his name, as ruler of all the Mussulmans, must always be mentioned in the *khutba*, and to his name must be added that of the ruler (Sultan, King, Emir, &c.) of the region where the *khutba* is held, to whom the Caliph has granted investiture. When the Caliph does not exist, the prayer in the *khutba* is made only for the Islamic Sovereign of the country.

### II.—The fundamental European error as to the nature of the Caliphate

The conception which Europeans generally have of the Caliph, on which is based the policy of many States towards the Ottoman Empire and towards their own Mussulman subjects, is the following: "The name Caliph designates the head of the Islamic religion, the spiritual chief of all the Mussulmans, in other words, the Supreme Pontiff of Islam. The Sultan of Constantinople is Sultan inasmuch as he has temporal power over the Ottoman territories, he is Caliph inasmuch as he has supreme spiritual power over all the Sunnites Mussulmans, whatever State they may be subjects of."

From this completely false conception have sprung, in the European mind, the following practical corollaries, equally false.—

- As Catholicism and the catholic hierarchy are inconceivable without a Supreme Pontiff, so Islamism is inconceivable without the supreme spiritual authority of the Caliph.
- Therefore, unless one wish to commit a grave act of religious persecution, every European State which has Mussulman subjects, ought to allow free relations between them and the Caliph, whose existence and authority should be recognised and respected, just as it would not be admissible to deny to catholic subjects free spiritual and hierarchical relations with the Holy See.
- Since peculiar historic conditions would make too dangerous the union of the temporal and spiritual power (extending to all Mussulmans without distinction) in the hands of the Ottoman Sovereign, a European State should show its political acumen by provoking among the Mussulmans a sort of "pronouncement" against their chief sacerdotal authority, that is, against the Caliph, and by getting recognised as the High Priest of Islam some personage taken from among the Arabs, who becomes the creature of the European power which has brought about this great change.

The *theoretic premise*, whence these corollaries arise, is false, the blame of having introduced it into Europe and of having made it a sort of dogma for the European diplomacy of the XIXth century, rests on D'Ohsson, an Armenian of

Constantinople, who in his *Tableau général de l'Empire ottoman* (published at Paris in 1788 and the following years, a book, in other ways, of very high value) speaks precisely of "Pontife des Musulmans," of "autorité sacerdotale du Souverain." &c. This is not the place to explain by what equivocations (the chief of which is linguistic—an error as to the meaning of the Arab word *imâm*), and perhaps also for last political ends D'Ohsson made so grave a mistake, in contradiction with the very Arab legal texts which he elsewhere translates. The evil has been that this first theoretic error has had serious consequences for the Islamic policy of the European States.

III.—The end of the true Caliphate, the alleged Ottoman Caliphate, the origin of the fable of the spiritual power of the Caliph.

The Caliphate died out definitely in 1258 A.D., when the Tartars under Hulâgu Khan sacked Bagdad, putting an end to the Caliphate of the Abbasids, whose race was extinguished. In name and in fact the Caliph then ceased to exist.

Nor, the less, four years later, in 1262, a black man appeared in Egypt, who asserted that he was a member of the Abbasid family who had escaped from the hands of the Circassian or Bahrite Mamelukes, thought it would serve his own ends to believe the story, despite its unlikelihood "he solemnly recognised him as Caliph and received from his hands the investiture of Sultan of Egypt." In this way Bahbars sought to be pardoned by public opinion for having mounted the throne through the slaughter of his predecessor by his own hand, he also counted on increasing his dignity before the other Mussulman princes, who now no longer derived their power from the same authority. Thus arose a new ephemeral Abbasid Caliphate of Egypt, a Caliphate purely nominal, because, besides the fact that it was not recognised by the majority of the Islamic Sovereigns, it delegated all its powers to others, and the right of coining money in its own name (this being one of the chief prerogatives among the Mussulmans) and only reserved for itself the office of drawing rich allowances and granting the investiture to every new Egyptian Sultan. It appears also that in course of time some princes outside of Egypt derived authority from these caricatures of the Caliph who, in any case, had no religious powers, nor any moral or material authority whatever before the *ulama*.

In 1517 the Ottoman Selim I conquered Egypt and broke the dominion of the Mameluke Sultans. The Abbasid pseudo-Caliph al-Mutawakkil was brought to Constantinople as a private person: after the death of Selim (1520 A.D.) he was able to return to Egypt, where he died in 1543, or, according to others, he disappeared for ever this artificial resurrection of the Abbasid Caliphate.

The Ottoman Sultans meantime reached the summit of their power and made Europe tremble before them. Then it was that the battery of learned men about the court, in dedication of books, smuggled in the name of Caliph or some allusion to the Caliphate among the long list of official titles of the Ottoman Sultans, nothing but literary flattery this, in complete opposition to the Islamic doctrine that the Caliph must be of Kureishite origin. And in fact Caliph titles do not appear in diadems, crowns, or official inscriptions emanating directly from the Sultan: in that pompous Turkish heaping up of titles, where, only exceptionally, there appears, among numerous other epithets, that of *khilâfat pendhi* "asylum of the Caliphate."

The first example of an Ottoman Sovereign being officially and explicitly qualified as both Sultan and Caliph (he it added in the European version an

Naturally one cannot take account of the Caliphian language of individual sovereigns or of their dynasties alone.

Lord of the faith, &c. is peculiar to the Caliph, but these Caliphs did not get any further than this, although they preserved this title among their other official ones until their fall, which took place in 1517 A.D.

Contemporary Arab historians, as those who wrote shortly after the event, speak of the matter with small respect. Thus Abulfeda, writing in Syria in 1429, entitles his chapter on the subject "Mention of the recognition of such a one as Caliph," and in the course of the chapter names the real Abbasid—the black Caliph. Also a modern Turk-Arab writer, Hamîd Hüsnî el-Tamîmî, who wrote in 1881 an Arabic pamphlet favourable to the Ottoman Caliphate, considers the pseudo-Caliph as an impostor.

Be it noted that Bahbars, not being of Arab race and so in no possibility of descent from the Caliph, could never himself have aspired to become Caliph.

examples of the same thing in the case of sovereigns not Turkish.

1770



arbitrary and new signification is given to this latter title) is to be found in a treaty concluded with *strangers*, it is the treaty of *Kuchuk Kainargi* of the 21st July, 1774, between Abdul Hamid I and Catherine II of Russia. In this document Abdul Hamid I is always referred to by his Sultanic titles, but in the third article, where he recognises the complete independence of the Tartars of the Crimea and of Kuban, it is said that they "being of the same religious customs as the Mussulmans, and His Sultanic Majesty being as Supreme Mahometan Caliph, they must regulate themselves with regard to him as is prescribed in the rules of their religion, without however, compromising their established political and civil liberty." The ability of the Ottoman plenipotentiaries thus succeeded in deceiving the Russians, causing to exist, that which the treaty was intended (according to the Russians) to entirely

exist, that which the treaty was intended (according to the Russians) to entirely remove. The plenipotentiaries played on the ambiguity and on the ignorance of their adversary. They were also favoured by the fact that the Emperor of Russia, as head of the Greek Schismatic Church, assumed a kind of religious patronage over the Moldavians, Valachs, and other followers of the same Church in Ottoman territory, hence the invention of an Islamic Caliphate or Pontificate held by the Monarch of Constantinople, did not seem an unduly great concession to the Russians, but might seem a just counter-change. None the less Islamism is that which it is, not that which the diplomats of Europe shape according to their own fancies; therefore, on the basis of the good Islamic laws, Turks and Tartars could not draw from the third article of the treaty any other conclusion than this, the necessity of temporal investiture for the Khans of Tartary at the hands of the Sultan-Caliph, and the sending or the nomination of Cadi, or magistrates of a judicial character, and of Mufti, also by Ottoman hands—matters that later on appeared also to the Russians as evident signs of Tartar *enslavement* to Turkey, and of political (not religious) dependence. Accordingly on the 10th March 1779 there had to be signed at Amali Kavak (near Constantinople) the "Convention explicative of the treaty of Kuchuk Kainargi," in which the long articles 2 and 3 try to harmonise two irreconcilable things (the recognition of a Caliph and the complete political independence of other Islamic States with regard to him), and so the effort is made to establish the fantastic distinction between the temporal and spiritual power of the Sultan, uniting the double quality of Monarch of the Ottomans and "Khalife suprême de la religion mahométane." But facts showed once again the absurdity of the thing, for Russia was compelled to impose on Turkey the convention of the 28th December, 1783, relative to "the previous treaties and to the boundaries of the Crimea," which abolished article 3 of the treaty of 1774, and the articles 2, 3, 4 of the explicative convention of 1779, and thus definitely removed all Ottoman interference, even of a so-called religious or spiritual kind, with the Tartars.

The phantom of a religious Caliph, then, ought to have been for ever banished from the minds of European diplomats. But soon after came the barful action of

<sup>10</sup> So runs the original Italian text of the treaty (in Martens, *Recueil des p. Ottomans*, 1791, t. IV, p. 312), the only one furnished with the signatures

of both parties. The French text

was published and circulated in 1773 against

the Sultan's contrary orders and

the other text

of the original

manuscript

stipulated by equity, is the most accurate in the sense of the Turkish text, which is the most accurate in the sense of the French text, and the French text is the most accurate in the sense of the Turkish text.

The Turkish text is in the *Mushafat* of the *Mezhar*, of Constantinople.

It is at least they took pleasure in having profit out of it, if it be true what D'Ossun

the most of the subsequent convention of Amali Kavak is due to the Count of Rost

and he agrees out consider that the negotiation was purely for the interest

of the Sultan and not for the political of the Sultan, entre les deux puissances contractantes

any therefore he that said that was the inventor of the spiritual power of the Caliph

Not will this appear absurd to Europeans who not being versed in Islamic matters, in

without a hierarchical priestly organisation, pressing no interference between

science and that without spiritual powers, and at all costs they wish to find again in

with bishops and priests and even to see in the canonical Mussulman prayer something that can

the Armenian D'Ossun, who, as was said above in § II, in 1798 developed for European use the theory of the sacerdotal character of the Caliphate. It was an absurd theory from the historical point of view, and also from the point of view of the doctrine of Islam, a theory no Mussulman has ever sustained, but which became for the Turkish Government an excellent and unexpected means for regaining by moral influence what was lost materially. Above all the Sultan Abdul Hamid II (1876-1909), a century away from the treaty of Kuchuk Kainargi, well understood how this error, now diffused throughout Europe, permitted him to work effectively for the Turkish pan-Islamic hegemony, also among the more than one hundred and fifty million Mussulman subjects of Christian Powers. Accordingly, while still holding fast to the epithets that regard the dignity of the Sultan, in the official titles and the coinage, the Turkish Government ended by conquering every scruple, and decided to consecrate for the first time in a document addressed to its own subjects (the Constitution of the 24th December, 1876) its pretensions to the Caliphate. Articles 3 and 4 of this Constitution are as follows—

Art. 3. "The sublime Ottoman Sultanate, which possesses the supreme Islamic Caliphate, will appertain to the eldest of the descendants of the race of Qasim conformably to the ancient laws."

Art. 4. "His Majesty the Sultan, as Caliph, is the protector" of the Mussulman religion. He is the Lord (*hükümdâr*) and Sovereign (*pâdishah*) of all the Ottoman lands."

In spite of this Constitution, however, in official acts emanating directly from the Monarch the use of other titles than those belonging to the Sultan only was steadily avoided. The same Abdul Hamid II understood that it was illegal, when confronted by the majority of the Mussulmans, to assume directly the qualities of Caliph, he was content to reach his aim by indirect, unofficial methods. One of the many methods used was, for example, to have printed at Constantinople in Arabic several collections of *khutba* for Fridays, in which his name was introduced, *khutba* that were then recited in their entirety also in the mosques of India and the Malay Archipelago, and gave by degrees the impression that the Sultan of Turkey was the Supreme Monarch of the Islamic world. But above all Abdul Hamid II ever studied to appear as the protector of the Mussulmans, also of subjects of European Governments, just as France posed for a long time as the protector of Christians in the East and the Turkish consuls, making abusive use of the European belief in the spiritual religious character of the Caliphate, often succeeded in gaining an excessive influence among the Mussulman subjects of other States, above all in the English

Very illuminating and characteristic, in fine, for this whole exposition, is the collection of unilateral and bilateral acts of the two contracting parties, which form the *Italo-Turkish Treaty of Lausanne* of the 18th October, 1912. In that treaty the qualities of Caliph are solemnly consecrated for the Sultan of Turkey in the unilateral Italian acts (*Revisé Decreto*, the 17th October 1912) but no reference to those qualities is to be found in the bilateral acts (*Modus procedendi* of the 18th October 1912 Treaty of the 18th October, 1912) and still less in the unilateral Turkish acts (*firman* of the Sultan of the 17th October 1912) in which the Monarch of Constantinople is purely and simply a Sultan. In a solemn act like that the Turks could not assert before Mussulmans things Islamistically erroneous.

#### IV.—The Ottoman Caliphate and the Treaty of Lausanne

The erroneous conception of European diplomacy, expounded and criticised in §§ II and III, as to the nature of the Islamic Caliphate and the alleged Caliph of

"Let it be carefully noted 'protector' (*hâkim*) and not 'head.' Out for a S. name Muslim be a heresy. See above, § I."

"Be it noted that in these *khutba* see above, § II, whether destined for the Ottoman Empire or for abroad the ruler of Constantinople is always called Sultan and never given the title of Caliph or any Caliphian titles."

spread of the following parts—

(a) *Modus procedendi* at first held secret, which establishes the formation to be followed

(b) *Firman* of the Sultan, provided for in the *modus procedendi*, addressed to the inhabitants

of Tripolitania and Cyrenaica

(c) Decree of the King of Italy regarding the same inhabitants

(d) The Treaty of Peace itself

Of these parts, (a) and (d) are bilateral, (b) and (c) are unilateral

Constantinople, have found solemn sanction in the acts which constitute the Treaty of Lausanne of the 18th October, 1912. That treaty in fact presupposes

1. That the Sultan of Turkey holds also the office of Caliph (article 2 of R. D. 17th October, 1912). Compare instead all § III

2. That the Caliph is the supreme head of the Muslim religion and of the ecclesiastical hierarchy (a conception implied in the above mentioned article, as well as in No. II of the *modus procedendi*, 15th October, 1912). Compare instead §§ I, II, and the second half of § III

3. That to invoke the divine benediction on the name of the Caliph in the *khutba* of Friday, signifies the recognition of his spiritual powers, and is therefore an act of religious homage on the part of the faithful (article 2 of R. D. aforesaid). Compare instead the end of § I

4. That the supreme Cadi of the territory is not a Bishop hierarchically dependent on the Caliph, but that the Naib (or local Cadi) are also "chefs religieux," that is, a sort of parish priests under the Cadi (article 2 of R. D. aforesaid, and No. II of the *modus procedendi*). Instead it is well known that the Cadi (in common with his Naib) is nothing but a magistrate administering justice according to the canon law of Islam in name and in stead of the Sovereign who appoints him, and who can take his place whenever it pleases him. On this compare § I and for example, articles 1809 and 1801 of the *mejlis* or Ottoman Civil Code, as well as any manual of Islamic law.

Thus it comes about that from the Lausanne Treaty the following consequences flow:

a) The Italian Government, recognising officially the existence of a Caliph and obliging their own Libyan subjects to perform the *khutba* for him, in reality declared as illegitimate their own possession of Libya, and pointed out the Caliph to its inhabitants as their true and legitimate Lord.

Caliph the Italian Government violated the conscience of the great part of their subjects, who know that the Sultan lacks at least one of the essential conditions for the dignity of Caliph according to Islamic tradition.

(c) Admitting that the supreme Cadi of Libya should be nominated by the "Cheik ul Islam" of Constantinople, establishing that his stipend should be a charge on the Turkish imperial Government (No. II of the *modus procedendi* and article 2 of the Sultan), laying down finally that the Naib or local Cadi should be nominated by the Cadi, the Italian Government abandoned the local magistracy to a strange Sovereign, forewent so small part of its own sovereignty, and the illegitimacy of its possession of Libya, and also placed under the surveillance of a stranger the whole administration of the goods *awqaf* (or *cusuf*) of Libya.

(d) Admitting a special representative of the Sultan, in his capacity of Caliph, to exercise a presumed tutelage of religious interests (article 2 of R. D. already cited) agreeing to call him "représentant du Sultan" (article cited and No. II of the *modus procedendi*), or, as the Imperial German calls him and as he has in consequence been styled in the acts of the Government of Italy, *Nach-ol-Sultan*, and, at last, in a special article that "le audit représentant est aussi reconnu à l'effet de la protection des intérêts de l'Empire ottoman et des sujets ottomans" (article 3 of R. D.), determining finally that his stipend should be a charge not on the Turkish treasury, but "sur les recettes locales" (as is done for the *émir* of the *emirats* drawn from the local funds) the Italian Government came to admit a kind of *Vice Sultan* in Libya "a defender of the natives from any possible wrong done them by the Italian authorities." And in fact, in the two solemn discourses in which Sharaf ad din<sup>1</sup> announced to the natives both at Tripoli and at Benghazi, the mission conferred on him by the Sultan and the decree of the same for their

<sup>1</sup> As I said at the end of the preceding paragraph, these erroneous premises found in the numerous Italian acts of the treaty, in article 2 of R. D. already cited. But the German

<sup>2</sup> This is clear of itself, to "of the" chosen by the Ottoman plenipotentiaries to give the id

<sup>3</sup> The matter appeared all the clearer in the eyes of the Mussulmans because the Turkish plenipotentiaries of the acts, bilateral or unilateral, of the Treaty recognised the sovereignty of Italy over Libya, and limited itself to granting to the inhabitants of Cyrenaica and Tripolitania "une certaine autonomie." Roman of the R. D.

<sup>4</sup> The "Nach-ol-Sultan," sent to Libya December 1912.

autonomy, his exact words were: "I come to you as vicar of the Caliph and as watchful patron" (*ndiban 'ami-l-khalifa wa waliyyan shahidan*)

(e) Admitting that the supreme Cadi of Libya should be nominated by Turkey, as was said in letter (c), and that the Naib or local Cadi should be nominated by him, the Italian Government procured new embarrassments for itself. In canon law the Cadi must follow the hanafite school which, in the second half of last century, they followed without interruption. The Italian Government, however, followed the malikite school.

On the 30th July 1912, the Italian Government, in a declaration, dated the 30th July 1912,

thought had been taken for such an eventuality, accordingly, if the treaty should have been applied in its entirety, a hanafite Cadi would have come from Constantinople who would have nominated his Naib or local judges of the hanafite school according to which last Islamic justice would have been afresh administered, despite the solemn pledge given to the inhabitants.

Based on erroneous premises that completely contradicted the real Islamic institutions, the Treaty of Lausanne, like that of Kuchuk Kainargi, was seen at the time to be impossible of realisation, because its application would have been a continual source of offence to the Sovereignty of Italy. Such action had to be taken that neither was the Cadi nominated, nor had he the nomination of the Naib or local judges, on the other hand through want of the Cadi, the administration of justice and that of the goods *awqaf* (or *cusuf*) was irregular, in the end there were constant questions constantly arose, either with the Ottoman Government or with the natives, from which only the declaration of a fresh war with Turkey freed us, followed by the decree that annulled the agreements of Lausanne R. D. 22nd August, 1915. Here also the analogy with the end of the agreements of Kuchuk Kainargi is marvellous.

How slightly Turkey cared for the religious interests, in the agreements entered into with Italy, evidently appears from the fact that the Treaty of Lausanne does not contain a word about a *Reis ul ulama*, a college of *ulama*, *Mufti*, *Imam*, or other persons who have to do with religious matters, and are without political influence, holding no government office, according to the Treaty of Lausanne the "religious heads" are instead the Caliph and the Cadi. It is worth while repeating that the error of considering Caliph and Cadi as "religious heads" is only found in the unilateral Italian acts of the Treaty of Lausanne, in the unilateral or bilateral Turkish acts good care is taken by the Ottoman plenipotentiaries to avoid such a mistake. Here again one recalls the various editions of the Treaty of Kuchuk Kainargi.

Some journals and some politicians justified at the time the dispositions of the Treaty of Lausanne by the analogy of the Treaty of Kuchuk Kainargi, concluded between Austria-Hungary and Turkey for the annexation of Bosnia Herzegovina (29th February, 1878). But in reality the analogy is limited to the right of *khutba* for the Sultan "as Caliph," a right that has remained as a curious relic from the period 1878-1908 of the Austro-Hungarian administration under the high Ottoman dominion, and which in a country where the Mussulmans form hardly one third of the inhabitants, has very much less importance than it has in Libya, entirely Mussulman. For the rest the difference is enormous. In an explicit manner the Turkish Government recognised the complete sovereignty of Austria-Hungary over Bosnia Herzegovina "a thing they did not wish to acknowledge for Italy in the case of Libya." And accordingly, there is not a trace in the Austro-Turkish treaty of all those symbols of Ottoman sovereignty which were heaped up in the Treaty of Lausanne: no sending of a *Nach-ol-Sultan*, no sending of a Cadi, and therefore no interference with the Islamic magistracy and the administration of the goods *awqaf* in Bosnia Herzegovina. In the Bosnian agreement there is a slight trace of relation with Constantinople only for the *Reis ul Ulema*, but here it treats of a person of a

which says: "Le Gouvernement ottoman reconnaît d'une façon formelle que les choses en Bosnie Herzégovine sont restées telles qu'elles étaient" (that is the

for Foreign Affairs, in which the Minister declared that the rights of his sovereignty extended over Bosnia Herzegovina, and that accordingly those territories were annexed to the Austro-Hungarian



true and exclusive religious character, head of the commission of the *ulama* or doctors, set up to care for the religion and worship of Islam, he is nominated by the Emperor of Austria-Hungary from a list of three proposed by a Bosnian electorate, Austrian subjects, which electorate (and not the Austro-Hungarian Government) after the Imperial nomination, begs of the Sheikh-ul-Islam of Constantinople a diploma of investiture for the newly elected. He must, further, be an Austro-Hungarian subject and can be deprived of his office by the Emperor. The Bosnian Reis-ul-Ulema, therefore, does not in any way correspond to the Libvan Cadi.

At Lausanne, on the other hand, the Ottoman plenipotentiaries succeeded in turning to the advantage of Turkey some ambiguous and innocuous formulae of the Austro-Turkish protocol of 1908. In article IV of that protocol one reads: "Les droits des fondations pieuses (vakoufs) seront respectés, comme par le passé, et aucune entrave ne sera apportée aux rapports des musulmans avec leurs chefs spirituels qui dépendront comme toujours du Cheik-ul-Islamat à Constantinople, lequel donnera l'investiture au Reis-ul-Ulema." Who are these "chefs spirituels"? The protocol says nothing anywhere about it and since in the Bosnian agreement save the above-mentioned investiture *pro forma* of the Reis-ul-Ulema, there is no

of relations of Musliman functionaries with Constantinople and since, on the other hand, the *ulama* (that is, the only men to whom, *cum grano salis*, the title of spiritual heads could be applied) are learned private persons, as already explained, it is evident that the Austro-Hungarian Government does not in reality concede anything and only offers words deprived of effective content. That was possible for two reasons: because the Young Turks, only a few months in power and full of Western ideas often ill digested, were incredibly ignorant of Islamic religious matters, and because one of the two Ottoman signatories was the Armenian (Christian) Noradounghian. The passage just referred to, which in substance was therefore a clever humbugging of the Ottoman Government on the part of the Austrians, was transformed thus in article 2 of R. D. 17th October, 1912: "Les droits des fondations pieuses (vakoufs) seront respectés comme par le passé et aucune entrave ne sera apportée aux relations des musulmans avec le chef religieux appelé Cadi, qui sera nommé par le Cheik-ul-Islamat, et avec les Naibs nommés par lui-même." After all that has been said above, it is not necessary to add a single word in order to show that, while we thought we were treading in the footsteps of the Austro-Turkish protocol, we in reality did something very different.

#### V.—The so-called arguments in favour of the Ottoman Caliphate and the possession of the Holy Places of Islam.

In order that, in these days of ours, a Caliph may once more securely establish himself, one first and indispensable condition is that he not only have his own direct territorial dominion, but also that the great majority of the Muslimans recognise him, and that, as a consequence, the other Sovereigns or Princes of Islam (Sultans, Khan, Bey, Emir, &c.) consider themselves nominally as his vassals, and confirm the legitimacy of their power by his regular investiture. If this condition be wanting, it is evident that one will have, not a Caliph, but a simple aspirant to the Caliphate. Now it is well known that no independent Musliman Prince (in Arabia, Afghanistan, Beluchistan, &c.) has ever gone or goes to the Sultan of Constantinople to have his own sovereignty legitimated by means of a diploma of investiture, an evident sign that in our days the Islamic Princes do not recognise the effective existence of a Caliphate, whether Ottoman or otherwise.

A second condition, based on past history and on sayings attributed to Mahomet, requires that the Caliph should be the direct descendant of the Prophet.

Of the Austro-Turkish treaty of the 21st April, 1879, for the whole administration under the high Ottoman sovereignty the supreme Cadi, by the Turkish Government. The

to say the people of Morocco have always disdainfully resisted any Turkish effort at affairs, and every Caliphal aspiration on the part of the Ottoman Sultans in Algeria during the Turkish domination direct or under the form of the ruler of Constantine was

ways regarded as a  
from the official inser  
the dignity of Caliph are entirely wanting

the great tribe of *Koreish*, to which the Prophet and the majority of his contemporaries dwelling at Mecca belonged. Also this essential requisite is lacking to the Turkish Sovereigns.

How then could, or can, the Sultans of Constantinople be Caliphs?

The European books do not speak of this first difficulty, as to the second, they assert that it was overcome thus. When Selim I conquered Egypt in 1517 and (see § III, first part), this latter was made to give up his right to the Caliphate. If this view "But in reality we are dealing with a simple *fable*, which Europeans found in the book, already cited, of D'Ohsson, of 1788. Of an event which would have had such capital importance for the entire Musliman world, no mention is to be found in the ample Turkish and Arab chronicles of the period," no reference in the Histories, official or otherwise, composed later by Arabs and Turks, so that to find such reference in Islamic authors one must come to our contemporaries, who have learned this great fact from European books! And the silence of the historians is confirmed by what has been explained in § III: that officially the Sultan of Constantinople appears as Caliph for the first time in the treaty of 1774 with Russia, where the title serves only as a clever diplomatic expedient to trick the Russians and to preserve actual sovereignty over the Tartars, declared independent, while with only in the constitution of 1876.

In European books another argument is used in favour of the Ottoman Caliphate, an argument only to be found in germ in D'Ohsson, but which seems to have assumed great importance in the eyes of several politicians after the European war broke out. I mean, the effective possession of the Holy Places of Islam, that is, of the sacred territories (*haram*) of Mecca and Medina, which the respective Sharifs (Barakat ibn Mohammed at Mecca) ceded to Selim I in 1517. The argument is very ingenious, and confounds in a strange manner the effect with the cause.

A Prince does not acquire a special right to become Caliph because he in fact possesses the Holy Places but whoever is recognised by the Islamic community as Caliph becomes *ipso jure* master of the Holy Places (as of all the territories inhabited by Muslimans) he has the right of governing them directly or of entrusting the lordship over them to a Prince (Sultan, Emir, &c.) through regular investiture, in that case reserving to himself the high dominion alone. In the times of the Caliphate the absolute independence of the Holy Places, as of any Islamic territory whatsoever, would be a legal nullity, it would be an abnormal state of affairs, an act of rebellion in no way invalidating the theoretic rights of the Caliph, which, even without having recourse to arms, would in the end be recognised by the rebels themselves, under the pressure of Musliman public opinion. If the Sultan of Turkey were really a Caliph, the actual revolt of the Sharif of Mecca with the proclamation of himself as "King of Hijaz" (of which for the rest, he possesses only a part) would not have any consequences for the Caliphal dignity of the Sultan.

And that for the following reasons: (a) that a Caliph has not the right to a agreement with the Muslimans that in

Selim I  
by the title of  
Sultan of Egypt  
the same place when Selim I had conquered Syria and Egypt, putting an end to the dynasty of the Mamelukes of Bahriya Mamelukes, who ruled there. Mecca and Medina were of the Mamelukes, as then fell the Sharifs of the two holy cities last sovereignty of the conqueror and it is said also that the son of the Sharif Barakat, head of the an homage to Selim, presented him at Alexandria (May or June 1517)

nty over the Holy Pla  
wrongfully separated by many in Europe since D'Ohsson  
as in various cases in which Mecca (with or without Medina) was for longer periods withdrawn in fact from the supreme dominion of the Caliph  
the Caliph Ali (who reigned 661-671 A.D.) by the Ottoman party, from 661 to 672, and 7  
Caliph Abd Allah ibn al-Zubair, in the tenth ce  
claimed heretics in the thirteenth century by the Zeidi Imams of Samarra. But as in  
from these facts that the Caliph and his rights had fallen

# VI.—Practical consequences of the errors of Europeans regarding the actual existence and the nature of the Caliphate

It results from what was said in § 1, that to recognise as Caliph the Sultan of Constantinople or any other Islamic potentate, signifies for every good Mussulman to recognise in him the *political sovereignty* (not the spiritual) over all those who follow the religion of Mahomet, also if subjects of European Powers, signifies the seeing in him the Monarch who, with the help of God, will finally re-establish the broken unity of the peoples professing Islamism, and by degrees will free them from the yoke of the unbelievers and from the innovations contrary to the *Sharia* which these last have brought into the territories of Islam. To recognise a Caliph is to nourish the hope of a final and complete recovery of the Mahometan world in favor of the European world.

In truth for Mussulmans the *Caliphate* was, in fact, dead for centuries; it remained only a glorious memory of a better age now gone. It is owing to the slight knowledge which European Governments generally had of Islamic affairs that the idea of a Caliph was revived in the century just past. Having large Mussulman territories under their domination, these Governments thought to win the good will of their new subjects by providing for their spiritual needs, seeing to it that they did not lack a Caliph, that is, as they thought, an Islamic Supreme Pontiff, and in their artlessness the Europeans thought that this high office belonged to the Ruler of Constantinople, who should therefore be temporal Sultan of the Ottoman territories and at the same time spiritual Caliph of all the Mussulmans.

In the second half of the XIXth century the Mussulmans found the time had come to profit by this most grave error of the Europeans. Turkey now appeared the only Islamic State capable of confronting the Christian States, the only one to which the Sunnite reaction could look with confidence as a bulwark against the European encroachment, which absorbed one by one the territories of Islam and sought to impose its will on those few still independent, and so, Europe being accom-

plished to develop his very clever Pan-Islamic policy. For *Caliphate and political Pan-Islamism are one and the same thing*. As clearly results from what has been said

Sultan as Caliph, in the khutba of Friday, do not recognise his spiritual authority but simply his political sovereignty, with an implicit declaration of the illegitimacy of the Christian dominion. In this way, as Simon Hargreaves, the eminent Dutch authority on all Islamic matters, has written more than once, the Sultan receives through the unconscious aid of the Christian Powers, "a confirmation of his pretensions but in a diverse manner from that intended by these Powers, and in a manner more conformable to the historical and legal principles of Islam. The great majority of the Sunnite Mussulmans end by overcoming the grave difficulties which their own doctrine raises against the Caliphate of the Ottoman Sultan because they have need of a centre of political action against the European dominion."

Whoever follows the native press knows quite well that those Mussulmans who without being subjects of the Ottoman Empire, turn their glance to Turkey, do so not because they find that the Islamic faith is in danger, but only because they hope

to collect a long catena of quotations in proof of this statement. I shall confine myself to citing a small part of an article of enormous length, inspired by hatred of Italy, which an Indian, on his return from Cyrenaica, published in the nationalist journal *al Alam* at Cairo (25th September, 1912). Alluding to the talk of a speedy peace between Italy and Turkey, the writer waxing indignant, recalls the steady occupation of Mussulman territory by Europeans, declares that Turkey, "in spite of resisting and hindering such a tragedy and concludes: "This conviction is properly the cause why the khutba is performed in the name of His Majesty the Sultan in all the Islamic countries" although in them the Ottoman Government have not effective political power." Nothing could be more explicit.

Accepting the *Ottoman Caliphate* or any other *Caliphate* whatever, the peoples of Islam have the clear consciousness of deceiving their Christian rulers and making

An exaggeration of the writer, for example, in Morocco in Algeria (after the French conquest), in the independent States of Arabia and in those of Central Asia, the khutba has never been performed for the Sultan of Turkey.

a continual act of political protest against them. It is truly an extraordinary thing how European States disquiet themselves to revive artificially an institution that died spontaneously many centuries ago, which, if it did exist, would be completely opposed to their domination of territories inhabited by Mussulmans. It can never be repeated often enough that the Caliphate is nothing else than the universal monarchy of Islam, nothing else than political Pan-Islamism, and that the doctors or *ulama*, whose duty it is to maintain the unity and integrity of the religion,

never have stood in relations of dependence—spiritual, moral or even hierarchical—to the Caliphs. To recognise a Caliph does not signify, for a European State with Mussulman subjects, to provide for the religious or spiritual needs of these last, but only to introduce into its own Islamic domains a disguised, but none the less dangerous, foreign sovereignty, and besides that, to place itself in grave political embarrassment when, at some time, unforeseen, the majority of the Mahometan peoples might come to recognise a different Caliph, it signifies finally the helping to keep alight in the heart of Islam the belief in a resurrection, perhaps near at hand, of that glorious ideal past when infidel States trembled before the power and the conquests of Islam.

CARLO ALFONSO NALLINO

E 492 3 44.

Vice Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 2

(No. 152.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, February 21, 1920

I COMMUNICATED to the Minister for Foreign Affairs verbally on the 19th February contents of your telegram No. 129 of the 16th February.

reservation as to possible modification of decision of Conference in the part of military authorities and of

later on same day I informed my French colleague and my Italian colleague of what had passed. Neither of them had been formally instructed in the sense of your telegram under reference, though both accept decision to keep Turks here as far as possible, and French High Commissioner had used language based on that assumption in conversation with Ministry of Foreign Affairs on the 18th February.

I desire to draw your attention to fact that there has latterly been noticeable military liveliness in provinces, especially among Nationalists, and situation shows signs

Ministry of Foreign Affairs to become generally known in provinces and, though

strength locally to render impossible any action against us by Nationalists similar

E 725 3/44)

No. 81.

Consul General Wexeläw to Earl Curzon.—(Received February 27)

(No. 18.)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Beirut, February 21, 1920.

Americans, who left Marash with French, estimate number of Armenians already massacred in or around Marash at 5,000. They think Armenians remaining there and at Antab are doomed.

According to Armenian reports received here from Adana, certain number of Armenians escaped from Marash on heels of French, but many were cut off.

(Sent to Constantinople.)



No. 22

*Foreign Office, February 27, 1920*

MY telegram No. 89 of 20th February

It has been decided by the Supreme Council that an Allied garrison at Batoum shall be retained for the present, contributed in equal proportions by Great Britain, France and Italy.

Please report to Constantinople, Tehran and Batoum

№ 83

*The Political Resident, Aden, to Karl Curzon.—Received March 1.*

I HAVE the honour to forward for your Letter of the 12th February, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the

J 51 511 4 41, T

L: lower in No. 85

*Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo*

"Gerard."

My dear Lord Albemarle,

Aden, February 12, 1920

The following is a summary of news reported since the dispatch of my last letter dated the 28th January, 1932.

1999年12月

Tebano.

Our news agent at Tais reports that on the 18th December, 1919, the Insal  
... The guns were immediately sent. Another order was sent on the  
... merchants that the man's amil there, Syed Mahomed al-Dari, was causing trouble.  
He tried to despatch Syed Ahmed-al-Ambary, the Kadi of Zabred, to Sana, but the  
latter refused to go, and has collected his tribesmen and besieged the Zeidis in  
Zabred. A reinforcement of 100 to 150 Zeidis left for Zabred on the 21st December.

A Helsinki report states that the Idri's popularity is increasing, and that efforts are being made to win overayed Ahmed-al-Amari to the Idri's side. It is not considered a threat to overcome Yusuf Hassan, the ex-Kamukham of Zalced.

Syed Ambari has advised Mahomed Ibrahim Mokhawi, the But-el Fakih merchant that Islamic force is shortly expected to arrive at Zubeed with big guns, and they will proceed against the Z'ranika.

Thus rob is apparently the force reported to have left Tai's

He also stated that the hostages taken from the shukhs will be sent to the fort of Al Dan.

It is reported that Syed Ambari has also written to Ibrahim Makhawi that since all the people are averse to the imam, he can no longer adhere to him a legiance to him.

Three hundred soldiers have since arrived at Zabed with one gun of the largest size that has ever been seen at that place before.

The Idrisi has lately increased his customs duty on imports and exports. This appears to have irritated the merchants in Hodeida and Mansuria, who do not now wish for the Idrisi rule.

Sheikh Sahel Ali of the Abous has written to Major Meek saying that he has been d [redacted] by his people who are suspicious of his motives towards them due to his hesitation in accepting the Idri's suzerainty. Major Meek has made him a suitable reply.

The tribe of Ar Rakk, near Zabeed, has gone over to the Idnisi, and has agreed to co-operate with the Idnisi troops on their arrival. Suwayd Miftah, the Manzab of Rannia, is said to be in correspondence with Manasira, Warris, Al Hadadia and the Takarr (near Tema), urging them to resist the imam.

It is reported that on the 19th January, 1920, the imam telegraphed to Syed Abdul Kadir suggesting a mutual understanding between himself and the Qubra whereby each party confined itself to its own borders. To this the Qubra al-ikhhs are said to have sent a reply to the effect that they will not keep quiet until the imam has evacuated the whole of the Shafia country on their frontier. The imam telegraphed on the 20th idem intimating that Sef-al-Islam Syed Ahmed bin-Yehia, the eldest son of the imam, was ordered to proceed against the Qubra via Sanfor with 1,000 men, and that Seyd Abbas was ordered to proceed via Menakha with 800 men and 2 guns.

It is further reported that Sheikh Nasir of the Beni Mukatil (Hataz tribe) went to Beni Saad with 100 men, acting as a mediator between the incan and the Idriat. The Idriat's commandant there refused to agree to his proposal that each party should confine himself to his own borders.

The sheikhs of the Abas, Zaranik and others are reported to have gone to the Amir's commandant at Baji, asking him to send Idari soldiers to them. The commandant has referred their requests to the Idari.

The news agent of the Political Officer, Hodeidah, at Beit-el Fakih, 16th January, 1920, reports that the Zaranik, the Sawah, and 3 others raised a force of 1,000 men at Beit-el-Fakih to attack Zabaid, but at the request of the Mashaikh of Zabaid, S. A. V. H. H. I.

The Iraqi correspondent of the Political Officer, Mosul, reports on the 24th January, 1920, that on the 24th December Sheikh Had bin Ahmed Makki and Sharif Ahmed bin Hussein, with about 800 soldiers of the Idn, have arrived at Hajl Sharif, Mahmud-Jan Mahmud, with the Sheikh of Zaid and 100 men of the Hashi and Bakl, and 100 Idnai men, also arrived on the same day.

Fighting took place between the men of the Idrii and the man in the frontier  
On the 20th January, 1920, an Idrii gun was posted on Huan (fortlet) Al Baraf (on  
the eastern side of Jabel Ad-Damir) and fired against the house of Sheikh Aldul 1  
There were about 100 men of the imam in the house, and  
after suffering some loss

The 1st Infantry arrived at the front on January 1, 1906. The service of the infantry arrived at only awaiting the news. The men stated that Sheikh Ali Mikdad, one of the imam's lieutenants, sent his sons to the imam, who treated them very badly, confiscating their arms and horses, and turning them over to the British. Sheikh Ali Mikdad has declared rebellion against the imam, and asked the Kurds to send him soldiers to the frontier. All the tribes are said to be ready for action on the arrival of Kurdish men.

Idruw soldiers continue to arrive in Bayd under various commanders. The Idruw has occupied Suk Attar (near Jebel Bura), Zorn'i on Jebel Bura and Mekkaf. All these places are within the imam's territory.

An informant from Bajal gives the disposition of the main and Idrial forces as follows:

There are 300 Idrisi men in Bayd under Sheikh Mohamed Sharif Hamoud bin Ahmed, Sheikh Mohamed Hadi Hajj\* of El Wa'adat, Sheikh Hadi Makram of Zetba and Sheikh Ahmed Ali Doe of Khoba are also there. The Idrisi force consists of men from Ayr and Hualid and Bakil. The Qubra men are posted at Methal between Qbal and Hujesta. The Idrisi has also posted his men on the Abou-Bura and Hajar services. The total number of Idrisi men in Qubra-Aboua territory is about 800. The Idrisi rations his own men only.

The imam's force (strength not known) is stationed on the other side of the hills. The imam is collecting a large force and intends to advance after a few weeks. The informant says that if Methal falls to the imam he will easily capture Bajil. The Idari has five guns, and unless he brings more he will not be able to hold Methal. The Idari is said to be sending more guns.

Writing on the 31st January, 1920, Major Meek states that Sheikh Manasseer of Northern Zarnik has been forced to declare himself with the tribe generally.

\* Made submission to the Idari after the evacuation of the Turks in Amir.

which was on the point of falling on the imam's people in Zabed a week ago, but desisted. The Zaidis are now wholly for the Idri in the imam Idri conflict.

The Idri irregulars now occupy the Qubra-Abos border, by invitation, and Idri have been promulgated in Merawa. The imam appears to be collecting

leaders. He would seem to have the pull over the Idri in numbers of men but I do not think he could advance into the Tehama while his own Shafar tribes are disaffected. And as far as Hodeida is concerned he could not support a large force from his own borders in hostile country. He has asked for return to the state, but the Qadha and Idri have refused, knowing the

Major Meek says that local people declare that Hodeida is better behaved now than at any period of its history. The town is now full of people and business brisk, and there has been practically no crime. And this in spite of there being regular

at the picket gates. Since Colonel Jacob arrived the tribesmen have been coming to the town armed as they did in the time of the Turks. The townspeople protested against this liberty, and predicted dire results. In order to show the tribes that we gave them our trust and had no fear of them, the privilege was allowed, and Major Meek says that the result has been excellent. The tribesmen are not allowed to go about the town with arms, but armed men coming in deposit their weapons in their place of abode. Major Meek says people in Hodeida appreciate our administration there, and even the women of the town declare that they want neither imam nor Idri, but hope the English will remain. The notables have, however, in their statement of

of the Turks as their first desideratum. Meek says that one of the Turkish officials en route to Aden recently stated that the Turks were still giving out that the Turks will be back in the Yemen in two months. Nevertheless, he seems to be assuring his people to get away

Jamil Bey is still in Hodeida. He now states that Mahmud Nathum is unable to leave himself. Jamil Bey has been trying to get Major Meek to use Mahmud Nathum as Government's instrument, but Major Meek thinks that all the messages to him from Mahmud Nathum about his desire to quit the country are a mere bluff.

A copy of a letter written by Jamil Bey to Mahmud Nathum has been sent to me. In this among other matters, the writer asks Mahmud Nathum to advise the imam to cease his activities in Dala and Upper Yafa within our protectorate, pointing out the

ya, the line of the Idri is to move on to Jebel Ashraf, and from the latter place to Jebel Dura. The Idri's next move appears to be on Jebel Dura and

The Hashid Sheikh, Nasir Matkhoot, is reported to have captured Haja and turned out the imam's troops from the place. Captain Fazladdin says that the w of the Qadha of Haja has accepted the authority of the Idri, but he has received confirmation of this report, but he is certain that a part of the Qadha is already under the Idri. The cause of the Qadha coming under the Idri appears to be the imam's

is, probably owing to his intrigues with

u says that from repeated reports received by him from different it appears that wherever the savage Zaidi hordes entered the Shafarite Tehama, they invariably brought in their train pillage, rapine, destruction and desecration. A story is recounted to show the ruthless savagery of the Zaidis even with their own soldiers.

"A Zaidi soldier, who, having lost his son in a recent fight between the Idri and Imam, went to the amir to claim the 'dat' (blood money) to which the Zaid are entitled at 16 rupees per head, was told that his son was only wounded. Zaid persisted in saying that his son was dead. On this he was taken to the place where his son lay wounded. He saw the boy wounded in the chest and still alive. The father then took out his dagger and thrust it into the wound and said to the amir,

"Look there, he is dead, how do you say he is living?" This story is probably due to Idri propaganda, but shows the feeling of the Shafars about the Zaidis.

Captain Fazladdin says that the cause of the Idri's success does not lie in his military strength, but in the atrocities and outrages committed by the imam's troops. Denunciations and letters of invitation continue to reach the Idri from various places in the Yemen, recounting the Zaidi barbarities and invoking his help.

of Hejaz's mission returned from Sana and left for Jeddah in the last week of January. The envoy Sharif Nasir bin-Dhikr stated that on his arrival at Sana he asked the imam as to the cause of the trouble between him and the Amir of Dala, and advised him to patch up his differences with the latter.

The imam explained that the Amir territory belonged to his ancestors, and that the Turks during their reign in the Yemen had usurped his rights over this and other places. During their occupation of Lahaj, the Turks had appointed their katnakas at Dala, who ill-treated the Amir, and the latter complained to the imam, asked for his help and left his belt as a hostage in token of his submission. The imam thereupon asked the Turks to remove their katnakas, and they complied. The imam has now merely sent his own Amir to take charge of the place. This is a mere matter of administration. The Amir has, however, proved disobedient and resisted the Zaid.

Sharif Nasir says that after this explanation he asked the imam to send instructions to his Amir not to take further action until the arrival of a British mission, when matters could be amicably settled. The imam did so and gave him a letter on the subject. (A copy of this letter was sent with my letter to the 14th Feb.)

Nasir stated that his intervention in this matter was actuated by the desire to prevent bloodshed, and by no other. He asked that the Amir may be instructed similarly to refrain from hostile acts pending the arrival of the mission.

He did not disclose the object of his mission to the imam, but in conversation with one of the Arabic interpreters of this office he implied that one of the objects was to bring about an alliance between the King of the Hejaz and the Amir. He said that Sharif Hasan did not give him full powers, otherwise the arrangements would have been concluded.

Nasir said that the King advised the imam through him to enter into relations with the British Government in the interests of his own country. He said, were very friendly towards the British Government and he hoped that an understanding would be arrived at very soon.

As to the Idri, he said that Sharif Hasan's intentions towards him were

Turkish officers and two machine guns. He says that the Idri are preparing for the advent of some strong Government who would protect them from Zaidi tyranny.

Another report says that the imam's intention is to occupy all the Tehama ports as well as Aden.

It is reported that Zaidis intend to advance on Tafwa and Subaih in the Alawi territory well within our protectorate, and only about 25 miles from Lahaj. The Alawi is very nervous, and presses for our troops to be moved up to Subaih. He says if the Zaidis advance on Subaih he will leave his country and come to us with all his family and hold us responsible for all damages, as we are not protecting him in accordance with our treaty.

It appears from the information given by the Sheikh of Jol Matram in Haushab territory, near Nohat Dakin, that 500 Zaidis from Taiz, Ebb and Dala have lately arrived in Alawi, in addition to the 200 already there. The object of their coming is to take possession of 100 cases of ammunition and 500 rounds of shrapnel which are stored there. They have searched, but the result is not known.

According to the information received by the same sheikh the imam intends to take the offensive against the British Government in March or April. He was quiet at present because he is storing up provisions which he is purchasing in Aden.



After a protracted delay of one year the Hausabi Sultan has come in to Aden to renew his treaty with us and his agreement with the Abdali. He is the last of those who had gone over to the Turks at Lahaj to be restored to favour. He came in in January 1919 to make his submission, but was told that he had first to settle with the Abdali, and his case would then be considered. In February 1919, after consultation with the Abdali, the latter was asked to come to Aden and to bring the Hausabi with him formally to renew his treaty with us and the agreement with the Abdali. The latter wrote to him several letters, but the Hausabi excused himself under a pretext or other. He repeatedly asked for the payment of his stipend, but was always told that it could not be paid until he had come and renewed his treaty. He has now come in, and his stipend will be paid to him from the date of his visit in 1919, as all matters between him and the Abdali have been settled.

He has brought with him two letters written to him by the imam's hakim of kamaarah at Mavia Hassan Abdul Wahab al Warith. In one the hakim complains of delay on the part of the Hausabi in coming to see him. The writer tells him that he has nothing to fear, and that none of his privileges will be curtailed. On the contrary, he will be held in great esteem before the Amir al-Muhammadiyah (imam). The writer hints at the imam's intention of going in the direct on of Aden.

In the second letter the Hausabi is threatened with my men if he does not come within three days. The writer draws his attention to the case of the Amir of Dala who placed his reliance on our promises, which we did not fulfil, and has on account.

He refers to the Koran, and referring to us says: "God and His Prophet and their characteristic is to deceive the Muslims."

The Hausabi says that the letters are genuine and are written in the hakim's own handwriting and signed by him, but that he thinks they have been sent by Warith on his own initiative, without orders from the imam, merely to show his cleverness to the imam's brother.

Nothing to the fate of the Amir of Dala is of interest. We have been unable to afford protection to the Amir, and this of course makes all our protégés nervous. As a result of being up in Aden all the war, our prestige in the Yemen is naturally nil. M. J. of Colonel Jacob's mission tell me that the Arabs look upon the British as mere money-bags to be squeezed. The men of the nation have no courage, but attempt to gain their objects by bribery on every occasion. If this fails they employ Indian mercenaries, but never put themselves into danger. The sight of a British officer and the aeroplane which flew over Hajl filled them with amazement, as they were fully convinced that the British never brought themselves.

No further advance of Muslim troops within our protectorate is reported, but rumours of reinforcements and of concentrations at Taiz for a descent on Lahaj are persistent. Taiz, however, appears to be the base for operations in the Zaidi area.

Further with us while his hands are full with the Idri. Our military prestige is small, however, and the imam appears to have such a good opinion of his own power that an advance against Lahaj is a possibility, and we should be prepared. I am accordingly taking measures to keep myself fully informed of the.

The Barhami sheika (Subhi) has received two letters from the collector at Mokha asking him to come to him in order to arrange about the payment of taxes by the Barhami to the imam. The writer is the brother of the Kamaarah of Mokha, and I have asked the Abdali Sultan to write to the kamaarah to stay his brother's interference with tribes within our protectorate.

Yours, &c

J. M. STEWART

E 864 3 44,

No. 81

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 1)

(No. 176. Very Secret.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, February 29, 1920.

My telegrams Nos. 109 of 6th February and 152 of 21st February.

Situation between Arabs and Turks is subjected to a daily increasing strain, owing to successive incidents which indicate clearly that influences making for resistance to decisions of Peace Conference are more than ever in the ascendant. I am constantly asked by General Milne to take up with Foreign Office matters in which Turkish

guard and instructions given under article 20 of the armistice made arising out of such incidents. While fully in agreement with Milne as to propriety of his demands, I find that, whether I act alone or conjointly with my colleagues, only result is evasion or procrastination, with a tendency of late to

No individual member of this kind is sufficiently important in itself to justify military action to enforce demands, but the aggregate result is that Allied High Commissioners are flouted, and that not by responsible Government, but by Nationalist

Government is powerless to control. This places High Commissioners in hopelessly false position. What is even more important, it emboldens

Nationalist leaders to think that programme of resistance to the drastic peace terms arrived through to the end of the chapter with the assurance of impunity with which they now disregard our wishes, even if matter most directly arises out of the armistice.

I have come to conclusion that it is useless for High Commissioners to continue to address Porte demands they evade or reject, which is foregone conclusion, and that preparations should be made at once for effective action to be taken whenever Nationalist defiance passes the limits of endurance or when other developments in situation may make it desirable. Either may happen in very near future. By effective action I mean occupation of Constantinople.

military authorities then considered necessary and sensible. course is danger which might be created for Christians in the interior and for Allied subjects, including military and other representatives.

It would have to be carefully considered what announcement should be made in order to deter Turks from acquiescence, and what, if any, other safeguard could be provided. Question of advisability of such action, question of moment for taking

possible safeguards for Christians all turn very largely on intentions

under this head, except as regards Constantinople. We have both got the impression in last couple of weeks (apparently part of telegram omitted here, stiffened in direction of very drastic peace, giving

Soviet and France (including Armenia, to Turkey). If this impression is correct, peace must be imposed by force of arms. Military authorities and High Commissioners should be informed in good time, but very secretly, and the sooner necessary steps are taken to consolidate military situation here the better it will be. If our impression is

peace is to be comparatively lenient, we should be informed less secretly. discretion to divulge peace prospects to Sultan and other possible elements in

and movements. These elements can be enlisted in support of peace terms only if they are comparatively lenient—i.e., if they include of some purely Turkish sovereignty over Smyrna and Eastern T

Constantinople, and at least over substantial portion of eastern provinces in that case we could start at once, with fair prospect of success, to

E 918 289 44]

No. 82.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 3)

(No. 181)

(Telegraphic)

Constantinople, March 2, 1920

FOLLOWING from Ryan for Mr. Vansittart—

"Scheme set up (in Foreign Office telegram No. 150 of 21st February) has been examined by Mr. Waugh in consultation with Legal Advisor of French High Commissioner. Its details are embodied in High Commissioner's telegram No. 180 of 2nd March. If it is necessary to have two parallel jurisdictions I agree with Mr. Waugh that scheme enclosed in High Commissioner's despatch of 12th June, 9th October is preferable to that now proposed by French. I also agree that once we have good scheme it may as well be group omitted at once in Peace Treaty, provided that there is adequate provision for revision. I certainly think that matters of personal status should be reserved for Consular Court until further notice. At the same time I am bound to say that fundamental principle of proposed system seems to me fantastic.

"Future of Turkish State must be one of three things, viz., (a) entirely independent, (b) fully controlled, or (c) independent subject to foreign control of particular sections of administration. In practice, choice lies between (b) and (c). Personally I favour

(b), subject to formula designed to protect sham appearance of independence. If that solution were adopted there would be no need for parallel jurisdictions for natives and foreigners. Our scheme of jurisdiction could be taken as model for single judicial system to deal with all matters except personal status in matters reserved on restriction (sic) trusts for Moslem religious courts. Present proposal is based on a... opinion, if there is to be foreign control of judicial system with... of Turkish activity, it should be control of entire judicial system, and last sentence of preceding paragraph again applies. Is it realised that under proposal for two parallel jurisdictions for non-Muslims, Ottoman natives will be under thumb of purely native courts in law suits between themselves or with Turks and in criminal matters? How can such result of treaty be reconciled with Prime Minister's last speech? Do not think Turks' opposition to treaty will be sensibly diminished by leaving one-half of judicial system under his direct control.

"Unionists will fight Mixed Courts for mixed cases as hard as complete control. They will accept complete control if anything more readily than Mixed Courts. A new judicial system is put up it may be..."

E 919/3 44]

No 86.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 3.)

No. 84

Constantinople, March 2, 1920

...and a large number of Armenians... in states that possibly but... is difficult to judge numbers. A considerable number... recent perished from blizzard. Only way, in my opinion, to... number of victims would be for Inter Allied Commission to be appointed to... on the spot. I much doubt, however, whether French would agree to such a... as their local officials undoubtedly misjudged situation, and they had under-estimated difficulties and dangers of occupation.

Colonel Butler found them most uncommunicative and suspicious, and they would be unwilling that facts should be made public.

[E 988,289/44]

No 87.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 4.)

No. 270.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, February 22, 1920.

WITH reference to my telegram No. 107 dated the 6th February, I have the honour now to transmit to your Lordship herewith copies of letters, dated the 31st January and the 1st February, received from the French and Italian High Commissioners, notifying me of the re-establishment of their respective consular courts.

I have, &amp;c.

J. M. DE ROBÉCK, High Commissioner.

Enclosure 1 in No. 87

French High Commissioner to Rear-Admiral Webb.

M. le Haut Commissaire,

Haut-Commissariat de la République française,  
Constantinople, le 31 janvier 1920

J'ai l'honneur de vous faire connaître que le Gouvernement de la République vient d'autoriser la réouverture du tribunal consulaire français. Ce tribunal jugera

les litiges pendants soit entre Français, soit entre Français et étrangers, à condition que les autorités dont relèvent ces étrangers acceptent de reconnaître les jugements dudit tribunal et accordent l'accès de leur propre tribunal consulaire aux Français demandeurs ou tiers intervenants.

Veuillez agréer, &amp;c.

A. DEFRANCE.

Enclosure 2 in No. 87.

Italian High Commissioner to Rear-Admiral Webb

Haut-Commissariat italien,

Constantinople, le 1<sup>er</sup> février 1920

M. le Haut-Commissaire,

J'ai l'honneur de porter à la connaissance de votre Excellence que mon Gouvernement vient de maintenir à rétablir le tribunal consulaire italien à Constantinople. Ce dernier exerce dès à présent sa juridiction dans les mêmes conditions qu'avant la déclaration de guerre.

Veuillez agréer, &amp;c.

MAISSA.

E 969 3 44]

No. 88

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 4.)

No. 271.

Constantinople, February 23, 1920

I TOOK the opportunity afforded by my return visit to the new Ministry of Foreign Affairs on the 19th February to read to him your Lordship's letter of the 16th February, relative to the future of Constantinople.

2. I thought it advisable, in view of the endeavours which will certainly be made by the Extremists of the national movement to exploit the decision of the conference as a victory achieved by them, to speak very frankly to the Minister about the present situation, and the attitude of antagonism to the Allies taken up by the "national movement." I had been authorised, I said, to make a statement which should be very comforting to the Turkish Government and all patriotic Turks. That assurance, however, was not unaccompanied by reservations. In the part of the telegram concerning these reservations, I noted specially the references to "massacres of Armenians" to "Allied troops," and to "attacks."

3. It was true, I said, that the only places in which Armenians were now being massacred were in Cilicia; that I had no particulars of such massacres beyond the undoubted fact that Armenians had been massacred in several villages; and that these massacres were the outcome of the deplorable incidents at Marash and elsewhere. I would not repeat what I had said on previous occasions about these incidents, but in the present connection I desired once more to emphasise my view that the incidents were the fulfilment of a threat deliberately formulated by Mustafa Kemal Pasha some time ago, and any massacres arising out of them were massacres committed under the auspices of the national movement.

4. I had also accentuated, I said, the words "Allied troops" and "attacks." "Allied" included, for His Majesty's Government, not merely the French and Italians, but also the Greeks. "Attacks" included not only attacks by regular troops, but also attacks by the so-called national forces. On the Minister's observing that the Government could not be held responsible for all the acts of the national forces, I insisted, and repeated several times subsequently in the conversation, that the present Grand Vizier had taken office with a programme of conciliating the national movement. After the opening of Parliament, he had secured an unanimous vote of confidence after negotiations with the national movement, which culminated in the sacrifice of three of his Ministers, and that in these circumstances the Government could only be regarded as a reflex of the movement.

The Nationalists, I observed, claimed to be patriots, but they were serving their own ends. They had been consistently disloyal to their own Sovereign, who had done their best to diminish. Instead of working for peace and the



true happiness of the Turkish people, they had fomented strife and done so more particularly by following a policy of irritating and provoking.

5. I spoke of the request by the Ministry of War for the removal of troops from disturbances at Bigha. General M. in his refusal, because there seemed to be no reason for it, because it had been a consistent policy of the Allied authorities to avoid anything which might lead to a civil war. I had every hope that we should not now have long to wait, but an interval was necessary when Damad Ferid Pasha wished to send troops against the Nationalists.

7. I directed the Minister's attention to another case in which the Turkish military authorities seemed anxious to find a pretext for redispersing troops, and that was an area especially interesting to His Majesty's Government, namely South Eastern Kurdistan. The military authorities had made use of a pretext to send troops to the area. I directed the Minister to read carefully the note which I had just sent him on this subject (see my despatch No. 249 of the 19th February).

8. I also drew the particular attention of the Minister to the latest communications of the High Commissioners demanding respect for the "Milne line" in the same area (see my despatch No. 250 of the 20th February). I refused to be drawn into a discussion, in which he sought to engage, regarding the merits of the possibility of aggression by Greeks if the Turks receded. The discussion had been undertaken, I said, in order to give satisfaction to the Turks, who in the summer legitimately wished to know where they were. When it had been effected, the Turks were the only people who refused to respect the decisions.

9. It appeared to me, I observed, that when the Turkish Government wished to force it was never at a loss for troops, but that when it was a question of satisfying the Allies it was at a loss for troops. I said that I had seen the recent raid on the arms and ammunition dump at Ak-Bash in the same area. The Turkish Government had officially expressed regret, and had said that they were making every effort to make good the loss.

10. I said that I had seen the recent raid on the arms and ammunition dump at Ak-Bash in the same area. The Turkish Government had officially expressed regret, and had said that they were making every effort to make good the loss. I said that I had seen the recent raid on the arms and ammunition dump at Ak-Bash in the same area. The Turkish Government had officially expressed regret, and had said that they were making every effort to make good the loss.

11. I adverted to representations which the Minister had made to me previously about the working of the Allied censorship of the press. These representations were of a very general nature, and I said that I had seen the recent raid on the arms and ammunition dump at Ak-Bash in the same area. The Turkish Government had officially expressed regret, and had said that they were making every effort to make good the loss.

12. Incidentally I drew the attention of the Minister to the prosecution of two Armenian journalists for printing an attack on the national movement, which had been must create when the press of the national movement was using the most unbridled language throughout the provinces. I obtained a promise that the proceedings against the two Armenians would be stopped.

13. After a passing reference to the state of the Turkish prisons, on which the

Inter-Allied Commission had just reported, I brought my statement to a close. I repeated that I had been authorized to make a reassuring statement, but that I had been instructed to accompany it with a warning stated in concise but serious terms. I had given his Excellency my personal commentary. I felt, I said, that in using language of extreme frankness, and even severity, I was rendering a service to the cause. I had every hope that we should not now have long to wait, but an interval was necessary when Damad Ferid Pasha wished to send troops against the Nationalists.

14. I have not thought it necessary to reproduce the replies made by the Minister to the various portions of my statement. He used all the arguments with which the Porte has familiarized the Allied representatives for months past, including the injustice of the occupation of Smyrna, the injustice of the Greek action which followed it, and the responsibility of the French themselves for recent trouble in Cilicia. The most interesting feature of what he said was the extreme emphasis with which he insisted that now the Government was something very distinct from the national movement.

15. As I reported in my telegram No. 152 of the 21st February, I informed my French and Italian colleagues on the same day on which I saw the Minister for Affairs of the contents of your Lordship's telegram and of what I had said to the Minister. I found that neither of them had had instructions to make any statement regarding the decisions of the conference regarding Constantinople. This creates a regard to the question which I am now considering, viz.: what, if any, public announcement should be based on your telegram in order to bring its contents to the knowledge of the general Turkish public. The Porte have refrained from making any announcement, probably from a disinclination to publish the substance of the telegram because widely known by word of mouth.

I have &c.

J. M. DE ROBERTS,  
High Commissioner.

E 994 56 44]

No. 80.

Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby to Karl Curzon.—(Received March 4)

No. 182)

(Telegraphic)

Cairo, February 28, 1920.

YOL R telegram No. 159 of 23rd February

Following for Malkin from Hurat:—

Explanatory chapter of Turkish Treaty

owing represents views of Lord Milner and members of his Mission

"Articles 3 to 7 may stand. Provisions on following lines relating to Sudan

"Sudanese shall be entitled when in foreign countries to diplomatic protection of His Britannic Majesty

"Article 1 of draft should, if possible, provide recognition by all High Contracting Parties of British Protectorate of Egypt and for renunciation by Turkey of all rights over country

"First paragraph of article 2 may stand except that after 'renounces' in first sentence there should be added 'in favour of His Britannic Majesty'

"Second paragraph should be omitted and provision substituted for closing of consular courts and acceptance on behalf of foreign nationals of land régime to which British nationals may be subjected.

"Third paragraph should be omitted and provision substituted for closing of consular courts without separate agreement with each Allied belligerent capitulatory Power which participated in Treaty with Turkey

Will telegraph separately as to native courts."

113

*Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. - (Received March 4.)*

Constantinople, March 2, 1910

YOUR telegram No. 176 of 28th February crossed my telegram No. 176 of 29th February. Latter was sent after full discussion of whole situation with my French colleague, who saw translation of my telegram and who has telegraphed to Paris in the same general sense. We decided not to take our Italian colleague into our confidence. I have fullest confidence in personal character and loyalty of Italian High Commissioner but there are, unfortunately, too many evidences that official Italian policy is strongly pro-Turkish. Although, therefore, I immediately communicated your telegram No. 176 of 28th February to persons indicated in last sentence, I decided to see French High Commissioner separately before discussing it with my French and Italian colleagues jointly. We met this afternoon and decided to hold meeting with Italian High Commissioner to-morrow. When it has taken place I will telegraph full expression of my views. Meanwhile general conclusion arrived at by French High Commissioner and myself is that no recommendation can usefully be made to Supreme Council until latter has received your telegram No. 176 of 29th February and corresponding telegram from Constantinople. The future must depend very largely on nature of peace which Conference proposes to offer Turkey. I feel most strongly that course to be followed by Allies should not depend for its direction or jurisdiction on what has happened in Cilicia, what has happened there is deplorable, but it would be hard to say whether Turks or French are more responsible for letting situation drift into massacre. Leaders of national movement have doubtless grave responsibility for creation of situation which did so drift. Turkish Government is theoretically responsible for acts of leaders of national movement. This is mere theory, however. No action that can now be taken here will retrieve situation in Cilicia. What we have to face and what we have to adjust our action to is the wider issue raised by Nationalists to resist drastic peace and apparent intention of Peace Conference to impose one. I think my French colleague would agree with previous paragraph also, though for obvious reasons I have not been able to put it to him as I have put it to your Lordship. We are, of course, in a very difficult position. If any naval action at all in these waters should be considered desirable it had better be left to French to take it alone. French admiral states that he is prepared to take necessary action and has adequate forces. I have seen General Milne, he agrees generally with my views. Arising out of my telegram No. 176 of 29th February, he considers that, if and when time comes for Allied occupation of Constantinople, administration should be in hands of High Commissioners, who should directly control working of all Government Departments here.

No. 91

(Consul-General McCallism to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 4.)

Beirut, February 29, 1920.

French authorities calculate that, as there were previous to hostilities 20,000 Armenians in Marash and now only 8,000 remain, number of massacred in town was 12,000, not taking into account what may have happened in neighbourhood of which they are ignorant. They do not consider that Adana and Mersin are in danger. Mustafa Kemal has asked them to provision Marash, which they were inclined to agree to.

Reports current here, mainly from Argentinean sources, place number of victims as high as 50,000, but in my opinion all estimates of numbers are conjectural. There is no doubt that bad massacres have taken place.

—

*Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)*

Consul Court and Inter Allied Tribunal.  
 Judge Grain returns to Egypt at the end of April and will be able to go from  
 to Constantinople whenever required. Consular Court may therefore be opened  
 with suitable person being put in charge of the offices.  
 As regards temporary Inter Allied Tribunal, legal difficulties are considerable.  
 We appear to be much less interested than the French and Italians, and conciliation of  
 peace now seems less remote. We therefore propose to take no action unless approached  
 by French or Italians.

No. 93

<sup>1</sup> Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 1891.)

Constantinople, March 5, 1920

N. 94.

*Earl Curzon to Field Marshal Viscount Allenby (Cairo,*

(No. 126)  
(Cablegram)  
Foreign Office, March 6, 1823.  
Your telegram No. 182 of 28th February.  
Matters are noted, but if decision on the lines indicated in  
your telegram No. 112 is taken involving definite separation of Sudan from Egypt,  
will discuss relating to Sudan, as outlined in your telegram under reply, still.

No. 95

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

THE Supreme Council have carefully considered the possible alternative policies which the Allies could pursue at this juncture at Constantinople.

The first of these is the suggestion contained in your telegram No. 176 of 29th February that the Allied Powers should form a bloc with the Sultan and the moderate and well-disposed Turks to resist the irreconcilable attitude of the extreme Nationalist Party. But the condition of this policy is what you have described as a comparatively lenient peace. It would appear from your definition of such a peace

For your confidential information, in no case should the Allied High Commissioners and naval and military authorities involve provisionally the Turkish Government in complete international control of the straits, the promulgation of that zone, close financial supervision of the Turkish Government to be exercised in the capital, the cession of Smyrna to Greece, subject only to Turkish suzerainty, creation of an independent Armenia, including Erzurum, the probable recognition of an independent Kurdistan.

These terms are sufficiently drastic to preclude the successful execution of the *blac* ley. If this be so, they will clearly require sooner or later to be imposed by force. The questions to be answered accordingly are these: What are the steps to be taken?



The opinion of the Supreme Council is—

- Instructions are being issued at once to the naval and military commanders to take the necessary steps for the military occupation of Constantinople. The Turkish War Office, and the British War Office, are also being kept advised by telegrams and despatches issuing therefrom. But the most important step is the actual assumption of civil administration in Constantinople, as contemplated in the concluding words of our telegram No. 183 of 2nd March.

Please consult at once with your colleagues on these points and as to any steps other than those already indicated which ought to be taken either to secure the submission of the Turk or to protect the Christian minorities in Turkish territory from further attacks.

No. 112

(No. 191)

(long white)

Constantinople, March 5, 1920

MY telegram No. 185 of 2nd March.

Alied High Commissioners met on 3rd March and again on 4th March. We are agreed in considering: (1) that events in Cilicia, however grave they may be, do not constitute a true basis on which action of Allies here should be founded in immediate future, (2) that action in Constantinople of kind contemplated by Supreme Council would not merely be irritant and not as deterrent to Turks really responsible for anarchy in Cilicia, and (3) that naval action on coast of Cilicia would be unproductive of result.

We discussed at great length general Turkish situation as it exists today and it may be expected to develop, having regard to the present situation and to formulate drastic terms of peace. We agree in anticipating violent resistance to such

Beyond this point agreement was impossible, and we had to renounce intention

[illegible]

*[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]*

... the resistance by fortifying  
... the people. We considered t

g nation against Nationalist leaders. At first

associated himself with proposal to recommend occupation of Constantinople. He

specially massacre of Christians; which French High Communist and I considered likely to ensue at later stage from announcement of drastic peace terms, and which we

wished to avoid. He refused to admit our argument that moral and material effect on the general movement of strong action taken here now would hamper the efficiency of

movement, though it would not by any means stifle it, and would reduce danger of resurgence, which, on hypothesis of drastic peace, must be incurred sooner or later.

When asked for positive suggestions, in view of obvious desire of Supreme Council for leading from High Commissioner as regards action called for, not merely by Cilician situation, but by situation in Turkey generally, Italian High Commissioner declined to do so. He said that he had already presented peace terms, even after first presenting them to Turks, sufficiently to make them adherents and some leaders of national movement. He suggested that the Allies should continue to Paria, not to negotiate, but, in appearance at least, to treat with them. Myself, however, I expressed belief that Supreme Council would not consent to treat Turkey differently from other defeated enemies, or to modify essential features of treaty once they had presented it to Turks. We recognized that if drastic peace is to be imposed, future terms with difficulties, and is fraught with possible danger for Christians in the interior, but we persisted in belief that least evil course would be to forestall Nationalists by taking strong positive action on lines indicated above, accompanied by categorical announcement that even occupation of Constantinople did not prejudice fate of city.

No. 97

*Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 7.)*

1. *Exhibit code*, March 5, 1961.

[illegible]

\_\_\_\_\_ a vote of confidence in \_\_\_\_\_

Crisis in result of increasing evidence of impossibility of satisfying both Nationalists and Allies. No single immediate cause can be assigned for it, though news from Cilia and insistence of High Commissioners on demand for surrender of equivalent of arms recently ended by Nationalists near Gallipoli doubtless produced weakening effect.

There is great difficulty in settling on new Government. Tewfik Pasha has been asked to form it, and has refused. Izzet Pasha, whose selection would mean a Government of definitely Nationalist complexion, is much spoken of. Probabilities (on March) are, however, that choice will fall on some inconspicuous person considered "safe" in France, like Salih Pasha, hitherto Minister of Marine.

No. 94

Consul General Wratzlaw to Earl Curzon. (Received March 8)

361.

Received February 18, 1920

11447 1. To enclose herewith the copy of a telegram sent by the Committee of the Syrian Reform Party in Beirut to the President of the Peace Conference in Paris, protesting against any idea of ejecting the Turkish State, as representing the Caliphate, from Constantinople, and stating that the Committee would consider any attack on the integrity of really Turkish territory as an aggression against Islam itself.

This document reached me by post to-day, enclosed in a covering letter, in which the President of the Committee begged me to communicate it to His Majesty's Government. He at the same time forwarded a photograph of the names of the persons who signed the communication. They are headed by the Cadi of Bourout, and are fully representative of the Mussulman community in the town.

I have, &c.

A. C. WRATISLAW

Enclosure in No. 98.

Telegram from Committee of Syrian Reform Party, Beirut, to the President of the Peace Congress.

LE Comité supérieur du Parti de la Reforme syrienne soumet, au nom de tous les musulmans de Beyrouth, à la présidence du Congrès de la Paix, ce qui suit :

" Nous protestons énergiquement contre toute idée tendant à faire évacuer de Constantinople l'Etat turc, qui représente le Khalfat de l'Islam, à le démembrer ou le contraindre à un régime du protectorat.

Nous considérons toute tentative faite contre l'intégrité du pays proprement turc comme une atteinte à l'Islam même.

Nous vous prions, M. le Président, de transmettre cette protestation au Congrès de la Paix et d'y soutenir nos desiderata.

Veuillez agréer, &c.

Dr. KODDOURAH President

E 1125 3 44.

N. 100

Telegram from Committee of Syrian Reform Party, Beirut, to the President of the Peace Congress. — (Received March 8.)

N. 100  
Enc.)

Constantinople, March 7, 1920

MY telegram No. 191 of 5th March

French High Commissioner's draft of identic telegram originally proposed is now before me. It has, I understand, served as basis for telegram addressed by French High Commissioner to Paris as expression of his own views. Draft sets out at length reasons, on which all High Commissioners are agreed, for considering action on lines suggested by Supreme Council neither opportune nor likely to be effective. It stresses lack of official confirmation of news of massacres in true sense of the word [Turks' massacres in Cilicia]. It further points out injustice, apart from fatality, of allowing late Ministers, and especially Grand Vizier, whose only fault was powerlessness to control Nationalists, and who has now resigned.

Draft proceeds to sum up general situation, and reiterates conviction frequently expressed by all three High Commissioners that drastic peace can only be imposed by force. It notes that, contrary to expectation, which appeared to be justified some weeks ago, Conference seems to be moving now in direction of a peace which will deprive Turks of Smyrna, Adrianople and Erzeroum, and leave Sultan in Constantinople with personal guard only. Treaty of this kind will meet with violent resistance, and High Commissioners have to envisage a situation in the near future even graver than that of to day.

Can Allies face that situation and impose their will in whole of Turkey?

Answer depends on measures which Allied Governments are prepared to take, but

If Allies cannot be strong everywhere they should at least be strong at important points, and first and most necessary steps should be to strengthen their position in Constantinople by adoption of measures more severe and more efficacious than those contemplated by Supreme Council with the limited object of bringing home to Turkish Government responsibility for massacres. Primary steps should be to convert present status of Allies here into effective occupation, carrying with it control of public departments, police, gendarmerie, telegraphs, posts, &c., not the arrest of impotent Ministers, but of Nationalist leaders and dangerous members of C. I. P. perhaps also closing of Chamber. This action would be taken on pretext of a coup d'état, which would be explained to public in proclamation. Violations of armistice and disobedience to instructions given under it, hostile attitude and attacks by Nationalists, fomentation of disorder and massacres of Christians, powerlessness of Government to enforce its authority; obligations of Allies to guarantee security of Sultan, Government, Allied forces and population generally. Proclamation would include declaration that measures taken did not prejudice future of Constantinople which still remained for Conference to settle.

Draft recognises that action suggested might have serious consequences and carry Allies very far. Formation of Government might be possible, officials might go on

and attitude of Sultan, Parliament and population generally would be uncertain. All these possible consequences have to be considered beforehand, together with means of dealing with them, military and naval reinforcements, supply of officials for essential services, expenditure.

Draft concludes by saying that, in spite of all this, it is the duty of High Commissioners to submit above suggestions and considerations with request for early instructions and by pointing out urgency of matter, as action might have to be taken at any moment, and might more easily be taken in anticipation of, rather than suddenly with, announcement of peace terms.

[E 1189 3 44]

No. 100

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received March 9.)

241

Telegraphic

Constantinople, March 8, 1920

YOUR telegram No. 187 of 5th March

French High Commissioner and I are agreed on following preliminary reply as result of exchange of views following on conversation between General Milne and myself this morning —

Three High Commissioners will meet to-morrow morning, and Military and Naval Commanders-in-chief will be requested to make necessary preparations.

M. de Franer and I desire to observe straight away that telegram under reference crossed those sent by us to our Governments on 5th March, in which we set out measures required in our opinion for effective action, possible consequences of such measures, necessity which may confront us of taking over administration of city and reasons for which Italian High Commissioner considered himself unable to join with.

Military dispositions required will take only a few days. We therefore beg our Commanders-in-chief to make arrangements up to date, whether Supreme Council maintains its instructions or whether we are to await further instructions.

General Milne's instructions from the War Office are such as will enable him to proceed with preparations and actual occupation on being requested to do so by High Commissioners.

In view of great secrecy hitherto observed (very desirable in my opinion) it is our immediate intention of Allies to make public the nature and extent of our action in events here.

E 1093 3 44)

No. 101

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 197)

(Telegraphic) D

Foreign Office, March 9, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 191 of 5th March.

We are in receipt of your telegram of 7th March, and in the meantime we presume that you have already acted upon instructions contained in our telegram No. 187. Please telegraph at once what steps have been taken.



E 1273 58 44]

No. 102

Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 10.)

(No. 226.)

(Telegraphic.)

Curzon, March 9, 1920

YOUR telegram No. 196 of 6th March.

After consultation with Lord Milner, I have sent Keown-Bord to Khartoum with a view to prepare, in consultation with Governor-General, concrete proposals to carry out policy indicated in my telegram No. 112 of 10th February.

Immediately on his return proposals will be submitted to you, and will no doubt be discussed with Lord Milner.

Meanwhile, it appears desirable that in Turkish Treaty a way should be left open to negotiation of proposed settlement if approved.

(Repeated to Khartoum.)

E 1286 3 44]

No. 10

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 10.)

(No. 1.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, March 10, 1920

MY telegram No. 204 of 8th March.

I have previously seen General Milne.

In view of publicity already given to decision to occupy Constantinople he was of opinion still more that all idea of sudden coup must be abandoned, and that occupation had better be preceded by invitation to Grand Vizier and Minister of War to wait on High Commissioners and Commanders-in-chief some hours before occupation. They would be informed at this interview decision of Supreme Council regarding occupation and invitation to execute its execution in orderly fashion. I communicated this opinion to my colleagues. We discussed whole matter in all its bearings, but the most questionable were left for final settlement until after meeting of naval and military commanders which General Milne had proposed to hold on 10th March.

It was decided on principle to proceed with all arrangements for about six months occupation. I undertook to draft instructions in general terms to be issued by the three High Commissioners to Commanders-in-chief. Italian colleague made reservation that it would be his actual signature until he received instructions from his Government whom he had nothing so far.

As regards date of occupation, I told colleagues that General Milne was in favour of the 13th March. They were inclined to think this somewhat too soon. Both would like to have quite definite instructions from their Government, and I think that French colleague is reluctant to commit himself too far pending arrival of General Fianc who might, he thought, arrive on 11th March.

General Milne and I are anxious to carry matter through as soon as possible. I to get colleagues into line after meeting of Allied, naval and military commanders. Meanwhile High Commissioners agreed with French High Commissioners to address identical telegram to our Governments regarding possible consequences of imposing peace terms now contemplated.

gram immediately following

E 1297 3 44]

No. 104

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 10.)

(No. 1.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, March 10, 1920

IDENTIC telegram (English translation).

French, British and Italian High Commissions have now been apprised by telegram London 6th March, containing instructions of Supreme Council regarding occupation of Constantinople, of conditions of future Treaty of Peace with Turkey, Smyrna and all Thrace, including Adrianople and shores of Sea of Marmora, to go to Greece, Erzeroum to Armenia, &c.

High Commissioners will naturally do all in their power to carry out instructions which may be given them and to enforce decisions of Supreme Council, whatever they

be, but they consider it their duty to express once more their sustained opinion consequences of presenting treaty of such severity. These consequences may be as follows—

1. Refusal to sign treaty, or if it is signed to ratify, or if it is ratified to

the whole of Turkish elements, abdication or deposition of Sultan, flight of Parliament to Anatolia, accession of new Sultan and creation of new Government in Asia, widespread massacres of Christians in Thrace, &c.

Possibility for future action in Asia between Turks and Arabs and

E 1189 3 44

No. 105

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 1.)

(1)

Foreign Office, March 10, 1920

MY telegram No. 204 of the 8th March.

High Commissioner in your telegram No. 204, with which it is understood that you agree to dissolving the Turkish Parliament or to issuing a general proclamation. They will be the first steps to be taken in my telegram No. 204 should I be if they have not already been, carried out at once.

that the Allied Powers should occupy the posts and order to prevent the issue of secret communications, and that they should also assume complete control of the ports.

The arrest of dangerous Nationalist leaders would be in accord with policy previously pursued.

You have authority to act in all these.

You will doubtless advise, in consultation with naval authorities, whether any steps require to be taken for the protection of Christian population in Turkey.

E 1328 3 44

No. 106

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 11)

(No. 1.)

(1)

With reference to my despatch No. 107 of the 24th January regarding the resignation of Djemal Pasha, the Ottoman Minister of War, and Djavad Pasha, the copy of a report dated the 28th January, which I have received from the military authorities, relative to the present position of the Cabinet.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK

High Commissioner

Enclosure in No. 104

Military Attaché to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck

THE following copy of a report received from the Control Officer, Me-la, dated the 26th January, is forwarded for your information—

'My agent has had a talk with the Sheikh-ul-Islam, and reports—

'The Sheikh-ul-Islam was very much pre-occupied, and at once brought the conversation on the resignation of the Minister of War. He stated that the British

[4376]

2 (1)





pays all the refugees from the camp at Hacılar Pasha would be more suitably housed on the European side of the Bosphorus. The number of permanent Armenian refugees at Constantinople was from 2,000 to 2,500.

Colonel Graves asked what help was now given by the Turkish Government, and Dr Tavitian informed him that they no longer gave anything, either money or aid.

### 3. Intermixed Women and Children

If Tchakirian had seen General Fuller about the new arrangement for setting aside some members of the Inter-Allied police force exclusively for duties under this head, the Inter-Allied police would in future hand over the child direct to the bearer of a den and note for conveyance to the Neutral house, thus eliminating the Turkish police as an intermediary.

### 4. Restoration of Property

Dr Tchakirian said that the Armenian Patriarchate were making a study of the new Restoration Law, beside the new law, the project of Commander Heathcote-Smith, formerly of the High Commission and that of the Armenian Patriarchate would be placed in parallel columns.

Dr Theotokas said he had studied the law. The conclusion was that it was useless. Three principles had originally been considered essential. Firstly, that all properties usurped should be restored without exception. Secondly, that usurpers refusing to abide by the stipulations of the law should be punished. Thirdly, that disputes should be settled before special commissions, *ad hoc*, and not by the Turkish courts. The above three principles had been in the main accepted by the Turco-Armenian Commission formed several months previously to draft a law.

The new law was most unsatisfactory. It only dealt with properties of those actually deported, whereas many not deported suffered gravely. It made an indefensible and ludicrous distinction between movable and immovable property. As regards the latter, the community concerned does not (according to the new law) get their properties where all heirs to them are dead, they are lost.

Colonel Graves pointed out that this was the exact contrary of what the Armenian High Commissioner had demanded of the Turkish Government, it upheld the "Envali."

As regards property all heirs to which were dead, Dr Theotokas said that the Government, according to the new law, was to restore what usurped Christian property it held. As regards private individuals, there was the same obligation. If they were requested to apply to the Turkish Government which would pay through the Ministry of Finance.

obtaining satisfaction which such claims would involve, and stated that the withdrawal of the whole law was essential.

Colonel Graves agreed, adding that the repeal of the "Envali Metroukeh" Law was also most necessary.

(Meeting ended at 12.40 p.m. Next meeting, Wednesday, 11th February.)

E 1310 1340 44]

No. 108

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. (Received March 11.)

(No. 203.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, February 9, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith, for your Lordship's information, a copy of the 27th January and of a report of the same date from Lieutenant G. Whittall, a relief officer attached to the High Commission.

J. M. DE ROBECK

High Commissioner

Enclosure 1 in No. 108.

Lieutenant Whittall to Vice Admiral Sir J. de Robeck.

Chanak, January 27 1920.

The POLITICAL situation aggravated. First-hand information states that on 23rd January approximately thirty armed Turks. In that vicinity on 23rd January several sailing vessels were held up at Pergas pier, the skippers were taken captive both French and Turkish. Later reports today state that armed men have taken captive both French and Turkish guards at Ak Bush Liman and stolen small arms and ammunition in some sailing vessels, said to be those held up at Pergas. Ezine reports also disquietude of Governor recently visited Ezine and Pergas. Writing

Enclosure 2 in No. 108.

### Report on the Political Situation in Dardanelles and Gallipoli Districts

On 23rd January a cyphered telegram of this day, as per copy herewith, the latter stated that Ezine and Pergas, but should be interpreted "visited Ezine and Pergas," which I trust you will have understood.

As stated in the above mentioned telegram, the political situation here has been aggravated by the appearance of armed bands of Turks who are undoubtedly backed up by some influential party and are committing lawless acts without end.

On the 23rd January several sailing vessels were held up at Pergas pier and the crews were taken prisoners by armed men who have taken up their quarters in the café on the beach and stop every passing sailing-ship which happens to drop anchor off the pier.

Some of these captains were made to give up all the money they had on their persons, and most of them were not allowed to go back to their ships where the remainder of the crews were to be found.

I, a Greek captain would have shared the same fate, had they not had the presence of mind to say that they would be returning to the café to get an answer to a letter of introduction they were given for a merchant at Pergas, and which they forwarded to that village in the presence of five of the armed bandits. The latter thinking that they were sure of their prey, allowed these two captains to return to their ships, but never saw them again notwithstanding that they called out to them to land and fired over 200 rounds at the sailing-vessels with their rifles. The names of the two Greeks are Stamatis Anprokts and Paraskevts Loundanos of the sailing-vessels "Aghios Ioannis" and "Evangelistria" respectively. By lying flat in the ballast they managed to escape, and after dark hove up and came to Chanak to report.

Stratis Christodoulos came to me to report as follows:

At Kangirli, near Pergas, he was going along the road which leads to Lianaki with three of his companions, who were walking ahead of him, when they were met by about thirty armed men and after being blindfolded were taken to a village where they had already gathered all the Christians who were working in that neighbourhood. They numbered fifty-two in all. My informant beat the men by some Turkish women who knew the village and came out some hours after sunset, when it was dark. They searched the village and searched it for Christians, but did not find Stratis Christodoulos, whom they fired on when he was leaving the village. Over 200 rounds were fired at him, but he escaped unhurt and walked to Chanak.

This incident took place on the 26th January at about 16.30 hours.

To-day, another captain has reached Chanak from Ak Bush Liman, which is the port of Yalova, and reports that the Turkish and French guards, who were there to keep watch over the ammunition depots, were attacked by armed men who also had sailing vessels on the beach and taken prisoners. The Chetes then broke open the ammunition depots, from which they extracted a lot of ammunition and rifles, &c. From all accounts about 400 machine guns fell into their hands, 8,000 rifles and 2,000,000 rounds of ammunition. One of the French guards was killed, I understand.

This must have taken place during the night of the 26th January, and the lighters used must have been those of Pergaz.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Ezine Tazepologlou Riza, a renowned C.U.P. member, has been asked to turn out of that place and asked them to assist them financially and with arms. The Ezine notables refused on the plea that if these national defence men wished to fight the bad characters in the neighbourhood they should apply to the Government. As long as there was a Government the Ezine inhabitants could only give assistance to the Government and to the army.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

At Chanak this morning the Turkish police discovered in the ruins of the burnt part of the town a big bomb which had been dropped there recently. Who placed it

is not known. The Mutesarrif has quite recently been on a visit to Pergaz and Ezine in both of which places trouble is in preparation.

sent a note to the French commander. A time limit had been given for the reply. The contents of the note are not stated but are doubtless those referred to in report No. HA.471.

The Vali further stated that according to an unconfirmed report the inhabitants of the districts round Marash had assembled at Aintab and proposed to march on the former town to save their brethren at all costs. He feared that the movement would spread to Aintab and Killis.

2. The Vali of Sivas telegraphed to the Ministry of the Interior on the 27th January

that it has become impossible to allay the excitement which I described in my letter of the 26th. Letters are continually arriving from the districts of Marash and Aintab, and demanding that the Central Government should take steps to draw to them the attention of the Moslem world. There are signs that it will be difficult to safeguard the lives of the non-Moslems, and I fear that it will be impossible to protect them if a repetition of the Marash incident is not prevented. I will send you a daily report of the situation.

A telegram received from Sivas on the 31st January reports that at Adana the inhabitants have been published by the Nationalist Committee of that town, and that the French have ordered the Greeks in atrocious and have set fire to the town of Marash and the neighbouring villages. Moslems are invited to come to the defence of their country. The telegram states that if this movement is not put a stop to it will certainly spread right through Anatolia.

4. T. 264 reports that telegrams have arrived at the Grand Vizier's office from Fabric, Kani Shehar, Angora and Castamouni protesting against the policy of destruction which the Armenians are pursuing towards Moslems in the districts in which they form a majority, and demanding the interference of the Islamic world. Frenchmen have been sent to work up the Kurdish and Arab tribes round Aintab and Killis.

The Committee of National Defence is discussing the advisability of making representations to the Grand Vizier with a view to action on the part of the Government and on that of the Caliph.

The advisability is being discussed of a meeting at Constantinople to protest against the situation at Marash and Aintab.

The opinion is held by the Sublime Porte that the French will experience difficulty in extricating themselves from the situation at Marash. It is thought that they have considerable forces at Adana, but it is thought that the movement of troops to Marash or elsewhere. It is considered that an outbreak at Marash will spread to all occupied territory, and that the French will be obliged to yield to Moslem wishes and withdraw.

6. Following from T. 264

According to information obtained by the Ministry of War, the destruction of some villages on the Ialshie hills by the French set on foot a considerable rising among the local population. The village of Ialshie was defended by the inhabitants, who captured arms, ammunition and mules belonging to the French and destroyed two guns. The French resumed the attack after having been reinforced. The Nationalist forces subsequently came to the aid of the inhabitants and severe fighting ensued, in the course of which seventy French soldiers were killed and a quantity of material was captured. The opinion is held at the Ministry that the movement is likely to spread.

It is also reported that the Armenians of Zeitoun have commenced to deport the inhabitants of the neighbouring Moslem villages and have captured certain local officials. The French have armed some 800 Armenians at Marash.

A telegram of protest against the incidents at Marash and Aintab has been received from the Officer Commanding XXth Army Corps, who states that they have produced a most unfortunate impression on the population.

Constantinople, February 3, 1920.



Situation in Syria.

HA 44/100

10/18 reports that the following telegram has been received by the Ministry of War -

Constantinople, January 27, 1920.

"The movement against the troops of occupation in Syria continues. The Arabs in the desert have risen. Ibn Saoud, Sheikh Yahia, Ibn Reshid, and the other tribes which acknowledge their rule, will shortly constitute a force of 200,000 men. They have sworn not to lay down their arms as long as any foreign forces remain in Syria and on the littoral. Ibn Reshid with a force of 50,000 men is marching on Damascus. From the neighbourhood of Maan to the north of Aleppo, including the Hauran district, the Government is enclosed in a narrow zone along the railway. The Sherifian Government of the Hejaz is in touch with the above forces between Maan and Tibouk. The French, who have occupied the whole littoral from the south of Sour, are daily moving into the interior. The Arab Government is preparing to concentrate all its forces to oblige the French to evacuate the littoral, the towns and villages of which, encouraged by this support, will rise against the French. The Druses are all with the Arabs."

LEADY

"Commanding XIIIth Army Corps."

Constantinople, February 3, 1920.

E 1354/3 44)

No. 110.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.-(Received March 11)

(No. 226.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, February 13, 1920

I HAVE not had occasion since the date of my despatch No. 1836 of the 10th October last to submit to your Lordship any complete review of the course of political events in this country, though I have in numerous despatches and telegrams drawn attention to the important features of the situation. The opportunity afforded by the changes in the Turkish Government, reported in my telegram No. 110 of the 10th February, to present a somewhat more connected view

2. The point which I sought to emphasize in my despatch No. 1836 was that

that this did not necessarily mean that the leaders of that movement, however hostile to the Allies, would at once join issue with them. The event justified this view. The new Cabinet exerted itself to reassure the High Commissioners, and in its efforts to avoid a critical situation here, were forced into an attitude of opposition to the leaders of the national movement over a good many questions of detail. The result was that, after a couple of months, the Cabinet found itself divided into two groups of moderates and extremists. The former, headed by the Grand Vizier, endeavoured to hold the nationalists more or less in check, while the extremists, headed by the Minister of War, worked steadily, though as unostentatiously as possible, on nationalist lines.

3. Notwithstanding this polarization the Cabinet preserved an appearance of solidarity. No success attended the efforts of the adversaries of the nationalists to oust it from power and to reinstate Ferid Pasha. The counter-movement organized with their approval in the region between Broussa and Balikesir, under the leadership of Ahmed Anzavur, with the object of opposing the nationalist movement by force in the interior, dwindled to nothing after a few preliminary local successes. The nationalists moved their headquarters from Sivas to railhead at Angora, and improved their communications with Constantinople. For the rest they were content to bide their time. The military side of their movement had even the appearance of decline, but they improved their propaganda work at home and abroad.

4. The next definite development in Constantinople was the opening of Parliament on the 13th January. In my despatch No. 13 of the 3rd January I explained the

significance, in my opinion, of a Turkish Parliament at the present juncture. The

1. difficulty of obtaining a quorum was overcome by the simple device of inviting one of the deputies from places in which elections have been held. This having been done the Chamber proceeded to elect as its President on 31st January

his last period, coinciding roughly with the month of January, was marked by

All the best known leaders of the national movement, with the exception of Mustafa Kemal himself, came to the capital. Bekir Sami was followed by Reouf, Reouf by the renegade Bulinski, alias Ahmed Rustem. A new note was struck in certain public utterances, notably at meetings held at the University, a hotbed of chauvinism, on two

is president of the Hour Apparent, Suleiman Naaf Bey,

war, while another orator confessed

ed in our day in gratifying results.

on of America

this event as a fruit of Turkey's moral victory in the war and

brother Moslem State in crushing the head of a reptile entente.

6. During the week which followed the election of Reshid Hikmet Bey to the presidency of the Chamber the Government was in negotiation with the nationalist leaders regarding the reception to be accorded to it on its first meeting with the new Chamber. For several days it presented the appearance of a person stripped for bathing, but deterred from the plunge by the obvious coldness of the water and the

the 7th February, a congestion was arrived at, and the Grand Vizier was assured of the support of a bloc in the Chamber on occasion of parting company with the

Minister of the Interior, Justice and Foreign Affairs. The reconstructed Cabinet

passed by a majority of 104 to 2.

7. I enclose a French translation of the Ministerial statement rewarded with no unanimous an expression of approval. It is a repository of old clichés and new grievances. If it contains anything remarkable at all it is that the Government still have the courage to proclaim the need for foreign assistance in the reform of Turkish administration.

The

Vizier. In choosing

either the Sultan or

dependence by refusing

opening of Parliament, and might have embarrassed them by refusing

to accept a Grand Vizier of their choice at a moment when they were not themselves

prepared to join open issue with him. The Allies had given proof of their quality by compelling the retirement of the Minister of War and the Chief of Staff, an incident to which I have not adverted in the above survey, but which happened at an important

when the nationalists from the interior were adapting themselves to the new atmosphere of Constantinople

9 The new Minister for Foreign Affairs is Sefik Bey, formerly Minister at Bucharest and Sofia, and acting Minister for Foreign Affairs during Ferid Pasha's absence at the Peace Conference. He is a person of secondary importance and purely official antecedents. His personal sentiments are nationalist and he can be relied on to do the bidding of his nominators.

10. Hazine Bey, Governor-General of Broussa, replaces Damad Sherif Pasha as

\* Not printed.

Minister of the Interior, Sherif Pasha, has retired in any case owing to the sudden death of his wife, an Imperial Princess, to whom he was attached. At the main reason for his elimination appears to have been that he was too conservative and too much imbued with an old-fashioned palace official spirit for the nationalists. His successor held high administrative posts in the provinces. He endeared himself to the nationalists some months ago by flouting in an insubordinate telegram the then Minister of the Interior. He is, I believe, a rather pretentious person, with a good knowledge of French and a tincture of European culture.

11. The departure of the Minister of Justice was unexpected, and is instructive. One of the recent causes of quarrel between the extreme nationalists and Ali Riza Pasha's Government was the publication of the reform scheme of the 6th January, a copy of which I sent home in my despatch No. 62 of the 12th January. The nationalists criticised the judicial reform proposals on the ground that they tended to promise Turkish independence, and maintained that no further steps should be taken without their approval. This attitude towards a scheme, which certainly did not err on the side of giving away too much to foreign controllers, is symptomatic of the whole spirit of the nationalists. The late Minister of Justice was to all appearances rather a crusty old gentleman, whose one preoccupation seemed to be not to acquiesce in any encroachment on Turkish sovereignty even during the Armistice. He seems, nevertheless, to have been made a scapegoat for the reform proposals. He is succeeded by Kiam Bey, chief public prosecutor, a person of exclusively nationalist antecedents, and little known outside the Ministry of Justice, but devoted to the nationalists.

12. Shortly before these changes Ismail Djemany Bey, Minister of State for Foreign Affairs, resigned, and was replaced by H. Pasha, of the same general type as Sefik Bey, though fatter and more robust. The Minister of Agriculture, Hudi Pasha, has also resigned, independently of the three principal Ministers. The department is to be carried on provisionally by Abdurrahman Sherif Bey, President of the Council of State, one of the oldest and most respectable of the Union and Progress Party.

13. These events would merely constitute another scene in the Turkish political drama were it not that they form the second stage in the complete control by the nationalists over the administration of this Empire. It suits that party to cloak their designs and to effect a certain degree of confusion here in Constantinople. There has, however, been no interruption of the process described in my despatch No. 1836 of the 10th October. It was inevitable that sooner or later the nationalist movement should come into collision with the Allies. The conflict was definitely engaged when it became necessary to remove the late Minister of War and the Chief of General Staff. That was the first round in the struggle, and victory lay with my colleagues and me. It is not, however, to be supposed that each succeeding stage will be attended by the concurrence of my French colleague in thinking that if the Allies will not make a drastic peace on Turkey they will have to impose it by force on the nationalist movement.

14. I need not labour this point further, because I have in my telegram No. 109 of the 10th October, and in my despatch No. 1836 of the 10th October, set out the situation which exists to-day viewed in more general aspects than those treated in this despatch.

I have, &c.  
J. M. DE ROBECK,  
High Commissioner

[E 1357/3.44.]

No. 111.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 11)

(N. 1357/3.44.)  
M. 1357/3.44.

Constantinople, February 14, 1920

I HAVE the honour to submit to your Lordship the following information, received from a very secret source and based upon the report of a Moslem agent, considered trustworthy, who visited the headquarters of the Nationalist organisation at Angora about the middle of last month.

2. This agent states that Reuf Bey, in the course of conversation, informed him that a large quantity of arms and ammunition had been collected and stored, and that up till that time over 25,000 rifles had been distributed among the villagers of Anatolia.

3. Reuf Bey is reported to have also stated that the Bolsheviks had proffered monetary assistance for the Nationalist movement, but that this had been declined with thanks, as the Nationalists were unwilling to sell their principles.

4. As regards communication with other Moslem communities, the agent was informed by Reuf Bey that a deputation of adherents of the notorious Yasin Pasha had been received, with the object of enlisting the assistance of the Nationalist forces in driving the French out of Syria. On the other hand, Reuf Bey is reported to have stated that no direct communication had been established with either India or Afghanistan, although missionaries had been despatched to both countries. It appears from other sources that news had been received of their safe arrival at the Indian frontier, but it would seem that they have not as yet been able to report any definite results of their mission.

I have, &c.  
J. M. DE ROBECK,  
High Commissioner

[E 1358/3.44.]

No. 112.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 11)

(No 235.)

Constantinople, February 17, 1920

My Lord,

WITH reference to previous correspondence relative to the recent incidents in the Marash area, I have the honour to transmit herewith a copy of a note and enclosures communicated to me by my French colleague.

Having observed a tendency on the Turkish side to attempt to play the British off against the French in this business, I sent Mr. Ryan with a message to the Grand Vizier on the 10th February. In this message, which was delivered with the enclosures of my French colleague, I said that I had been apprised of the terms of the French representations to the Porte regarding the Marash incidents, and that I wished it to be known that I was entirely in agreement with the French point of view. The decision of British by French troops in Syria and Cilicia was the result of the decision of the Peace Conference. The announcement of that decision had been the signal for an organised agitation on the part of the so-called Nationalists. Mustafa Kemal himself had issued a manifesto couched in terms of violent menace. While I had no independent information, and while I deplored, as much as the Grand Vizier himself, the situation which evidently now existed, I could only suppose that the French troops charged with the execution of the decision of the Conference had been attacked in fulfilment of Mustafa Kemal's threat. The Turks accused the French of brutal and provocative conduct, but the French had no interest in outraging the local population, unless they were compelled to take drastic action in self defence.

3. Mr. Ryan pointed out that the French contention that formations of the regular army were implicated in the attack on the French was borne out by what we ourselves knew of the connection between the regular army and the national forces in General Milne's area. He also reminded the Grand Vizier that one of the first acts of the High Commissioner's, after his advent to power, had been to warn his Highness that the national forces could only be regarded henceforward as part of the forces of the Turkish Government.

4. The Grand Vizier, who was very depressed, refused to admit that the French had been the object of attack, or that Turkish regulars were implicated in the activities of the Nationalists. He took note of my declaration of sympathy with the French, but, speaking personally, he accused them bitterly of having fomented the National movement.

5. Mr. Ryan delivered a similar message to the new Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs in the absence of the Minister, who was indisposed.

I have, &c.  
J. M. DE ROBECK,  
High Commissioner



## Enclosure 1 in No. 112

Réchid Pasha to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck

Sublime Porte, le 2 février 1920.

A LA suite des graves événements qui se déroulent malheureusement dans certaines parties de l'Empire se trouvant sous l'occupation française, la Sublime Porte croit devoir faire parvenir ci-joint, à titre d'information, au Haut-Commissariat de Sa Majesté britannique, copie des différentes notes et mémoires adressés à ce sujet au Haut-Commissariat de France.

Le Ministre des Affaires Étrangères averti, &amp;c.

M. RECHID

Réchid Pasha to M. De France

M. le Haut-Commissaire,

Sublime Porte, le 25 novembre 1919.

JE me permets d'attirer la très sérieuse attention de votre Excellence sur le fait que les troupes arméniennes nées de la région. La haine de race qui anime ces hommes fait de cette situation et des multiples incidents regrettables auxquels la présence de troupes arméniennes dans les régions sont en proie à une vive agitation et craignent qu'elles ne soient en butte aux mêmes violences.

Aussi, la Sublime Porte espère-t-elle que votre Excellence, dans sa haute appréciation de la situation présente, voudra bien user de son influence pour mettre fin à un état de choses qui est créé par l'hostilité d'un élément n'ayant aucun rapport avec la noble nation française, mais qui n'en est pas moins de nature à porter préjudice à l'amitié séculaire de la nation turque envers elle.

Veuillez agréer, &amp;c.

M. RECHID

## Enclosure 3 in No. 112

Aide-memoire communicated to French High Commissioner

1228 soldats arméniens qui se trouvent en grand nombre parmi les troupes occupant la population musulmane. La sentinelle qui montait la garde devant la résidence officielle du gouverneur local a été attaquée. Plusieurs agents de police et des habitants musulmans ont été insultés et battus. Des femmes musulmanes ont été forcées de découvrir leur visage. Le nommé Tchakmakdjil Soud et un laïque ont été battus et blessés. Un musulman a été assassiné aux environs de la ville.

A la suite de ces graves incidents, les habitants ont été obligés de fermer leurs magasins et bureaux.

Un officier français s'est présenté le vendredi, 3 octobre, à la gendarmerie et a demandé à ce que les drapeaux ottomans qui flottaient sur ladite résidence officielle du gouverneur et sur la forteresse fussent enlevés.

Le commandant de gendarmerie a été forcé d'obtempérer à cette demande faite avec insistance.

Sublime Porte, le 30 novembre 1919

## Enclosure 4 in No. 112

Réchid Pasha to M. De France

M. le Haut-Commissaire,

Sublime Porte, le 23 décembre 1919.

A LA suite de l'occupation par des troupes françaises de Marach, Killis, Aintab et Ourfa, votre Excellence avait bien voulu me déclarer, sur les instructions qui lui étaient parvenues de mon haut Gouvernement à ce sujet, qu'il ne s'agissait que de la relève

des troupes britanniques qui occupaient ces régions et de leur remplacement par des troupes françaises et que cette mesure purement militaire n'impliquait ni n'entraînerait aucun changement dans l'administration des localités laissées à l'occupation française.

D'autre part, la proclamation publiée par M. le Colonel Félix Sainte-Marie, conjointement avec le général commandant des troupes françaises d'occupation, et que la tâche incombant aux troupes françaises était identique à celle des troupes britanniques et que la situation administrative ne subirait aucune modification.

Or, d'après les informations, tant officielles que privées, qui sont parvenues à la Sublime Porte, de Marach, Killis et Ourfa, l'attitude adoptée par les commandants des troupes françaises qui y sont arrivés ne s'harmonise ni avec les assurances précédentes de votre Excellence dont la Sublime Porte avait pris acte, ni avec la teneur expresse de ladite proclamation qui avait rassuré en quelque sorte la population.

En outre, les autorités militaires françaises interviennent aussi dans les affaires relevant de l'administration civile à laquelle on désire manifestement donner la forme actuelle de l'administration dans le vilayet d'Adana. En outre, tandis que l'occupation d'être occupée par les troupes françaises. Je demande la permission de votre Excellence pour signaler à son attention éclairée, quelques-uns des faits qui se sont produits dans les régions occupées.

Le général français, arrivé à Killis le 1<sup>er</sup> décembre, a prévenu le commandant que les troupes françaises occuperaient la ville de Killis, les troupes ottomanes de Marach et d'Aintab, que la gendarmerie relèverait exclusivement des autorités militaires occupantes et qu'un officier serait désigné pour commander la ville.

A Ourfa et à Marach, deux officiers français ont été en effet investis de la qualité de commandants. L'officier qui remplit ces fonctions à Ourfa a déclaré aux autorités ottomanes que les troupes françaises occuperaient la ville d'Ourfa et que les troupes ottomanes seraient évacuées.

Le commandant de la gendarmerie locale a été éloigné de son poste, de même que l'officier dirigeant le bureau de recrutement militaire.

Leurs appointements avaient augmenté et que, dans tous les cas, ils devraient avoir recours aux autorités occupantes.

A Marach aussi, le mutawarir a d'abord été éloigné de son poste et les drapeaux ottomans qui flottaient sur la résidence officielle du gouverneur et sur la forteresse ont été enlevés.

Un français arrivé dans cette ville a demandé l'affectation d'un local dans la résidence du gouverneur pour établir son bureau.

D'un autre côté, les Arméniens, qui se trouvent en grand nombre parmi les troupes d'occupation, ont pris une attitude nettement agressive envers la population musulmane. L'aide-memoire remis au Haut-Commissariat de la République en date du 30 novembre dernier renferme des détails sur l'incident de drapage et sur les événements qui ont suivi l'entrée des soldats de nationalité arménienne dans la ville de Marach.

Je remets ci-joint à votre Excellence la traduction d'une proclamation publiée à Killis et qui établit avec quelle dureté est traitée la population, malgré que leur pays ait été occupé pacifiquement.

Le Gouvernement ottoman ne saurait mettre en doute la sincérité des assurances et déclarations officielles citées plus haut; aussi pense-t-il que les faits relatés ci-dessus ne pourraient être attribués qu'à l'insuffisance ou l'imprudence des instructions données aux autorités militaires françaises subalternes chargées de remplacer les troupes britanniques par des contingents français. Il est convaincu que, si lesdites autorités étaient mieux éclairées sur le caractère et la portée de leur mission et mieux d'instructions en conséquence, des frictions entre elles et les autorités impériales seraient empêchées de se produire et des incidents regrettables seraient évités.

En laissant ce qui précède à l'appréciation éclairée de votre Excellence, je la prie de vouloir bien agréer, &c.

anormale créée par l'occupation française dans les régions dont il s'agit.

En laissant ce qui précède à l'appréciation éclairée de votre Excellence, je la prie de vouloir bien agréer, &c.

anormale créée par l'occupation française dans les régions dont il s'agit.

En laissant ce qui précède à l'appréciation éclairée de votre Excellence, je la prie de vouloir bien agréer, &c.

anormale créée par l'occupation française dans les régions dont il s'agit.

Encluse 5 in No. 112

Rechid Pasha to M. Deffran.

APRÈS le départ d'Aintab du général britannique et des troupes qu'il commandait, le colonel a déclaré que les appointements des employés qui montent de la ville. Le colonel a déclaré que les appointements des employés qui montent de la ville.

La population, déjà fortement affectée de la mort de son chef, a vu venir en aide aux pauvres. Les fonctionnaires étant fixés par le budget de l'Etat, cette promesse n'a pu être exécutée par lesdites autorités.

Sublime Porte, le 4 janvier 1920.

Encluse 6 in No. 112

Rechid Pasha to M. Deffran.

LA Sublime Porte est informée qu'une colonne de 300 hommes, 3 canons et 5 mitrailleuses, ayant traversé plusieurs villages entre Marach et Islahié et tué quelques habitants. Malgré les protestations de la population, les mauvais traitements contre les musulmans continueraient.

La population, déjà fortement affectée de la mort de son chef, a vu venir en aide aux pauvres. Les fonctionnaires étant fixés par le budget de l'Etat, cette promesse n'a pu être exécutée par lesdites autorités.

La Sublime Porte aime à espérer que le Haut-Commissariat de la République voudra bien intervenir d'une façon pressante pour que des mesures de nature à apaiser l'inquiétude populaire soient prises et que le voyage du gouverneur de Marach soit rendu possible au plus tôt.

Sublime Porte, le 24 janvier 1920.

Encluse 7 in No. 112

Memorandum communicated to French High Commissioner

PAR ses différentes notes, la Sublime Porte n'avait cessé, depuis l'occupation de certains points d'Adana par les contingents français, d'attirer l'attention du Haut-Commissariat de la République française, tant sur l'immixtion des autorités militaires que sur le gros de l'effectif de la force armée étant recruté parmi l'élément

Les mesures d'une sévérité exceptionnelle dictées par les forces d'occupation et la présence dans le pays de l'élément arabe sous l'uniforme français contribuent beaucoup à blesser profondément le

Un télégramme d'Aintab informe que le colonel français commandant la zone de Kilis a fait savoir qu'il agit directement en tant que police et la gendarmerie du "Lava".

Le commandant du bataillon français se trouvant à Kilis, M. le Commandant Jettomier, a fait au sous-gouverneur une communication écrite de la teneur

Au cas où des troubles se produiraient dans le pays, le commandant des troupes françaises prendrait les mesures suivantes :

1. Comme les rues seront balayées par le feu des mitrailleuses, par des grenades et des obus à gaz, tout le monde devra rester dans les maisons.
2. Toute maison de laquelle partirait un coup de feu sera entièrement brûlée.
3. Les droits et les pouvoirs des fonctionnaires turcs seront provisoirement suspendus et passeront au commandant militaire. Un conseil de guerre sévère sera alors formé qui aura le pouvoir de vie et de mort sur toute la population.

Tous ceux qui seront trouvés en possession d'armes seront fusillés sans jugement. Pour chaque soldat français tué ou blessé pendant les troubles deux personnes désignées par le sort seront fusillées.

De pareilles proclamations ne sont pas faites pour créer une atmosphère de quiétude et dissiper la méfiance que provoque la présence en grand nombre de l'élément

Les autorités de Marach, en outre de la présence de 3.000 Arméniens qui, aussitôt entrés dans la ville, se mirent à déclarer les voies des femmes musulmanes dans les rues.

Les incidents sanglants, musulmans et Arméniens par un homme français, ont été mentionnés. En outre, au moment où on procédait au désarmement de tous les musulmans, des armes étaient distribuées à la population arabe sous de Zoltoun et d'Arach à Kilis en particulier les musulmans dont les armes ont été distribuées furent

Tous les soldats portant l'uniforme français ont tiré sur le

mosquée

Le Département des Affaires Étrangères avait déjà agité par ses communications que les autorités militaires de la population n'étaient pas conformes avec l'esprit et le ton de l'Accord d'Armistice.

Le Général Kéris (?) arrivant à Aintab, le 9 décembre, a déclaré à des visiteurs, qui étaient venus le voir, que les Français n'étaient pas venus en conquérants, mais en vaincus.

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

La Majesté Impériale le Sultan, la République française étend sa protection sur la Syrie, la Cilicie et les pays du Levant.

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les

Le général adressa à la population d'Aintab, d'Arach et de Marach, il déclara que tous les



Ainsi, confiant dans les traditions séculaires de la République, le Gouvernement français a tenu à ce que son représentant à Constantinople, la protestation qui forme l'objet de cette note sera prise en bonne et due considération.

Enclosure 8 in No. 112.

portaient l'uniforme français et la moustache et la nervosité qui en résultait même la population. Les autres enseignements parvenus au Gouvernement impérial ne font malheureusement que confirmer cette vive lumière sur les événements qui ont joué le rôle de pacificateur.

Le rélat arménien en Cilicie, Kavad Rend-yham, et Bedrou Zareghian au nom du Djedjeh de Sakis Aghatche, Pora. Les pères-mères les avaient auxquelles on livrait à feu et à sang la pays.

En effet, dans la première

" A Darbokin, dans la localité dite Zeit, quatre comités arabeaux  
 fonctionnent. Nous avons pu y inaugurer l'embranchement de notre Association  
 centrale d'Adana et de Mersine, les quatre personnes concernées sont arrivées, nous  
 en sommes fort contents. Jeudi soir, vers 8 heures, il y a eu une réunion à  
 Oglio, nos chers nationaux chalcéens et arméniens y ont assisté. Les délibérations  
 ont pris fin samedi à 11 heures d'après midi.

viendront ici. Celles-ci ne remontrant et rien aux copistes. Tu es tout composé d'hommes sers et fidèles à leurs maîtres. Les Turcs ont à jamais étouffé le génie. Je compte vous voir un jour en France.

Quant à la seconde lettre, elle est libellée dans le sens  
 ... tout de suite la fin réservée aux musulmans. Il est temps que nous  
 agissions à leur égard comme eux ont agi envers nous. Deux prêtres dignes  
 sont arrivés d'Adana. Un meeting sera organisé à l'égglise pendant les prochaines  
 fêtes arméniennes. Des inspecteurs turques sont attendus. Ils décerneront  
 ... 6.600 livres turques qui seront distribués aux pauvres, ainsi  
 qu'aux veuves, &c.

Nos indices recouverts sur place, les autorités ottomanes sont arrivées  
 que les Arméniens avaient tout préparé pour provoquer des troubles. Le  
 du Colonel Normand à Diarbekir et lui fournir ainsi l'occasion de faire occuper la province  
 par des troupes françaises.

En outre, le 15 janvier, les populations musulmanes de Diarbékir, en présence de l'état de surexcitation des esprits et en vue de parer au tentatif, ottoman s'est cru obligé de prier par écrit le Colonel Normand de remettre son voyage à Diarbékir, n'ayant pu prendre sur lui la sécurité de sa personne.

Le 26 janvier 1920

Enclosure 9 in No. 11.

PAR ses différentes notes et notamment par son intercommuniqué en date du 21 courant N° 20148/31 le Département des Affaires Étrangères avait relevé l'inconvénient provenant de l'immixtion injustifiée des autorités militaires d'occupation dans les affaires civiles et administratives, il n'avait pas manqué d'attirer l'attention du Haut Commissariat que ces procédés incompatibles avec les clauses de la Convention d'Armistice entraîneraient fatalement de l'agitation parmi les indigènes et engendrerait de graves troubles.

Les nouvelles reçues aujourd'hui même ne donnent malheureusement que trop raison à cette prévision.

Le 21 courant les notables du Pazarlyk s'étaient rendus au Konak de Mançeli où se trouvait le Général Korétz. Auquel ils exposèrent la situation exaspérée de la population décidée à ne souffrir en aucune manière un contrôle étranger sur les affaires intérieures du Gouvernement. Le général, après avoir écouté les vœux des notables, leur promit de leur faire connaître plus tard sa décision. Le Konak de Mançeli se trouve à 100 verstes de Pazarlyk.

Mais, à la suite des déclarations provoquant [redacted] vouloir occuper de force les bureaux du Gouvernement de Manich, tous les [redacted] furent

... fut tout aussitôt arrêtée et détenue

des militaires français auraient été l'objet de certaines allégations en cours de route. Sur la réponse négative de quelques-uns de leur délégués, les si immédiatement arrêté.

Les incendies qui ont éclaté à la fin de la ville  
ont été étouffés par les pompiers envoyés pendant trois jours le feu des maisons  
et des magasins. C'est alors que la population s'empare des armes qui se trouvaient  
dans les dépôts de la gendarmerie et se défendit contre le feu des troupes. Les rebelles ont  
été tués et les autres ont été envoyés en prison. On a pu jusqu'à présent évaluer le nombre des victimes  
entre lesquelles on trouve le président du Tribunal pénal, qui tomba au moment où il  
était chez lui et dont la dépouille mortelle n'a pu pendant trois jours être enlevée par  
l'intensité du feu.

Les détenus des prisons d'Etat, ayant obtenu à armes trouvées dans le Kouak et prenant part. D'autre part, des habitants de Berghoun, revenant dans la mosquée et prenant les représailles de la population entrecroisant de combattre tout acte séparé du reste de l'Empire.

Le 26 janvier 1955

Enclosure 10 in No. 112

M. le Haut Commissaire,  
LE Gouvernement impérial avait eu déjà à plusieurs reprises l'honneur d'attirer l'attention de votre Excellence sur la situation inquiétante de Marach et les  
conduites arrogantes, loin de produire un apaisement  
nature à soulever les rapports entre musulmans et  
les musulmans et forte de l'appui qu'ils espèrent rencontrer auprès des corps occupants, se permettent d'abuser de la bonne foi de ces derniers et de commettre des excès au détriment des populations musulmanes.

La nuit même l'ordre vient d'apprendre avec le plus vif regret et les environs une grande effervescence à la suite d'actes d'hostilité que se commettent dans les dites régions. En effet, un bombardement violent et continu aurait ouvert sur ladite localité et un vrai état de guerre déclenché depuis quelques jours. Il est donc à craindre que cette situation alarmante ne révèle un caractère dévastateur.

extension qui est du reste  
 Son desir tendant à mettre fin à toute  
 effusion de sang par la conclusion de cette convention n'a malheureusement  
 platement. En effet, des actes d'hostilité se produisent  
 sans certaines relations. Si un terme n'était pas mis à plus tôt à  
 ces événements sanglants, ceux-ci, à en juger par la surexcitation qui règne actuellement,  
 ont le Gouvernement ottoman déclare  
 des à présent  
 acte de violence contre les populations musulmanes qui  
 et à se défendre

Veuillez agréer, etc.  
 M. RECH

Enclosure 11 in No. 112

M. De France to Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs

M. le Ministre,  
 Constantinople le 2 février 1920.  
 PAR ses lettres des 26 et 28 janvier dernier, son Excellence le Ministre des  
 Affaires Etrangères a attiré l'attention du Haut-Commissaire de la République française  
 sur les événements qui se sont récemment produits à Marach et sur les événements  
 auxquels se seraient livrés les Arméniens dans les  
 français. Son Excellence Reçoit

Il s'agit de savoir de quel côté la responsabilité  
 a lieu et de ceux qui pourraient en profiter dans ces  
 Le Haut-Commissaire de la République française  
 sont simplement venus relayer les troupes britanniques dans les districts d'Aint  
 Marach et d'Ourfa, et qu'elles n'avaient, comme ces dernières, d'autre tâche que  
 faire respecter les conditions de l'armistice et de faire régner l'ordre.

Malheureusement l'ordre et la sécurité de ces régions ont été  
 non par les contingents français, mais par des bandes formées par les  
 tentent que les autorités ont bien vu, en Turquie, ainsi que le fait ont reconnu  
 memorandum joint à la lettre du la Sublime Porte du 26 janvier, elles  
 l'ont ouvert les portes des prisons

qui concerne les accusations portées dans les  
 le Ministre des Affaires Etrangères contre l'attitude des populations  
 arméniennes de la région le Haut-Commissaire de la

par ses autorités françaises, ce sont les Arméniens  
 en cette aux plus traitements. Onze Arméniens ont été tués ou mutilés à  
 Samlar par une bande de 400 hommes, dont 100 turcs; vingt bédouins  
 arméniens ont été massacrés à Tanaton et Kalaliden, le nombre des Arméniens

se words moving in original) portaient l'uniforme turc et étaient bien pourvus  
 d'armes et des munitions. Des officiers de l'armée régulière ont été vus, notamment  
 à Bazar, à distribuant des armes et munitions et signalés comme faisant partie des  
 bandes dites nationales.

De ce qui précède, il ressort que l'action des troupes françaises à Marach et dans  
 la région n'a pas provoqué les incidents que son Excellence le Ministre des Affaires  
 Etrangères a cru devoir signaler, mais qu'au contraire, cette action est purement  
 défensive, qu'elle a été postérieure aux faits mentionnés ci-dessus et qu'elle  
 nécessaire par ces faits, ainsi que les populations par les autorités  
 par les troupes françaises et contre les populations par les autorités  
 de voir ou de maintenir ou de maintenir sous sa direction et de l'attitude desquelles il  
 est en tout cas responsable.

Concernant le voyage du Colonel Normand, entrepris dans les conditions et  
 en a la but indiqués d'avance par le Haut-Commissaire de France, l'accueil fait à cet

par les autorités locales d'Ourfa, de Marline et de Sévrek a été  
 même cordial en apparence, sans doute en conformité des ordres que son  
 le Ministre des Affaires Etrangères avait bien voulu faire envoyer à ce sujet.  
 nées ont incité, ou laissé inciter par les agents  
 manifestations hostiles, dont le  
 résultat fut qu'au cours de son voyage de retour, le Colonel Normand a été menacé de

Aujourd'hui des constatations nombreuses et précises ont été faites, il en résulte que

lever les populations et à mettre en danger la sécurité des troupes françaises, en  
 me à les attaquer ou à les faire attaquer par des bandes ou par des forces régulières.  
 a été résultée sur les confins du vilayet d'Adana et dans les  
 d'Antab, de M... le Haut-Commissaire de  
 la République et déclare que la lourde  
 responsabilité tant de ces actes hostiles commis sciemment et avec mépris  
 troupes françaises, en période d'armistice et en violation de la Convention du 20  
 1918, que des conséquences qu'ont déjà entraînées et entraîneront nécessairement ces  
 actes et ces violations retomberont entière sur le Gouvernement ottoman.

DE FRANCE

Enclosure 12 in No. 112

M. De France to Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs

M. le Ministre,  
 PAR sa note du 26 janvier, le Haut-Commissaire de France a signalé à son

Les nouvelles informations font connaître qu'à Mouth, près de Moulk, se groupent  
 des bandes de brigands qui seraient encadrés par près de 500 réguliers, et distribueraient  
 des armes et des munitions aux... Une vive  
 agitation règne dans la région et l'on prévoit l'attaque du sandjak de A... ke par les  
 bandes de Mouth et Karaman réunies. Le capitaine Ahmed Elendi député Ad Elmalik  
 capitaine d'infanterie. Emriza Bey de Mouth, et Husein Bey, Mustafa, seraient à la tête

signifiant au Gouvernement  
 du 2 février, le pré de  
 d'urgence les ordres nécessaires pour...  
 agissements.

DE FRANCE

Constantinople, le 3 février 1920

E 1304 3 44

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Hubert to Earl Curzon. (Received March 11)

(No. 209)  
 Constantinople, March 8, 1920

Mr. LOWING is list of new Cabinet —  
 Vicer, Salih Pasha, ex-Minister of Marine, which portfolio he retains  
 temporarily; Dahir Pasha, Ibrahim Haidari Zule, War, Fevzi Pasha, Foreign  
 Affairs, Nefi Bey, Interior, Hazine Bey, Justice, Jela Bey, Public Works, Tewfik  
 Bey, ex-Minister of Finance which portfolio he retains temporarily; Public Instruction,  
 Abdur Rahman Sherif, ex-President of Council of State, which portfolio he retains  
 temporarily; Commerce and Agriculture, Zin Bey, Pious Foundations, Omer Khulusi  
 Pasha.



E 1432 106 44)

No. 111

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received March 12)

N 257  
M 100  
I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of a letter of the 11th February  
in which the Italian High Commissioner states that the Greek Army  
is alleged to be being made with a view to a renewal of offensive action on the part of  
the Greek Army.

2. This letter was, at the instance of the Italian High Commissioner  
discussed at the 12th February Commission meeting on the 12th February  
discussion was, however, adjourned until the subsequent meeting and the proposal  
finally withdrawn so that no local action has been taken on the Sublime Porte's note  
I have, &c.

J. M. de ROBÉCK, High Commissioner

Enclosure in No. 114

Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck

Ministère des Affaires Étrangères  
Constantinople, le 11.

M. le Haut-Commissaire,

Les troupes helléniques, les cinq divisions helléniques qui se trouvaient jusqu'ici sous le  
drapeau sous l'appellation générale d'Armée d'Asie Mineure, dont la composition  
est la suivante :

Ces derniers jours, les troupes helléniques, dispersées dans toute la Thrace, ont été  
concentrées au point de vue de la ligne de front. Les divisions, ont été organisées  
comme suit :

Aujourd'hui, six divisions helléniques se trouvent en face de nous. La division de l'Arçelou se trouve comme réserve générale. Les divisions  
par des Grecs indigènes, la gendarmerie et les bandes ne sont pas compris dans  
les formations.

D'autre part, le Sous-Secrétaire d'État à la Guerre, Général Grivas, le Général  
Parnakopoulou et le chef de la mission militaire française, Général Gramina, se  
trouvent fréquemment en conseil et agissent en conséquence. Les divisions  
auxiliaires dont le brassement avait été décidé auparavant et de garder avec  
les armées la classe de 1913 qui allait être renvoyée ce mois-ci. En outre, il  
s'agit de l'appel hâtif des recrues de la classe de 1913.

Le Gouvernement Impérial ne peut cacher son impatience devant  
la situation.

Pour le Ministre  
Le Sous-Secrétaire d'État  
R. FABREDDIN

E 1435 1435 44

No. 112

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received March 12)

N 257  
M 100  
I have the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, a copy of a  
report, received from a secret source, giving certain details relative to the relations  
between the Italian High Commission in Constantinople and the Ottoman Government.  
2. It is obviously impossible to obtain confirmation of this report, but the general  
attitude of the Italians to the Turks in this country is such as to justify the belief that  
it may be devoid of foundation.

I have, &c.  
J. M. de ROBÉCK  
High Commissioner

Enclosure in No. 115.

Turkish Foreign Minister and Italian High Commissioner.

I 26/7 reports that the Turkish Minister for Foreign Affairs wrote to the Italian  
High Commissioner on the 4th February, 1920, appealing for financial assistance to  
enable the Turkish Government to meet the difficulty which it was experiencing in  
paying the salaries of its officials, and recalling the verbal promise which the Italian  
High Commissioner had made to him. The Foreign Minister stated that, as previously  
arranged, a committee composed of Zia Pasha, an ex-Ambassador, Raghib Bey, of the  
Turkish Foreign Office, and Roshid Salvet Bey, of the Finance Ministry, had been  
appointed to discuss details with the Italian High Commissioner.

The letter also stated that, in recognition of the benevolent attitude towards  
Turkey of the Italian High Commissioner, the Sultan had written him an autographed  
letter of thanks which would be presented to him on the 7th February.

The existence of this document is confirmed by agent T 14/1.

Constantinople, February 17, 1920

E 1462 3 44

No. 116

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received March 12)

(pho.)  
Constantinople, March 12, 1920  
I 14/1 telegram No 197 of 9th March Constantinople  
Location up to evening of 9th March is described in my telegrams Nos. 204  
of 10th March and 210 of 10th March  
Meeting of Allied naval and military commanders was held on morning of 10th  
March and was followed by further meetings of High Commissioners. Result was  
a complete agreement on procedure proposed by General Maing and concurred  
in all preparations to be made for effective military occupation on afternoon  
of a few hours on official announcement to Turkish  
Government of Ministry of War and Ministry  
of Navy. Details, such as the issue of proclamations, &c., were also considered.  
Important naval and military preparations are complete. Details need only  
be confirmed. On afternoon of 11th February, however, French colleague  
showed telegram from his Government, which said that telegrams containing proposals  
of High Commissioners of Governments had crossed, and that in  
matter so grave French Minister for Foreign Affairs considered decision should only be  
taken after ripe reflection, and more particularly that we should defer execution until  
our proposals have been examined by Governments.  
This delays everything. In absence of reply from your Lordship to my telegram  
of 11th March, the delay is now with to delay all

action or whether intention is to proceed with occupat

High Commissioners regarding precise nature of

Meanwhile General Maingot informs me he has

to proceed with military measures for occup

suggests 10th Mar

In the circumstances

instructions mean that His Majesty's Government

French and Italians I must have your authority to insti

further reference to Allied colleagues avoid inform

on the other hand His Majesty's Government still intend only to take action

conferred in by French and Italians it is very important that all three High

Commissioners should receive with out delay categorical and definite instruct

tion of your hands up that question of occupation

question of precise nature of peace terms. If Const

acquired at all it had better in my opinion, be done now as preparations are

and unknown state of nervous tension already exists

E 1457 134 58

No. 115

Earl Curzon to Mr. Lansday (Paris)

Foreign Office, March 12, 1920

FOLLOWING for the President League of Nations

Supreme Council at one of its recent sittings unanimously accepted the

that Armenia should in accordance with the

the Allied and Associated Powers at various sta

be constituted a free and independent State

nominate for this State under the

America or by some European Power

any Power willing to undertake the task the Supreme Council have expressed

desire, which it is proposed to incorporate in the text of the Turkish Treaty to place

the future Armenian State under the protection of the League

"This appears to them to be the only effective guarantee at this juncture for the

the creation and the future security of the proposed State

In these circumstances, the Supreme Council are anxious to know, with the

most possible delay, whether the Council of the League now sitting in Paris will be

disposed to accept the obligation

In connection therewith arises the question of the provision of adequate armed

forces to enable Armenia to maintain her independence, and of the material resources

needed to promote her future economic development. The first of these subjects

has been carefully examined by a special commission, whose report has been accepted

by the Conference

The Armenians of Erivan have at present an army of some 25,000 men, partially

equipped by trained officers of the former Russian army. This is a framework

which the necessary measures of self-defence could be developed, and they ex

confidence in being able to raise 40,000 men if arms and equipment are provided.

The Allied Powers are assisting in this task and it is hoped that the first instalments

will shortly be delivered

The League of Nations, if it assumes the general protection of Armenia, will be

able as time passes to meet materially in the prosecution of this undertaking. The

Armenian representatives here, who are imploring the protection of the League

earnestly plead for the help of foreign officers and volunteers, if regular forces are not

available, and in any case for the supply of military arms

There remain the other categories of material aid that may necessitate either

now or at a later date a public appeal which no authority could issue with an authority

comparable with that of the League of Nations

It is not thought desirable at present to make any statement with regard to the

boundaries of the new State but full information can be supplied on this subject to

the Council of the League if it is so desired

if they may hope to receive the co-operation of the League of Nations in the projected

peace of the East

E 1607/3/44

No. 118

Earl Curzon to Mr. Lansday (Washington)

No. 269

Telegraphic. D.

Foreign Office, March 12, 1920

FOLLOWING for your own information

Prior to the reassembly of the Peace Conference on March 12, 1920, the American

Ambassador whether his Government desired to be represented, he replied

that he would be glad to receive any information I might

conference I therefore invited Mr. Davis to call on 6th March,

in the light of the military difficulties, culminating in the recent serious

in the situation now

gave two American observers

March with the French leaving the schools unprotected

his to determine the exact degree of responsibility of the Turkish

atrocities, but their relations with Mustafa Kemal were

in that they should not possibly be acquitted

the matter had been carefully considered by the Peace Conference

Cilicia and in its broader aspect with regard to Mesopotamia

With regard to the first, the French had assumed

I am informed that they were about to reoccupy Mosul

had also been considered but, while

in Constantinople decided that the

means that only the most drastic

tion would meet the case, and the Allied High Commission

from the British High Commissioner, in which, without reference

measures, he emphasized the critical position at Constantinople and the necessity

the situation. He was

The Nationalist leader

terms. In these circumstances the High Commission

should be made for effective action by the occupation of Constantinople

military measures. Such a decision was, however, dependent on the inter-

Peace Conference. Were the terms to be lenient, i.e., were the Turks to be left Smyrna

and Eastern Thrace, with Turkish suzerainty over a portion of Turkish Armenia, he

suggested the policy of creating a moderate bloc round the Sultan to overcome the

Nationalist leader

A few days later, and after the receipt of our telegram, the High Commissioner,

after repeating these views, pointed out that the Cilician incident must be dealt with

the Nationalist leader

drastic peace, and he reiterated his conviction that Allied occupation of Constantinople

The Commission then despatched a further telegram to the Allied

Commissioners recognizing the impracticability of the bloc policy failing a lenient

peace, and expressing the view that Constantinople should be at once occupied by the

Allied forces until the terms of the Treaty had been carried out, that Mustafa Kemal

should be imprisoned and the Turkish Government informed that, in the event of any

the peace terms would be rendered even more severe and the

The High Commissioners were asked to confer as to these

to secure the submission of the Turks and the protection of

Constantinople would include the

its military orders and discipline,

Earl Curzon to Mr. Lansday

adly, that though

Government had received from the Commission

policy with regard to Turkey nevertheless there were many who refused

at American interest in Turkey

abated, and who even thought

are of the responsibility which it



had once been hoped that she would voluntarily undertake; and thirdly that, though the Congress was not yet assembled, they did not wish to take it without previously informing the American Government.

I added that it was not for me to formulate any request, still less any demand, which they might take. Even if they were willing to participate, geographical conditions might not be favourable. I welcomed their co-operation in an essentially international policy of which the consequences must be far-reaching.

[E 1483.1483 44]

No. 119.

Baron Moncheur to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 13.)

Ambassade de Belgique, Londres,  
le 12 mars 1920.

Milord,

VOTRE Seigneurie n'ignore pas l'intérêt que porte mon Gouvernement à la situation financière de la Turquie vu l'importance des capitaux belges qui y sont engagés.

Créer une Commission internationale de Contrôle des Finances ottomanes, mon Gouvernement attacherait beaucoup de prix à ce que la Belgique fût représentée au sein de ce nouvel organisme par un délégué belge.

Je suis chargé de faire part à votre Seigneurie de ce désir.

Le rôle important joué par la Belgique au cours des événements qui ont abouti à la défaite des Puissances centrales, la cordialité des relations du Gouvernement belge

avec la Turquie, on ne participerait à beaucoup d'entreprises industrielles, indépendamment du fait qu'ils se trouvent être parmi les porteurs les plus importants de titres de

l'organisme de contrôle international qui serait créé dans l'Empire ottoman.

Mon Gouvernement se plaît donc à espérer que le Gouvernement britannique accueillera favorablement cette idée et prendra l'initiative d'en proposer la réalisation.

Je suis, etc.

MONCHEUR.

E 1462/3/44]

No. 120.

Earl Curzon to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).

No. 291.]

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, March 13, 1920.

My telegram No. 207 of 10th March, which was sent after meeting of Sir [ ] and your colleagues full authority to act, and we are at a loss to understand further [ ]

Discussion as to nature of peace terms is about to take place at a further meeting [ ] you in thinking are an entirely distinct question.

If your Allied colleagues are unable to act with you, notwithstanding [ ] of Supreme Council, you should instruct General Milne to proceed on responsibility.

E 1595 2 44]

No. 121.

Earl Curzon to the Earl of Derby (Paris).

My Lord,

Foreign Office, March 13, 1920.

THE French Ambassador called upon me this morning with reference to the news [ ] by a Congress assembled two or three days ago at Damascus.

As soon as information had reached us a few days ago of the impending assemblage and probable action of this Congress, the French Government, represented by M. Berthelot, and I had discussed the matter and had sent an agreed telegram to Lord Allenby urging the Emir Feisal to take no action that might compromise the proper settlement of the question, which was in the hands of the Conference now sitting in London, and inviting him to return to Europe to state his case before the [ ] and by whom the future of those territories could be determined.

[ ] at this communication from us either was too late or had been ignored.

M. Cambon read to me a telegram from General Gouraud describing an interview with the Emir, in which the latter had warned him that the Congress had decided to meet. Speaking from recollection I think the message went further and intimated that it had already been held, one result being the proclamation of the Emir as King of Syria.

Our own information was more precise. I informed the French Ambassador that we had heard yesterday evening that this self-constituted Congress, of the composition [ ] nothing, had been held; had nominated the Emir Feisal, not as King of Syria, but as King of Syria, Palestine and Mosul, and, further, that it had also appointed his brother, the Emir Abdollah, King of Mesopotamia.

These representations, we agreed, were an unwarranted and intolerable exercise of authority by this unknown body in Damascus, and they compelled the French and British Governments to act in complete union, as they have hitherto done, to repudiate the intentions of the Congress, and to reaffirm the position that the future of those territories could be determined only by the Allied Powers now assembled in London, whose hands lay the construction of the Peace Treaty with Turkey and the [ ] of the future of the areas belonging to the old Turkish Empire which it [ ] decided to sever therefore.

The Ambassador, who had received instructions from M. Millerand, was anxious that a further identical telegram should go out from the British Government to Lord Allenby, and from his own Government to General Gouraud, indicating that we were united in the matter; that we deemed to recognise the authority of the Damascus Congress; that we regarded its proceedings as null and void; and that we could not allow the settlement to be taken out of our hands.

I said that, for my part, I was quite willing to send such a telegram, and I read to the Ambassador, and handed to him, a form of words which he readily accepted, and which it was agreed that I should send out in the course of the day to Lord Allenby, indicating the point of view and intentions of His Majesty's Government.

M. Cambon, for his part, undertook to telegraph this draft to M. Millerand and assured me that M. Millerand would in the course of the day, send at any rate analogous instructions to General Gouraud, the text of which [ ]

[ ] was concerned, the two Governments were in complete agreement, and no point of immediate [ ] discussed between us.

On the other hand, I said to the Ambassador that, while I thought it vital that [ ]

felt bound to take advantage of the opportunity to point out to him that the [ ] situation had arisen, not from any action on the part of the British Government [ ]

so far as I could see, from the proceedings of the French Government and [ ]

I reminded him that, over and over again during the past six [ ] I alleged, and indeed had demonstrated, without any sufficient foundation—concerning the supposed intrigues of British officers and of the British in general against the interests of the French in Syria, and he had repeatedly urged that the French should be allowed to take charge of Cilicia and Syria, where they not only had traditional interests of great importance but where they would be warmly welcomed by the people. Over and over again I [ ]

him that this confident prediction on his part did not tally with the facts as they had been reported to me—that, on the contrary, I had every reason to believe that the French were regarded with no sort of favour by the peoples of the territories concerned, and that their appearance on the scene, so far from producing peace or harmony, would result in grave disturbances. The Ambassador had consistently disputed the validity of these warnings. Only a few days before, at a meeting of the Allied Conference, M. Berthelot had assured me that the Emir Feisal had gone back to Syria with a signed [ ]

agreement with the French Government, to which they were convinced that he would be loyal and to which he had pledged himself to secure the adhesion of his countrymen. I had replied that our information was quite to the contrary, and that, so far from agreement having been concluded, which the Emir had pledged himself to, he had merely accepted an agreement subject to submission to his countrymen and had attempted to commit the latter to its acceptance. M. Berthelot had not disputed my account of what had passed, had treated the matter as settled between the French and the Emir and had indeed presented to us for consideration the form of French mandate for Syria to which he believed the Emir to have agreed and which should be the basis of discussion between ourselves with regard to the future of Syria and of Mesopotamia. Now, I said, the truth of my prophecies and the sound foundation for the French confidence had become apparent. As long as the British had remained in occupation, recent events would have happened. In deference, however, to the insistent pressure of the French Government we had in November last serious results that might ensue if they attempted to extend their military occupation of the latter.

What had happened? In Cilicia there had occurred the lamentable events at Marash, by which had been placed in jeopardy not only the position of the French in Cilicia but the entire fortunes of the Allies in the Middle East. In Syria General Gouraud had persisted in disregarding the warnings we had given him about any occupation of the Beana, and as had created a scene of irritation in the Syrian deserts which had culminated in the incidents we were now discussing. Thus the future of France and Great Britain in those parts of the world was imperilled because of the way

insisted on forcing themselves into areas where the French were not welcomed.

I explained to M. Cambon that I did not make these statements with any idea of uttering reproaches against the French Government, who had evidently been informed, but, inasmuch as by the action we had now been driven into, which compromised us both, I felt it necessary to place on record that the blame was not mine, but belonged in the main, if not exclusively, to the French Government. I said that I could not deter me from acting loyally and steadily with them as far as possible, to redress the situation. But at least I wished to make it clear that the present position had been brought about by the French Government, and which, owing to the pressure placed upon them, had been powerless to resist.

The Ambassador listened in silence, despite a single one of my propositions. Indeed, I cannot help think in honour of his previous conversations with me, that he recognised their essential truth. Upon the main facts of the case we agreed, as I have said, to reaffirm our opinion that the fate of Syria could not be determined by an irresponsible body in Damascus, but could be settled only by the Peace Conference in London or Paris; we decided to repeat the invitation, which had appeared in my earlier telegram, for the Emir Faisal to come and state his case before the Conference in Paris.

I added that there was one special feature in the proceedings of the Damascus Congress which concerned the British Government even more than it did the French. It was that the Emir Faisal, in connection with the invasion of Palestine in the area of Syria, which he had been proclaimed King, appeared as well, while it seemed that his brother Abdulullah had been proclaimed King of Mesopotamia. His Majesty's Government was

difficult to imagine. I therefore proposed, in the telegram which I was now of which I gave a copy to the Ambassador, to add a special reservation.

M. Cambon did not dissent from any of my observations, and we parted with an affirmation of the intentions to which I have already referred.

I am, &c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON

E 1:80 47 44

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon

(No. 7)

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter of the 28th February, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner,

I have, &c.

M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 1

(Secret)

My dear Lord Allenby

Following is a summary of news reported since my despatch dated the 12th February.

Tehran

There does not appear to be much change in the situation on the Qubra frontier. Fighting has been going on in the region of Bursa, which seems to have changed hands by the Idri.

Latest reports speak of a fresh invasion of the neighbourhood of Rasna, in which the Zaimk and Aboon have taken part on the side of the Idri. Hagaila was occupied by the Idri on the 4th February, but had to be evacuated owing to massive threats from the flanks.

Turks who have come down to Aden via the Idri state that, although the Imam has some of the villages of Mubay, he will be turned out again from there. The place are generally in favour of the Idri, and the Idri has reoccupied all the villages. It appears that the Idri commander has been on Jabel Adra without leaving an adequate garrison at Jabel Zahar.

The Idri has been forced to cut off his communication with the Imam. He has frequently been forced to retire to his former base and had to fight his way back to the Idri, losing about twenty men. On receiving this news a reinforcement was despatched by the Idri under Sheikh Ahmad bin Hadi of Hay Pasha, a loyal sheikh of the Wazir but the reinforcement was driven away from Jabel Zahar.

The enemy lost two guns and a number of men. The situation of Sheikh Bahad Ali is still uncertain. He is in contact with his rival, Sheikh Saadman Hassan al-Hajj, and both these sheikhs in Hajj, till they made a bond of friendship. Akil Omer Saghir, who took a prominent part in the rebellion of the Imam, is also reported to be in Hajj for failure to co-operate with his sheikh, Imam Saghir. Major Meek thinks that the real cause is the desire of Syed Abdul Kadir and the Qubra sheikhs to pay him out for his mission work. Major Meek has advised Omer Saghir to be loyal to his sheikh and tribe now, and settle a personal difference later on.

Ali al-Mikdad of Ansa, who is a Zaidi, has applied to the Political Officer, Hodeidah, for British protection. Ali Mikdad was Sheikh of the Zaidi in the time of the Turks. He remained and served with the Imam against the Turks. Recently he has held no position and has been at enmity with Imam Yahya. He holds an influential position among the Zaidi. The Idri commander at Hajj has started a levy of dues on goods passing through the Idri, which is regarded as excessive. The commander objected to Syed Abdul Kadir's interference in Qubra matters, and that he sees no hope outside British rule. Merawan is disappointed with the Idri, and that he sees no hope outside British rule. Merawan is his house, and he had not been there since the Imam arrived in Hajj.

An aki of Beni Saad came in to Hodeidah at the beginning of February. He told Major Meek that, from the sheikh down to the last man, the Beni Saad hated the Imam. They liked the Idri, but would much prefer British rule. According to him



there were about 800 Idrii men in his country. The imam's men had retired (see Kamal Effendi's report below).

Yusuf Hassan, the ex-Kaimakam of Zebid, a Turk, lately visited the Idrii, and claimed to have assisted in the release of the mission. The object of this visit is not clear, but apparently it was to see if he could secure a post under the Idrii. He has been warning him against Yusuf Hassan. Sayed Mustafa replied, saying he knew him well and it best to watch his activities by employing him.

He addressed threatening letters to Sheikh Muhammad Zaid, saying that if he did not submit to the Idrii, he would be punished. He warned them of very severe punishments unless they hastened to tender their submission to the imam, but that they are unlikely to do so. Jabel Bura'a is besieged by Arab tribes acting on behalf of the Idrii.

Abul Rahman al Ambari, entreats Sayed Mustafa to send his troops with him. He assures Sayed Mustafa of his loyalty to the Idrii. The Idrii is now commanding the Zebid under the imam. During the reign of the late ruler, he was a member of the Ashraf, and his uncle, Sayed Abdul Kader Hassan, was the Mufti of Zebid. He holds that position. Their fathers held the same posts. Their grandfather who was given the title of the small shahai, was a friend of Sayed Ahmed bin Idrii. Sheikh Abdo says that Sayed Ahmed Abdul Rahman al Ambari is ready to place himself under the Idrii provided the latter gives him a written guarantee that he will be retained in his present post and his privileges will not be curtailed. Sayed Ambari has made arrangements with all the sheikhs, and can eject the imam's representative and garrison from Zebid in a week's time. All the districts as far as Taiz are submissive to him. He, however, wants the Idrii to give him more money and arms and ammunition.

Sheikh Abdo entreats Sayed Mustafa to write a letter to Sayed al Ambari. The Sheikh of Raab, Awad al Tarbeh, writes to Sayed Mustafa that before the imam's advent in Zebid he felt the want of a strong Government owing to the lawlessness of his tribesmen and their refusal to acknowledge his authority. He looked towards the Idrii, but found the distance too far. He was between the devil and the deep water.

Kamal Effendi, late of the Ottoman Telegraph Department in the Yemen arrived from Hodeidah. He was interviewed, and gave the following information:

On the evacuation of the Turks from the Yemen his services were not required. He received a salary of 15 dollars per month from the imam, but was paid very irregularly. He resigned in January, and proceeded to Hodeidah to be repatriated. Kamal Effendi was at Hodeidah on the 28th January, 1920. A few days later he learned that Idrii aggression had, on arrival at Hodeidah, looted the whole village, including Houssein Adulla, the imam's aunt, and of the Sheikh Mahmud Adulla.

Sheikh Ismail Baghawi (Qura), with seventy men, was in occupation of Uhal. Sheikh Mahmud Zaid (Qubra), with a mixed force of 600 men, was operating against the Zaidi, whose forces consisted of 450 men under Sayed Abdullah-bin-Khamar and one old mountain gun. The Idrii had no guns opposite Jabel Bura'a. The imam was sending big reinforcements with some guns to Jabel Bura'a.

The garrison of Jabel Bura'a consisted of 400 Zaidi soldiers, who had two machine guns with trained Arab gunners. There was a strong imamite force of 1,500 men stationed in Bent Saad under Sherif Abdullah al-Dunqan and three Turkish officers, viz., Lieutenant-Colonel Duzman Bey, Lieutenant Ahi Bey, and a gunner, Lieutenant Nazim Bey.

Kamal Effendi was detained at Hajil by the Idrii's aunt from the 29th January till the 4th February, during which time his services were commandeered for repairing the telegraph line between Hajil and Zaid. He escaped from the latter place on the 10th February, and reached Hodeidah on the 12th.

Hassan Yusuf Effendi has been appointed Idrii Governor of Hodeidah, but is at present in the administration of the Qubra country. Muhammad Bakheit was at Hajil with other sheikhs of the Aboos tendering submission to the Idrii's representative.

The Zaidi chief and all the sheikhs of Jabel Bura'a had sent in hostages to Hajil, and had applied for Idrii troops for operations against the imam in their respective districts. Kamal Effendi stated that the telegraphic line was working in the highlands between Sana and also between the latter place and Taiz.

Communication with Hodeidah or Zaid, and the line was working between Hodeidah and Menakha. Communication between Taiz and Mavia was being re-established, but the imam was very short of wire for his lines.

Yemen Upper and Lower. The Turks who have come in to Hodeidah stated that there are about 2,000 trained soldiers in Sana, who are reviewed by the imam every Friday. They are trained and commanded by Khamar Bey. There are ten or twelve Arab regulars in Sana who, the Turks believed, were formerly in the Yemen infantry in Aden. Khamar Bey is said to be the only Turkish officer who wants to remain in the Yemen. He has a French wife, who is with him.

The Turks said that twenty-eight Somalis worked with Saad Pasha and subsequently took service under the imam, some from Jabel Mithan to the Idrii with their rifles.

The following account given to Major D. H. Shaoon, shows the importance attached by the Turks. Mahomed al-Shaoon, who is moving in the direction of Hajil, stated that subsequent to the submission of the Idrii to the imam, he has been general talk of the Idrii's advance against Mohwit and Zaid. He therefore summoned Haj Huzaim-as-Sa'ir Pasha, Haj Huzaim-as-Sa'ir, and Hajeb Sa'ad, the Sheikh of Ayal Barik, of the village of Jorhan (between Amran and Sana), but was unable to give the defense of those places. The former replied to the imam that he was unable to give him any men because he had no control over his tribesmen except in the town of Amran or five villages in its suburbs. He would not force people to enlist in the army.

He is loyal to the imam, has supplied him with men, and the father-in-law, Saif al Islam Mahomed bin al Mutawakil, from Sana, and his eldest son, Saif al Islam Syed Ahmed bin Yelaa, from Shahran, to the Hajja front. The imam's father-in-law is said, however, to have no interest in the fighting, owing to his relationship with N. al Makhkoot, the principal sheikh of the Hashid, who is leading the Idrii. The imam is married to Nour Makhkoot's sister.

Mahomed al-Shaoon says that Haj Huzaim-as-Sa'ir, and the majority of the Hashid and Bakil and Arab, are all disposed towards the imam, and are in collusion with the Idrii. In fact, says Mahomed, the whole country hate the imam on account of his nature. He was on or about the 6th February, 1920, to one Farah Saad al-Shaoon, who is a man of Hajil, referring to taxes levied by the Idrii on the people of Hajil. He is paying 50 per cent more than those levied by the Idrii, the inhabitants of Udaia from 100 to 200 per cent more, and the tribesmen at Shurman 75 per cent more. In short, he adds that the tribesmen generally are being terribly oppressed.

Sheikh Mahomed Hassan observes in the same letter that he can say on good authority that the imam has ambitions in the direction of Mecca and Medina. Sheikh Mahomed Ahmed Noman of Hajil is said to have returned from his visit to the imam at Sana, and is at present at Turba. He recently summoned the Maktari sheikhs with a view to demanding payment of taxes for the imam. It would appear that Mahomed Noman gave an undertaking both to the imam and to his aunt at Taiz (Ibu Vizar) that he would collect taxes in the Maktari country.

The uncle of Mahomed Noman, Sheikh Abdul Wahab, is said to have taken umbrage at the attention shown to his nephew by the imam during the former's visit to Sana, and owing to his being entrusted with Maktari affairs. In consequence he has started intrigues in the Yusuufian country with its principal sheikh, Abdul Jalil Jazin. He has liberated Abdul Jalil's son who was kept by him as hostage on behalf of the imam, and instigated him to oppose the imam's designs in the Maktari country. All the other hostages in the keeping of Abdul Wahab were sent to Taiz at the request of the amir, Ibn Vazier. The latter, not finding the son of the Yusuufian sheikh amongst them, sent some soldiers to that sheikh to demand his surrender, but without result. A second detachment was sent, but was again unanimously turned out of the country by Abdul Jalil.

It is reported that the sheikhs in the Maktari and Yusuuf districts are taking measures with a view to repel Zaidi aggression, and that Sheikh Abdul Wahab secretly co-operating with them.

The Yusuufi sheikh wrote to us for assistance a few days ago, but his request was politely refused.

A secret agent who lately visited Marib reports that the whole of the Marib district is under the domination of the imam, who is represented by an amir, Syeed Hassan Waziri, of Damar. Kaveh-ban-Saleh, the nephew and successor of the former but now decrepit old sheikh, Ibn Nasir M. and vicinity.

The agent observed no activity or concentration of Zaidi forces at Marib. He estimates the strength of the present garrison from 200 to 300, at Z.

no information has not yet been established with Taiz.

Sickness, accompanied by heavy mortality, is said to prevail among the Zaidi forces, who had come down to Hama and Makhla. A company of fresh soldiers was sent from Sana in relief, but sickness has held them up at Taiz.

If the sickness is not known, but sickness is reported to be prevalent in the districts of Marib and Taiz.

The sheikhs of the lower Yemen, Abdul Hak bin Mahomed al-Aghbari and the nakibs of Du Mahomed and Du Husein, have written letters expressing their desire to be placed under our protection. They are.

They will be given a suitable reply.

Requests have also been made by the Yemeni sheikhs in the districts of Marib and Taiz under our protection. I have instructed the officer commanding, N. but D. to send some police to answer which commits us to nothing.

Zaidi reports that the army of the King of the Hedjaz has occupied the kaza of Thaur, Zahran, Ham Shahar and the neighbourhood of Abha. Abha itself is not taken, but the sheikhs and the son of Ibn Ayad are in favour of the King, with whom they have been communicating and exchanging presents through Kaudha. Major Salim Khondji has gone in charge of the Emir's expedition to Kaudha, in the direction of Manava.

I have heard nothing either from the Emir himself or Captain Fasaluddin regarding this. The latter has, however, telegraphed to me to say that he is coming to Aden to see me for on important matters.

#### Aden Protectorate.

In spite of the imam's promise not to go beyond Dala in our protectorate, his troops have advanced from Dala and occupied Halmu, Mahalat and Hajeh, and representatives have come as far as Thaur to demand the submission of the K. d. and Alawi tribes.

The Alawi sheikh, who has been repeatedly writing us to send our troops to protect him, has now written to say that he is tired of writing to us, but that he still sticks to his friendship for us. The Kotabi has also written asking us to send our troops to Suweik. He says that he does not want our reply but wants to see us at Suweik as soon as possible. A party of Zaidis under Sa. down to Thaur in company of Abdul Hanud, and at. Abdul, nephew of the Kotabi sheikh. The Sayed then summoned the Kotabi and Alawi sheikhs to come to him. The former declined to do so, and hid himself in the mountains. The latter came with a sacrifice in order to save his country from being

ad. He was not allowed to return home, and has been taken to Kh. Dala. Mokbil Abdulla is said to have fled to the Haushabi borders. The imam has circulated the sheikhs and his tribesmen in the Yemen notifying the arrival of the country and of the capture and imprisonment of the sultans of that district. The imam adds that the imam hopes to occupy Lahej very shortly. The circular also mentions the imam's intention of sending an expedition against the Maktari with a view to capturing the impregnable fortress in that country which is said to be superior to the imam's stronghold at Shanara.

The Maomatta sheikhs have

E 1654 1483 44

Baron Voucheur to Karl Curzon.—(Received March 16.)

Paris, le 15 mars 1920.  
PAR ma communication en date du 12 mars, j'ai eu l'honneur de faire connaître à votre Seigneurie tout le prix qu'attacherait mon Gouvernement à ce que la Belgique fût représentée par un délégué belge dans la Commission internationale du Contrôle des Finances ottomanes, un tel organisme venant à être constitué. Mon Gouvernement se propose de faire une marche auprès de votre Seigneurie à l'effet d'obtenir l'appui du Gouvernement belge pour la nomination d'un délégué belge dans le Conseil de la Dette publique ottomane. Le délégué allemand et le délégué autrichien ont consenti de faire partie de ce conseil. La Belgique est, en effet, un des pays possédant la plus forte proportion de sa dette ottomane. La Belgique dans la lutte qui s'est terminée par le triomphe des Alliés lui donne aussi des titres à être représentée dans ce conseil. Le point de vue de la position des revenus affectés au service des divers emprunts ottomans. Mon Gouvernement se propose de proposer que le Gouvernement belge, appréciant ces considérations, voudra bien se montrer favorable, qui est d'un intérêt vital au point de vue de la dette ottomane.

Je suis, etc.  
MONCHEUR

E 1693 3 44

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Karl Curzon.—(Received March 17.)

(No. 238.)

(Telegraphic.)

YOUR telegram No. 221 of 13th March.

Occupation was effected on the morning of 16th March.

The High Commissioners held final meeting on 15th March.

General Milne and General. The High Commissioners of Supreme Council, and leave each High Commissioner to make any necessary arrangements with the local authorities.

Decision covered following points:—

Military occupation by the Allies as from 10 o'clock on morning of 16th March. Execution by the military authorities of all necessary measures.

Such measures to include

3. Occupation of Ministries of War and Admiralty, indirect control of all orders, & issuing from them, control of posts, telegraphs and telephones; strict control of police, issue and enforcement of all regulations necessary to maintain order in occupied area.





Le tenant-Commanier Butler to Admiral de Robt

Sir,

H.M.S.

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report on my visit to the Syrian coast and Cyp

Beirut and Su

I interviewed with His Britannic Majesty's Consul-General, Beirut. I arrived in Beirut at 4.30 p.m. on the 16th February. I at once went ashore to call on His Britannic Majesty's Consul-General, Mr. Wintshaw. The consulate is still in a considerable state of disrepair, so the Consul-General and vice-consul are unable to live there, but have taken up their quarters in the principal hotel and only have their offices in the consulate.

I found that the Consul-General had practically no information on the state of affairs in the interior. I gathered he had received very strict instructions from the Foreign Office not to become mixed up in anything which might give the French the impression that he was intriguing against them, and consequently had confined himself to his routine duties, such as issuing passports, &c. He had made enquiries as to the course of events in the interior of Syria or Cilicia, nor could he suggest anyone to me who might be in a position to assist me in the matter. Beirut is a large town, but it and little or no news gets through, except to the French military authorities, who keep their own counsel, and such reports and rumours as did get through were very garbled and old.

The Consul-General was most anxious for me to see General Gouraud at once so that he should not get the impression that my mission had any ulterior motive or that there was some intrigue afoot, a line of thought rather commonly adopted by the French in these parts vis-à-vis the British. I have

The town of Beirut and its immediate neighbourhood is fairly quiet, but murders of French soldiers at night in the streets are of fairly frequent occurrence, the cause almost invariably being the amorous disposition of the Frenchman getting the better of his discretion, and the Levantine inhabitants of the low quarters of Beirut are pretty quick with a knife. Some weeks ago there had been trouble in the country some miles south of the town, but all these seem subsequently to have quieted down. A Syrian of

in the Lebanon and were waiting their time to rise against the French, but I rather think this was chiefly Levantine bombast and exaggeration, and, so far as Syria is concerned, the French have the situation well in hand, except for the persistent note of brigandage that occurs not infrequently.

The British consulate has been opened not much over a month. It was thought that there were a considerable number of French troops in Syria on the arrival of the Consul-General, most of whom have since been moved north to Cilicia. It has been stated that the French have kept all their military arrangements very much secret, and that on my arrival the Emir Feisal had come to Beirut.

General Gouraud, had remained a day away, and returned to Damascus promising to return in a few days time when the proposal made by the French had been discussed by him with his advisers. It is understood the matter principally put forward by General Gouraud was the exact measure of control to be assumed by the French in the Syrian territories.

The Emir Feisal's failure to return may be due to the heavy fall of snow (the heaviest for several centuries) which had upset railway communication between Damascus and Beirut.

The Consul-General had seen the Emir Feisal when he was in Beirut on his return from Europe. The Emir was most sore at the situation in which he had been placed by the British Government, but it is understood that, in general, the Arab attitude towards the British is now considerably better than it was when the evacuation of our troops was first announced.

There is a British liaison officer with the Emir Feisal at Damascus. The appointment of this officer was objected to by the French at first, but they have acquiesced subsequently in his retention there. It is also said that they took great exception recently to the visit to Beirut of an officer from the G.S.I. Staff, Cairo.

The situation is undoubtedly still delicate in so far as the French are concerned. The British is concerned, although doubtless the arrival of General Gouraud has done much to alleviate it. What perhaps aggravates a feeling of bitterness in the minds of the French is the dawning conviction that after all the pains they took and the lengths they went to, to get rid of the British influence in Syria, "le jeu ne vaut pas la chandelle", they are realising at length that they are really intensely unpopular, not as unpopular as fastened by British intrigue, as they always declaimed, but a deep-seated dislike which is now entertained towards them by the majority of the population, both Christians and Mohammedans. Were a plebiscite now held to decide whether or no the French were to remain in Syria, in the opinion of a Syrian of standing and education, it would be at least 90 per cent. against them, and even the Turkish votes.

Their administration is said to be unpopular and the officers of the French army are many of them from Northern French Africa and Senegal accustomed to administer natives, and they try to continue these same methods in Syria. The Syrian of course, looks on himself as being as cultured as a European, and the "African" methods, as applied to naked savages, do not go down well with them. This information

French administrators was given me by an old Syrian employed in the French Consulate and the uncle of an old friend of mine in the Consulate, the Director-General.

The officers are disillusioned about the delights of Syria. They find it exceedingly expensive, and their extra pay is more than swallowed up. The accommodation is bad, amusements few, and the Syrian Shikhek is getting more than his pound of flesh from them. They consequently dislike and despise the people of the country and speak in a slightly scornful way of them. This I noticed particularly.

The town is, of course, full of rumours, the most popular being that General Gouraud has several times resigned, as his Government will not send enough troops to back him up in his endeavours to remedy the situation.

It is also said that the French are leaving Syria, which they find unprofitable, and are going to concentrate in Cilicia, which is much richer. So many of the inhabitants of Syria died from famine during the war that it will be many years before the country is able to support them.

I interviewed with General Gouraud. After tea, the Consul-General and myself called on General Gouraud. He had not returned from his office, but his adjutant camp telephoned to him, and he asked us to wait for him at his house. He arrived just in time and invited us to dine. His reception of us was most cordial and he received with evident pleasure the messages I gave him from the Commander-in-Chief. He seemed that I had come to ask him (the Consul-General) if, in view of the interior, he might possibly be called in the future on the

General stated that the only places where there was trouble was at such places as Urfa, Mardin, Marash in the interior, and that in his opinion there would be no necessity for a British warship to be sent. He was not very definite in his statements about the movements at Mardin and said he had received no written reports, but only reports by word of mouth. However, he gave the impression that he regarded the situation there as serious, although he stated that the enemy had suffered severely and that he was sending important reinforcements, which should enable the troops already there to control the situation. The enemy, he admitted, had not been out of the town, which had suffered heavily from the fighting.

The enemy opposed to them were not merely brigands, as they were equipped with machine-guns, and, in his opinion, if the regular Turkish troops were not actually taking part in the fighting yet they must be behind the movement, or else were had the guns and machine-guns come from them.

He had heard from the French military authorities in Constantinople that two Turkish army corps at Sivas was mobilising, he implied this was directed against him, and the French Consul at Bagdad had also informed him that the army corps at

would make representations to the Parliament to cause pressure to be brought to bear on Mustafa Kemal to cease helping people who were attacking the French. He first asked me if the Allied Powers in Constantinople were in communication with Mustafa Kemal. I replied that they were not, to the best of my belief. He then asked if the Turkish Government was, and I stated they were. He asked many questions about the political situation in Constantinople, and seemed to hope that something could be



done from there to better the situation with which he was faced. He was under the belief that if the Turkish Government were spoken to forcibly enough they could control the situation. He instanced the case of the capture of his own Chief of Staff who was captured by "brigands" between Damascus and Beirut in Sherifian territory. In the past, acts of brigandage had frequently occurred, and when referred to for action the Sherifian Government had always replied that they could not control the brigands. On this occasion, however, they feared there really would be serious trouble if they did not act, so they took immediate steps and the release of the Chief of Staff was instantly effected.

The Emir Faisal, he thought, would keep to his contract with the French in his own interests. He had to have the approval of one of the Great Powers, and since Mr George had told him he would not have British tutelage he realized he must turn to the French. He made no complaint against the Emir or the present Government in Damascus, and only referred indignantly to their past encouragement of brigands in the French zone.

He referred with contempt to the Italians in Southern Anatolia, and spoke of them as assisting the Turks and Nationalists with arms and munitions.

It was noticeable that all the French officers I met asked how I had come to Beirut, although there is no doubt that the arrival of a British destroyer was known all over the town as soon as she came in sight. General Gouraud appeared glad to be able to get first-hand information from Constantinople, and was more anxious to have news of what was happening there than to discuss the situation in his own area. He informed me he was in favour of the Turks being left in Constantinople, as he thought their expulsion would have a bad effect on the Mohammedan population of British and French possessions throughout the world.

American Committee of Relief for the Near East at Beirut.—On the day after my

17th instant, in the hopes of being able to get other information than that given by French military authorities, I visited the headquarters of the American Committee of Relief for the Near East. I was able to do so without raising suspicion, as the widow of one of their officers recently murdered near Kilis is known to me in Constantinople, and I gave the desire to procure news for her as the object of my visit.

For that they had but scanty information as to what was going on in the interior. I spoke to the secretary (the principal was away), but he could only tell me that he had heard the country was in a terribly disordered state in the Marash and Aintab areas. It had been reported that some Americans with a party of French had endeavoured to recover the bodies of the two Americans, but had been driven back by the Arabs. It was thought that the Americans had been mistaken for French. I was again told how exceedingly unpopular the French were in Syria. It was said by those returning from the north that the French losses round Marash had been very severe, and they did not seem strong enough to do very much to put matters right.

4. Shukar Bey, Chief Clerk to the Consulate-General.—In the afternoon of the 17th instant I had a long talk with Shukar Bey, chief clerk of the British consulate. He is a relative of some old friends of mine in the Soudan, and is a most intelligent, well educated old gentleman. He knew but little about affairs in Cilicia. He thought most of the French troops had been moved north from the Beirut area. He had heard that the Turkish casualties in the fighting round Marash had been several thousand, and that Marash was now in ruins. The French are reported to have hanged fifteen. They, he said, had lost the respect of all in Syria, and with the respect had gone the fear of them. The recent fighting, in which the French losses had been most severe, had not improved matters in this respect for them. He made the statement (above quoted) about the bad type of French administrators that had been sent out, and, of course, referred to the great desire of all religious and sects of the population to see the British back once more. The British, he said, were so respected and behaved so well, unlike the French.—He said, on what authority I do not know, that the French are saying that the reason the Arabs are so well armed and organized is because the British are behind them.

5. Admiral Moray.—I called on Admiral Moray, commanding the French fleet in Syria, both at his office and private house, but was unfortunate in missing him in

6. Departure from Beirut. We left Beirut the morning of the 18th at about 7 A.M.

#### Cyprus

7. Arrival at Cyprus.—We arrived at Famagusta at 4.30 P.M. on the 18th instant. I at once went ashore and called on the Commissioner. He informed me that the

acting High Commissioner, Mr Stevenson, was at Nicosia, and promised to arrange for my journey there by motor trolley the next day.

8. Interview with the High Commissioner at Nicosia.—At 9.30 A.M., 19th instant I left Famagusta by trolley for Nicosia. I was accompanied by Lieutenant-Commander Knox Little, captain of H.M.S. "Sportive". Everything was arranged with the care for our comfort, and we were very well received.

#### Alexandretta and Northern Syria

Alexandretta. We left Famagusta on the morning of the 20th at Alexandretta at about 4.30 P.M. the same day.

the house of Mr Catoni, who had been British vice-consul before the war.

become vice-consul in his place. Mr Catoni had been in Syria at any time. It appears he was in Beirut when we were there, but the consul-general had informed me that he had already left. His son, a boy of about 18, told me he was coming in the steamship "Kaiser," which should have left Beirut for Mersina on the 18th. Young Mr Catoni was an agent in Mersina that Mr Catoni, the

I knew the Catoni family some ten years ago when I visited Alexandretta in M.S. "Lancaster." They are the principal firm in the place and are always most hospitable by all.

10. Information obtained from Mr Catoni, June 1919. Mr Catoni had not the town of Alexandretta was

pretty quiet, but in the interior there was plenty of trouble. The French were very unpopular; neither the men nor the officers were respectful to

a had class and corruption was everywhere. The bribes had to be big; with the Turks bribes anything could be managed, but the bribes had to be big; with the Turks "backshish" was the understated thing, and 25 or 30 generally met the case, but with the French bribery was a much more serious affair for the merchants. He

out. The officers, who were out of a very expensive place and filled their pockets with bribes.

As a Syrian lady expressed it: "Les Anglais ont envoyés les Français." The French Government chartered

being furthered at the expense of other nationalities, and French Government chartered ships were competing for cargo at lower rates.

He gave me details of the affair which occurred three or four weeks ago at Kiro Khan in which a French post consisting of eight men and one officer were attacked and

officer and most of the men killed. The officer it was reported, was captured and sent to Hamman and defeated them, but only after their post

and men surrounded and nearly wiped out. It was said that the Arabs were strong with machine guns and grenades, and well trained. Fifty prisoners were

and rigidly were reported to have been killed. The French say their casualties were only two. Two of the prisoners, who were Kurdish brigands, were shot at

Alexandretta subsequently. Since then as far as was known there had been no fighting

11. Interview with French Civil Administrator.—On the morning of the 21st instant, when Mr Stevenson had not arrived, I went ashore after breakfast and presented myself to the French major officiating as the Civil Administrator of

Alexandretta. He received me very courteously and expressed pleasure that a man-of-war had arrived in port, as he seemed to think its effect would be good. He also appeared to think it a reasonable proposition to make stipulations as to the safety of British subjects in the

future. He frankly regarded the situation as being in an "état de guerre." There were stated that the country could be considered as being in an "état de guerre." There were

He referred to the incidents I have mentioned at Kiro Khan and Hamman and gave more or less the same account.

As he was only an administrator I had better call on the Military Commandant of the district, who would be able to give me details about the military situation.

12. *Interview with the Military Commandant of the Alexandretta Sanjak*—I accordingly went from his office to call on the Military Commandant Colonel Lebienvre, whom I found to be a most charming man and most friendly inclined towards the British.

He at once offered to explain the military situation to me. He said he had divided the Alexandretta sanjak into four districts and had a fortified post in each at Antioch, Harim (south of the Lake of Antioch) and one 15 miles north of Hamman an Kurri Khan (north of the lake). These posts were strongly defended with barbed wire and machine guns. He was confident that they would withstand any attack. The garrison he did not state. In addition to these he had two mobile columns, one operating north of the lake and one south. These columns consisted of all arms and moved about wherever required.

I obtained no exact numbers on this occasion from him, but was subsequently informed by a Mr. Kennedy (an account of my interview is given later) that the French estimated that their forces in the Alexandretta sanjak were some 5,000, which was pretty sure the correct number was at the most not more than half of this, (this was corroborated later by the Commandant himself); and he had travelled about a lot and had had the opportunity of judging. Further, the majority of the troops consisted of North Africans and Senegalese. The interior, the colonel indicated, was in a state of war where only armed forces could move about. Since the battle at Hamman the district had been quiet, that is to say, there had been no encounter on a large scale with the Arabs. He had heard that morning, however, that a force of 4,000 Sherifian troops had collected at Idlib (south of his area) and he was expecting them to be moved against his forces. He also expected a force might be moved northwards round his flank from Aleppo and was endeavouring to find out if this was happening. There were 200 Sherifian cavalry near Hamman, he said. The communications were in a terrible state owing to the weather. He had no aeroplane to assist in reconnaissance, he used to have two, but they had been moved north. He was glad to see a man-of-war as he thought it would calm the populace. He had not asked for one to be sent as he could not justify it, but he nevertheless indicated that he was glad to see one, even one not French. He said the French and English must act together in these matters. He quite understood the necessity for enquiring about the safety of the British subjects and took it as a matter of course. He talked of bodies of brigades, 200 strong, moving about and said the Kurd-Dagh just north east of his area was full of Kurdi.

Leaving on the morning of the 22nd, I had a further interview with Colonel Lebienvre. He had recently been given the C.M.G., and I took him a piece of ribbon that I had cut from my coat, which gratified him very much.

He said he had no further news of the 4,000 Arabs at Idlib. He had asked for an aeroplane to make a reconnaissance. He thought they really had the intention of attacking his post at Harim, but hoped it would be able to hold out all right. He did not expect the attack for some weeks, owing to the difficult state of the country due to the rains. He showed me a copy of his most recent report. The Arab forces against the French are in league with the Sherifian troops on the other. The work with these two parties. The bands are organized about a couple of hundred strong and for their officers and instructors have ex-Turkish officers from the Nationalists and Arab officers and instructors from the Emir Faisal. Their aim is the total expulsion of the French from Cilicia, the complete integrity of the Turkish Empire and the propagation of pan-Islamism. Their immediate objects are to push the French back towards the sea in the Adana area, where they are weak. In the recent battle at Hamman the Arabs hoped to defeat the French and drive them from Alexandretta.

He complained to me of the lack of support given from France and the small number of reinforcements sent. They would have to send many more later on, he said. In the southern part of his area about 17,000 rifles had been distributed, he had been informed. At Aleppo there was a Sherifian division. As regards his own troops in the sanjak, they only totaled the strength of a regiment all told, but he had sent a lot north, where it appears the situation is considerably more serious.

Reinforcements were arriving at Mersina from Constantinople, and he inquired of me if I knew what regiment had come. He had heard a rumour just before my arrival that General Gouraud had agreed to give most of the southern part of the sanjak, including Antioch to Faisal, but he did not believe a word of it.

I had a casual glance at a map of Cilicia on the colonel's wall, and got the impression that there was a strength of about four infantry brigades approximately in the south.

13. *Interview with Rev. Kennedy, of American Mission*—After lunch I had a long and most interesting talk with a Mr. Kennedy, of the American Mission. He is an Ulsterman by birth but now speaks with a strong American accent. He moves about the interior and has much touch with many persons in the interior. Not much news was obtained from him.

14. *Interview with Mr. Hadkinson*—On the morning of the 22nd instant I went ashore early to see Mr. Hadkinson, an English resident in Alexandretta, before leaving for Mersina. Mr. Hadkinson was employed by the Egyptian Expeditionary Force on intelligence work during the war, and now is interested in mines in the interior. I did not get much information of value from him, as he could merely repeat rumours for the most part, and his figures seemed to me very exaggerated. He was going to the Smyrna.

15. *Corroborated my previous information about the unpopularity of the French in the interior*—He corroborated my previous information about the unpopularity of the French in the interior. He said that the French were sending to administer the country, and to their unpopularity among both Christians and Mahomedans alike. On the morning of the 22nd instant I went ashore early to see Mr. Hadkinson, an English resident in Alexandretta, before leaving for Mersina.

Mr. Hadkinson was employed by the Egyptian Expeditionary Force on intelligence work during the war, and now is interested in mines in the interior. I did not get much information of value from him, as he could merely repeat rumours for the most part, and his figures seemed to me very exaggerated. He was going to the Smyrna.

16. *Arrival at Mersina*—On my arrival at Mersina at about 4 p.m. on the 22nd instant I went to the "Kench," which was in port, and found that Mr. Catoni had arrived. I accordingly went ashore and on arrival was met by the French. I found Mr. Catoni in his office. He said that the French were sending to administer the country, and to their unpopularity among both Christians and Mahomedans alike. On the morning of the 22nd instant I went ashore early to see Mr. Hadkinson, an English resident in Alexandretta, before leaving for Mersina.

17. *Interview with Mr. Catoni, Senr.*—I found Mr. Catoni in his office. He said that the French were sending to administer the country, and to their unpopularity among both Christians and Mahomedans alike. On the morning of the 22nd instant I went ashore early to see Mr. Hadkinson, an English resident in Alexandretta, before leaving for Mersina.

18. *Interview with Mr. Catoni, Senr.*—I found Mr. Catoni in his office. He said that the French were sending to administer the country, and to their unpopularity among both Christians and Mahomedans alike. On the morning of the 22nd instant I went ashore early to see Mr. Hadkinson, an English resident in Alexandretta, before leaving for Mersina.

19. *Interview with Mr. Catoni, Senr.*—I found Mr. Catoni in his office. He said that the French were sending to administer the country, and to their unpopularity among both Christians and Mahomedans alike. On the morning of the 22nd instant I went ashore early to see Mr. Hadkinson, an English resident in Alexandretta, before leaving for Mersina.



and very much owing to the propaganda, the troops of the French and their loss of prestige, due to the débâcle at Marash. French officers, Mr. Catoni said, stated openly they thought the situation critical if ample reinforcements did not come. Some were certainly arriving; several thousand had already landed at Mersina and had gone up to Adana for the protection of that place, and 4,000 or 5,000 had landed at Alexandretta and had left with all speed possible for Kilis, the French headquarters. This was at the time of the Marash incident. At Mersina tanks also had arrived, but they had been unable to land them. French officers were talking of 30,000 reinforcements which were coming from Salonica, and others also talked of troops coming from Marseilles. Mr. Catoni did not think that in all Syria and Cilicia the French had more than about 15,000 at the time of the Marash incident. He thought the French were in a very bad position.

If the French had been told, were making defences round Mersina, Alexandretta and Beilan.

He corroborated all previous information about French unpopularity and corruption. The officers, he said, were a poor class and not well off. They said when they arrived "Nous ne sommes pas venus ici pour sucer le noyau d'abricot," and they certainly were not doing so. The French Government were spending no money on improving the country. All people now looked against hope that the British might return. Christian, bitterest enemies the French now had were the Armenians. He had heard, but I could get no corroboration of this, that the French troops had also been withdrawn from Antakya to Kilis.

Mr. Catoni's account of things was possibly tinged by that exaggeration which characterises the conversation of most Levantines, but he is a pretty sound man, I think, and in the main much of what he said was corroborated by other informants and may be taken as fairly correct.

18. Interview with French Military Administrator at Mersina.—The same evening that I landed I called on the French Military Administrator of the town.

He expressed pleasure at making my acquaintance and told me the situation was quite satisfactory. I asked him if he had any news of the situation at Marash. He replied "yes," that they had that morning had very good news. I replied I was

French control, but he continued: "We have this morning heard that our troops have successfully reached Islatieh (some 30 miles south of Marash), and during the march had only eight casualties. The poor Armenians, of course, I fear lost many from cold they say about 1,000. And now, of course, the Turks are saying that they have been driven out of Marash. But this, of course, is laughable. The only reason we left was because in this weather it was hard to get supplies. You see the roads are bad and the villages around are destroyed!" After that, having expressed pleasure at hearing him "good news," I left him, as it did not seem I should get much of value from

him, however, that there had been a "Times" correspondent, Mr. Bennett by name, in the town and went to try and find him. I found him at the local Ritz and arranged to have an interview with him early next morning. He had been a fortnight

at Marash and several days at Adana.

19. Interview with French Correspondent. Mr. Bennett informed me that he was the French Correspondent, Administrateur en Chef des Territoires ennemis occupés, Nord (Cilicie). He greeted Mr. Bennett with the reassuring statement that he was very fond of the English, in fact he was. He said the situation was grave, and the reason for the trouble was the propaganda of British intelligence agents. Here he differentiated somewhat. He said that his experience was that British officers from India and Egypt were much worse intriguers than those from France. Having thus created a comfortable "atmosphere" he gave Mr. Bennett a résumé of the situation. As Mr. Bennett's account differs somewhat from others, particularly from the diary of Americans who were at Marash, it is perhaps worth recounting it, it being realised that it is a gallicised edition.

On about the 30th January some of Mustafa Kemal's men came down and massacred about 3,000 Armenians at Marash. The French sent a force to punish them, and gathered about 5,000 Turks had been killed in this affair. Mustafa Kemal's troops were

put down by Colonel Brémont as about 25,000 in all Cilicia. These troops sent an ultimatum to the Armenians on the 10th February. The Armenians apparently found out they were going at the last moment, and some 4,000 seem to have followed them. A French officer told Mr. Bennett they could not let it out beforehand to the Armenians or it would have got to the Turks! The French losses in Marash were admitted by Colonel Brémont to have been 800, but he stated these were all from front-line. In view of what I saw in the American's diary, I make bold to deny this statement. Colonel Brémont admitted to Mr. Bennett that there was really no need to have evacuated Marash.

Mr. Bennett was informed that the day after the evacuation the Turks entered the town, and the 16,000 Armenians remaining there were massacred. Of those that escaped with the French, 1,300 died on the way to Islatieh. Mr. Bennett was given a map of the French line, which now runs, roughly, from Adana along the southern border of the sanjak of Marash to Islatieh. He believed that all the train services to Aleppo was stopped, and had heard that the insurgents were always pulling up the line.

In the opinion of Colonel Brémont, reinforcements were coming in satisfactory, and the French, he stated, were going to take immediate steps to retake Marash, but Mr. Bennett got the impression that there were extraordinarily few French troops in Cilicia, say 15,000 at the outside. He found the town of Adana quiet, but the population very nervous and jumpy. The recent affair in the interior, he said, had put the whole Armenian population very much against the French. Colonel Brémont expressed it as his opinion that once the peace terms were published the population would settle down.

20. Dr. Peoples, Medical Officer with American Mission, Mersina.—I had a long talk with Dr. Peoples, Medical Officer with American Mission, Mersina. He is a broad-shouldered capable man, and was in touch with all persons coming in from the interior.

He was very glad to be able to tell a "Britisher" what he thought about the situation. He gave me an account of what he had heard had taken place at Marash (which is identical with what I read in the American's diary, of which I give later).

Dr. Peoples thought the situation in the interior was serious, and was of opinion that Mersina was safe, yet he would not be surprised to see it attacked. The Armenians were terribly unpopular and were liked by no one. Even the Armenians now hated them. Since the bad way they had behaved up at Marash the natives neither respected nor feared them. He thought that they had behaved disgracefully in not taking more energetic measures in Marash. If they were seriously attacked in the near future he did not think their chances were rosy. They had no "pep." He thought there were some of Mustafa Kemal's men about 45 miles from Mersina and 25 miles from Solisli, at a place called Mara.

The natives would not be content if the French stopped. They wanted the Americans or British.

After a long conversation he took me to the American Mission, where I saw the diary of Mr. Crathorne, of the American Mission, who was at Marash during the fighting.

21. Mr. Crathorne's Diary on the Marash Incident.—Mr. Crathorne's diary starts with the beginning of the fighting at Marash on the 21st January.

It has been very hard to get correct details as to what led up to the trouble, but the following, I fancy, pretty correct.

The French had occupied Marash some little time before the fighting began. Some 1,000 to 3,000 troops, of whom the majority were black. They brought up with or immediately after them, a contingent of the Armenian Legion and backed up in certain acts against the Turks, such as the occupation of Zeitun, and, thus supported, the Armenians probably added fuel to the fire of Turkish wrath by their arrogance, feeling the French were behind them.

This seems to have culminated on the 21st January in the French having to arrest the mutasarrif of the town, which in turn fanned the fire of simmering resentment of the Turks into a blaze, for shooting at once started. The Turks in the town, supported by bands from outside, attacked the Armenians and French.

This is where the diary starts and goes on to show that until the night of the 10th February, when the French evacuated the place, a continual fight went on in the town in which guns, machine-guns and rifles were used.

The French commandant (General Querette), seems to have lost complete control of the situation and to have made no effort to restore order with his troops. Although

at the commencement of the trouble he informed the Americans he intended 'to strike, and strike hard,' all he seems to have done is to shell the Turkish positions and also set fire to certain districts, thereby causing the fighting to be confined (23rd January). During all this time many men, women and children, were being massacred most brutally by the Turks, according to the testimony of the American missionary. It is said that the victims amounted to about 300. He mentioned also that there were many French casualties, so it appears the French were also suffering heavily. Although it is not mentioned in his diary (to the best of my belief), yet the Turks were said by General Gouraud to have made use of two guns as well as machine-guns.

During this twenty days' "siege" the French began to run short of supplies, as three convoys coming to them were captured and the escorts killed.

The French measures seem to have been most feeble. Beyond shelling and burning certain parts of the town, they appear to have done nothing. The mutessarif was released and sent to quiet the fighting, but could do no good.

On the 27th the Turks sent an ultimatum to the French. The Armenians heard on the 28th that 700 French troops were coming to their relief and fighting hard, and finally, on the 7th February, a relief force "fought its way into the town." On the 9th February General Querette informed the Americans that he had received orders to evacuate the town that night, but at their earnest request delayed it twenty-four hours. The evacuation was carried out in the most severe weather, and the behaviour of the French troops to the Armenians, who had tried to escape with them when they found them going, does not seem to have been of the gentlest, according to Dr. Peoplen.

The accounts of the various atrocities witnessed by Dr Graythorne in Marmarice or the vicinities of the American Mission is not necessary to relate.

The end of the story was told me by the French administrative commandant, Marmarice, when he said "the news from Marmarice is very good the French reached Ishakieh with eight casualties only en route," and there for the present the French intend to "strike, and strike hard" again or are possibly struck.

#### Summary

After so brief a visit it may be said that any attempt to gauge correctly the situation in Syria and Cilicia is presumptuous, but the following is my impression of the state of affairs in these districts.

In Syria there is discontent with the French rule, but no open resistance to it, and from a military point of view the situation there does not give cause for alarm.

All through Syria and Cilicia the French have now become most unpopular with Syrian Christians and Armenians as well as with the Mohammedans.

This dislike has found open expression in active revolt in Northern Syria, where in the Alexandretta hinterland a state of war exists and several strong attacks had been made on the French. The French troops are few, and unless reinforcements are sent the situation may become grave should the Arabs attack in the strength of the coming summer.

The French have made attractive advances to the Kurds. The Kurds are not entertained them, because what they wanted was to be under the British in some way or other. He repeated what he has often said that he was himself the one man who could command the adhesion of all Kurdistan and had trouble, was, he declared, brewing for the spring. He entreated the Government to utilise him and his people to counteract the activities of Turkish Kurds and to hold up any Bolshevik advance.

The gist of Said Abdul Kadir's argument regarding the future of Kurdistan was that the Kurds wanted British protection and no other; that they would be content to remain under the Turkish flag provided they had complete autonomy secured to them by His Majesty's Government, that they did not want Kurdistan to be divided into small States under different protections, which was rumoured to be the solution now in favour in Paris; that they must not be placed under Armenian domination in predominantly Kurdish districts, for if they were, in power on earth, not even the Kurds were willing to accept any Armeno-Kurdish frontier determined by the Conference.

I said that as regards the Bolshevik danger the Grand Vizier had spoken to me almost in the same sense as to the possibility of utilising the real strength which lay

times during my ten days' stay on H.M.S. "Sportive" by the Captain, Lieutenant-Commander Knox-Little and officers.

Lieutenant-Commander Knox-Little gave me every possible assistance and facility in carrying out the duty I had been detailed to perform and I am most genuinely grateful for his ever ready help.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the captain and officers of H.M.S. "Sportive" for the hospitality shown me on my return journey from Marmarice to Constantinople.

I have, &c.

S. S. BUTLER,

Lieutenant-Commander, G.S.O. (N.).

Marmarice, February 24, 1920

E 1776 11 44

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de B. de B. to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 18)

(N. 306.)

M. Lord,

Constantinople, March 2, 1920

WITH reference to my telegram No. 169 of the 27th February, I have the honour to transmit herewith a memorandum by Mr. Ryan on the attitude of Said Abdul Kadir, Sherif Paşa and other persons connected with the Kurdish

2. The previous memorandum referred to in the last paragraph of the enclosed paper is that which I forwarded in my despatch No. 211 of the 28th January, 1920. I would suggest that the two papers should be read in conjunction with each other.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBERT K. High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 12

Memorandum by Mr. Ryan

SAID ABDUL KADIR, the Kurdish leader, who had not been to the High Commission since his visit to Mr. Holder on the 8th December came to see me on the 21st February, and made a most vehement appeal to His Majesty's Government to adopt a policy in regard to Kurdistan which would square with Kurdish aspirations.

Abdul Kadir Effendi said that he seemed to be leaving things to the French in Kurdistan. On my saying that the recent changes affected only Cilicia and that, so far as I knew, there was no decision as to the wide spaces between Cilicia and the Persian frontier, he said his own correspondence from Paris led him to believe that the French were going to stretch up to Siraa, Kharpout and a good deal further.

The French, he said, had made attractive advances to the Kurds. The Kurds are not entertained them, because what they wanted was to be under the British in some way or other. He repeated what he has often said that he was himself the one man who could command the adhesion of all Kurdistan and had trouble, was, he declared, brewing for the spring. He entreated the Government to utilise him and his people to counteract the activities of Turkish Kurds and to hold up any Bolshevik advance.

The gist of Said Abdul Kadir's argument regarding the future of Kurdistan was that the Kurds wanted British protection and no other; that they would be content to remain under the Turkish flag provided they had complete autonomy secured to them by His Majesty's Government, that they did not want Kurdistan to be divided into small States under different protections, which was rumoured to be the solution now in favour in Paris; that they must not be placed under Armenian domination in predominantly Kurdish districts, for if they were, in power on earth, not even the Kurds were willing to accept any Armeno-Kurdish frontier determined by the Conference.

I said that as regards the Bolshevik danger the Grand Vizier had spoken to me almost in the same sense as to the possibility of utilising the real strength which lay



back of the weak Caucasian republics as a barrier against Bolshevism. Only, the Grand Vizier made no distinction between Turks and Kurds, and was in favour of collaboration of Turkey as he conceived it at the time. He was in favour of collaboration of Kurds who claimed to be very distinct from the Turks and for whom the Turks behind would be a hostile force and therefore a source of weakness. Said Abdul Kadir insisted that all the Moslems the side of the frontier were Kurds, and that if they were to be used at all as a barrier against Bolshevism, it must be as Kurds.

In my observing on the division among the Kurds themselves and on the fact that the sons of Ibrahim Pasha, for instance, seemed now to have definitely gone against us, Said Abdul Kadir once more protested that if only Great Britain would help the Kurds, the Kurds would unite and do all we wanted of them.

This is a short summary of a very long conversation. I have never seen Said Abdul Kadir without being impressed with his sincerity. He doubtless over estimates his influence, but I believe it to be very great. I believe him to be definitely anti Turkish, and more particularly anti-Committee. The religious motive weighs a great deal with him, and I think it is for that reason that he now favours autonomy under the Turkish flag, as he is probably faithful at heart to the Caliphate, though disloyal to the Sultanate. I feel strongly that there is too great a tendency in Bagdad both to mistrust him and to underestimate his importance. I have no direct knowledge of Sheikh Taha, but I cannot see what claim he has established to confidence. He has done so far. If we are backing Kurdish chieftains at all, I think we should back him.

Said Abdul Kadir's fears about the partition of Kurdistan into several small States is based on a very definite proposition in a letter from Sherif Pasha. In this letter Sherif Pasha says he understands that what is now in view is a Northern Kurdistan under French auspices and a Southern Kurdistan under British. He mentions Jexré (the Bedrihan headquarters, as being in the south) and speaks of the alleged loss of influence by Abdul Kadir as compared with Sherif Taha in the Sheikhan area. The Kurds here infer from the letter that there is an intention of administering the French and British zones as collections of small States under local chiefs.

Sherif Pasha declares himself irreconcilably opposed to partition, and suggests that it would be better to maintain Kurdistan as an undivided whole under Turkish sovereignty, but with autonomy. The Kurds here seem divided. It will be seen by what precedes that Abdul Kadir does not reject the idea of Turkish sovereignty, but he wants guaranteed autonomy, and he wants himself to rule the roost. The Bedrihan Kurds mistrust the Turks, and do not appear irreconcilable to the proposed partition.

Personally, I think it probable that Sherif Pasha has been got at by the Turks. There have been various indications lately of a rapprochement between him and the Turkish Government.

In a previous memorandum I have suggested that His Majesty's Government should study the advisability of a new policy in this country on the basis of open collaboration with the extremists of the national movement, collaboration with a bloc of Kurds, the maintenance of a relatively large Turkey and effective, though veiled, foreign control (mainly Anglo-French) over the whole of that Turkey. If this policy is adopted, the Kurds should be brought into the scheme by assuring to them a considerable though carefully controlled rôle in the administration of the regions in which their hereditary and religious influence counts. I cannot but think that this would produce better results than formal separation of Kurdistan from Turkey, followed by a formal partition into English and French protectorates. Recent events justify the belief that there will be trouble wherever the French are given an exclusive position, and such trouble will, like the trouble in Cilicia to-day, invariably have reflexes on both sides of the purely French area.

A R.

British High Commission, Constantinople,  
February 24, 1920

[E 1777/3/44]

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 18.)

(No. 207. Secret.)

Constantinople, March 1, 1920

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to inform your Lordship that information, which has reached the War Office, that the Turkish War Office, as well as the Turkish War Office, has been attacked the French forces in the Marash area.

2. From this information it appears that:

- The general officer commanding, XIIIth Army Corps at Diarbekir has distributed arms and ammunition from depôts to the Nationalists, and the general officer commanding, XVth Army Corps, Erzeroum, was making arrangements to issue to the Nationalists arms and ammunition from the Erzeroum district.
- The War Office has issued orders to the general officer commanding, XVth Army Corps, Erzeroum, to distribute to the neighbouring army corps and to the Nationalists the arms and ammunition belonging to troops disbanded after the Armistice.
- The general officer commanding, XVth Army Corps, Erzeroum, ordered the divisional commander to distribute arms to the villages near Hassan Kale on the frontier.
- The War Office ordered the general officer commanding, XIIIth Army Corps, Diarbekir, to comply as far as possible with demands for arms and ammunition.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK

High Commissioner

[E 1784/3/44]

No. 120

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 18.)

No. 316.)

Constantinople, March 4, 1920

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith for your Lordship's information a copy of a report by Dr. W. Nesbitt Chambers, of the American Near East Relief Organisation concerning the disturbances at Marash, and copy of a diary written by Dr. Crathorn of the American Young Men's Christian Association, who was in Marash during the siege.

3. These papers are of a great interest as being the first detailed and reliable accounts of what has happened.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK, High Commissioner

Enclosure 1 in No. 120

Dr. Chambers to Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck

Near East Rel. 1, 13, Rue Petite-Champs, Constantinople

I HAVE the honour to hand to you the enclosed memorandum concerning the disturbances at Marash for your use as you may think fit.

I have also sent you a copy of a diary written by Dr. Crathorn of the American Young Men's Christian Association, who was in Marash all through the siege. I hand it to you for your perusal, and that you may cull from it any information that you would care to use, and I have the honour to remain, yours very truly,

W. NESBITT CHAMBERS.

*Memorandum concerning the Marash Disturbances of January 21 to February 10, 1920.*

Dear Sir,

I HEREWITH hand you the following, as embodying information obtained from sources which appeared to be quite reliable, concerning the situation which developed into the sad tragedy of Marash, of the 21st January to the 10th February of the year 1920.

When the British gave place to French occupation on the 29th November, 1919, the situation was tranquil and the transfer was made without any untoward incident, with the exception of the shooting in the city of an Armenian in the French military service, from which, however, no serious results developed. The relationships between the Turks and Armenians were not marked by any hostile demonstration, although a considerable quantity of property had been and was being restored to the Armenians lately returned from exile. This seemed to cause some annoyance to the return of these properties was carried out by the Turks under British auspices.

My informant declares that when the Turks heard that the British were to withdraw they were greatly elated. When it was declared that the French would take the place of the British a person named Loutfi Effendi, a leader of the Marash movement, declared that Marash "would become another Smyrna."

The French forces which occupied Marash were composed of the French military service, together with about 30 Algerian cavalry and 80 French infantry. A number of other troops in the French service were also present. The total number in the occupation of Marash to over 2000.

About the middle of December, M. Andrée, Governor of Damascus, came to Marash, bringing with him a force of mounted gendarmes, made up of Turks, Kurds, Circassians and Armenians, the latter numbering about a dozen. It was understood he came as the Governor of Marash, and he was received by the leading Turkish officials and other notables of the city.

It was the custom for the Turks to fly the Turkish flag over the citadel every Friday. On the Friday preceding M. Andrée's entrance an order had been issued that the flag was not removed. On the following Friday, however, there was much discussion amongst the Turks, and many were unwilling to go to the mosque until the flag was removed. At the citadel at the time, they apparently made no objection to the raising of the flag, which was done. This all resulted in great excitement and apprehension in the city, during which a patrol of Algerian cavalry was sent through the city to keep order. Some Turks were arrested and later released. Nothing further resulted from the flag and the flag continued to fly. M. Andrée and his gendarmes left the day following. Things were apparently quiet until the middle of January.

Along in January a few murders occurred in the neighbourhood. The French sent some houses, but burnt no villages.

About the middle of January it was noticed that the Turks were repairing walls, and feared that something serious was intended.

At this time the French began more seriously to interfere with the civil administration, and on Sunday, the 18th January, the Turks sent a communication to the French in which they demanded that there should be no interference with the civil administration on the part of the French, that no objection should be made to the flying of the Turkish flag, and that the Armenian volunteers in the French army should be sent away. Following this, about 500 of the Armenian volunteers were sent away, leaving between 200 and 300 still in the service in Marash. At the same time, the Turks made a proposition to the Armenians, to come to an understanding with them, to which the Armenians gave an indefinite answer, and asked advice of the French. A second Conference of the Armenians and Turks was to have been held on the 20th January, but on that day the chief hodja of Marash, Dai-aded, sent word

The French military officer in command at Marash at this time was General Quirette, who was in Marash about fifteen days. Since the 18th, the day of the Turkish

Turks had opened their shops. The Armenians and the market was empty. The Armenians had begun to leave the city, and there was great apprehension, since armed Turks from the city had come in in considerable numbers.

On the morning of the 21st, the French called to headquarters a number of leading Turks, and civilians, including the Mutesarrif, the Chief of Police and the Gendarmes Commandant. The last two returned shortly to their duties for the preservation of order in the city. The others remained at French headquarters. Later, the Mutesarrif was also allowed to return. Later, in the forenoon, my informant saw the Mutesarrif and the Chief of Police at the citadel along with the gendarmes. The civilians soon scattered to different quarters of the city. Then he saw the gendarmes issue from the bulking of the citadel and crouch behind ramparts. In a short while shots were fired from that point. At this signal thousands of rifles came out from all over the city. A French guard at the entrance to the American hospital was among the first to be killed. The Turks were very well armed.

Albustan and Ha-akjik, and military depots and other places. The Armenians had comparatively few arms, and seldom fired unless their houses were attacked.

The fighting continued for twenty-one days; the first day the French did not reply. Many of the inhabitants were killed by the rifle fire, but the Turks also set fire to the various buildings, where Armenians had taken refuge and in one place about 200 were burned. They likewise carried out massacres in isolated and defenceless quarters. It is estimated that during the days from the 21st January to the 10th February, between 2,500 and 4,000 Armenians were killed, about half the city ruined. It is impossible to give any estimate of Turkish casualties.

On the 10th the French evacuated the town. Their headquarters in one of the American buildings, and French soldiers were quartered in various parts of the city. On the 7th February the advance guard of a relieving column was seen approaching the city. It bombarded the Turkish positions, causing evident consternation amongst them. The Turks were seen to be in communication with the Americans with a view to coming through them to an understanding with the French. There is ground for believing that the Turks were anxious for cessation of the fighting.

After the evacuation of the town, the Armenians were able to come away with the French. Of these about 1,000 reached the railroad at Lalash in a condition of destitution. Another party, estimated to be about 2,000, a few hours later, attempted to follow, but were cut to pieces before they could get out of the city. Only about 200 got away, and of these only about a score succeeded in getting through to safety.

and that during the trouble the French had about 3,000 troops in the city, of whom it is said they lost about 800. Food had become very scarce.

Of these 3,000 to 4,000 perished at the time of the French withdrawal. 2,000 about 1,000 perished on the trek with the French forces between Marash and Lalash, constituting a loss of 6,000 or 7,000 Armenians up to the 18th February. Since that date we have no definite information as to the condition of the Armenians in the city. It is estimated to be between 15,000 and 16,000 remaining, but a late telegram received from the Americans in Marash speaks of 10,000 destitute people. The Americans seem to be safe.

W. NESBITT CHAMBERS.



## Enclosure 3 in No. 120

Extracts from Diary of Y M C A Secretary Crathern concerning the Siege and War in Marash, January 20 to February 11, 1918

SECRETARY CRATHERN had been in Marash for the purpose of organizing a Y M C A. On the 20th January he attempted to return to Aintab in an A.C.R.N.E. auto, with Paul Seuder as chauffeur and Miss Schultz and Lieutenant Querry of the French army and three Armenians as passengers. On reaching the hill leading to the summit of the mountain we ran into a pitched battle between the Algerian cavalry and Turkish bandits. We deemed it advisable to turn back, and on doing so a hundred more shots were fired at us by the bandits on the mountain. Several bullets penetrated the car and one hit and splintered the cross-section of the steering wheel which flew into the faces of the chauffeur and Secretary Crathern. Mr Crathern threw an American flag from the car hoping that the firing would cease, but it had no effect on the Turks. By a miracle the car escaped, being negotiated down the hill at 40 miles an hour which was the only thing that saved the party. We returned to Marash without further incident and reported the matter to the General Staff.

January 21. Secretary Crathern sent the following telegram to Consul Jackson at Aleppo, Admiral Bristol, of Constantinople:—

"American flag fired on repeatedly and the lives of American citizens threatened and imperilled in Marash and Aintab.  
Inform Major Arnold of the Relief Commission and Y M C A headquarters.  
C. F. H. Crathern, Secretary."

These telegrams were O.K'd by General Querry, of the French Staff and I was assured by Turkish and French officials at the telegraph office that the telegram would be sent without fail within half-an-hour. After sending this telegram I walked through the city with Mr Kerr and an interpreter. The houses and the shops were all closed and the Turks were getting together in little groups all over the city, only a few Armenians were to be seen in the thoroughfares. About 1 o'clock, while at the dinner table, we heard the crash of guns, and knew that the conflict that had been threatening so long had now broken out. Before the first shot was fired I found, on reaching the missionary compound, a company of Turkish officials including the Mutassarif, a Turkish hodja and other notables. These, I understood from Mr Lyman, had come to interview me for a purpose which I did not learn. As I found later that they had been detained by the French officials and placed under arrest. This, I presume, was the cause of the first shot being fired by the Turks. The French commandant had informed us earlier in the day that they had determined to strike and to strike hard.

After the first shot was fired we ran to the front balcony where we had a commanding view of the whole city. There was quite a long cannonading and many of the windows of the city were turned into small forts from which the sound of shooting would issue every few minutes, answered by the machine-guns of the French. The Armenians have been driven into one of our compounds where they come to receive aid, clothes, and will have to stay all night as it will be unsafe for them to go home. The fighting and firing have been going on all the afternoon and now it is nearly midnight and there is no cessation. A French sentinel guarding the entrance to the American hospital was shot dead and another wounded. Bullets also passed the hospital and wounded an Armenian girl. What the morning will bring forth we do not know. I fear that the worst is not over.

January 22.

We were awakened this morning by the boom of guns, and saw quite early the flash of exploding shells. The Turks are firing from a number of houses, and as they are using smokeless powder it is impossible to see where the bullets come from. The French soldiers have suffered seriously, and many of them we hear, are now lying dead and wounded in the streets, and their companions are unable to render them any assistance until night because of the danger arising from the sharpshooters. The American hospital has again been attacked, and doctors and nurses have had very

narrow escapes. The mission buildings have as yet escaped damage, and we do not anticipate any assault as the Turks are not prepared for aggressive warfare. The French general with his staff officers was on our balcony this afternoon to sight approaching Turks who were coming over the mountains on their way to the city. The general gave orders for a gun to be fired with sixty-five shells, which were scattered them in all directions.

January 23.

The battle is still on, but there is no way of getting now. Everything is at a standstill. To-day we have been watching the Turkish army by the French. In some sections it is very severe, and excited great consternation. It gave many opportunities for looting and pillage and, I fear, murder. Through our glasses we could see Armenians creeping from their houses and fleeing like jack rabbits, who were shooting them down like jack rabbits. Other Turks were hiding in the fields behind rocks, trees and manure heaps, and shooting at those who had escaped the Turks in the city. It was pitiful to see them throw up their hands and scream, while attempting to escape. We watched them fleeing over the hills until they reached our compound, some dropping wounded by the way, and others staggering into the mission grounds with wild eyes and purple faces, telling of an awful massacre just beginning.

January 24.

This is the fourth day of the siege, and every day becomes more painful and tragic as time wears on. To-day we held a consultation and decided to interview the French general.

Armenians in the General quarter of Marash.

From the Armenians running for their lives across the fields, and from the actual facts, as coming from the mouths of eye-witnesses, we interviewed people who had escaped the massacre. They told most harrowing stories. One woman saw seven killed before her eyes. Mothers had children taken out of their arms and trampled up with them. An old man and two hundred perished in one street.

The Turks came to reach our compound. Other general staff, who listened very respectfully and laid these facts before the general and his staff, who listened very respectfully and said the situation was very grave, and that they would take strenuous efforts to cope with it. Wounded soldiers are being brought in to our hospital and several operations have been performed. Yesterday the Mutassarif was released from French custody for the purpose of interviewing the leaders and bringing about a cessation of hostilities. He went back to the Government building under the protection of a white flag with an ultimatum from the general that if the Turks did not surrender in twenty-four hours he would bombard the city. To-day the Mutassarif telephoned to headquarters that it was impossible for him to prevail with the leaders to cease operations, as he had no control over them, and was even in danger of his own life. At 3 o'clock, when the time of the ultimatum had expired, we heard the boom of guns. The bombardment of the city had commenced. The guns were kept busy all day.

At 5 o'clock the colonel came to the house and said they had decided to burn certain sections of the city from which the Turks were sniping Armenians and soldiers whenever they appeared. At night the city is in total darkness.

Whenever we go from one compound to another we have to creep under the walls in order to escape shot and shell. There is the most intense excitement every minute of the day, and every compound is thronged with frightened refugees who have escaped during the night, and are alarmed lest their people, whom they have left behind should become the victims of massacre, or fire, or starvation. Women are

are feeding nearly 2,000 orphans and refugees, and with only a few days supply of bread the problem is a grave one. To-day we raised the American flag, but no sooner had we raised it to the mast than the salute of a dozen guns sent us scampering to cover. I have just timed by my wrist-watch thirty-three shots in one minute. The machine-guns are picking away like so many giant woodpeckers, and the sharp crack of the rifle is continuous. Last night five Armenian soldiers were sent out by the French disguised as Turkish gendarmes to reach the nearest telegraph station at Issakie, 15 miles away. Each was the bearer of a long telegram in cipher from the general.

asking that supplies and reinforcements be sent immediately. Whether they will reach their destination or not we do not know. It is risky business, as the whole country is in a flame of revolt. How soon the issue will be decided it is hard to determine. The capture of the last two caravans of munitions and foodstuffs by bandits between Marash and Aintab make that way of escape or relief impossible. But while the days are exciting the nights are increasingly so. For while the great guns are booming, soldiers are creeping stealthily forth with benzene torches and hand grenades to set fire to different parts of the city. It is sometimes like Dante's Inferno. I have had to move my bed back into a water quarter of the room, as a bullet came through the window into the hallway and nearly passed through my door.

January 25

The situation here is unique. We are besieged by an invisible army. I see few enemy soldiers in sight, and these are seen only through our glass-covered covers, or hurrying out of their trenches, or stealing over the mountains in little groups to reach the city. We have not been out of our own compounds for seven days, even behind our own walls we are not safe against attack. The French have wireless, no aeroplane, no telegraph, no armoured cars, and, to make the situation worse, neither food nor ammunition for an extended siege. They have no supplies, not knowing how long the siege may last or what the rest of Turkey is in the same state of war or not. They are doing all they can under the circumstances, but with the small force of troops under their command they cannot make any attempt on the city with the certainty of making it surrender. Hundreds of soldiers are trying to reach our compounds from many parts of the city, but are failing in the attempt and the light of the fires that the Turks are making in Armenian quarters render escape impossible, and those who flee from smoke and flames fall victims to the sword or the axe. News came to-day that scores of women and children huddled in one house were butchered with knives and hatchets after the men had been taken out and shot. They surrendered on the promise of protection, but were cruelly betrayed. A woman was killed while standing in the doorway, and

January 26

We are still in the throes of the forces of the opposing armies, but also the forces of the most hellish cruelty imaginable. The crescent moon, the Muslim fanaticism, is rising to-night on a city in whose streets tragedies that ought to stagger humanity, and send a shudder of God. I have read much, and heard more, of the atrocities the Armenians suffered in the past, but I never expected to witness first hand the barbarities that a disgrace to civilization and a stain on the conscience of the Great Powers that can permit such a Government to exist. And yet what I have seen and heard during the last two days is but a small part of the horrors that are registered for ever upon the brains of those who have escaped bleeding and wounded, to tell their tale upon the operation table in the hospital, or to babble in an incoherent way from their sick beds of the inferno from which they have escaped. Some of the most revolting stories ever heard have been told us to-day by those who have come limping into the city from different parts of the city. Little girls, 8 and 10 years old, and wrinkled women of 70 years were agonizing with pain from dum-dum bullet wounds which tore out pieces of flesh from arms and legs, while soldiers have had to have limbs amputated to pay the supreme sacrifice. Children have been brought to the hospital with their brains oozing from jagged holes in the head, and elderly people while sitting in their own homes have received shots which have shattered both mind and body.

January 27

This morning one of the native helpers of the A.C.R.N.E. came to tell us of his escape. He had been waiting for several days for a favourable opportunity to flee. It came about 3 o'clock this morning. He tells us that the Turks are killing hundreds of people in the city, and that they are not content with using such weapons as shot and shell, but resort to the brutal use of the axe and knife. At this very moment there is in our own house a young woman who tells us that with a hundred other persons in a cellar she prayed for five days and nights for help, but no help came. Then the Turks asked them to surrender, promising to give them protection if they would. Being desperate,

they threw themselves on the mercy of the enemy. The men were told to come out of the cellar and her own husband was the first to leave. He was shot immediately in the doorway by one of their own Turkish neighbours whom she knew, and who was a gendarme in the service of the Government. After the men had been taken out there was a scene of indescribable horror as the Turks came in with axes and knives and did their murderous work. In the general mêlée she with one of her children escaped. Two young women teachers from the college were killed in this way. One of them was captured and stood in water for eight hours hoping to elude the Turks, but she was killed by a bullet. The Turks have sent an ultimatum to the French demanding their surrender, or they will attack them to-morrow morning at 4 o'clock. The French hope they will.

Several new cases came in to-day. One woman, flying wild and fast. This morning the startling news was spread that a Pontane and 700 men coming to the relief of Marash had been killed and only one man escaped. We learn this evening that he is still fighting his way into the city, and that a supply train of waggons was captured in the morning and the convoy killed. We had a pitiful case this morning in the hospital. It was a young girl, third child. When she reached the hospital she was suffering and bleeding from three bullet and three dagger or knife wounds, while a clod of 18 months had been taken from her breast and slain with a knife, and another girl killed with an axe. To add to the sorrow of it the woman was pregnant.

January 28

It is nearly midnight and I have just come in from a service of sorrow. The wife of whom I wrote you yesterday, died to-day and I was laid to rest in the cemetery. This afternoon we had a conference of all American workers to discuss the situation of emergency. We shall all gather in the college compound and await the final issue. What that will be we do not know. Graves are multiplying in our midst and tales of horror come to us nightly from those who escape from houses. The soldiers who went last night to rescue a thousand Armenians were not able to pass the Turkish trenches. Another orphanage was attacked, but the assault was not successful. Several soldiers came down from the mountains to-day with frozen hands and feet, some of whom must be amputated.

January 29

As yet no news of relief from the French authorities. Yesterday was rather quiet from the military point of view. There was only a little cannonading and only a few soldiers killed and wounded. The uncertainty of the situation is a great strain on the nerves of the ladies of our party but they are brave and cheerful and busy all day ministering to the needs of the unfortunate. Dr. and Mrs. Wilson have moved over to the college compound to live as they think it a little safer than in case of attack. They invited me to go with them but I feel there is no immediate danger and prefer to wait a few days to watch developments. We have all decided to hang together rather than take our chances on hanging separately.

January 31

War still holds on and no relief in sight. Men, women, and children, about nine of them, were shot in the college grounds to-day and some of them quite seriously wounded. Fortunately, we have plenty of wheat now, and by keeping the women in the cellar we are obliged to keep the people under cover as walking in the open is too dangerous, and our hospitals are still full.



February 1.

The weather has been very cold and we have had several cases of severe frost-bite the soldiers. More children have been shot in the orphanages. The refugees are much alarmed at the success of the Turks. Several houses have been burned in the city. The hospital still continues to be attacked.

February 2

The war is coming a little closer, for to-day a shell fell on the hospital roof and burst in the attic just above the floor where we had a great many patients. The rifle shots have also been a little more personal as one plunged into a wall a few feet ahead of me, and the second hit a tree as I was creeping along a wall to my room. The Turks tried to set the Bartell orphanage on fire to-day, and the French retaliated by burning the would-be incendiaries' houses. There was considerable bombarding to-day but not many wounded. There is no news of reinforcements and we fear that other cities and towns may be lost.

Last night we sent a message to the A. F. R. N. E. and to have it sent to the American Consulate in Beirut. It will probably be seven days before it reaches them.

February 3

I suppose no one in the outside world realizes the seriousness of our situation or merely an aeroplane from Beirut would drop us a message of cheer. This is the telegram that we sent to Consul Jackson to A. F. R. N. E.

Situation in Marash extremely desperate, reign of terror. 21st January hundreds of men, women, and children massacred daily. We have stopped it as French are on the defensive forces, ammunition and food insufficient. Americans have little hope in case French are not able to hold out.

Large forces of bandits bar all roads. Leave nothing undone to relieve situation as all Christians are seriously threatened, our auto and flag fired on repeatedly. In January our institutions under fire and many orphans and refugees wounded.

Bolates still continue to enter American buildings. We have all had very narrow escapes. The French horses and mules are slowly starving and they will be killed and fed to the hungry mob. The French are living on the hope that help will come soon.

February 4

This has been a tragic day. New stories of fresh massacres reached us this morning. In one case nearly 200 surrendered to the Turks under promise of protection, but nearly all of them were butchered. One man who escaped by stealing a Turk told this gruesome story. Deep pits were dug and the bodies were thrown there and left to the edge of it, and then shot and dumped into it dead or alive. A young girl of 19 was shot in the abdomen while getting a bit of wood. No news yet of help but we shall not give up. We are resolved to stay here at all hazards. God help the Armenians if the Americans leave them, and God help us all if the French leave.

When and Reverend Lyman and I interviewed the French Staff. Word reached us that Turks were encamping on Armenian houses and might even attack the hospital. While we were on our way to headquarters the Turkish officials, who were prisoners in the buildings, asked to see us. The French had an interview with them. They pleaded with us to stop the war. They promised that if we could to bring them to the Mutesarif and ask him to persuade the leaders to request the Turkish Government. The French to-day have decided to kill the horses and mules, as there is no food for them. We had a game roast to-day and we like it fine. We like it better than horse-meat. A fierce bombardment took place this evening. A

the Mutesarif and ask him to persuade the leaders to request the Turkish Government. The French to-day have decided to kill the horses and mules, as there is no food for them. We had a game roast to-day and we like it fine. We like it better than horse-meat. A fierce bombardment took place this evening. A

A young woman in the night the war to our

of Marash, and this morning we had a joyful surprise. Several messages. Unfortunately the wind was very high and carried the messages into the Turkish part of the city, but we know now that help is near and that we are not forgotten. More victims for the operating table and more graves in the cemetery. This afternoon we had another message, and the French headquarters sent up signals so that they were to land if they wished. Everybody is elated to think that with the outside world has again been established. We had an answer from the committee. We do nothing without consulting the commander of the forces, but appreciated our interest and thanked us for our kind offer of mediation. I hope help will come before all the Armenians have to pay the awful price.

February 7.

At last reinforcements are in sight and are already fighting their way into the city. The guns in the plain are shelling the hills over which the rebels expect to reach the barracks. We heard to-day that all the girls in the rescue home have been killed. To add to the horror of the crime the Turks then set fire to the building and we had the gruesome necessity to witness the one without being able to lift a hand to save them. The first church is also on fire.

The French troops are in the valley and their guns are shelling the hills, but it is still dangerous. The wounded continue to come, and new deaths take place daily. This afternoon I spent with the French General and his Staff, in the upper alcove. I saw the wounded in the hospital and the attempt of the French to hold the hills.

February 8

General Quercet informed us to-day that he has received orders to leave the city at midnight on the 9th. This news caused alarm all through the city. Everybody is terribly excited. Women and children are crazed with fear. We have urged him to delay their departure, as the Turks are on the point of surrender. He said his orders were imperative, but he would try to secure a delay of twenty-four hours. If they evacuate the city we are not sure what treatment we will receive at the hands of the Turks. We shall remain, however, at our posts of duty, to do what we can to shield the Armenians and protect American interests. We hope for the best but fear the worst. Our hope is in God. We trust Him where we cannot trace Him, and believe that in some divine way our lives will be spared, but if not, God be with you all until we meet again. I thank my God on every occasion.

February 10

The French General, in response to our earnest entreaties, has granted a delay of twenty-four hours before leaving the city. We are hoping to bring about an understanding with the Turks that will prevent further massacres. The French took most of the wounded out of the city last night, but left twenty in the emergency hospital. The Armenians in the compounds are frantic and desperate. They are determined to leave the city with the French, as they fear massacres if they remain. The most indescribably pathetic and tragic. Our greatest concern is for Miss Buckley, in the Hethahalon Orphanage. We fear the Armenians in other compounds have not been given the French written word. We have been sitting out the refugees for the night, giving them food and clothes to the extent of our supplies. Many of the elder orphan boys and girls will leave with the exiles. Dr and Mrs. Wilson will remain and all the missionaries. Dr. Elliott, Miss Schultz, Miss Powers, and Miss

They will leave with the troops. I had decided to stay but as two or three thousand are going without a shepherd Dr Wilson thinks I had better go. It is a long hard trek of times through mountain and plain, and I fear many of them will not be equal to it. It is winter and God help them if the weather should be severe. We are in the city I believe the Turks would lick their hands in abject submission. I had an interview with Dr. Moustafa, the leader of the Turkish forces of the city together to-morrow, for the purpose of the withdrawal of the French troops to-night. The troops and refugees left the city about the hours of 6 and 9. The French General and his staff left about 10.30. I accompanied them. It was a bitterly cold night. The city was in flames. Guns were firing from the hills covering our retreat. After three or four hours we moved out of Marash on its three days journey to Islahiyeh.

February 11

At daylight it was a blaze of splendour. The great moonlight night the column marched until noon, when it reached the village and rested for the remainder of the day.

February 12

At 6 o'clock a.m. the column started on its long march to Bell Pousar. The weather was severely cold and many of the weak ones dropped by the wayside to freeze to death. At noon the column rested for two hours and reached Bell Pousar about 5 p.m. Turkish villages were burnt by the soldiers after the column had passed through. There were very meagre accommodations in the village, and multitudes were encamped in the open to suffer seriously from hunger and exposure.

February 13

During the night a snowstorm raged and at 6 o'clock the column prepared to move forward while it was yet dark. The snowstorm increased during the early morning hours to a blizzard and continued all through the long dreary march. From twelve to eighteen hours the soldiers and civilians plodded their way through the storm and snowdrifts. As along the line the weak and the infirm dropped out from about a thousand of the refugees had perished in the snow, besides many of the soldiers. It was a tragic ending of a tragic exodus.

February 14

We did our best to care for the poor refugees in Islahiyeh. Many died reaching their destination. No accommodations were available in the village and very little food. I interviewed the Turkish Governor and the French Commandant, and secured their co-operation in doing something for the refugees. A bakery was secured to furnish bread and a mill to grind flour. I left with the French wounded on the evening train for Adana to confer with Dr. Dodd of the A.C.R.N.E. and Dr. Chambers of the American Mission, to see what could be done to help these unfortunates in their distress. Milk and blankets were despatched immediately and further supplies prepared to meet the urgent necessity of the situation. All the American forces in the city have put themselves at the service of these stranded Armenians. It is hoped that they will be brought to Adana, where they can be housed in their churches.

I am trying to return to my station at Aintab by way of Beirut and Aleppo. Dr. Chambers, who is on his way to Constantinople to plead the cause of the Armenians before the representatives of the Entente Powers, will carry this message with him as a record of the events that transpired in Marash during those crucial weeks.

E 1743 3 44

No. 130.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received March 18.)

Constantinople, March 17, 1920.

COLONEL JAR TAYAR, Commander of Turkish troops in Thrace, informed General Milne's representative at Adrianople on 6th March that on account of British action at Constantinople

1. He has assumed supreme control in Adrianople vilayet, and will not accept orders from Constantinople.
2. The same procedure would be followed in Asia Minor east of Istanbul.
- He had cut all telegraphic communications with Constantinople.

He wished General Milne to be informed—

1. As concerns Adrianople vilayet, the terms of the armistice with Turkey are no longer in force.
2. Entry of any Allied forces into vilayet will be opposed by force.
3. He proposes setting up an independent Government in vilayet, and hopes to be able to protect lives and property of Christians.
4. Unless peace terms are accepted by a representative Turkish Government (and not one under the coercion of Great Britain) he will resist with all the forces at his disposal such terms.

(Repeated to Egyptforce, No. 17; Bagdad, No. 22; Tiflis, No. 38; Sofia, No. 21.)

E 1894 3 44]

No. 131.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received March 20.)

Constantinople, March 18, 1920.

Protest has now been received in form of a note from Grand Vizier in reply to collective note handed to his Highness on 16th March. Protest is based on absence of justification: it being submitted that no disorders existed or could have been anticipated in (group omitted), where Allied forces were ample to prevent them. The movement continues the note, arose from its origin to Greek occupation and its consequent horrors, but was further intensified by rumour of intention to create a big Armenian and a Greek Pontus.

Central Government disapproves and disavows the excesses committed in this movement, for which, however, it was not responsible and which it was unable to control in consequence of circumstances arising out of prolonged armistice conditions and limits placed on its authority.

As regards Marash incidents, Grand Vizier refers to previous explanations and renews invitation to institute enquiry by (group omitted). (This invitation is now being considered by my colleagues and myself.)

He concludes with expression of confidence that no further disorders will occur, and that in any case Supreme Council will not hold the Ottoman nation responsible for acts of individuals to the extent of still further stiffening the terms of peace to the disadvantage of Turkey.

Very respectfully,



Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon

(No. 249.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, March 19, 1920

Program No. 180 of 2nd March:—

Your telegram No. 149 of 21st February

Scheme has been carefully examined. It is not good enough to form basis of discussion. It places the Allied Powers in a position of impossibility of nomination.

Article 6 creates honorary Turkish presidency, following Egyptian precedent.

This is unnecessarily costly and unfair to foreign judges, in view of Turkish judiciary. Article 2 gives to Court of Cassation a role which should be entrusted to special tribunal.

Article 4 deals with pre-war disputes arising out of interpretation of treaty, and is inserted in treaty a string of trivial matters.

Article 5 inserted that during a period of six months Mixed Courts all questions of interpretation of capitulation shall be referred to Allied representatives.

The scheme is silent on important question of bankruptcy, which is referred to Mixed Courts, as was provided in draft sent to Constantinople.

It leaves entirely untouched purely Turkish Courts, for which it is important to create foreign inspectors.

I strongly recommend that this scheme be put aside in favour of that sent here by me and my French colleague, which has been approved also by my Italian colleague.

This draft should be inserted in peace treaty with any modifications which may be found necessary after consultation with three High Commissioners. One addition should be made to article 37, paragraph 2, to indicate that amongst laws to be drafted by Legislative Commission should be one on real property and land registry.

Article 37, paragraph 2, to indicate that amongst laws to be drafted by Legislative Commission should be one on real property and land registry.

Article 37, paragraph 2, to indicate that amongst laws to be drafted by Legislative Commission should be one on real property and land registry.

Article 37, paragraph 2, to indicate that amongst laws to be drafted by Legislative Commission should be one on real property and land registry.

[E 1997 37 44]

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon

(No. 250.)

(Telegraphic.)

FOLLOWING thirteen Turks have been arrested by Allied Military Authorities in connection with occupation of Constantinople by Allied forces:

1. General Djemal Pasha, ex-Minister of War
2. General Kemal Bey (Commander-in-Chief of Turkish Division)
3. General Mustafa Kemal, Commander of Defence of Straits
4. Hussein Bey, ex-Minister of War
5. Kara Vassif, Deputy for Syria
6. Nourhan Ousta, ex-Deputy for Constantinople
7. Fik Bey, Deputy for Adrianople
8. Sherif Bey, Deputy for Adrianople
9. ex-Vali of Erzeroum and Diarbekir
10. General Choruk Salahi Mahmoud Pasha, Senator
11. Dr. Kasim Pasha, ex-Minister of Education
12. General Djemal Pasha, ex-Chief of General Staff
13. Djemal group undecipherable at Elbasa, Member of Central C.U.P. Committee

These prisoners have been despatched to Malta in His Majesty's ship "Bonbow" for safe custody.

\* Numbers 2 and 13 are deleted by Constantinople telegram No. 272 of March 24, 1920.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—Received March 21

(No. 251.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, March 21, 1920

FOLLOWING is translation of French text of communiqué issued by Allied High Commission:

Five and a half years ago the leaders of the Committee of Union and Progress, who were then in power, decided to enter the war.

The results of this ill-considered policy are known. The Turkish Government and people, after a thousand disasters of every kind, suffered such a defeat that the leaders of the Committee of Union and Progress saw no solution but to conclude an armistice.

A heavy task devolved on the Entente Powers. Their task was to lay the foundations of a peace which would ensure the future happiness and development of social and economical life of all the peoples inhabiting the former Ottoman Empire, without distinction of race or creed.

While engaged in the performance of this task, certain persons of the fugitive leaders of the Committee of Union and Progress organised a so-called national organisation, which, taking no account of the interests of the Government, forced recruits men already exhausted by the war.

This organisation forced contributions for its own ends, and multiply its efforts in the population.

Everywhere seems to wish to open a new era of hostility. The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

The Turkish Government, however, none the less, its work of pacification, it adopted the tranquillising policy.

[E 1851 3/44]

No. 135

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 21)

(No. 263.)  
(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, March 21, 1920

YOUR telegram No. 239 of 19th March

My telegram No. 262 of 21st March gives exact English translation of French text Turkish text, though necessarily free translation owing to importance of using style which would appeal forcibly to the Turks, agrees closely with French. I have given a literal translation in Turkish of three passages when agreement is less closely (group omitted) than elsewhere.

Your telegram No. 207 had not reached me when High Commissioners held final meeting on 15th March. Only knowledge which High Commissioners then had of views of Supreme Council regarding proclamation was derived from telegram received by French admiral regarding decision of Supreme Council. High Commissioners understood that to mean that they were not to issue proclamation involving assumption of Allies of full authority in occupied area. To avoid misunderstanding they decided to issue statement (which had already been prepared in form of proclamation) as communiqué, with necessary changes of wording.

High Commissioners were unanimously and strongly of opinion that issue of a statement defining reasons for, and scope of, action was imperative, if only to avert danger to Christians in the interior. Special steps were taken to have it telegraphed without delay to provinces.

Communiqué had excellent effect here. It is not likely to be published in Turkey. It will be in hands of Nationalist leaders and their associations. It will tend to divide their councils, and will improve on all necessity for respecting lives of Christians in view of warning based on your telegram No. 187, that concession made to Turks regarding Constantinople will probably be withdrawn if massacres occur.

E 2075 757 44]

No. 136

M. Paravicini to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 21)

Legation de Suisse, Londres  
le 23 mars 1920

M. le Comte,

PAR note du 26 février 1919, M. le Ministre Carlini s'étant adressé à votre Seigneurie aux fins d'apprendre si, en raison des intérêts suisses importants qui se trouvent engagés dans les finances de la Turquie, il serait possible que le Gouvernement fédéral fût représenté au nouveau Conseil de la Dette ottomane. Votre Seigneurie avait bien voulu répondre le 18 mars que bonne note avait été prise du désir exprimé par le Gouvernement suisse et que les représentants du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté se rencontreraient lors des négociations concernant le statut futur de l'Autorité de la Dette publique de l'Empire ottoman.

Le règlement de la question turque faisant actuellement à Londres l'objet de nos officielles et le Traité de Paix entre les Puissances alliées et la Sublime Porte vraisemblablement se conclure dans un avenir qui ne saurait être éloigné, mon Gouvernement me charge et j'ai des lors l'honneur de vous rappeler nos interventions entre votre Seigneurie et mon prédécesseur, en vous priant de vouloir consentir à donner aux représentants du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté dans les commissions et conseils interalliés les instructions nécessaires pour que cette affaire si importante pour mon pays reçoive une solution conforme au vœu exprimé par le Conseil.

Dans l'attente des obligeantes communications que vous voudrez bien me faire parvenir à ce sujet, je vous prie, &c

C. R. PARAVICINI

253

E 2202 1729 44]

No. 137.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 26)

(No. 276)

Constantinople, March 24

YOUR telegram No. 239 of 17th March

High Commissioner convened meeting of High Commissioners in position of Allied representatives on controls necessitated by War Office, Admiralty, and Department of Posts and Telegraphs.

Italian High Commissioners admitted that commissions exercising control must be dependent on General Officer Commanding's occupying forces, but they agreed that members should be on footing of equality, and they take exception to proposal that British officer as president of each commission.

I pointed out that I had already referred question of command to His Majesty's Government, as General Milne had received instructions which could only be based on something subsequent to and different from the decision of Supreme Council at the end of the war, which French base the contention that General Milne is under superior orders of General Franchet.

As regards controls, I pointed out that occupation was a purely military operation, and that controls could therefore function only under, and in such manner as, General Milne might direct.

French and Italian colleagues agreed to defer to this view *de facto* in order not to delay functioning of the controls pending settlement of question of principle, as to which they made most express reservations, and which they said must be referred to Governments. I took note of reservations, and agreed likewise to refer to your Lordship.

My immediately succeeding telegram is translation of *procès-verbal* agreed on by the three High Commissioners as record of different points of view and provisional settlement arrived at.

E 2203 1729 44]

No.

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 26)

(No. 277.)  
(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, March 24, 1920

High Commissioners of France, Great Britain and Italy, after examining question of controls, are in entire agreement that these controls should be under Allied.

Admiralty and Post and Telegraph Offices, are in entire agreement that these controls should be under Allied.

British General Headquarters insist, however, that in virtue of orders received from the War Office, the Inter-Allied Control Commissions should work under their control, and under presidency of a British officer.

High Commissioners of France and Italy consider, however, on the other hand that these controls should, under supervision (High Direction) of British General Officer Commanding the Allied Forces in Turkey in Europe, be exercised on a footing of equality by officers belonging to the three Allied nations.

As a solution of this divergent opinion in order not to delay working of controls by Supreme Council, the three High Commissioners have decided that these controls shall, with immediate effect, and until such time as instructions might be received from their Governments, be exercised *de facto* under conditions required by British General Headquarters. High Commissioners of France and Italy, however, maintained their point of view and make express reservation of question of principle. Their acceptance of this provisional regime is not to be taken as anticipating in any way the final solution of the question of working of these controls to be given by the Supreme Council.

Commissioner of Great Britain has taken note of these reservations and of this declaration.



E 2201 3 44]

No. 139

Sir G. Grahame to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 26.)

(No. 367)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Paris, March 25, 1920

YOUR telegram No. 372 of 22nd March.

His Majesty's Ambassador spoke to President of Council about this on 23rd March and his Excellency promised to make enquiries. I have to-day ascertained that Ministry for Foreign Affairs have telegraphed to General Gouraud for further information. Hadjin is some 80 kilom. from Marash. Nearest French troops are at latter place.

E 2647 56 44

No. 140

Mr. Lansing to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 26.)

[Confidential]

MY telegram immediately succeeded in getting to French Ambassador dated 24th March. It is to let French Ambassador know that he had come to let United States Ambassador, London, know that it is being sent to United States Ambassador, London.

With regard to paragraph about cession to Bulgaria of northern part of Eastern Thrace, I am sure that, in return for this cession Bulgaria should be required to give up its right of transit to Cavalla and Desalegatch for Bulgarian.

E 2243 56.44]

No. 141

Mr. Lansing to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 26.)

(No. 232)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Washington, March 25, 1920

MY immediately preceding telegram

Following is text of note —

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your Excellency's note of 12th March relative to conference regarding Peace Treaty with Turkey and present status of negotiations between principal Allied Powers, and in reply inform you that President does not deem it advisable in present circumstances that United States be represented by a plenipotentiary at Conference. The President feels, however, that as this Government is vitally interested in the future peace of the world it should frankly express its views on proposed solutions of the difficult questions connected with the Turkish Treaty. While it is true that United States was not at war with Turkey, yet it was at war with the principal allies of that country and contributed to the defeat of those allies and therefore to the defeat of Turkish Government. For that reason, too, it is believed that it is the duty of this Government to make known its views and urge a solution which will be both just and lasting.

The United States understands the strength of the arguments for the retention of the Turks at Constantinople but believes that the arguments against it are far stronger, and contain certain political elements which it would not seem possible to ignore. It was the oft-expressed intention of the Allies that the anomaly of the Turks in Europe should cease, and it cannot be believed that the feelings of Mahomedan people, who not only witnessed the defeat of Turkish power without protest, but even materially assisted in the defeat, will now so resent the expulsion of the Turkish Government as to make a complete reversal of policy on the part of the Great Powers desirable or necessary.

As to the line given as southern frontier of Turkey, it is assumed that this boundary is meant to be the ethnological frontier of the Arab people, in which case it is suggested that certain rectifications would seem necessary. If, however, other considerations entered into the choice of this line, this Government, without any

intention to criticize, would appreciate being furnished with the arguments dictating such a choice.

United States Government note with pleasure that provision is made for Russian representation on International Council which it is proposed shall be established for the government of Constantinople and Straits. This Government is convinced that no arrangement that is now made concerning government and control of Constantinople and Straits can have any elements of permanency, unless the vital interests of Russia in the Straits are fully provided for and protected, and unless it is understood that Russia, when it has a Government recognized by the civilized world, may assert its rights in regard to the decisions now made.

It is noted with pleasure that the questions of the passage of men-of-war and tonnage of the Straits in wartime are still under advisement, as this Government is convinced that no final decision should, or can, be made without the consent of Russia.

As far as it would seem right that that part of Eastern Thrace which is reserved for Constantinople should become part of the Kingdom of Greece with the exception of northern part of that province. As this, the northern part, is clearly Bulgarian in population, justice and fair dealing demand that cities of Adrianople and Kirk Kisse and surrounding territory should become part of Bulgaria.

The claim of Bulgaria worthy of most serious consideration on ethnic and historical grounds, but it would also seem that Bulgaria is entitled to have to this territory favorably considered, in view of its having been compelled to surrender purely Bulgarian territory and many thousands of Bulgarians on its territory on no other grounds than the rather doubtful grounds of securing a

In connection with proposed preferential right of three great Mediterranean Powers to furnish advisers and instructors in certain zones, this Government feels it is necessary for it to have more information as to the reason and purpose of such a plan before it can express an intelligent opinion.

There can be no question as to the general interest of this Government in the peace for Armenia, and United States Government is convinced that the civilized world demands and expects most liberal treatment for that unfortunate country. Its boundaries should be drawn in such a way as to recognize all the legitimate claims of the Armenian people, and particularly to give them easy access to the sea. While unaware of the conclusions of the Council, it is felt that special rights over Armenia would hardly assure to Armenia that access to the sea indispensable to its existence. It is hoped that, taking into consideration fact that Trabizond has always been the terminus of the railway across Armenia, and that M. Venizelos, on the subject of Armenia rather than Turkey, Powers will

express an opinion, as the question is too important to be passed over with limited information this Government has as to the exact arrangement that is contemplated and the reasons for the same.

United States Government can quite understand the difficulties that present themselves for settlement in connection with this treaty. It is easy to see the problems are complex and fruitful of misunderstanding because of the conflicting interests involved, but this Government has every confidence that the problems will be dealt with in a spirit of fairness and with scrupulous regard for the interests of victor, vanquished and neutral.

It is evident that there is yet much to be done before a comprehensive plan can be worked out, and this Government will welcome further information on the subject of the economic clauses of treaty. Incidentally, the plan that has apparently been worked out by Supreme Council in connection with the continuation of concessions

the right to revise or cancel concessions on payment of indemnity, referred to in eighth paragraph of your Excellency's note, has grave possibilities and would seem to require careful elucidation.

Let me say, in conclusion, that it is the understanding of United States Government that, whatever territorial changes or arrangements may be made in further

Ottoman Empire, such changes or arrangements will in no way place American citizens in a more favorable situation than citizens or corporations of any Power party to this treaty—

[E 1776 11 44]

No. 14.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).

(No. 254.)

Telegraphic.

Foreign Office, March 26, 1910

YOLK despatches No. 211 of 21st January and No. 306 of 2nd March

The policy at which we are aiming in the Peace Treaty, as far as it has gone, with regard to Kurdistan is neither a single protectorate for England or France, nor a divided protectorate, nor a group of States under European protection, but an autonomous Kurdistan severed from Turkey, and not even under Turkish suzerainty.

I ascertain whether this is practicable, and how far it would be feasible to reconcile Kurdish interests with those of Armenian or Christian minorities living in their midst, we desire to consult responsible leaders of Kurdish opinion. There is no good in offering a boon to people who do not want it or would be incapable of profiting by it.

Do you recommend invitation to come to London being given to Said Abdul Kadir or other Kurdish spokesmen? Sheriff Pasha is already available here if required.

We are addressing similar enquiry affecting Eastern and Southern Kurdistan to Bagdad.

Question is one of urgency, and decision cannot be indefinitely delayed.

There might be considerable advantage if England were known to be author of such a programme.

E 2314/3 44]

No. 143

Vice Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—4. received March 27

1.

March 17 1910

I enclose in previous correspondence relative to the Moslem movement in Thrace the honour to transmit herewith a copy of a memorandum from the Turkish Parliament of the vilayet of Adrianople and the sanjak of Tchataldja.

2 Turkish and Greek feeling are alike worked up to a considerable pitch of excitement by the rumours current as to the intentions of the Peace Conference in regard to Thrace. There is everywhere a state of great nervous tension existing. A state of unrest appears to prevail, more particularly in the region between Keshan and Edirne, and Constantinople rumours exaggerate the incidents resulting therefrom.

3. The moral drawn by the Greeks from this state of affairs is that the whole country should at once be placed in Greek occupation, a measure which, in my opinion would more certainly than anything else precipitate a massacre.

I am convinced that if Eastern, or indeed Western, Thrace is to be surrendered to Greece, the only satisfactory procedure would be, in the first instance, to occupy the country completely with British or French troops, which should only be withdrawn gradually as and when the Greeks are able to take over effective control.

(Copy to Athens.)

M. DE ROBÉCK,

High Commissioner

Enclosure to No. 143.

Memorandum communicated by Turkish Deputies

Excelle,

Constantinople, le 25 février 1910

Les sous-signés, membres du Parlement ottoman des circonscriptions d'Andrinople, Keshan, Edirne, Rodosto, Gallipoli et Tchataldja, ont l'honneur d'exposer ce qui suit et prient votre Excellence de vouloir bien le porter à la connaissance du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté britannique.

Selon les dernières informations de la presse européenne, les limites des provinces pour l'intérieur de Constantinople suivraient la ligne d'Enos-Midia, ou bien, dans une seconde version, passeraient par Tchataldja, et que, de la sorte, les territoires formant le vilayet d'Andrinople seraient détachés de l'Empire. Nous considérons comme de notre devoir de déclarer que ces nouvelles ont produit la plus vive émotion chez la population musulmane du vilayet d'Andrinople. Votre Excellence ne doit, certes, pas ignorer que la population de ce vilayet est musulmane et que les 90 pour cent des propriétés foncières appartiennent à l'élément musulman, qui, dans ce vilayet, constitue la majorité de la population. Les propriétés foncières, constituant des facteurs d'union d'une province à celles de Constantinople et des autres parties de l'Empire ottoman.

La valeur de ces facteurs, aussi puissants que sacrés, s'était manifestée d'une manière évidente, lors de la guerre balkanique, et non seulement les Turcs de l'Empire mais le monde musulman lui-même avait prouvé par son attitude qu'il ne consentait pas à ce qu'Andrinople et ses dépendances fût arrachée au Khalifat et à l'Empire.

Indépendamment des considérations ci-dessus énumérées les principales autorités des Puissances de l'Entente, au sujet d'une paix durable, plaident singulièrement en faveur du rattachement, comme par le passé, de cette province à l'Empire ottoman.

Toute autre solution, et, notamment, celle qui préconiserait l'attribution de ce territoire essentiellement turc à une Puissance étrangère, confirmerait chez la population de ce vilayet la conviction qu'elle s'est faite, comme quoi cette domination équivalait pour elle à un état de guerre. Cette conviction est malheureusement née à la suite des atrocités et des traitements infligés aux musulmans de la Roumélie ont ou à enlever pendant la campagne balkanique de la part des nations hostiles. Aussi, au cas d'une telle perspective, ils se voient amenés à des actes de désespoir pour sauvegarder ne fût-ce que leur honneur et celui de leur religion.

La population du vilayet d'Andrinople, éprouvée par tant de rudes épreuves, n'aspire qu'à une vie de paix et de tranquillité, et l'attitude qu'elle a adoptée devant les crimes et violations de toutes sortes commises sur elle par les nations hostiles, le banditisme de l'armistice, le long de la voie ferrée, les exactions exercées par les troupes—attitude qui est l'expression de "patience stoïque"—témoigne suffisamment de son désir de voir la population pour bénéficier d'une décision équitable réglant le sort de son pays.

Aussi, refusant tout crédit aux nouvelles en question, mais vu les perspectives graves qu'elle appréhende, la population en question sent comme une nécessité vitale de protester d'ores et déjà contre telle éventualité.

Les musulmans d'Andrinople, forts de leurs droits et confiés à la justice du Congrès de la Paix, ont la ferme assurance que le Grand Aréopage prendra en sérieuse considération les vœux exprimés par ses représentants que la Grande Assemblée prenne en sérieuse considération les vœux exprimés par ses représentants. Ils attendent donc avec calme les décisions justes apportant un démenti de fait aux nouvelles et insinuations diverses.

Veuillez agréer, &c.

Députés d'Andrinople

FAIK

RAHMI

Députés de Rodosto

S. FAIK

H. RAHMI

Députés de Keshan

M. S. FAIK

H. RAHMI

Députés de Gallipoli

M. S. FAIK

H. RAHMI

Députés de Tchataldja

H. RAHMI

H. RAHMI





The people of Reima are reported to have revolted against the imam. On the 17th February a party of the imam's men raided and partially burnt Sheikh Abu Hadi's village, Ain (three hours from Bajil), retiring after looting the place. Turks formed a strong leaven in this party.

The Idrii is said to have lately sent one big and three small guns to Bajil and Jabal Mithan. Reinforcements of Idrii troops continually arriving at Zaidiya are sent to Mithan, Dahr and Bajil.

According to a report from Zabeed, the occupation of Dala by the imam is regarded as a great victory against the Christians [sic], who are said to have retreated from Dala. The news was published by beat of drums in Zabeed, the place being illuminated and salutes fired. The imam's amir in Zabeed was also informed by telegraph of other alleged victories won against the Idrii.

The reason for this kind of propaganda on the part of the imam is obvious. The proclamation of the Idrii by Tihama tribes and his successful advances everywhere have naturally annoyed the imam, and he can do no better than feed the people with news of imaginary victories. He is said to have sent letters to all the sheikhs and sayids in the Tihama denouncing the Idrii, who, as our ally, is considered an enemy of Islam who is bent upon shedding the blood of the Moslems. The imam offers the sheikhs and sayids assistance in men, arms and ammunition if they forsake the Idrii.

Sayid Ahmad al Amhar, referred to in my last circularised the people of Zabeed saying that, through the medium of France, the Turks and Sharif Faisal have concluded peace, and that the former will shortly return overland to the Yemen. The notification emboldens the imam, who has stood firmly by his alliance with the Turks up to now, and admonishes the people who are friendly with the Idrii. The notification ends with the good news of the capture of three English provinces by Atwar Pasha.

#### Yemen

Name Mubkhut, the friend of the Imam, is said to have been captured by the Imam's forces west of Sada, the Imam's capital.

#### Aden

Captain Fashukhin arrived here on the 29th February. The report about the occupation of the area of Hamir, etc., by the King of the Hajar appears to be groundless.

I am sending by this mail copies of Captain Fashukhin's diary, dated the 24th February, 1920, from which it appears that the Idrii has now decided on his policy in the Tihama. He has occupied Jabal Bura, on account of its strategic position, to ensure the safety of the Qubra territory, but he has stopped any further advance with Heima, and in fact all other hilly districts, as a matter of definite policy. He does not intend to advance beyond Zabeed nor beyond Hiyada on the Qubra border. In a confidential letter he has addressed to his commander at Ba'il, Sheikh Tahir-Jan, and said, the Idrii reminds him that the main objects of his deputation were threefold, viz.:

1. To establish good government in the place of anarchy.
2. To defend the country of the Qubra, whom he had pledged his word to defend (as a condition of the release of the British Mission) against the Zaidi advance in their country.

Name Mubkhut clearly to understand that the Hijab affair is entirely his own, though he will continue to give assistance in money.

#### Aden Protectorate

There has been no further inimic advance since the date of my last letter, though a report from Upper Yafa states that a reinforcement of 600 Zeidis, with four guns and other material, has arrived at Katabi, destined for Lahij. Another report says that a large force is being collected to go to the Haushabi country.

The Alawi sheikh is still with the Zeidis, as also the Al Bakri sheikh, Saleh And. The Katabi sheikh has not gone over, though communications are said to be passing between him and the imam's representatives. His nephew, Makhil Abdulla, who had fled to the Haushabi country has since returned and gone to the Zeidis.

The Alawi sheikh's son has written a letter informing me of his father's detention by the Zeidis, and complaining of our indifference to our obligation to protect the Alawis, as we are bound to do under the terms of their treaty with us.

The Upper and Lower Yafas are stated to have posted about 1,200 men along their borders. Our Upper Yafa correspondent writes that the people of Hakeim, west of the Zeidis, have removed their live-stock to the Yafa.

Whilst they were doing so, they were pursued by the Zeidis, but the British border force on their side and forced them to retire.

The number of the Zeidis within the district is estimated at 1,400.

Later news states that the Alawi sheikh's brother and others, who were at the Zeidis at Dohab, have brought news that 100 Zeidis have been sent to the Alawi country, and that they would arrive on Monday, the 8th instant. The Alawi's brother has warned the Alawi people not to object to the Zeidis coming into their territory because the Alawi sheikh personally has come to an understanding with the imam's amir at Dohab.

About two-thirds of the Kotebis are said to have capitulated. The Kotebi sheikh and the rest of the Kotebis have refused to do so. The Kotebi sheikh with the sheikhs of Hujah and Hishah have gone to the Yafas to enlist their help.

The arrival of a reinforcement of 400 Zeidis at Dala is reported. Their destination is said to be Musamir in the Haushabi territory. There are rumours that the Zeidis contemplate an attack on the British camp at Nobat Hakim after they have occupied Musamir.

The Haushabi Sultan writes that since he has returned from Aden he has been receiving continued messages from the Zeidis asking him to come and see the imam's hakeim at Musamir. The Haushabi has sent to me a letter he has received from the officer commanding imam's troops at Tair, in which, after the usual disparaging remarks, he is invited to go and see the hakeim at Musamir, and warned against failure. The Haushabi is asking for our troops. I have told him to go to the hakeim, and to resist any aggression of the Zeidis as far as possible.

He will back on Nobat Hakim if he finds it is not possible to resist.

In my last letter, asked for British troops to assist in compelling the Zeidis, and for British assistance in organising their army. I have made them a present of ammunition and contemplated sending an Indian force into the Yafa country to interview the heads of clans and see the country. On receiving his report I will be able to determine what further action, if any, we should take to support Yafa resistance.

On the 24th February last I reported the account given by Sharraf, the King of the Hajar's envoy, of the imam's motives in occupying the Hajar. Amir Nasir has now produced two letters (dated the 29th August and the 11th September 1918) from the imam, which confirm the imam's statement about the Amir's complaint to him re ill-treatment by the Turkish kaimakam at Dala. These letters further go to show that the relations of the Amir and the imam at that time were those of ruler and subject.

Miscellaneous

Lieutenant Colonel Sa'ad Bey, who arrived here from Hakeim last month for repatriation, and left for Suez on the 21st idem, was interviewed during his stay here. The following is a summary of his remarks:

Lieutenant Colonel Sa'ad Bey arrived at Hakeim from Sana on the 3rd February 1919 in the 7th Army Corps. He served in the Lahij Force under General Ali Sa'ad Pasha, and was in command of Lahij for seven months. He commanded at Wahat for four months, and at Sahar for one month. He was recalled to Sana in May 1918 by Tawfiq Pasha in connection with the examination of regimental accounts, and was at the capital when the armistice with Turkey was signed.

Lieutenant Colonel Sa'ad Bey was prevented from leaving the Yemen with the other Turks owing to illness. He produced a certificate from the principal medical



officer of the Yemen Army Corps, Colonel Aziz Bey, recommending that he should remain behind in Sana for treatment.

He was not actually employed by the imam since the Turkish evacuation but was granted an allowance of 13 dollars monthly for salary.

His health has been very inefficient throughout, and he has now obtained permission from the imam to leave the country.

Saleh Bey left Sana in the 10th January. He described the general situation of the Yemen as restless and uncertain owing to Syed Idris' activity in the Tihama and on the imam's frontiers. He reports friction between the imam and the Hashi.

to the sheikha. Nasir Mubkhut-el-Ahmar is popularly believed to be in favour of the Imam but Saleh Bey thinks he should be reckoned as an uncertain factor.

The Handan, Bilad Rustan, Hama Matar Khouan, and the Beni Habbish, but chiefly the latter, are providing the imam with troops for service in various parts of the country.

There are 1,500 tribal and Zaidi soldiers stationed in Sana.

Between 500 and 800 men arrive at the capital daily from the surrounding districts, and are immediately despatched to replace or reinforce the troops stationed in the Tihama or Zaidi areas.

Shereef Aboullah-ed-Daman is the imam's principal commander. He is at present at Jeddah.

Lieutenant-Colonel Kiyasu Bey is the Chief of the Staff at Sana, but is acting as a nominal chief during the Shereef Aboullah's absence. Lieutenant Colonel Mahmood Bey, a gunner officer who used to be at Lahaj and Taiz during the war, is commander-in-chief of artillery.

The other principal Turkish officers at Sana include:

1. Lieutenant Colonel Ridant Bey of the 17th regiment, who was commander-in-chief at Sana under Seref Pasha. He is in the service of the imam.
2. Lieutenant Colonel Aziz Bey, principal medical officer, Yemen Army Corps, now in the service of the imam by General Tawfik Pasha.
3. Lieutenant Colonel Sulaiman Bey, formerly medical officer at Sana, now in the service of the imam.
4. Lieutenant Colonel (Dr.) Hasan Bey, who was formerly at Lahaj, and now working under the imam.
5. Lieutenant Colonel Ali Rida Bey, formerly commanding at Sana, but is not employed at present.
6. Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, is sick and unemployed.
7. Lieutenant Colonel Mahmood Ali, is employed. He remained behind owing to illness.

Major Muhammad Bey was formerly transport officer at Lahaj. He was left behind to look after the records of the army corps.

Lieutenant Ibrahim Bey is a retired officer, but was Chief of the Intendance during the war. He is unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

Lieutenant Colonel Fathi Bey, Retired and unemployed.

has been scarce, but is said to have fallen since Lieutenant-Colonel [Name] are from Sana. Grain and food generally are nevertheless cheap and plentiful.

Foreign supplies such as rice, sugar, kerosene, &c., are still expensive, but are obtainable.

Saleh Bey knew of no particular concentration, but estimates the imam's forces at 10,000 and 3,000 men distributed between Taiz, Ibb, Jibla, Sana, Jeddah, Hama, &c.

Kadi Abdurrahman and Syed Ahmed Pasha of Taiz are in Sana on a visit. The imam's representative in the Taiz area is Amm-ibn-el-Wazir.

Kadi Khumra. Nothing particular to report. The imam is Syed Mohamed-Jaukhar.

The imam is Syed Ali-el-Akwa. The tribesmen are being called to the Tihama against the Imam.

This place recently fell into the hands of the Syed Idris, but was retaken on behalf of the imam by Sheikh Abu-Shawwan, who was with Saleh Bey when he left.

The latter is the son of the former Sheikh of Hujjah, who was imprisoned at Shabwa about two months ago for misappropriating the revenues of the district.

On 1st January, Ali-el-Hamza was the imam's representative at Qatra. Qatra was at Mocha in the month of December.

There were no troops here, but the Zaidis were expected to arrive in a few days.

On 4th January this place was in the occupation of the Syed Idris. Sheikh Idris Baghawi, with about fifty Qatra tribesmen, was in charge. The place was prior to Saleh Bey's arrival, Sheikh Mahmood Zaid of Jibla (Ibb) with a mixed Qatra and Ibbi force 400 strong had left for Jibla.

On 5th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 6th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 7th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 8th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 9th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 10th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 11th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 12th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 13th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 14th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 15th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 16th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 17th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 18th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 19th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 20th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 21st January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 22nd January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 23rd January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

On 24th January, passing through the village on the night of the 24th January, the Imam's forces were stationed there. The Imam had been at Sana.

Bukheit and Sahl Ali, who had been under detention at Baqil, were released recently by the Idrii.

*Hodeida.*—Lieutenant-Colonel Saleh Bey arrived on the 3rd February. It is reported that on the day following his departure from Baqil, Sheikh Mahomed Tahir al-Sayid, K.

At this time, the Idrii were not on good terms, and that friction had arisen owing to the high customs duties being levied on imports into and goods passing through the Qubra territory.

Yours, &c.

J. M. STEWART

Enclosure in No. 151.

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 31)

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, a copy of a letter of the 18th March, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have, &c.

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 151.

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

Secret)

My dear Field-Marshal,

(The following is a summary of the news received from the Idrii, dated the 10th March, 1920:—

The Political Officer, Hodeida, telegraphed on the 8th instant that an Idrii force of 1,000 men had moved from the Qubra frontier, and that it was moving on Jabel Bura to

the Idrii plain between Obal and

Jabel Bura, who lost sixteen

boxes of ammunition at Obal, it was thought, might lead to decisive results and to the fall of Baqil, which the people were already leaving for Dahi and Manar.

From the latest telegram it appears, however, that the Idrii and the Qubra have recaptured Obal, and that the Zeidis have retreated to Jabel Safan. The Idrii force which took part in this fighting consisted of 800 regulars and 1,400 tribesmen, the

force consisting of 500 Idrii men and 2,000 Qubra tribesmen. The number of

Qubras is apparently an exaggeration.

Sayed Abdul Kadir is reported to be still in Marawa. He has refused to go to Baqil in spite of the repeated requests received from the Idrii representative there.

Saykat Bey, a native of Bagdad, who has been in the Turkish Civil Service in

Yemen for about twenty years, arrived at Hodeida from Baqil lately. He was

quite recently employed by the imam at Hujala and Jabel Safan. He reports having

seen a communication (date not known) to Abu Hadi's hands from the imam and

Mahmud Nadhim, which was addressed to Sayed Abdul Kadir and the other sayeds and

tribesmen of the Tihama. It stated that the imam had no desire to cause bloodshed

amongst the Mushana, and that he intended to suspend operations against the Tihama

country, on condition that the inhabitants would abandon the Idrii, who at

present are fighting against the Turks, who are also Muslims.

The imam, moreover, promises not to appoint Zeidi amirs in the Tihama, which

will be under the full and independent control of Sayed Abdul Kadir.

Yemen (Upper and Lower)

Sayed Ahmed ibn Mahomed Sharafuddin, who lately accompanied his aunt, the

widow of a Turkish officer, to Aden, considers the state of Sana and the Yemen high-

lands to be far worse and more unsettled than it was in the time of the Turks, and

describes the condition of the tribesmen as deplorable. He thinks that Mahmud

Nadhim has not the same influence with the imam as formerly.

Sayed Ahmed estimated the regular garrison of the capital at 1,000 men.

According to him the imam's most trusted advisers are Abdulla-el-Amri and Syed

Abdulla ibn Ibrahim.

[4370]

2 M

E 2540 47 44]

No. 151

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received March 31)

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, a copy of a letter of the 18th March, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have, &c.

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 151.

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

Secret)

My dear Field-Marshal,

(The following is a summary of the news received from the Idrii, dated the 10th March, 1920:—

The Political Officer, Hodeida, telegraphed on the 8th instant that an Idrii force of 1,000 men had moved from the Qubra frontier, and that it was moving on Jabel Bura to

the Idrii plain between Obal and

Jabel Bura, who lost sixteen

boxes of ammunition at Obal, it was thought, might lead to decisive results and to the fall of Baqil, which the people were already leaving for Dahi and Manar.

From the latest telegram it appears, however, that the Idrii and the Qubra have recaptured Obal, and that the Zeidis have retreated to Jabel Safan. The Idrii force which took part in this fighting consisted of 800 regulars and 1,400 tribesmen, the

force consisting of 500 Idrii men and 2,000 Qubra tribesmen. The number of

Qubras is apparently an exaggeration.

Sayed Abdul Kadir is reported to be still in Marawa. He has refused to go to Baqil in spite of the repeated requests received from the Idrii representative there.

Saykat Bey, a native of Bagdad, who has been in the Turkish Civil Service in

Yemen for about twenty years, arrived at Hodeida from Baqil lately. He was

quite recently employed by the imam at Hujala and Jabel Safan. He reports having

seen a communication (date not known) to Abu Hadi's hands from the imam and

Mahmud Nadhim, which was addressed to Sayed Abdul Kadir and the other sayeds and

tribesmen of the Tihama. It stated that the imam had no desire to cause bloodshed

amongst the Mushana, and that he intended to suspend operations against the Tihama

country, on condition that the inhabitants would abandon the Idrii, who at

present are fighting against the Turks, who are also Muslims.

The imam, moreover, promises not to appoint Zeidi amirs in the Tihama, which

will be under the full and independent control of Sayed Abdul Kadir.

Yemen (Upper and Lower)

Sayed Ahmed ibn Mahomed Sharafuddin, who lately accompanied his aunt, the

widow of a Turkish officer, to Aden, considers the state of Sana and the Yemen high-

lands to be far worse and more unsettled than it was in the time of the Turks, and

describes the condition of the tribesmen as deplorable. He thinks that Mahmud



Shaykh Ali Baham, a petty sheikh of El Uza in Yem.

He states that he had fled from the imam's oppression, and now comes to seek British protection, and incidentally to receive of His Majesty's Government a monthly stipend to live on.

The sheikh says that he can muster 1,000 men armed with rifles, and has a certain amount of ammunition but no money for the upkeep of his men. He asks for armed intervention on our part. His complaint against the imam is that the latter is demanding exorbitant taxes. The sheikh was called upon to raise troops in his district but that the idris or in the Yafa country, but he declined to respond. He is afraid that the imam will not take action against him.

This sheikh does not appear to be a man of any importance or influence. Being a Zaidi, like the rest of the province, with imamic rule, he has come here to enlist our sympathy and assistance on behalf of his clan, and at the same time to see what he can get out of us for himself.

Sheikh Abdul Wahab, the kaimakam of Hajjaria, has sent a letter to our principal intelligence agent, Farah Saeed. The letter is intended for communication to us. The sheikh complains of having addressed us on several occasions in the past but without result. He mentions that he is living in hopes that we will come to the salvation of his country. He hints that if we have any intention of making a move in the Taiz direction he is ready to assist and co-operate with us. He asks us to send him an official letter. He adds that there is a movement against the imam, and that internal dissensions in his camp in the hills are increasing, and many sections of the Zaidis are ready and waiting to overthrow his power and free the country from his oppression.

I have sent him a polite reply. As his country is outside our protectorate we cannot do anything for him.

Mahomed Ali Othman, has sent me a letter stating that the sheikhs, akils, and notable men of the district of Zabid are to him complaining against the imam. The sheikh Ali Othman therefore gave the Zabid gentry an undertaking to the effect that he would ask for 400 British soldiers, with one officer, two or three guns, and also a quantity of arms and ammunition for arming the tribesmen.

The sheikh also got all the Zabid sheikhs, &c., to sign an agreement to consider themselves unitedly under the protection of the British Government. The sheikh asks for a reply, as, failing our assistance, he adds, he will have to do as he likes.

I doubt if all that is written in this letter is true. At any rate most of it is. He is the Akil of Mokha under the imam. He came to Aden several times to take supplies, and he is anxious to come under our protection. His going to the Italians for help is to my mind a mere bluff, but he is quite capable of writing to them, as the Italians had something to do with him during the last Turco-Italian war. But so far as his preference of us is concerned, he would infinitely rather be under our flag than under the Italian.

Our secret agent, who returned from Taiz on the 4th instant, reported that this sheikh (Mahomed Ali Othman) was called to Sana, and had already proceeded there. He was apparently called in connection with the complaint of the people of Mokha against his oppression, which is described as being worse than that of the imam's Zaidi representatives. His letter to me is dated the 7th March, and was evidently written after his return from Sana.

Mubashir Mahmood Afandi Amin, a Turk, who lately arrived at Nebat Dakim en route to Aden, stated that no Turks can leave the Yemen without the previous sanction of the imam.

As regards the internal state of the Yemen, the Turk said that the people were oppressed by the imam's soldiers, who forced them. He ascribed this to the men including their commanders being poorly paid. The result was they extorted money from the people. The people who suffered more at their hands were the Sanfais, but they were the best, as they had no one to look into their grievances. The Turks, who were at first resolved to settle in the Yemen on account of the intermarriage of Arabs, are now sick of the place and leaving. Mubashir Mahmood is positive that we were only to advance as far as Mavia, actual fighting would be done by themselves. They are, indeed, praying for the advent of the British into the Yemen. Mubashir Mahmood advised that no stories of the imam's intention to attack the British camp should be believed. He said that the Zaidis were afraid of the British.

Practical

The following are the notes of a interview  
Captain Jaudat Effendi, who arrived here on the 3rd instant from Taiz for repatriation, was interviewed. The following are the notes of a interview  
Captain Jaudat formerly belonged to the 2112 of the Yemen Army Corps, and was stationed at Menakha. Early in 1914 he was appointed to command a "Mili" battalion of Shafai Arabs at Lahej. In May 1915 he accompanied General Saeed Pasha with the Labor Expeditionary Force to Lahej, he was attached to headquarters as Arabic interpreter and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

He was at Taiz on leave when the armistice with Turkey was signed. Called to Lahej, he refused to proceed, as he learnt that one of the terms of the armistice demanded the evacuation of Syria by the Turks. He remained at Taiz, and was frequently despatched to the Fadh, Yafa and Subahi districts on various missions.

The feeling at Tuz is very strong against the *Zemle*, and the man and the people are longing for a liberator, whoever he may turn out to be.

Text will follow by bag.



E 2626/3, 44.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.

3 11

Telegraphic.) D.

MY telegram No. 309 of 30th March.

Attitude of Turkish Government since 16th March, and especially in connection with the question of disavowal, can have only one explanation, namely, that present Cabinet is determined to cling to office at almost any cost. This means that Nationalists are to gain time, and above all, to prevent advent to power of a Cabinet which would merely disavow movement on paper, but would genuinely join issue with it. National movement are doing all they can to drive Government out of office. Result must be one of the following:

1. Advent of another temporary (group undecypherable) Cabinet under either Enver or some nonentity.
2. Advent of Cabinet of open opposition to National movement, in which case Damad Ferid is the candidate most in view for Grand Vizierate.
3. No Government at all in Constantinople.

First alternative presents no advantages for Allies over retention of present Cabinet.

Secondly to Turks is to be done.

Thirdly must deprive (I repeat) Nationalist movement sooner or later. It is obviously preferable that extreme Turks should make a start now, while movement is from the shock of occupation of Constantinople, rather than that movement should be given time to rally. If it is allowed to rally, imposition of even moderate peace terms may necessitate the use of force in the interim by Allied Great Powers or by use of Greek troops with the inevitable accompaniment (group undecypherable) non-combatant (group undecypherable) and so on.

Enver and others who might come into power in alternative (2) are cautious enough to realize that they can do little without our moral support, including free hand in the repression (group undecypherable) Nationalists. This enables us to influence peace political issues considerably. In spite, however, of advantages indicated above, it is useless to take positive line if nature of terms is to be such as neither F, R, nor Grand Vizier could accept until attempt at armed resistance had first been made.

Not even F or R could sign peace on line indicated in your telegram No. 187. If we were asked to sign such peace, any armed force he might now create to combat National movement would simply be available to swell forces of resistance to Allies.

I am in close touch with Allied colleagues. Our action must depend on developments from day to day, but any information or guidance your Lordship can give would be most useful, especially in regard to two essential facts, namely, length of time still to elapse before treaty is signed, and nature of territorial conditions.

E 2203 1729 44.

Foreign Office to War Office

Sir,

Foreign Office, April 1, 1920.

WITH reference to telegrams Nos. 276 of the 24th March\* and 277 of the 24th March† from His Majesty's High Commissioner at Constantinople, concerning the controls which have been necessitated by the occupation of the city, I am directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to state that, subject to the concurrence of the Army Council, he would propose to telegraph to Admiral de Robeck as follows:—

I am in touch with Allied colleagues.

"We are prepared to agree to the Commission being inter-Allied, provided that the War Office Commission is under the presidency of a British officer and that a British officer has a permanent seat on the Commission."

\* See No. 156.

2. I am to request that, if the Army Council concur in the terms of the above, they will telegraph in a similar sense to General Maun.

3. Copies of Admiral de Robeck's telegrams referred to above are enclosed herewith for convenience of reference.

I am, Sir,

J. A. C. THILEY

E 2321 3 44]

Received April 2)

Constantinople, April 1, 1920

March last paragraph

24th March the

by Treaty of Peace. It is almost inevitable that, on announcement of decision

(group undecypherable) measures Allies at Constantinople are entirely powerless

Consequence of this is that, if it is the intention of Allied Governments to impose drastic peace terms (group undecypherable in particular, maintenance of Greeks in Smyrna and transference to them of Thrace up to Chatalja, or extension of State into Asiatic Turkey the only certain way to protect Christian minorities by military occupation, by Allied forces other than Greek, of whole of Asia Minor. This, of course, in present state of European armaments, is not feasible, and thus it follows that complete protection for Christian minorities in the interior of Asiatic Turkey is impossible.

Such might, however, be done by stationing Allied men-of-war at all coast towns. This would have effect of attracting large numbers of Christians, where they could be only protected than in interior, and where arrangements could be made for feeding them. Another measure would be to announce that it was firm intention of Allies themselves to undertake punishment of any officials or officers responsible for guilty of failure to stop

and Commander-in-chief, Mediterranean,

E 2635 3 44]

No. 156

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—Received April 3.)

No. 327)

Telegraphic.) D.

Constantinople, April 3, 1920.

MY telegram No. 310 of 30th March

Turkish Cabinet resigned on evening of 2nd April

E 2636 3 44]

No. 157

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—Received April 3.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Constantinople, April 3, 1920

MY telegram No. 327 of 3rd April.

This resignation followed on further exchange of views regarding disavowal.

High Commissioners informed Minister for Foreign Affairs verbally on 31st March that Porte revised formula was still unacceptable, indicating certain passages which must be deleted to make it acceptable in principle, one being that relative to Smyrna.

On 1st April, Ministry of Foreign Affairs addressed to High Commissioners note justifying attitude of Government, and insisting on impossibility of accepting disastrous text demanded by High Commissioners.

Note did not expressly call for answer, but, on evening of 1st April, Ministry Foreign Affairs asked Sir H. Lamb verbally that answer should be sent, saying Cabinet were firmly decided to resign if High Commissioners adhered to their view. Next morning he told French and Italian colleagues that resignation had been decided.

He no longer seemed to think it worth while to ask for answer to note. New Grand Vizier has not yet been appointed. [Group omitted] Ferid is now with Pasha and Damad Ferid to form Government. Ferid is now with possible colleagues.

E 2314/3.44)

No. 158

Foreign Office to War Office.

Foreign Office, April 3, 1920

I AM directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to forward herewith,\* for the consideration of the Army Council, copy of a despatch which has been received from the High Commissioner at Constantinople relative to the present situation in European Turkey.

In this connection I am to enquire what number of troops the Army Council consider would be necessary in order to effect the occupation of European Turkey and what forces are now available on the spot.

I am, &c  
J. A. C. TILLEY

E 2836 1720 44.

Earl Curzon to M. Cambon

Foreign Office, April 4, 1920

My dear Ambassador,

I UNDERSTOOD from your conversations with me ten days ago that you were about to submit to me on behalf of your Government certain proposals with regard to the Command in chief at Constantinople.

I handed to you a memorandum containing the views of the British Government upon the best solution of this question, and you took the unusual step of returning it to me on the double ground that it would be distasteful to your Government to receive such a communication and that if I withdrew it you would submit proposals of your own. I have now been waiting for some time and have received nothing from you. Meanwhile, I hear from Constantinople that the deadlock continues. As I said before, the only true solution appears to be to confer the activities and the authority of General Franchet d'Espèrey to his original command in Thrace.

I have, &c  
CURZON OF KEDLESTON

E 2746.3 44)

No. 160.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 6.)

(No. 340)

apt 4) R.

Constantinople, April 5, 1920

MY telegram No. 328 of 3rd April

Ferid Pasha took office on afternoon of 5th April. My immediate feeling was that the new Government was constructed entirely on non-party lines. Unless Ferid has already equated leaders of Entente liberals this may be source of weakness. Several of new Ministers are almost unknown men.

Imperial decree appointing new Grand Vizier condemns National movement in occupation terms as rebellion which has already been gravely detrimental and may still further endanger interests of country.

Decree enjoins enforcement of legal sanctions against organisers of movement, and proclaims amnesty for those deluded into joining it. It expressly prescribes establishment of cordial relations with Entente Great Powers.

Translation will follow by bag.

[E 2781/3.44.

No. 16

Vice-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 7)

No. 373.)

Constantinople March 18, 1920

My Lord,

WITH reference to my telegram No. 238 of the 16th instant, I have the honour to transmit herewith the following documents:—

- 1) Decision adopted by the French British and Italian High Commissioners on 16th March
- 2) Minutes of the High Commissioners to the Grand Vizier, enclosing No. 1 and presented to his Highness by Mr. Ryan at about 9.40 a.m. on the 16th March
- 3) English text of the same
- 4) French text of communiqué issued by the High Commissioners on the 16th March
- 5) Translation of communiqué issued by the Turkish Government on the afternoon of the 16th March
- 6) Memorandum by Mr. Ryan recording presentation of joint note to Grand Vizier
- 7) Memorandum by M. Leducq, of French Embassy, recording intimation made to Sultan

- 8) The communication to the representatives of the other Allied, Associated and Neutral Powers, dated 16th March, enclosing a copy of No. 1 above

I have, &amp;c

J. M. DE ROBÉCK

High Commissioner

Enclosure 1 in No. 161

Decision adopted by Allied High Commissioners

NOUS, Hauts-Commissaires de France, de Grande-Bretagne et d'Italie, nous conformant aux ordres du Conseil suprême allié décidons:

1. La ville de Constantinople sera placée sous l'occupation militaire des Puissances alliées à partir du 16 mars à 10 heures
2. Les autorités militaires alliées assureront, au nom des Hauts-Commissaires alliés, l'exécution de toutes mesures militaires que rendra nécessaires l'occupation de la ville.
3. Les mesures ci-dessus indiquées comprendront:
  - a) Le contrôle des Ministères de la Guerre et de la Marine, avec le contrôle et la surveillance des communications au sein des armées
  - b) Le contrôle des postes, des télégraphes, des téléphones
  - c) Le contrôle strict de la police et, en général, la confiscation, la publication et l'application de tous règlements nécessaires au maintien de la paix, de la sécurité et de l'ordre public dans la région soumise à l'occupation militaire.

A. DEFRANCE

J. M. DE ROBÉCK

MAÏSSA

Enclosure 2 in No. 161.

Allied Joint Note addressed to the Grand Vizier.

Constantinople, le 16 mars 1920

Altesses, Les Hauts-Commissaires de France, de Grande-Bretagne et d'Italie sont chargés par le Conseil suprême allié de porter à la connaissance de votre Altesse qu'à partir du

[4370]

2 N



16 mars, à 10 heures, la ville de Constantinople sera placée sous l'occupation militaire des Puissances alliées.

Votre Altesse trouvera ci-joint le texte de la décision prise à ce sujet par les Hauts-Commissaires.

Les Hauts-Commissaires ont chargé d'expliquer que le Gouvernement ottoman désavoue tout mouvement Moustapha Kemal Pacha, ainsi que les autres dirigeants du mouvement soi-disant "nationaliste," dont la responsabilité pour les événements et les excès qui viennent de se produire sur plusieurs points, et notamment en Cilicie, ne peut être mise en doute.

Nous sommes chargés d'ajouter que l'occupation militaire de Constantinople sera maintenue jusqu'à ce que les conditions de paix soient acceptées et mises à exécution.

Veuillez agréer, &c.  
A. DEFRANCE  
J. M. DE ROBERT  
MAISON

Enclosure 3 in No. 101

1. *Annexation.*

HAVING judged it necessary to proclaim the state of siege in Constantinople for the purpose of maintaining order and security, I, the undersigned, in my quality as Commander in Chief of the Allied troops in Constantinople, declare as follows:—

With the exception of officers and soldiers of the Allied Forces, and persons duly recognized as attached to Allied Embassies or neutral Legations, it is forbidden to any other persons whatsoever to bear arms outside his private home.

To be considered as arms prohibited are—all kinds of fire-arms and knifedaggers with a blade more than 8 cm. long.

Any person contravening the above orders will be treated as a criminal.

All meetings are rigorously forbidden. Any person responsible for the organization of any meeting will be brought before a court-martial and condemned to death, or to a severe penalty, if the civil court so decides.

H. F. M. WILSON, Lieutenant-General

Enclosure 4 in No. 101

Communiqué des Hauts-Commissaires anglais, français et italiens

Il y a cinq ans et demi, les chefs du Comité Union et Progrès ont pris en main les destinées de la Turquie, et ont entraîné la Turquie à la guerre.

Les résultats de cette politique sont connus. Le Gouvernement et le peuple, après mille démonstrations de toute nature, ont subi une défaite telle que le Comité Union et Progrès n'ont eu d'autre solution que de conclure un armistice.

de l'armistice, une tâche très lourde s'est imposée aux Hauts-Commissaires. Cette tâche était de jeter les fondements d'une paix capable d'assurer la tranquillité de l'Empire ottoman, sans distinction de races ni de religions.

Alors que la Conférence de la Paix travaillait pour remplir sa tâche, certaines personnalités représentant les idées d'extrême gauche ont formé une organisation nationale, laquelle, ne tenant aucun compte des ordres du Sultan et du Gouvernement en règle, par la force, des hommes déjà éprouvés par la guerre, extorque aux populations des contributions forcées, à son profit

et, multipliant partout les causes de dissentiments, semble vouloir ouvrir une ère de nouvelles agitations.

Cependant la Conférence poursuivait son œuvre pacifique et la décision si apaisante de laisser Constantinople sous l'administration de la condition—ainsi que la Sublime Porte en fut avertie—que les troupes de l'Entente et de ses Alliés cessât de se battre.

Mais les hommes de l'organisation prétendue nationale, loin de seconder en cela la bonne volonté du Gouvernement central, cherchent au contraire à l'entraver.

Cette situation, si fâcheuse pour l'établissement de la paix tant souhaitée, a obligé les Puissances de l'Entente à étudier les moyens qui permettraient d'assurer l'exécution des conditions qui en seront prochainement fixées. De cela un seul moyen: l'occupation.

Cette mesure étant en voie d'exécution, il est porté à la connaissance générale que:

1. L'occupation est provisoire.  
Les Puissances de l'Entente n'ont aucune intention de détruire l'autorité de la Sublime Porte. Elles veulent la renforcer, au contraire, sur tous les points qui demeureront à l'initiative de la Sublime Porte.

2. Les Puissances de l'Entente persistent dans leur intention de ne pas priver les Turcs de Constantinople, mais, en ce qui a lieu de place, des troubles généralisés ou des agitations locales, cette décision serait probablement modifiée.

3. Chaque nation a le devoir de vaquer à ses affaires et de concourir ainsi au bien-être de la société générale, mais de laisser chacun par ceux dont l'égarement tend à détruire le destin pour d'édifier sur les débris de l'ancien Empire une Turquie nouvelle, en un mot, chacun a le devoir d'obéir aux ordres émanant du Sultanat.

4. Certaines personnalités impliquées dans les troubles dont il vient d'être parlé ont été arrêtées à Constantinople. Elles auront naturellement à répondre de leurs actes et des conséquences ultérieures que pourront produire ces actes.

Constantinople, le 16 mars 1919.

Enclosure 5 in No. 101

Official Communiqué of Turkish Government

(Translated) On the 16th of March, at this morning by the representatives of the Entente Powers, and in the evening a communiqué published in this evening's papers, Constantinople has been placed under temporary military occupation as from to-day. The duties devolving on the Government are being performed. In these circumstances it is recommended that every one should maintain complete tranquillity.

I CALLED at the Grand Vizier's house about 9.25 this morning. His Highness, who had not been forewarned of my visit, received me at about 9.40. I told him that I had been invited by the three High Commissioners to make a serious communication and I briefly explained its general nature. I then handed him the joint note of the High Commissioners relative to the occupation of Constantinople.

Grand Vizier did not at first seem to realize the difference between the present situation and the previous military situation. After reading the note, however, he expressed great concern, and said it was the end of the Government in Constantinople. I told him that the High Commissioners hoped it was not intended, I said, to interfere with the civil administration. The Allies did not wish to weaken the legitimate Government. They did wish to weaken the illegitimate Government which had existed for some time past.

a strong position here in order to ensure respect for the decisions of the Peace Conference

5 I impressed on the Grand Vizier the desire of the High Commissioners that all should pass off quietly, and that no resistance should be offered. He said that there could be no question of resistance. Later in the conversation he observed that no time was being allowed the Government to make preparations and issue necessary instructions. I observed that rapidity of action was essential. I expressed the hope that the Government would do all in their power to prevent any disturbance. The High Commissioners were, I said, using their influence to prevent any disturbance on the part of the Christian elements, and they hoped the Government would use their influence to prevent any disturbance on the part of the Moslem elements. He said that nothing need be feared from the Moslems.

6. The Grand Vizier presented the appearance of a man taken with  
stupor, and there was no hint of his having heard even of the arrests this  
morning. There was no movement in or about his house. While I was with him  
for the Ministers to be summoned to meet at the Porte

Constatinople, March 16, 1920.

ANDREW RYAN

enclosure 7 in No. 101.

Communication de M. Ledoux au Palais, le 16 mars 1929

CONFORMEMENT aux instructions de M. le Haut Commissaire, je me rends au Palais Impérial, ce matin à 2 heures 30. J'ai fait appeler d'urgence le Premier Secrétaire qui était encore à son domicile à Nienbach et qui est arrivé à Yuhé à 10 heures 15.

Je lui ai remis les deux documents que j'étais chargé de faire connaître par son entremise, au Sultan, en ajoutant que MM les Ministres enverraient avant le 1<sup>er</sup> que Sa Majesté Impériale fût informée, à l'insu de la Commission qui devait lui être faite par moi-même, au sujet de la par le Commandeur suprême des Aïms.

la communication dont il s'agit,

Une demi-heure après, le Premier Secrétaire était de retour et en réponse du Sultan, avait conçu d'une manière p

1. Il est avéré que le Monarque Impérial a toujours voulu concourir à la solution avec les Puissances alliées.

2. Tout en exprimant ses regrets que l'affaire ait atteint ce degré (sans arriver à ce point), Sa Majesté a pris connaissance de la communication dont il s'agit.

A L I K H L A

Form. In 16 pages 1820

E 2798 3 44

<sup>1</sup> See-Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Karl Curzon.—(Received April 7.)

(No. 895.)

My Love,

Constantinople, March

WITH reference to my telegram No. 255 of the 20th March, 1920, re  
and all accompanying document which I have received from Dr. W. A. Kennedy, the  
representative of the Lord Mayor's Committee at Adana.

k. Their story shows that the proceedings lacked none of the ruthlessness and insensitive brutality which hitherto have characterized Turkish outbreaks of massacre and the instance of this particular village may be regarded as typical of what doubtless took place at the time, and during preceding weeks, in other villages of the Ishak and Mardian districts.

of papers, enclosed in Dr Kennedy's letter, bear evidence to the undoubted gravity of the situation at Hajin, and indeed in that part of Cilicia generally. The French military authorities would appear to be unable to afford active and immediate assistance to the Armenians in that town beyond the sum of 14000 roubles. For the rest the evacuation on Sivas of the non-combatants is counselled, and even the possibility of the complete withdrawal from Hajin of the entire Armenian population contemplated.

1. A network of these issues clearly suits to the seriousness of the situation in Cilicia, created and fanned by Nationalist intrigues and furthermore emphasizes the fact that the Turk, given the opportunity, has shown himself to be entirely unchangeable in his treatment of the subject Christian populations who still remain under his uncontrolled rule.

I have, &c

J M on Books K High Continuum

Enclosure 1 in No. 162

Survivors' Narrative of Massacre of Armenians at Khos

THE history leading up to the following accounts of three women who were brought into Adana to-day is reported to be:

Agda, a band of about 100 gendarmes, of whom there were eight Armenians (no officers and seven men), were sent to a village about six hours from Bakhch. On the night following they arrived the village was surrounded and in the morning the Muslim gendarmes are said to have given up their arms to K.

understanding with them. At any rate, the Ar  
attempt made to kill them. Fear of them with the oil  
the other three fell into the hands of Kousoul's men and were and t  
been killed. The other Ar  
word of the Turks, who later attacked a neighboring village where the three women  
lived. Sixty five Armenians lived in that village, and no word has been received from  
any one who might have escaped.

Story of Terbanda Sahagun, aged 45      Village Kunes, six hours inland —

Five days ago, the day after Choush Avedis (one of the Armenian gendarmes) had escaped at dawn, the son of Kourahd Agnia came to Kurex with a band of gendarmes and chetaks, and, having gathered the Armenian men and women in two groups, told them that they were going to kill them. They said, "Your God is the French, and we are not going to leave one of you here." The women begged to be left, but they said, "We are not going to leave one of you. We are going to kill you all." They led in the other village, where the only one left is the miller, who is useful to us, and whom we will kill when his work is done." They killed the men, six of them, eight wounded us, "as near as the wall." The men were begging and crying. They were killed by bayonets and bullets. The party of women were made up of twelve women, two unmarried girls, and one bride. There were also with them two children of about 2 years, both boys. The bride was taken away the first night and killed, and brought back in the morning. She called out that she was a Moslem, but they said that they did not want her Moslemism now, and she must go with the others. They made the women walk two by two for ten minutes outside the village, and there they set about to kill them with lathis and bayonets. One of the men stabbed her, and as he did so she fell on the ground and lay quite still. As she lay



away. She was shivering on the snow, but with two others she got away. They slept among the bushes on the hillside, and after two days got to Islahish.

On examination this woman was found by Dr. Dodd and myself to have four wounds on the lower and outer aspect of the right thoracic wall. These wounds could have been the entry and exit wounds of a fine bayonet without a cutting edge. There was also a small wound in the neighbourhood in the right hypogastric region, and was very tender on pressure in the area just above the wound.

In further questioning, she said that she—Terfunda Sahagian—saw the gendarmes arrive as they passed Kurex on their way to Saghach Guez, the village of Kourahid Agha. She went towards that village with a woman—Margaret—to find out what she could. She was particularly interested in doing so because her married son was attached to the same force as the gendarmes who had arrived from Islahish. When she approached the village she saw the brigands around it, and after seeing some of the Armenian gendarmes escape she returned to her own village. The distance between the two villages was not more than half-an-hour walking.

Miriam Sarkisian, aged 25, her son Amadour, aged

as the above Terfunda, and said that the men were She said that the son of Kourahid came at whom she had to her arms. Beside the children there were twelve women, one or two and two unmarried girls of 12 or 13 years of age. A man put his rifle against the baby's head and fired, shattering the child's head, and so wounding her that she fell down. As she lay on the ground one man said "Give her another bullet" and the other replied "She is dead, I won't bother any more about her." She was quite still until she was sure that they had gone away, and then she got up. She could see her, as the women had been taken to a small wood in a valley about half a mile from the village. She found two other women, and by travelling by night they arrived in Islahish after two days. In the day-time they hid themselves, and during one of the days they were lying in the open in the rain.

On examination, she was found to be suffering from a shattered forearm on the right side. She had also a surface wound on the ulnar side of the left arm.

VI

A woman of about middle age, who came into the hospital at the same time, and who had been able to reach Islahish with the other two. She was suffering from an open wound on the head along the line of the sagittal suture. The wound was empty, and the surrounding area was involved. It was not possible to tell without a more thorough examination whether a bullet had penetrated the skull or not. The woman was too dull by reason of the wound to be able to tell us any details of herself.

On further questioning, it was believed that no French troops had been in those two villages. Miriam Sarkisian said that she had never seen French troops until she arrived at Islahish.

Adana, March 7 1920

Enclosure 2 in No. 162

Dr. W. A. Kennedy to Colonel Graves.

Adana, March 10, 1920

Dear Colonel Graves,

JUST a word in

(a) Letter from M. Damadian to the General Commanding in which he asks help for Hadjin because of the disturbing nature of the news that keeps coming from that city. This letter has not been answered.

(b) Letter from the Chief Administrator to M. Damadian after an interview on the district north of Adana.

(c) Letter to General Dufieux from M. Damadian asking that at the request of a meeting of Armenians a delegation be granted time to-day to present an urgent appeal on behalf of the people, who, they believe, are in great need.

General Dufieux in which he appoints a meeting for their protection.

Copy of resolution and request to be General Dufieux to-morrow on the religious heads.

I am sending by hand and am asking Mr. Lee who brings it to say that he will bring back any message that you may send. I should like to hear that the High Commissioner has granted the request. The men went home through the Foreign Office in the same way as they are sent to me.

I am, Sir,  
W. A. KENNEDY.

M. Damadian to General Dufieux

Adana, le 8 mars 1920

Mon Général,

A l'instant même, je reçois de Hadjine la dépêche

Suppléant de souligner la gravité de cette nouvelle. Etant donné que les dispositions et les préparatifs à Hadjine se trouvent trop au-dessous de ce qui est en toute hâte des secours pour éviter un malheur irréparable.

Je vous répète à cette occasion, mon Général, que le nom compatriotes qui ont tout prévu pour la défense et qui seraient capables de changer du tout au tout la situation, nous vous êtes disposés de nous donner l'autorisation et les moyens d'agir. Vous fais un suprême appel pour les mesures à prendre d'urgence, et je prie d'agréer, etc.

M. DAMADIAN

Colonel Rivmont to M. Damadian

Contre-ordre n° 1001 du 10 mars 1920. Adana, le 11 mars 1920. Vous m'avez demandé ce que j'en disais de votre dire nettement à la fois les troupes françaises vers Hadjine en cas d'attaque.

Vous m'avez répondu que la question ne dépendait pas de moi, mais du commandant la 150<sup>e</sup> DI.

Je viens de le consulter à ce sujet et après ma réflexion il m'a chargé de faire connaître qu'il n'envoiera pas présentement des troupes à Hadjine et qu'il ne peut malgré son désir, prendre, pour l'instant, aucun engagement sérieux qui pourrait nuire aux autres armées.

Mais il m'a aussi entendu que son attention est portée dans cette direction et qu'il fera tout le possible pour aider ces populations.

En conséquence, je suis d'avis que le départ des bouches inutiles, femmes et enfants, soit organisé pour les conduire à la région de M. S. et M. S.

Les troupes françaises rencontreront à mi-chemin jusqu'à la région de M. S. et M. S. et les troupes françaises seront assurées par les troupes françaises.

Pour ce qui est de Hadjine, je suis d'avis qu'un millier d'hommes peuvent  
plusieurs mois. La réduction de la consommation des vivres par le  
Je puis fournir immédiatement un millier de fusils supplémentaires, qui porteront

l'ailleurs, toute confiance dans la vigueur de M. Tchaha  
rnier télégramme que je viens de recevoir du Capitaine Lattarlat me dit qu'  
Hadjine est encore complètement intact et tranquille. Je suis persuadé

mouvements se passent dans le plus grand ordre le plus grand calme  
désigner les chefs de groupe qui maintiennent une d  
de donner, d'ailleurs, des ordres dans ce sens au Gouverneur de Kozan, et je ne  
doute pas qu'il trouvera tous les concours nécessaires.  
Le secret doit être gardé le plus longtemps possible pour que le convoi de semences  
et la route soient le minimum de risque.

GREMOND, Colonel

M. Damadian to General Dufieux  
Le 10 mars 1920  
Les milliers d'Arméniens qui s'étaient réunis hier dans l'ordre midi devant vous  
d'Adana les chefs a

Je vous prie de leur présenter mes salutations et de leur dire que si vous pouvez leur  
par les milliers des manifestants, je vous en serai infiniment obligé de vouloir  
à les recevoir aujourd'hui

En vous remerciant à l'avance, je vous prie  
M. DAMADIAN,  
Représentant de la Délégation de l'Arménie  
intégrale

General Dufieux to M. Damadian

M. le Représentant,  
J'ai pu vous faire d'innombrables excuses sur le mécontentement que m'a causé la réunion des  
le quartier général du 8000 au 7000 Arméniens est non pas de plus en plus

Je suis, néanmoins, heureux de vous recevoir demain matin, 11 mars, à 8 heures  
Veuillez agréer, etc  
J. DUFIEUX

Ordre du Jour

DES milliers d'Arméniens, de toute confession et de toutes classes, réunies en  
meeting ce 9 mars 1920, en l'église arménienne d'Adana, profondément émus devant la  
recrudescence de l'activité de bandes turques, qui, non contentes de leurs funestes exploits  
à Marash, poursuivent maintenant plus activement leur œuvre de  
destruction et de massacre dans d'autres régions de la Cilicie, notamment dans le district  
d'Ischia, où ils ont, ces jours derniers, entre autres choses, massacré les villageois  
arméniens de Kunes, alarmés et saisis d'une vive anxiété en présence de l'attaque  
organisée contre le fort de Ischia qui se trouve à l'extrémité méridionale de la  
moitié, ainsi que devant la menace imminente à laquelle Karm Pazar, Sis, Ekiere et  
encore d'autres localités se trouvent exposées, devant l'insécurité générale et la terreur,  
qui caractérisent la situation actuelle en Cilicie, ont formulé et arrêté à  
l'unanimité les acclamations et résolutions suivantes, qu'ils considèrent d'une importance  
au double point de vue de la sauvegarde de la vie et des intérêts des populations  
du pays et de la civilisation européenne

Ils demandent

1. Que les autorités militaires, en tant que garanties par-devant les Puissances alliées  
de la sécurité des populations des régions occupées, prennent énergiquement toutes les  
mesures nécessaires pour la suppression rapide d'insurrection insurrectionnel,  
concentrant des forces suffisantes dans toutes les positions exposées à l'attaque de

2. Que les autorités militaires et civiles d'Adana soient autorisées à organiser  
une force armée dans la  
3. Que les autorités militaires et civiles d'Adana soient autorisées à organiser  
une force armée dans la

4. Qu'il leur soit permis, notamment, de diriger vers les endroits les plus menacés, dont Hadjine et Zeitoun

5. Que ces corps puissent agir librement sous la direction et le commandement de  
chefs arméniens

6. Qu'en attendant la réorganisation, sur de nouvelles bases, de la Légion arménienne,  
la Légion arménienne soit autorisée à former une partie de cette  
Légion soient, pendant cette période, les chefs de la Légion arménienne, et dans le cas de la non-acceptation de cette demande,  
l'armée nationale arménienne, et dans le cas de la non-acceptation de cette demande,  
que tous les légionnaires et gradés arméniens soient considérés, de suite, comme libérés  
de leurs engagements

7. Que les populations arméniennes des villages et des régions les plus exposées  
soient transférées dans des centres sûrs, conformément à l'avis et aux suggestions des  
autorités arméniennes et sous la surveillance des autorités françaises

8. Que les principaux chefs ou instigateurs du mouvement luso soient arrêtés et  
emmenés à un château exemplaire; que, le cas échéant, des otages soient pris parmi les  
notables turcs ou musulmans

9. Que tous les gendarmes et policiers musulmans soient immédiatement  
renvoyés et que, dorénavant, seuls des gendarmes et des policiers chrétiens soient  
employés

10. Que les autorités turques de Cilicie—dont la complicité dans l'organisation et les  
activités des bandes, ainsi que celle du Gouvernement central de  
Constantinople, en violation flagrante et ébranlée des termes de l'armistice, est déjà si  
amplement prouvée—soient dès maintenant définitivement abolies et les fonctionnaires  
arrêtés ou chassés

11. Que les autorités françaises prennent à tâche de mettre régulièrement les  
autorités arméniennes au courant de l'état exact des choses dans les diverses parties de  
la Cilicie, notamment dans les régions les plus exposées

12. Que les autorités arméniennes jouissent de la latitude d'envoyer des  
télégrammes politiques à l'étranger, à vue d'exposer la situation suivant leur



appréciation et d'exprimer leurs vœux et leurs doléances, soit à leurs chefs politiques, soit aux Gouvernements des puissances alliées et associées.

Ils décident

De fermer leurs migrations à partir de demain mercredi, 10 courant, jusqu'à ce que pleins et entières satisfaction à ces demandes soit obtenue.

Ils adjurent les autorités arméniennes

De soumettre, littéralement et par écrit, des demandes aux autorités de l'occupation, ainsi que de leur transmettre la teneur de la réponse écrite que ces autorités leur auraient donnée, pour agir de ce fait.

Telegram from Armenian Religious Heads to Armenian Catholics, Adana

(Traduction.)

Marich, le 10 Mars 1920

PAR suite événements déastreux, milliers Arméniens peris retraites Français. Grâce garantie Gouvernement ottoman, sîmes mis en état de sûreté. Notre Mutesarrif Bey fait efforts extraordinaires pour assurer la tranquillité, pourtant, vu que dans éventualité retour Français, notre vie sera exposée au danger, nous vous prions, au nom de humanité, faire des démarches diplomatiques pour écarter en tout é de cause cette éventualité

Le Chef spirituel protestant,  
ABRAHAM HAROUNIAN  
L'Evêque arménien  
DER GHAZARIAN  
L'Archevêque arménien catholique  
V. A. A. A.

E 2822 3.44]

No. 163

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Lord Curzon.—(Received April 7)

(No. 341)

(Telegraphic) En clair.

Constantinople, April 5, 1920

FOLLOWING is list of Cabinet formed on 5th April

Dahmad Ferid Pasha, Grand Vizier and Minister for Foreign Affairs, Durrî Zade A. Pasha, Sheikh ul Islam, Rehal Bey, Interior, also President of Council of State, Mehmed Said Pasha, Marine, also War and interim, Ali Roshdi Pasha, Justice; Fehreddin Bey, Public Instruction; Jemal Pasha, Public Works, Reinal Pasha Commerce and Agriculture, Rehal Bey, Finance and interim, Qamul Rehal Pasha, Posts and Telecommunications

E 2817 1729 44]

No. 164.

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received April 7)

Sir,

War Office, April 7, 1920

I AM commanded by the Army Council to forward for the information of Lord Curzon the attached copy of a telegram from the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief the Army of the Black Sea.

The Army Council understand that the question of the respective responsibilities of General Milor and General Franchet d'Esperey has already been the subject of communications between Lord Curzon and M. Cambon, and they hope that a definite decision on the question may be reached in the near future

I am, &c  
B. B. CUBITT.

Enclosure in No. 161

General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Army of the Black Sea, to War Office.

Telegraphic) P

(Secret)

G.C. 450 cypher of 31st March

Franchet d'Esperey, on my informing him, as in your 84223 D M O. of 20th March, that Thrace should be considered as within his zone, has replied that he has not been informed of any modification bearing on the conventions regulating the Inter-Alied command in Turkey in Europe, and that he considers these conventions still in force.

Our respective responsibilities are at present most ill-defined, and I trust that he may receive clear definition at any early date.

E 2873 47 44]

No. 165.

Political Resident, Aden, to Lord Curzon.—(Received April 8.)

Sir,

Aden, March 25, 1920

I HAVE the honour to forward for your information, copy of letter dated the 25th March, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo

I have, &c

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 165

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

(Secret)

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, March 25, 1920

THE following is a summary of news reported since the despatch of my last letter dated the 18th March, 1920.

Tahama

On the reports received from Hodeida during this week it appears that the imam's men are still besieged at Riqab on Jabel Bura. Their early surrender is expected as they appear to be short of food. No fresh activity has been reported since the retreat of the imam's troops to Jabel Safa. The Qubra country is said to be entirely free of the Zeidis.

Shaukat Bey's report re the communication addressed by the imam to the Qubra

Shaukat Bey's report re the communication addressed by the imam to the Qubra

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

Gordon is trying to get a copy of the proclamation. There is a rumour that Mahdi

afforded under British régime. The thinking people, however, are naturally anxious concerning their future. What they want is security, which they express in the word "dowlan." Having come to the conclusion that the British occupations are merely temporary, they desire the return of the Turks. They have no desire to see France or Italy in charge of their destiny, nor do they regard the Idrisi or the imam as capable of affording the protection they desire. They do not regard them as "dowlan" or "bila quann." If either Idrisi or imam rule were to be imposed on Hadramaut, the former would be accepted in preference to the latter, not as a matter of choice but as the better of the two alternatives.

The leading merchants in Hodeida have inaugurated a poor relief fund from which 500 to 600 poor are fed daily.

Captain Gordon gives the following figures of Hodeida imports and exports for the month of February:—

Imports, 340,000 rupees.  
Exports, 124,500 rupees.

The chief imports were cotton piece-goods, flour, cotton twist, tobacco, spices mixed, dates, rice, sugar, kerosene oil, and jowar.

The chief exports were coffee, hides and skins, mat-baskets, cotton, and sheep.

#### Yemen (Upper and Lower)

Mohammed bin-Ahmed-al Mikdad, Sheikh of Bilad Anis, is reported to have written to all the leading Zaidis advising them not to allow the imam's army, as his army, to enter the country. Many of the leading Zaidis, however, are reported to have made the imam very nervous, as he fears the Idrisi, which would endanger his capital.

An agent at Monakha reports that an arrangement has been arrived at between the son of Nasir Mahabut and Saleh Husain-al Haddari of Arhab on the one hand, and the imam on the other, whereby the latter has agreed to their allegiance to the imam on condition that the latter pay them a monthly allowance as they now receive from the Idrisi. The imam is reported to have left for Sana in company with the ex-imam al-Amir of Haja, Ahmed al-Sayid.

A few more Turks have arrived for repatriation. They state that it is not permitted the following Turkish officials to leave the country till the Turkish and his affairs with the European Powers have been settled.

M. N. al-Van, the ex-Van  
an Appeal Judge of the Shura Court  
Fawzi Bey, ex Accountant-general of the V.

The imam's arsenal does not turn out very much ammunition nowadays. It is still under the same Austrian, George, who also supervises the working of the flour-mills in Sana.

One of the Turks, Tewfik Bey, stated that only part of the Haja district was in the hands of Hyad Idrisi, and that there was no foundation for the reports in regard to the fall of Tawwala and Kaukab.

Said al-Saidi, the ex-Van, the Appeal Judge of the Shura Court, and Fawzi Bey, ex Accountant-general of the V., are reported to have come in to Aden to find out our views concerning the situation in Yemen. If Government are willing to countenance their overtures, they are prepared to act as we wish.

They say that the imam's forces in the Tihama are small, and if Government were to move via Yafa they would engage him in the Zabid district, the people of which are conspiring with the Idrisi. They can withstand the imam for six months on their own resources, but thereafter they would need Government support in the way of material only. They are confident that the whole of the Shafai Tihama would be with them. Finally they said that if we were not in accord with their plans they would quietly leave Aden at once without exciting suspicion. I have given them to understand that we can do nothing for them at present.

#### Aden Protectorate

There has been no change in the situation, which remains the same as reported last week. The Asawi Sheikh and others are still detained by the Ze'is.

The Maasatta Naqib and the son of the Lower Yafa Sultan have gone to M. K. L. Z. to see the K. S. T. to return to Aden shortly and will be accompanied to their country by Resandar Mahamad Esi Khan.

Yours &c  
J. M. STEWART

[E 2838 1729 44]

No. 106

M. Cambon to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 8.)

Dear Lord Curzon,

Ambassade de France, Londres, le 5 avril 1920

Je vous prie de m'excuser de ne vous avoir pas écrit plus tôt. J'ai été très occupé par notre entretien du 1<sup>er</sup> avril. Il m'a fait savoir qu'il avait consulté notre Haut-Commissaire à Constantinople, et qu'il était sur le point de conférer avec le Général Franchet d'Espèrey, qui venait d'arriver à Paris. Je vous aviserai de ses propositions aussitôt qu'elles me seront parvenues.

PAUL CAMBON

E 1654 1483 44

Lord Curzon to Baron de Selys-Longchamps

Your Excellency,

Foreign Office, April 8, 1920

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4<sup>th</sup> inst. in relation to the Government's desire for Belgian representation on the proposed International Conference of the Ottoman Debt, and on the Council of the Ottoman Debt. I have the honour to remind you that it is proposed for obvious reasons to confine the representation to representatives of the three Great Powers.

At the Council of the Ottoman Debt, when your Excellency's request was put forward, the Conference of Foreign Ministers and Ambassadors it was felt that, as the council would shortly disappear, it was hardly worth while to set in motion the machinery of the Council of the Ottoman Debt, which would be of no use to Belgian interests.

I have, &c.  
CURZON OF KEDLESTON

E 2915/2 44]

No. 168

Chief Political Officer, Egyptian Expeditionary Force, to Earl Curzon  
(Received April 9)

My Lord, I have the honour to transmit herewith a copy of a protest delivered by the Congress, which assembled at Damascus on the 27th February, 1920.

I have, &c.  
(For Chief Political Officer),  
A. J. ROWLAND, Captain.



La situation de la Pologne redoublée indépendante, dans ses droits de l'assurance  
reintégration de la Pologne redoublée indépendante, dans ses droits de l'assurance  
jouissant du bénéfice des capitulations en Turquie. En conséquence, la Delegation  
i. . . . . de Paris avec la Turquie il est  
i. . . . .  
nat de cette situation par la reconnaissance du principe que les ressortissan-  
i. . . . . et au regard des décrets.

M. ZAMOYSKI

No. 179L

War Office, April 9, 1920

1 SEP. 88

E. B. CUBITT

*General Headquarters, Constantinople, to War Office*

{1<sup>st</sup> paragraph}

Constantinople, March 28, 1920

... and Inkane have now detached their representatives, and those have now  
... of principle that Commission of Control shall be under m

No. 171

Earl Carson to Mr. Wardrop (Tulsa)

(Toluyra, 1980)

Foreign Office, April 11, 1920.

ON Thursday I saw an Armenian deputation, consisting of Boghos Nubar Pasha  
an Ambassador of Turkey, and M. Aghassian and, among expatriate steps which we were  
taking for creation of an independent Armenia, spoke to them in the strongest possible  
terms of the danger to which they were exposed by the intrigues committed since beginning  
of year by Armenians on one hand and Tartars on other, showing a heavy balance  
scale in which the Armenians were the lighter side. I pointed out to them that the  
only way to avoid a danger of disunion, in anyone case, consenting to look  
after Armenia in future if they showed such complete instability and love of disorder  
was to have a strong central power, and that till then it was essential that power  
should be in the hands of the Armenians.

153

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received April 12.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, April 11, 1920

MY telegram No. 340 of 5th April.

Ferul visited me on 7th April; record of interview is on the way to you. Main subject of conversation was question of proposed measures to repress so-called national

Hard said Government would use full moral influence, but force would be necessary against those who still remained recalcitrant.

Anti-Nationalist movement, organised by one Anzavour in area south of Marniorn, is first weapon to hand of Government. It has had considerable local success. Anzavour was therefore some days ago. Now Government have legalised his position by

giving him the status of Governor of Balikesir

Forid wants to know how far Allies will support Government in organising and co-ordinating movements. I have held out no hope of active British co-operation in

view, and request that we should enable Government to make the best use of movements by allowing military material to be used for equipping anti-Nationalist forces.

by allowing military material to be used for equipping anti-nationalist forces. This is most immediately in question. Others will follow, e.g., question of permitting regular troops whom Government may be able to control.

... regular troops whom loyal Government may be able to...  
... that new Government is...  
fall Allied support... (ation) is reasonable efforts to repre...

Nationalists, who continue to defy its authority

General Mace is most immediately concerned in matter of giving permission for the use of military material and movement of troops. He agrees generally with my views and is prepared to act on principle stated in preceding paragraph, provided I, as High Commissioner, give necessary authority. I have definitely requested him to do so.

As regards other High Commissioners, I am proceeding on the principle that they must be kept generally informed and given opportunity of expressing views, but that so far as Constantinople and Athens are concerned, carrying out of above programme, which is logical outcome of policy of Supreme Council, runs primarily with us in the same way that it runs with French in and north of Cilicia.

main way that it runs with French in and north of China  
to us for guidance. I am inviting him indirectly to collaborate with  
our. I hope in this way whole of Asiatic shore of Marmora  
would be secured.

There remains peninsula between Gulf of Isond and Black Sea, which Nationalists are making vigorous efforts to penetrate. I am asking General Milus to study the oil possibilities in every side. Then we can dominate the Black Sea coast of Anatolia and Black Sea coast, which latter we can dominate from sea in case of

No. 173

Sir D. Samuel to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 13.)

Dear Lord Curzon,

Jerusalem (Steamship "Helonun"), April 2, 1920.

Dear Lord Curzon,  
 AFTER two months in Palestine, I have now concluded my visit there. I received a fortnight ago from the Emir Feisal, whom I had met several times in London, a friendly invitation to go on to Damascus and to stay with him. On personal grounds I thought it inexpedient to make such a short report, but as to the administrative and financial matters relating to Palestine, on which he had invited me to advise. No doubt he will forward a copy to the Foreign Office. I have left with General Bols, the Chief Administrator memoranda in greater detail on several specific points. This letter gives a summary of the conclusions I have formed on the political situation in Palestine, particularly with reference to the declarations of the Syrian



Congress at Damascus on the 8th March in favour of an independent and united Syria, to include Palestine, under the kingship of Feisal.

There is a natural patriotic sentiment among the small class of politically conscious Syrians, and it is important as possible.

There is a feeling that to insert economic divisions between neighbours which have hitherto been under a single Government, would cause much and would be a retrograde step. Commerce and travel between Palestine, the Hauran and Syria have hitherto been untrammelled by frontiers, and there is a resistance to any form of political separation.

There is an anti-Zionist movement, based largely upon the anticipation that a large Jewish immigration would lead to the reduction of the rest of the population to a lower status. A united and independent Syria is regarded as the only means of combating Zionism.

There is a personal interest of the official class in Palestine which expects that the members, to a far greater degree than under a British mandate, particularly if it were combined with a Zionist policy.

There is also a social question in Palestine, the fellahs and the officials being in antagonism to one another. The latter fear the consequences of any Government which they did not control being in a position to exact social reforms.

All these motives combine to foster the movement. It is certain, nevertheless, that the mass of the population is not concerned with any of these motives.

The fact that there is no movement in the country, and that the Arab villagers know that they have been able to un-

derstand the cultivation owing to the object lessons furnished by the Jews, and know that there is more employment in the districts where those engaged themselves are in better circumstances than in other districts that have been left undeveloped.

It is the check on the villager to tell me that they lived on the best of terms with the Jewish population, and that they dissociated themselves from the anti-Zionist meetings that had recently taken place in the towns. It is, indeed, only in the towns that the movement exists, and there the numbers that have attended the meetings are small.

It would not at all waken the King, and it is not a serious view of the Syrian Congress and the coronation of Feisal. These events had been preceded by the collection of quantities of foodstuffs at Damascus, by the enrolment of bodies of soldiers, by aggressive action by Mustapha Kemal against the French forces in Cilicia and by the holding of anti-Zionist meetings in Jerusalem and Jaffa. They were immediately followed by reports being received in Jerusalem that the Arab army had

The officers of the Administration except, for the most part, the Zionist policy because it has been adopted by His Majesty's Government, whose servants they are and not with any conviction of the wisdom of that policy. They had regarded rather more calmly than they deserved the anti-Zionist manifestations which had taken place.

The view was held that there was an immediate prospect of grave trouble; no precautionary measures were adopted, the immediate recognition, under certain conditions, of Feisal as King of a united Syria was advised; failing that, large reinforcements of troops were asked.

I feel convinced that no one would have been more surprised than Feisal himself and his chief supporters had he been recognised by the Powers, no matter under what conditions, as King of Palestine - unless, indeed, it were population of Palestine itself.

Such a prospect has not yet, I believe, been regarded by them as within the bounds of serious consideration. Feisal, I am told, has never even set foot in Palestine. It is universally known, and not denied, by the Arab Nationalist leaders that the Syrian Congress was quite unrepresentative of the populations both of Palestine and of Mesopotamia.

Nevertheless, it would, I am convinced, be neither just nor politic to ignore the claims of the Arabs and to oppose a mere negative to their demands.

Supporters of Zionism lie the duty to allay apprehension by not being in opposition of their policy, and by offering to the Moslem and Christian inhabitants of Palestine opportunities of participating in their enterprises. In the course of a statement on the economic condition of Palestine, which I have sent to the local press, I have tried to remove misunderstandings as to what the proposals of Zionism, in relation to the rest of the population, really are.

But it is obvious that Zionist moderation and Zionist explanations are not enough. Although the attitude of the Administration a few weeks ago has been proved by experience to have been unduly alarmist, it is very probable that a merely negative attitude on the part of the Peace Conference would be met before long by some kind of armed action on the part of the Arabs. Their resources are small and their forces are

the possibility of a

However, there is substance in part, at least, of the arguments that are advanced for a united Syria. It cannot be denied that the establishment of a frontier between the various parts of Syria would cause

and would be detrimental to its prosperity. It is true that the

ought to be respected and, so far as possible, not

to be both objectionable and unnecessary. To combine such recognition with the maintenance of a completely British control over the Administration would be, I believe, to be impracticable. If not at first, certainly in a few years, the Arab Kingdom would be used as a means of introducing, in larger and larger degree, Arab administrators into the higher offices of the Government, and of ensuring the adoption of a policy hostile to all non-Arab aspirations. It would be a very powerful lever for those ends.

The moral effect upon Zionism, if not fatal, would be most grave. Jews throughout the world would no longer be willing to devote their energies, their money, their lives

in any province among others of an unprogressive Moslem State. They can understand a British Administration, under a mandate, responsible to the League of Nations, governing a Commonwealth. They are not prepared to accept a Moslem State, when it comes, would prove to be

But a British Administration under Arab sovereignty would be a very different thing. At any time the course of events, locally or in Europe, might lead the British to withdraw, leaving the Arab element supreme. Then it would be Turkey over again. Such a solution would give no permanence, no security. It would take the heart out of Zionism. The movement would feel that it has been betrayed. Is it possible to find a method which would be likely to satisfy what is legitimate in the Arab demands, while avoiding the dangers and disasters which their full acceptance would entail? I believe it is. I think the solution lies in the formation of a loose confederation of the Arab-speaking States, each of which should be under its own appropriate Government, but all of which should be combined together for common and mutual defence. The seat of such a confederation should be Damascus, and it might be recognised, not only as sovereign in its own State, but also as the head of the confederation.

At the risk of repeating a proposal which may have already been under discussion and may perhaps have been elaborated by others in fuller detail, I venture to submit to this letter the outline of a scheme such as I have in mind. I believe that, if such a plan were approved by the Powers, a firm attitude on their part would secure its acceptance by the Arabs, and so a prospect be offered of an escape from the present impasse, with all the risks of conflict which its continuance would involve.

Believe me, &c.

LESLIE F. SAMUEL.

*Syria, Palestine, Mesopotamia and the Arabian States*

THE following proposals are suggested for consideration:—

1. Five States to be constituted, which should form a loose confederation:—
  - (a.) Arab Syria, with its capital at Damascus and with Emir Faisal as its sovereign. This State should be completely independent. Its ruler should have the right to select European advisers wherever he wished, but, if the French so desired, both Britain and France might undertake not to allow their nationals to accept such posts.
  - (b.) Western Syria, administered by France under a mandate and subject to the general supervision of the League of Nations. If the French would consent, the sovereignty of Faisal might be recognised in this area. If not, not.
  - (c.) Palestine, administered by Great Britain under a mandate and subject to the general supervision of the League of Nations. The boundaries of Palestine should be satisfactory to the British Government.
  - (d.) The Hedjaz, administered by Great Britain under a mandate and subject to the general supervision of the League of Nations. The Hedjaz should be free to choose its European advisers as it wishes. Arabian principalities could be formed into some kind of Hedjaz, that would be desirable. If not, they might constitute separate units in the confederation or they might be left outside.
  - (e.) Mesopotamia, under British administration and, if desired, under an Arab sovereign. If it were decided to constitute a State at Basra and the neighbourhood, such a State might form a sixth unit.
2. The common affairs of the whole area there should be a permanent council, with its seat at Damascus. It should consist of one representative from each of the five States. Its chairman should be appointed by the League of Nations. If desired, it might be under the honorary presidency of the Emir Faisal.
3. The five States should engage not to make war with each other, but otherwise the union should be principally economic, and not political:—
  - (a.) There should be no customs barriers between them, and it should be one of the chief tasks of the council at Damascus to arrive at an equitable allocation of the customs revenues collected, at a uniform rate, at the ports and frontiers.
  - (b.) The States should co-operate in the development of the railways and roads, and in the regulation of the postal and telegraph services.
  - (c.) The council should supervise the arrangements for the regulation of traffic in order to ensure co-ordination.
  - (d.) There should be no passport requirements for travellers between one State and another. The council should supervise the arrangements for extradition between the States.
  - (e.) The council should supervise also the measures for quarantine, &c.
4. In the event of the council not being able to arrive at a settlement of any matter which was in controversy among its members, reference should be made to the Council of the League of Nations, whose decision should be final.
5. All these arrangements should be subject to review by the League of Nations after a period of years. (It is desirable that this period should not be a short one.)

*Communication by the Right Honourable Herbert Samuel to the Press.*

I AM on the point of leaving Palestine after two months' stay in this fascinating country and, in answer to invitations from representatives of the press, I desire to make a few observations on the conditions as I have found them.

I can well understand the spell which Palestine throws over so many of its visitors. Its great historic traditions are combined with a beauty of scenery and, save under exceptional conditions, with climatic attractions which together make a unique appeal.

*Economic Development.*

I had studied the economic conditions of Palestine for some time before coming here, and my visits to various parts of the country and conversations with many of its residents whose judgments are entitled to carry weight confirm me in the view that I had formed as to the great possibilities of its development.

The country, taken as a whole, is undoubtedly under-populated and under-cultivated. It is capable of great expansion. With modern methods of irrigation and of dry farming the question of water supply presents no grave obstacle. Now that the cause of malaria has been discovered, the well-being of the population of Palestine could be diminished and finally removed with no serious difficulty. The water-power of the Upper Jordan is sufficient to provide adequate electric force for all parts of the country, and its provision in the towns and villages would enable numbers of industries to be established. A variety of artistic handicrafts could find a home here. Now that railway communication has been established with Egypt, the tourist traffic will undoubtedly show a very great extension.

Meantime, the chief obstacle to the development of the country, apart from the difficulties of communication, which still continue as a consequence of the war, is the very excessive cost of living, causing not only an increase in the cost of building and of other necessities, but also making the most active efforts to secure additional supplies of the principal foodstuffs from elsewhere with a view to bringing down the high prices.

*Financial Position.*

The financial position of the country is satisfactory. The growth of prosperity is already bringing an increase of revenue without any increase in the rates of taxation. Owing to the more careful collection of the taxes, the financial position allows a considerable increase, in the amount of the revenue, on the 1st April in the expenditure upon the maintenance of public security and upon safeguarding the public health. In addition it will permit a sufficient sum of money to be provided to repay the principal and interest on the loan for the construction and rebuilding of roads, for the development of the postal, telegraph and telephone services, and for the erection of a number of buildings that are necessary. It is hoped to put these enterprises in hand within the next few months. The financial prospects of the railways also are satisfactory, and they too will be able to provide the resources that would justify the borrowing of money for those purposes that are urgently necessary. These include, among others, the strengthening of the line to prevent a recurrence of the wash outs that caused such serious interruptions during the last few months, widening the line from Jaffa to Ludd, and the provision of additional rolling stock.

It is hoped that further increases in the general revenues of the country will enable a considerable extension to be effected in the provision for education, and would also allow other loans to be contracted for additional public works.

The establishment of one or more land banks or mortgage banks, to enable long-term loans to be advanced to agriculturists and others, is receiving active consideration.

*Political Situation.*

But all this work of development depends absolutely upon the maintenance of the revenue and for the introduction of capital. It will be quite impossible to arrange the loans that are urgently needed for the many purposes that I have specified unless a Government exists in Palestine in which there is confidence. Only when a satisfactory solution of the question of the political status of Palestine is reached by the Peace Conference will it be possible for the economic development of the country to proceed effectively.



Nor is it necessary only that the Government of the country should be a good one. It is also essential that the various sections of the population should work together. That this is possible has often been shown, most recently in the establishment of that excellent society, "The Pro-Jerusalem," the object of which is to preserve the beauties and increase the attractions of the ancient and glorious city.

#### *The Question of Zionism*

I have observed during my stay in Palestine the manifestations that have taken place in opposition to Zionism. They are not, in my opinion, seriously disturbing, because they are based very largely on a false idea of what Zionism is. They have assumed that the Mahomedan and Christian population are to be placed under the Government of the Jewish minority. They assume that the present possessors and cultivators of the soil may be dispossessed of their property. They assume that the ownership of the Mahomedan and Christian holy places will be affected. They assume that the administrative offices will be filled by Jews to the prejudice of others. These assumptions are untrue. Although not a member of the Zionist organisation, I am fully acquainted with its policy, and I know that none of these ideas are entertained by it. I know also that, even if they were, the British Government would never permit the adoption of such policies. I do not feel anxious, therefore, at these manifestations of hostility, because it is certain that a movement that rests upon errors on which it is found that none of the evils which are anticipated do in fact arise.

There is ample room in Palestine for a far larger population than now exists, and those who come will arrive gradually as the conditions of the country allow; they will not be a pauper class to be a burden upon the rest, but of the same industrious progressive type as those who in the last thirty or forty years have founded the Jewish colonies in various parts of the country. They will bring with them capital which will help to promote the prosperity of the whole country, to the advantage of all its inhabitants. No one who visits the districts in which these colonies are found can doubt that if they had never been established the country as a whole would now be much the poorer, if more were established, the country would in the future be so much the richer. I have been struck by the fact, during my visits to various parts of the country, that the opposition to Zionism does not go deep. The shukhs and khans in the villages round the colonies live on the best possible terms with their neighbours. I was much impressed on the occasion of my recent visit to Northern Palestine by the fact that a considerable number of the shukhs came to see me to express their goodwill towards the colonies that have been established, and assured me that their advent had enabled the neighbouring Arab villages to grow richer by giving a model for the improvement in their systems of agriculture and by increasing the amount of employment in the district. They informed me that in the Arab villages there was no opposition whatever to further immigration on similar lines.

I am strongly of opinion, and I know it is shared by the leaders of the Zionist movement, that that movement will fail in its purpose if it is not able to show that it has been of real benefit to the existing Mahomedan and Christian population of the country. They should be given a full opportunity to share in the new enterprises that are set on foot, should be helped in their educational efforts, and should be recognised as an integral and permanent element in the Palestine which is to be brought into being.

Of this also I am convinced, that it is only a policy upon these lines which would command the approval of the British Government, which in every part of the world stands unmovably for equal justice to all.

I will end by expressing my very cordial thanks to all who have contributed to make my stay in Palestine an exceedingly agreeable one. I have met with nothing but kindness and courtesy from all sections of the population with which it has been my privilege to have been brought into contact in all the parts of the country that I have been enabled to visit.

HERBERT SAMUEL.

March 25, 1920

[E 2998 1729 44]

No. 174.

*Foreign Office to War Office*

Sir,

*Foreign Office, April 13, 1920.*

IN reply to your letter of the 9th instant relative to the Allied Controls established at Constantinople, I am directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to state, for the information of the Army Council, that after perusal of General Milne's telegram No. 1, 8340 of the 28th ultimo, his Lordship does not feel able to share the view of the Army Council that an agreement has already been reached with the French and Italians. It is clear from the telegram referred to that the presidency of each of the Commissions of Control is still held by a British officer, and this, as will be recollected, is the chief subject of the French and Italian protest reported by His Majesty's High Commissioner in his telegrams Nos. 276 and 277 of the 29th ultimo.

2. I am to state that, as General Franchet d'Esperey has now been withdrawn, there is, in Lord Curzon's opinion, an additional reason for making a concession to the French authorities, and less reason to fear that any concession so made will be used to the disadvantage of His Majesty's Government.

3. I am to add that, inasmuch as this is not a purely military question, the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs attaches importance to it from the political point of view, and would request that it may be reconsidered by the Army Council in the light of the considerations advanced above.

4. In view of the importance of arriving at an early settlement, I am to request that the decision of the Army Council may be communicated to this Department as soon as possible.

I am, &c.

J. A. C. TILLEY

E 3072 3 44]

No. 175

*Foreign Office to War Office*

Sir,

*Foreign Office, April 1*

I AM directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to forward herewith for the consideration of the Army Council the measure of support which, after consultation with the Government of Danial Ferid Pasha, should be given to the Government of Danial Ferid Pasha. It is the opinion, as expressed in the enclosed telegram, that it is necessary for Admiral de Robeck to obtain the mind of Danial Ferid Pasha expectations of a loan of £1,000,000, which will not be in a position to fulfil, and which frequently to accuse His Majesty's Government of ill faith. Subject to any observations which the Army Council may have to offer, Lord Curzon proposes to approve the policy put forward by Admiral de Robeck in the enclosed telegram.

3. I am to request that Lord Curzon may be favoured, as early as possible, with the views of the Army Council upon this matter.

I am, &c.

J. A. C. TILLEY

E 2075/757 44]

No. 176

*Lord Curzon to Signor Pre*

Sir,

*Foreign Office, April 14, 1920.*

IN a communication dated the 28th March the Swiss Minister at this Court addressed to me a request, on behalf of his Government, that there should be Swiss representation on the new Council of the Ottoman Debt, in view of the important Swiss interests involved in Turkish finances.

2. You will have in mind that at the conference of foreign Ministers & Ambassadors held in London on the 31st March it was not found advisable to accede to a similar request on the part of the Belgian Government.

The case against the inclusion of representatives of Switzerland on the Council of the Ottoman Debt, and consequently on the Financial Commission which may be set up under the treaty as well, seems at least as strong as in the case of Belgium. In the opinion of His Majesty's Government the inclusion in these bodies of a member of a small State would create an undesirable precedent, since it would be difficult to refuse any similar requests which would doubtless be made by Greece or other small countries. The increase in the size of the two bodies would certainly result in a consequent decrease in their efficiency.

4. In regard to the particular claims of Switzerland to representation on the Debt Council, it may be observed that the Swiss Government were not a party to the Decree of Muharrem, which provided that only the Great Powers signatory to the Treaty of Berlin should have a seat on the Council Board. Moreover, as Swiss interests are identical with the interests of French and British bondholders, which, in their turn, are represented by the French and British members of the Council, it cannot be said that Swiss holdings do in any way suffer. Further, as the clauses of the coming treaty will provide for the disappearance of the Council in 1923, it would be unnecessary, as well as undesirable, to modify the decree and add to the number of members at this juncture. (This latter reason cannot of course be put forward by the Swiss Government.)

5. The object of the Financial Commission, on the other hand, will be, above all, to administer and control Turkish finances as to ensure a sound economic policy for the country. There is therefore no greater reason for Swiss than for Dutch, Greek, or Swedish representation.

6. Should the Financial Commission on its appointment desire the assistance of foreign experts, the selection of one or more Swiss experts may be found advantageous, but no valid reason is at present apparent for altering the financial clauses of the treaty so as to include a Swiss nominee on the commission, in addition to the French, Italian and British representatives.

7. I should be grateful if you would be so good as to communicate the foregoing views of His Majesty's Government to the Italian Government, and inform me in due course whether they concur therein.

I have, &c.  
CURZON OF KEDLESTON

[E 3226/3 44]

No. 177

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 15.)

(No. 411.)  
My Lord,

Constantinople, March 28, 1920

WITH reference to my despatch No. 373 of the 18th March regarding the military occupation of Constantinople, I have the honour to forward herewith, for your consideration, a copy of a note addressed to the Imperial Ottoman Government of Mustapha Kemal and the leaders of the "Nationalist" movement.

I have, &c.  
J. M. DE ROBECK,  
High Commissioner

Enclosure in No. 177

Note addressed by Allied High Commissioners to Turkish Grand Vizier

DANS la note collective adressée à votre Altesse du 16 courant pour lui annoncer la décision du Conseil suprême au sujet de l'occupation militaire de Constantinople, les Hauts Commissaires de France, de Grande Bretagne et d'Italie ont déclaré qu'ils étaient chargés d'exiger que le Gouvernement ottoman désavoue immédiatement Mustapha Kemal Pacha ainsi que les autres dirigeants du mouvement soi-disant "nationaliste."

La note responsive de votre Altesse en date du 17 mars n'a donnée qu'une satisfaction très partielle à cette demande. Ce qui est encore plus important, le Gouvernement ottoman n'a rien fait depuis le 16 mars pour désavouer publiquement les chefs du mouvement dit nationaliste.

Les Hauts Commissaires ont l'honneur de signaler encore une fois à l'attention de votre Altesse la décision du Conseil suprême, décision que les Hauts Commissaires n'ont qualité ni pour retarder ni pour atténuer. Ils croient donc de leur devoir d'inviter le Gouvernement impérial de se conformer à cette demande sans plus retard, en désavouant publiquement et sans équivoque Mustapha Kemal Pacha et les autres dirigeants du mouvement en question.

A. DEFRANCE,  
J. M. DE ROBECK  
MAÏSSA

Constantinople, le 16 mars 1920.

E 3265 3 44]

No. 178.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 15.)

(No. 370.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, April 15, 1920.

My telegram No. 372 of 11th April.

Government issued proclamation on 10th April condemning in unmeasured terms the use of the false cloak of nationalism, intensified disastrous results of policy which dragged Turkey into war. Proclamation gives rank and file one week in which to make submission to Sultan, and threatens leaders and those who persist with condign punishment.

It further expressly threatens with chastisement excesses by Muslims against Christians of late period.

Government publish simultaneously series of fetras or religious pronouncements directed against Nationalists.

Effect in interior is expected to be considerable if only these pronouncements can be brought to knowledge of people. Government are considering best means of doing this. I have promised such assistance as I can give.

Translations follow by bag.

Parliament was dissolved on 12th April without incident. Government, adhering to text of Constitution, promise new election within four months. Reports from Paderma show that Anzavur is moving, but no definite news of progress is yet available.

News from Nationalist side still very vague, but striking developments in interior are not improbable.

[E 3308 289 44]

No. 179.

M. Gaeridorch to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 16.)

Le Royaume des Serbes, Croates et Slovènes à Constantinople vient d'attirer l'attention du Gouvernement royal sur la situation de plus en plus difficile de ses nationaux résidant en Turquie, et sur la nécessité qu'il y aurait de les faire bénéficier, dès à présent et sans attendre la conclusion de la paix, du régime des capitulations.

En effet, dans une note, adressée par les Hauts-Commissaires alliés à la Haute-Porte, il est dit que "les sujets belges, hellènes, monténégrins, roumains et serbes gardent la plénitude des droits que les traités de leurs pays respectifs leur garantissent avec l'Empire ottoman en fait de régime capitulaire"; de façon que les conventions consulaires conclues avant la guerre entre l'ancien Royaume de Serbie et la Turquie sont censées être en vigueur. Les tribunaux ottomans s'arrogent donc le droit de faire comparaître devant eux les sujets serbo-croato-slovènes, ou bien les jugent par

l'absence de la loi sur l'anomalie de voir juger les sujets d'un pays allié et vainqueur par les autorités d'un pays ennemi, occupé par les forces alliées, ni sur le fait que la



plupart de ces personnes jouissaient, en tant qu'anciens sujets autrichiens, des faveurs du régime capitulaire—dont elles se voient privées depuis qu'elles sont devenues sujets serbo-croato-slovenes—il est impossible de méconnaître la gravité d'intérêts du royaume lésés par cet état de choses. Les autorités turques saisissent chaque occasion pour créer de nouvelles difficultés, et il est à craindre que des ressortissants serbo-croato-slovenes se voient forcés de demander la naturalisation, à seule fin d'éviter les innombrables chicanes. Le commerce se heurte à des obstacles insurmontables; le rôle des consuls, incapables de protéger leurs nationaux, devient illusoire, et le prestige même du royaume s'en trouve sérieusement atteint.

En portant ce qui précède à la connaissance de son Excellence Earl Curzon of Kedleston, le Ministre plénipotentiaire et Envoyé extraordinaire du Royaume des Serbes, Croates et Slaves, d'ordre de son Gouvernement, a l'honneur de le prier de transmettre ces renseignements aux délégués britanniques à la Conférence de la Paix, en vue d'appuyer le projet d'application immédiate du régime capitulaire à tous les sujets serbo-croato-slovenes résidant en Turquie.

Ministère du Royaume des Serbes, Croates et Slaves,  
Londres, le 15 avril 1920

[E 3416/3/44]

No. 180

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received April 19.)

Sir,

War Office, April 17, 1920.

I AM directed by the Army Council to acknowledge the receipt of Foreign Office letter No. E.3072, 3 44 of the 13th April, 1920.

It is noted that the British High Commissioner at Constantinople has given the General Officer Commanding-in-chief to permit the use of Turkish military material to equip anti-Nationalist forces, and to move certain regular troops.

In this policy the Army Council concurs.

In view, however, of difficulties that may arise after the presentation of the Turkish proposal, it would seem possible that the distribution of arms to irregular bands might later lead to further trouble. Such bands are under no very definite control, and might well disappear or even join the opposing forces under certain circumstances.

I am to say accordingly that if force is to be used against the Nationalists, it would seem preferable to the Army Council to permit the employment of Turkish regular troops, which under all circumstances can be more easily controlled from Constantinople than irregular bands.

I am, &c.  
B. B. CURRIE

[E 3487 3 44]

No. 181

Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 19.)

(No. 413)

(Telegraphic)

Constantinople, April 18, 1920

MY DEAR LORD CURZON,

Kennedy has arrived from Mersina. He left Adana on 6th April. He estimates total French force in Cilicia at from 15,000 to 20,000; all black troops except a few non-commissioned officers. All wives and families of French officers have been evacuated.

Owing to poor quality of black troops and neutral attitude of their commanders, military prestige at low ebb, and French officers spoke openly of possibility of evacuation of Cilicia. Turks openly deride French, and Armenians have no confidence that they will not be again abandoned to their fate as at Marakesh. Colonel Beaumont, who has been in Cilicia for some time, has no confidence in the Turkish authorities, and suggested to Dr Kennedy to send to Mersina all orphans who can be accommodated there with a view to evacuation to Cyprus or Egypt.

French authorities show very little interest in Armenia, and seem most anxious to get rid of as many as possible. General Gouraud having offered, on behalf of French Government, to supply necessary shipping.

Dr Kennedy considers, and I concur, that evacuation of these people, who are dangerous to Cilicia, should be prevented if possible.

Following appears to be situation derived from various sources:—

pn. Town closely invested, but Nationalist bands quiet. Women and children have not been evacuated. No news since 8th March.

Sis. French Governor still there, and possibly a small French force. Town closely invested.

Bozanti. French garrison besieged, and Turks hold south end of Taurus Tunnel. Tunnel said to be blocked by derailed engine and tender.

Adana-Mersina Railway, although threatened by Nationalist bands, was only guarded by untrustworthy Turkish gendarmes. Large numbers of latter have deserted with arms and equipment. Adana may thus at any moment find itself cut off.

Hages on coast road between Mersina and Selefke, which were occupied by Nationalist bands, were recently shelled by a French force and French gunboat. Otherwise French seem to have acted entirely on defensive in Cilicia, except when necessary to open road for withdrawal of outlying detachments.

Atkiz. Village badly shelled.

Atkiz. Village badly shelled. Part of French garrison evacuated, and Turks attacking force estimated at 12,000.

Armenian quarter attacked, with casualties on both sides, no assistance, except from French, being rendered by French garrison.

On 12th April three or four French battalions were to leave Kilis for Antak, with aeroplanes, armoured cars and artillery.

E 3495 1728 44]

No. 182

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received April 20.)

Sir,

War Office, April 19, 1920.

I AM commanded by the Army Council to forward herewith a copy of a telegram from the War Office to the General Officer Commanding-in-chief, Constantinople, of the 16th April, 1920, relating to the Inter-Allied Commission of Control in Constantinople, which is believed to be in accordance with the views of the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty and the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

A similar letter has been sent to the Admiralty.

I am, &c.  
B. B. CURRIE

Enclosure in No. 182.

War Office to General Milne, Constantinople

(Telegraphic.)

London, April 18, 1920.

INTER-ALLIED control. Your L. 5340 dated 28th March.

Foreign Office refer to High Commissioner's telegrams Nos. 276 and 277, dated 24th March, and consider this is largely a political question, and are not satisfied that the High Commissioner has been reached with French and Italians. Provided that War Office is satisfied with the arrangements sent and that British officer has place on each of the other commissions, Foreign Office agree to commissions being inter-Allied. Provided High Commissioner has no objection, Admiralty also agree.

It is assumed that the arrangements proposed by War Office for the commissions of Admiralty and Posts and Telegraphs are assumed.

[E 3415 3 44]

No. 183.

*Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)*

(No. 2)

(Telegraphic.)

*Foreign Office, April 20, 1920*

YOUR telegram No. 372 of 11th April: Measures against Nationalists.

Your proposals for supporting present Turkish Government are approved, subject to following two considerations:—

Firstly, War Office consider that, whenever possible, Turkish regulars, being more easy to control, should be employed rather than Turkish irregulars.

Secondly, we must be careful not to raise in mind of Damad Ferid expectations of a lenient treaty which we shall not be in a position to fulfil, and which may lead him to accuse us of perfidy later on.

[E 3540 3 44]

No. 184.

*Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 21)*

(No. 420)

(Telegraphic) R.

*Constantinople, April 20, 1920.*

MY telegram No. 372 of 11th April.

Anzavur an i-Nationalist movement in Panderma area has collapsed, and Nationalists have again occupied town above named.

Anzavur had moved from Panderma via Michalich to Kirmastli, apparent intention being to occupy Brusa. On 14th instant his force was attacked at Kirmastli and suffered severe reverse, losing considerable number of prisoners. Anzavur retired to Panderma with 500 men, remainder of his force splitting up and moving further west.

By 14th instant Nationalist forces (including apparently bulk of 61st Regular Infantry) moved on Edinlik and Erdek. Panderma with some 3,000 men. Other detachments, about 2,000 strong, moved on Edinlik and Erdek.

Anzavur is believed to have gone towards Biglis, and in Dardanelles his forces have been broken up, and there seems no possibility of him [sic] being able to organise any further movement against Nationalists for some time to come, if ever.

(Grand Vizier was arranging to send him supplies of rifles and ammunition from here provided with General Milne's consent, but for various reasons these were not sent in time before he had been defeated.)

[E 3617 1 58]

No. 185.

*Lord Hardinge to Earl Curzon (San Remo).*

(No. 26.)

(Telegraphic.)

*Foreign Office, April 21, 1920.*

QUESTION of Caucasus has become acute owing to fact that 30,000 Cossacks are being pursued along coast towards Batoum by Soviet troops, which are being shelled by Russian ships. It is confirmed by him assuming you have not received his telegram of 14th April. It is confirmed by Admiralty.

Might we not point out to him that in your telegram of 17th April you stated clearly that having acceptance of mediation and suspension of southern offensive His Majesty's Government would be obliged to order His Majesty's ships in Black Sea to protect army in Crimea, that this applies equally to remnants of forces in Caucasus, that His Majesty's Government are asking Georgian Government not to molest these forces, but to give them asylum in Georgian territory, should necessity arise, pending arrangements to evacuate them to Crimea, that His Majesty's Government are temporarily responsible for administration of Batoum Province, and will not hesitate to use force to maintain order therein; and that any conflict between British forces engaged in this duty and Soviet forces would have disastrous effect on British public opinion and on pending economic negotiations? At the same time I would suggest telegraphing to Georgian Government asking them to give refuge to these Cossacks pending early arrangements for their evacuation from Poti or most convenient port.

Question also arises of adequate defence of Batoum. War Office enquire whether we accept full responsibility for defence of Batoum at all costs, i.e., at risk of garrison. Latter would have to be reinforced from Constantinople, where we are already [redacted] There is no sign of any French or Italian reinforcements yet [redacted] uld press for their immediate despatch. My reply to the War Office is evidently be dependent upon this.

Mr. Wardrop reports that three Caucasian Governments have come to fresh agreement to sink their territorial and other differences. I am therefore making further appeal to War Office to send out at once small quantity of arms now available from Denikin's last packet. I would suggest that if they can be despatched we should intimate to three Governments that they are being sent in fulfilment of our promise.

[redacted] is indication of our approval of recent agreement, and that their distribution will depend on its fulfilment. Stokes might go out with the [redacted] officers to be stationed in the disputed areas; he could [redacted] could use this fulfilment of our promise as a powerful argument [redacted] of confederation. Before arms can be sent War Office require [redacted] Chief of Imperial General Staff. Question of payment even of small sum involved is a most serious difficulty unless Treasury can be induced to accept bond or guarantee of future payment or credit against oil and manganese.

I shall be grateful for an early reply, as our whole policy in regard to Batoum seems to be at stake.

[E 3495 1729 44]

No. 186.

*Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)*

(Telegraphic) D.

*Foreign Office, April 21, 1920.*

YOUR telegram No. 277 of 24th March: Controls at [redacted]

We are prepared to agree to the commission being inter-Allied, provided that the War Office Commission is under presidency of a British officer and that a British officer has a place on each of the other commissions. War Office concur, and instructed General Milne in this sense on 16th April.

[E 3594 131 44]

No. 187.

*Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 21)*

(No. 300.)

My Lord,

*Cairo, April 9, 1920*

I HAVE the honour to transmit to your Lordship four copies of a memorandum compiled by the Right Honourable Herbert Samuel on the conclusion of his visit to Palestine.

I should be grateful if your Lordship would send one copy of this memorandum to [redacted] Secretary of State for War.

I have, &c.  
ALLENBY, F.M.

Enclosure in No. 187

*Mr. Samuel to Field Marshal Viscount Allenby*

My Lord,

*Cairo, March 31, 1920*

ON the 10th December [redacted] an invitation from you "to visit Palestine with a view to investigating financial and administrative conditions there, and advising concerning the line of policy to be followed in future in these respects, should the mandate fall to Great Britain." I was glad to be able to accept that invitation, and left London on the 11th January, arriving



the 23rd and in Palestine on the 30th. I have spent two months in half visiting almost all parts of Palestine. I have received every possible assistance from the British and Turkish authorities. I have been able to come into contact with many representative men belonging to various communities. It may perhaps be of service if I were to summarise the conclusions I have formed on these matters.

#### The Administration.

Until peace is made with Turkey the Administration is in a state of transition. The men in charge are men who have civilian experience in the matters with which they are employed. It is admitted that the Administration is over-staffed, and General Fildes who are least suited to the work. Any further changes, consequent upon the granting of a mandate, should, I think be made as soon as possible. The men who, during the last twelve months and more, have been gaining invaluable experience of the problems of Palestine, should be retained. Many of them are well qualified to continue to exercise, under a civil administration, their present functions, and the remainder could be gradually replaced when more suitable men are forthcoming.

At present there has been a vacancy in the post of Financial Administrator. It is unfortunate that the Chief Administrator is also the head of the Administration. It is very necessary that there should be a permanent head of the Administration.

The Administration is at present in a state of transition. The men in charge are men who have civilian experience in the matters with which they are employed. It is admitted that the Administration is over-staffed, and General Fildes who are least suited to the work. Any further changes, consequent upon the granting of a mandate, should, I think be made as soon as possible. The men who, during the last twelve months and more, have been gaining invaluable experience of the problems of Palestine, should be retained. Many of them are well qualified to continue to exercise, under a civil administration, their present functions, and the remainder could be gradually replaced when more suitable men are forthcoming.

Moreover, Palestine being divided into three communities—Muslim, Christian and Jewish—which often work in antagonism to one another, there is a number of the higher posts, both in the central and the district offices, to be appointed to meet out equal justice. I believe that the necessity of such a policy would be recognised by the population in general.

Some objection has been raised, however, to the present judicial system which a single British judicial officer acts as a Court of First Instance. It is urged that these judges have not sufficient acquaintance with the local law; that their knowledge of the language is often not enough to render them independent of interpreters, those interpreters not being always trustworthy, and that the prospect of appointments to

compose these Courts of three judges, one British, as president, and two Palestinians, is an establishment which is large in proportion to the population, but in the circumstances this appears to be inevitable.

#### Finances.

The financial position of the Administration is satisfactory. The budget for the year 1919-20 was estimated to balance at £ E. 735,000. There will, however, be a realised surplus of about £ E. 150,000. This result is mainly due to the fact that the mandate was not, as was anticipated, been granted during the current year that certain developments which were contemplated have consequently not been undertaken, and there has been considerable under spending. For the year 1920-21 the revenue is estimated at £ E. 937,000. The estimates of expenditure submitted by the various Departments amounted to a total of £ E. 1,454,000. At the request of the Chief Administrator I acted as chairman of an official committee for the examination of these estimates and for the drafting of next year's budget. The Committee transferred a number of items of expenditure, which are necessary for purposes of development and which are non-recurring, to a capital account, and reduced others to lower figures. The total of annual expenditure has been thereby brought down to £ E. 907,000, leaving a surplus of £ E. 30,000, out of which the interest and sinking fund on a loan may be paid. The amount of the loan should be about £ E. 250,000, chiefly for roads, posts and telegraphs and other public works. (These figures are subject to further review, but the modifications are not likely to be large.) Much of the expenditure allotted to capital account is of an urgent character. The roads of Palestine, taken as a whole, are very bad, and although their use by the army is largely responsible for this, and the cost of making good should partly be defrayed as a war charge, a considerable sum will have to be provided by the Civil Administration for rebuilding many of the old roads and for constructing new ones. The postal, telegraph and telephone services are far from satisfactory, and require considerable improvement. Certain new buildings must be provided in the near future. It will be difficult, however, to restrict a loan for these and other purposes until the political status of the country is settled. The necessary arrangements should be made at the earliest possible date after the mandate. Meanwhile it is proposed to utilise for these purposes a beginning the surplus of £ E. 150,000 accrued during the current year. The revenue is likely to show further expansion without increase in the rates of taxation. The budget could not bear, however, the cost of a military garrison. The expenditure for this year on several services, especially on education and health, has been very large. As the conditions allow, and further loans for purposes of development are necessary in future years. Some of these loans will ultimately provide the interest and sinking fund, in the first instance interest and sinking fund must be provided in the budget.

The figures which have been quoted exclude the revenues assigned to the Ottoman Public Debt Administration and certain taxes assigned to the service of pre-war Turkish loans contracted in respect of railways in other parts of Turkey. Together these were estimated to amount to £ E. 153,000 gross, and £ E. 119,000 net, in the year 1919-20. Payments have not been made during the occupation, and the revenues have been carried to a supreme account, which, on the 31st December, 1919, amounted to £ E. 3,000,000.

I telegraphed to the Foreign Office on the 20th February, stating my views on the subject of the Ottoman Public Debt Administration and the railway kilometre guarantees as well as from the monopoly of the Tobacco Regie and from concessions for the construction of a harbour at Haifa and for other works not yet begun. I suggested that any sum properly chargeable to Palestine in respect of Turkish pre-war debt should be payable by a specified annual sum, subject to commutation, and should not take the form of an assignment of particular revenues. I have not yet seen the draft of the proposed treaty with Turkey, in which this subject no doubt finds a place.

I have made a careful enquiry into the question of a currency for Palestine, and have written a memorandum on the subject embodying proposals. I understand that this memorandum will be forwarded by the Chief Administrator for the consideration of His Majesty's Government. No action can, of course, be taken in the matter until after the mandate is granted.

I have not examined the existing system of taxation with a view to suggesting possible improvements, as such an enquiry appears to be premature. At the proper time very careful consideration will need to be given to the question whether the present system of tithe should be continued, or whether a fixed land tax based upon a valuation, should be substituted; or whether a combination of the two

Heavy expenses involved a line of 100 miles from the Egyptian State railways instead of purchasing new stock; by the frequent wash-outs of the line, the transport of goods was reduced to a minimum; the work was of a simple character, and by maintaining a different gauge for the narrow gauge line, the cost of the line was reduced to a minimum. An expenditure of 15,000,000 for the widening of this line having been refused on the ground that it was not necessary for military purposes, the whole of the import trade of Jaffa — still the principal port of Palestine — and the greater part of the large orange trade of the district have to be transported by a line of 100 miles, at heavy cost and under primitive conditions, at Ludd Junction.

The estimates for the railways for 1920-21 show a considerable margin of revenue over expenditure. But these estimates are of a speculative character, as the amount of military traffic—a large part of the whole—is uncertain. The railways are very ill-equipped with rolling-stock and buildings, and a considerable expenditure, possibly as much as £10,000,000, will be required for the replacement of the rolling-stock and for construction work. This money can only be provided by a loan, and the Government are not prepared to make such a loan. Here again no application is likely to be made by the railways for strategic purposes in the construction of lines during the war.

It is most necessary that all the main line railways in Palestine should be under one management, and that management a department of the Government of the country. This will involve a settlement with the Hedjaz Railway Administration as regards the Hebron line. The light railways might be entrusted to the Zionists. No doubt the Zionist organization would be prepared to take part in these public works.

of the first requirements of the country is the construction of an adequate port at Haifa, and the preliminary step necessary is a survey of the port and the surrounding area. It is obvious that until after the mandate, it will involve a further delay of six months before actual work can be started and employment offered to labourers. The chief administrator has therefore requested authority to incur the small expenditure required for the engagement of a consulting engineer, and I trust that this may be granted. It is obviously necessary that the construction and management of the harbour at Haifa should be in the hands of the Palestine Administration and no pre-war Turkish concessions be recognised.

Another of the essential requirements of Palestine is the construction of a hydro-electric power station between Lakes Huleh and Tiberias. The water-power there is sufficient to electrify all the railways of Palestine and to supply a large surplus of power for industries and for lighting throughout the country. The problem is being studied and discussed by Dr. P. B. G. A. L. M. S. G. E. R. A. T. I. O. N. The work is being done by the Zionist Commission. The result of his enquiries will be available for the Government if it should ultimately be decided that this work should be carried by it and not by the Zionist organs.

A cadastral survey of the whole country is an obvious necessity. Preliminary steps have been taken with a view to such a survey being begun at the earliest moment that the political conditions allow.

It is the absence of such a survey and the uncertainty that attaches to the ownership of much of the property of the country that has hindered the formation of mortgage banks and the granting of long-term credits to cultivators and to traders in the towns. As a temporary measure, the Administration has arranged for a sum not exceeding 500,000L to be lent to it by the Anglo-Egyptian Bank, out of which advances are made to cultivators. These advances now amount to a total of about 200,000L and have done something to popularise the new régime, but it is open to objection for a Government itself to conduct business of this character and to stand in a direct relation of creditor and debtor with the individual agriculturist. The Zionists contemplate establishing a bank for this purpose, primarily for the assistance of Jewish colonisation, but there is room for more institutions than one and some competition would be advantageous to the population. I have discussed the matter in Cairo with H. E. Pasha Fakhri Bey, and he has expressed his willingness to take any steps that will consider extending its operations to Palestine when the mandate shall have been granted, but until the cadastral survey is advanced these operations cannot be on a

143701

24



The question of land colonisation and of the establishment of the Jewish national home are too large to allow of summary discussion. I will only express my own conviction, as the result of all that I had learnt outside Palestine, confirmed by my experience of the last two months, that economically the Zionist policy is quite practicable, and that politically, if too much is not attempted at once, the difficulties that undoubtedly exist are by no means insuperable.

I have been fortunate in having had the assistance during my visit to Palestine of Lieutenant-Colonel J. R. Bennett, D.S.O., O.B.E., formerly of the Sudan and more recently employed in the Hedjaz, who was nominated by General Allenby to Colonel Bennett for his unfailing and efficient help throughout my enquiries.

I am, &c.

HERBERT SAMUEL

E 3595 3.44]

No. 188.

Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 1.)

No. 421)

(Telegraphic) R.

Constantinople, April 20, 1920

MY telegram No. 414 of 18th April

Head of Protestant community called this morning (20th April) to enquire about the situation at Aintab. His own latest information about that place and Cilicia generally was based on reports brought by travellers who left Adana on 11th April. It agreed generally with mine. He spoke to me of gravity of the situation of Christians in the whole area. He pointed out in respectful but categorical language that Armenians had returned from Syria to Cilicia at [group undecipherable] British authorities, who had assured them that they could do so without fear for their future.

the intentions of the French, who are strongly rumoured to contemplate early withdrawal from Cilicia in their own interest, without regard to position in which Christians will be left in such contingency.

He enquired as to the possibility of evacuating Christians to places of greater safety, e.g., from Adana district to Cyprus, and from Aintab to Aleppo.

Evacuation of Christians on any large scale appears to me impracticable. It seems therefore in highest degree desirable that the French should [group undecipherable] and disclaim any intention of evacuating Christians pending general settlement, and until such time as safeguards are provided for future of Christians. To withdraw now simply as matter of military necessity affecting French only would be to ignore the fact that French replaced us in Cilicia as representatives of Allies, and inherited duties and responsibilities previously incumbent on British. Matter is thus one of inter-Allied concern, and all the more so as withdrawal in present circumstances would prejudice enormously Allied position in Turkey as a whole, and give greatest impetus to Nationalist movement. I myself discount these rumours, but they have obtained such currency in all circles that nothing but most categorical denial of their truth can mitigate dangerous effect produced by them.

E 3653 3.44]

No. 189.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 23.)

(N. 1.)  
M. L.

Constantinople, April 3, 1920.

WITH reference to my telegram No. 309 of the 30th March, I have the honour to transmit herewith copies of the following papers relative to the demand addressed by the Allied High Commissioners to the Sublime Porte regarding the disavowal of the leaders of the so-called "national" movement by the Turkish Government:—

1. Collective note of the High Commissioners to the Grand Vizier of the 26th March.

2. Note addressed by the Grand Vizier to the High Commissioners on the 26th March.

3. French version of proposed communiqué, enclosed in No. 2.

4. Literal translation of original Turkish of No. 3.

5. Note of the High Commissioners to the Grand Vizier in reply to

No. 2.

6. Letter from the Minister for Foreign Affairs to the High Commissioners, dated 1st April, which the High Commissioners informed the

Minister for Foreign Affairs by a verbal *démarche* of the political officers on the 31st March would alone satisfy them.

8. Note addressed by the Grand Vizier to the High Commissioners on the 1st April.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK,

High Commissioner

Enclosure 1 in No. 189.

Collective Note communicated to the Grand Vizier.

DANS la note collective adressée à votre Altesse le 16 courant par les Hauts-Commissaires de France, de Grande-Bretagne et d'Italie ont déclaré qu'ils étaient chargés d'exiger que le Gouvernement ottoman désavoue immédiatement Mustapha Kémal Pacha, ainsi que les autres dirigeants du mouvement soi-disant "nationaliste".

La note responsive de votre Altesse, en date du 17 mars, n'a donnée qu'une satisfaction très partielle à cette demande. Ce qui est encore plus important, le Gouvernement ottoman n'a pas encore répondu au mouvement dit nationaliste.

Les Hauts-Commissaires ont l'honneur de signaler encore une fois à l'attention de votre Altesse qu'il s'agit en l'espèce d'une demande basée sur une décision formelle du Conseil suprême, décision que les Hauts-Commissaires n'ont qualité ni pour retirer, ni pour atténuer. Ils croient donc de leur devoir d'inviter le Gouvernement impérial de se conformer à cette demande sans plus de retard, en désavouant publiquement et sans réserve Mustapha Kémal Pacha et les autres dirigeants du mouvement en question.

A. DEFRANCE

J. M. DE ROBECK

MAISSA

Constantinople le, 26 mars 1920

Enclosure 2 in No. 189.

Note communicated to High Commissioners

M.

L.

Sublime Porte le 28 mars 1920.

En me référant à la note collective que votre Excellence a bien voulu m'adresser conjointement avec ses collègues de France et d'Italie, j'ai l'honneur de lui transmettre ci-après la traduction en français du communiqué que le Gouvernement impérial, en déférant au vœu exprimé dans cette communication, se propose de publier.

J'aime à espérer que ce projet de communiqué, dont le texte turc a été déjà remis à M. l'Amiral Webb par Sefik Bey, Ministre des Affaires Étrangères, rencontrera son approbation et qu'elle voudra bien m'en faire part afin de me permettre de prendre les dispositions nécessaires à ce sujet. Je dois noter que le passage souligné au crayon rouge dans ladite traduction a été ajouté au texte qui a été remis à M. l'Amiral Webb.

Veuillez agréer, &c.

Le Grand Vizier

H. SALIH

French version of proposed Communiqué

(Traduction)

Il est parvenu à la connaissance du Gouvernement impérial qu'à la suite de l'occupation militaire de Constantinople par les Alliés, des rumeurs exagérées ont pris naissance, que ces rumeurs ont revêtu surtout en province un caractère de nature à provoquer une surexcitation et qu'ainsi les organisations nationales se sont portées à certains actes excessifs.

Le Gouvernement impérial n'a été pour rien dans la constitution ni dans la direction de ces organisations, qui se sont formées à la suite des événements tragiques du vilayet de Smyrne (événements qui ont été d'ailleurs constatés par l'enquête effectuée par les Puissances alliées) et des rumeurs alarmantes qui les ont suivis.

Bien qu'en principe ces organisations aient surgi par suite de la nécessité de la défense de droits légitimes et de la sauvegarde de la vie et de l'honneur, le Gouvernement proclame que les actes excessifs auxquels se sont livrés contrairement à ces buts et de façon à nuire à l'Etat. Moustapha Kemal Pacha, qui n'a aucun caractère officiel, ni aucune position dans le Gouvernement, et quelques autres dirigeants, sont désapprouvés par lui.

Information of proposed Formula for Dismissal of "Nationalist" Leaders  
submitted by the Minister for Foreign Affairs to the Assistant High Commissioner

INFORMATION has been received that, upon Constantinople being placed under military occupation by the Entente Powers, the circumstances has given rise to a number of exaggerated rumours, that the same have taken the form of uttering provocative of excitement; and that, inter alia, certain extra-legal acts have been committed by the National Organisation.

It is announced that, although this organisation, which came into being in consequence of the tragic events of Smyrna and the alarming reports which followed that event, and in the creation and management of which the Government had no part or lot, and in the necessity for defending legitimate right and preserving honour and life, nevertheless, the extravagant acts committed by Moustapha Kemal Pacha, who has no official character and no position in the Government, and certain leaders—acts which go beyond the said object and are of a nature to cause prejudice to the State—are not approved by the Imperial Government.

Collective Note addressed to the Grand Vizier

Alteim,

Constantinople, le 29 mars 1920

EN accusant réception de la lettre que votre Alteim nous a adressée à la date du 28 mars et à laquelle était jointe la traduction en français du communiqué que le Gouvernement impérial se proposait de publier, nous avons l'honneur de faire à votre Alteim la réponse suivante:

"Les Hauts-Commissaires de France, de Grande Bretagne et d'Italie estiment que le communiqué dont le texte leur a été soumis est conçu dans des termes tels qu'il serait interprété par la population plutôt comme une approbation que comme un blâme."

"Ils ne sauraient donc l'accepter et ne pourraient admettre qu'un communiqué très sévère du mouvement nationaliste qui ont agi contrairement aux intentions du Gouvernement et invitant la population à obéir dorénavant uniquement aux ordres du Gouvernement légal."

Agree, Alteim &c  
A. DEFRANCE  
J. M. DE ROBERT  
MAISSA

French Text of revised Formula submitted to High Commissioners.

(Traduction)

Il est parvenu à la connaissance du Gouvernement impérial qu'à la suite de l'occupation militaire de Constantinople par les Alliés, des rumeurs exagérées ont pris naissance, que ces rumeurs ont revêtu surtout en province un caractère de nature à provoquer une surexcitation qui a porté les organisations nationales à se livrer de nouveau à certains actes excessifs.

Le Gouvernement impérial déclare qu'il ne s'est associé en rien à la constitution ni à la direction de ces organisations, qui se sont formées à la suite des événements tragiques du vilayet de Smyrne et des rumeurs alarmantes qui les ont suivis, et qu'en principe avaient pour but la défense de droits légitimes et la sauvegarde de l'honneur et de la vie des populations musulmanes.

Toutefois, le Gouvernement impérial, désapprouvant les actes excessifs qui se sont produits, déclare que Moustapha Kemal Pacha n'a aucun caractère officiel ni aucune position dans le Gouvernement et que, en se livrant aux actes excessifs susvisés, il a agi contrairement aux intentions du Gouvernement.

En conséquence, le Gouvernement impérial invite la population à obéir uniquement aux ordres du Gouvernement légal et à se tenir à l'écart de tout acte de désobéissance à l'égard du Gouvernement public.

Revised Version of Enclosure 6.

Il est parvenu à la connaissance du Gouvernement impérial que des rumeurs exagérées ont pris naissance et que ces rumeurs ont revêtu surtout en province un caractère de nature à provoquer une surexcitation qui a porté les organisations nationales à se livrer de nouveau à certains actes excessifs.

Le Gouvernement impérial déclare qu'il ne s'est associé en rien à la constitution ni à la direction de ces organisations.

Le Gouvernement impérial, désapprouvant ces organisations, tient en outre à déclarer que Moustapha Kemal Pacha n'a aucun caractère officiel ni aucune position dans le Gouvernement et qu'il a agi contrairement aux intentions du Gouvernement.

En conséquence, le Gouvernement impérial invite la population à obéir uniquement aux ordres du Gouvernement légal et à se tenir à l'écart de tout acte de désobéissance à l'égard du Gouvernement public.

Grand Vizier's Reply

M le Haut-Commissaire,

ME référant à la note collective que votre Excellence a bien voulu m'adresser en date du 29 mars 1920, conjointement avec leurs Excellences MM. les Hauts-Commissaires de France et d'Italie, je m'étais empressé de lui faire remettre le texte du projet de communiqué concernant les organisations nationales avec les modifications que le Gouvernement impérial avait cru pouvoir introduire. Ce communiqué a été communiqué à votre Excellence.

Le texte modifié était le suivant:

"Il est parvenu à la connaissance du Gouvernement impérial qu'à la suite de l'occupation militaire de Constantinople par les Alliés, des rumeurs exagérées ont pris naissance, que ces rumeurs ont revêtu surtout en province un caractère de nature à provoquer une surexcitation qui a porté les organisations nationales à se livrer de nouveau à certains actes excessifs."

"Le Gouvernement impérial déclare qu'il ne s'est associé en rien à la constitution ni à la direction de ces organisations, qui se sont formées à la suite des événements tragiques du vilayet de Smyrne et des rumeurs alarmantes qui les ont suivis, et qui en



principe avaient pour but la défense de droits légitimes et la sauvegarde de l'honneur et de la vie des populations musulmanes.

Toutefois, le Gouvernement impérial, désapprouvant les actes excessifs qui se sont produits, a déclaré que les dirigeants des dites organisations et Mustapha Kemal Pacha n'ont aucun caractère officiel ni aucune position dans le Gouvernement et que, en se livrant aux actes excessifs susvisés, ils ont agi contrairement aux intentions du Gouvernement.

En conséquence, le Gouvernement impérial invite la population à obéir uniquement aux ordres de l'autorité légale et à s'abstenir de tout acte de nature à troubler l'ordre public.

Le 31 mars, MM. Ledoulx, Ryan et Galli, Conseillers politiques des trois Hautes-Commissariats alliés, ont rapporté à son Excellence le Ministre des Affaires Étrangères ce texte, en lui déclarant que votre Excellence et ses collègues ne pourraient y donner leur approbation qu'après la suppression des passages incriminés. Le projet de communiqué ainsi modifié était réduit, comme votre Excellence le sait, à la forme suivante :

Il est parvenu à la connaissance du Gouvernement impérial que des rumeurs exagérées ont pris naissance et que ces rumeurs ont revêtu surtout en province un caractère de nature à provoquer une surexcitation qui a porté les organisations nationales à se livrer de nouveau à certains actes excessifs.

Le Gouvernement impérial déclare qu'il ne s'est associé en rien à la constitution ni à la direction de ces organisations.

Le Gouvernement impérial, désapprouvant ces organisations, tient en outre à déclarer que les dirigeants des dites organisations et Mustapha Kemal Pacha n'ont aucun caractère officiel ni aucune position dans le Gouvernement.

En conséquence, le Gouvernement impérial invite la population à obéir uniquement aux ordres de l'autorité légale et à s'abstenir de tout acte de nature à troubler l'ordre public.

Le Gouvernement impérial ne saurait donner l'impression que le Gouvernement impérial adhère aux organisations nationales et désapprouve tous leurs actes sans exception.

Le Gouvernement impérial, au lieu de s'attendre que certains dirigeants turbulents et rétrogrades frapperaient tous ceux qui font partie de ces organisations.

Or, ainsi que son Excellence Sefik Bey l'a exposé à votre Excellence, la plupart des dirigeants de ces organisations ont été victimes de la terreur et de la violence.

Le Gouvernement impérial ne saurait donner l'impression que le Gouvernement impérial adhère aux organisations nationales et désapprouve tous leurs actes sans exception.

Le Gouvernement impérial, au lieu de s'attendre que certains dirigeants turbulents et rétrogrades frapperaient tous ceux qui font partie de ces organisations.

Or, ainsi que son Excellence Sefik Bey l'a exposé à votre Excellence, la plupart des dirigeants de ces organisations ont été victimes de la terreur et de la violence.

Le Gouvernement impérial ne saurait donner l'impression que le Gouvernement impérial adhère aux organisations nationales et désapprouve tous leurs actes sans exception.

Le Gouvernement impérial, au lieu de s'attendre que certains dirigeants turbulents et rétrogrades frapperaient tous ceux qui font partie de ces organisations.

Or, ainsi que son Excellence Sefik Bey l'a exposé à votre Excellence, la plupart des dirigeants de ces organisations ont été victimes de la terreur et de la violence.

Le Gouvernement impérial ne saurait donner l'impression que le Gouvernement impérial adhère aux organisations nationales et désapprouve tous leurs actes sans exception.

Le Gouvernement impérial, au lieu de s'attendre que certains dirigeants turbulents et rétrogrades frapperaient tous ceux qui font partie de ces organisations.

Or, ainsi que son Excellence Sefik Bey l'a exposé à votre Excellence, la plupart des dirigeants de ces organisations ont été victimes de la terreur et de la violence.

Le Gouvernement impérial ne saurait donner l'impression que le Gouvernement impérial adhère aux organisations nationales et désapprouve tous leurs actes sans exception.

Le Gouvernement impérial, au lieu de s'attendre que certains dirigeants turbulents et rétrogrades frapperaient tous ceux qui font partie de ces organisations.

Or, ainsi que son Excellence Sefik Bey l'a exposé à votre Excellence, la plupart des dirigeants de ces organisations ont été victimes de la terreur et de la violence.

Le Gouvernement impérial ne saurait donner l'impression que le Gouvernement impérial adhère aux organisations nationales et désapprouve tous leurs actes sans exception.

ébranlée, serait complètement anéantie, ce qui rendrait difficile l'inauguration d'une ère de paix et de tranquillité dans le pays.

Par contre, si votre Excellence et ses collègues veulent bien partager sa façon de penser, il est évident que les esprits modérés qui forment la grande majorité de la population turque, si en a la conviction, tiendra compte des intérêts de la Turquie.

Dans le cas où quelques perturbateurs se livraient à des actes de violence, le Gouvernement impérial pourrait toujours les déclarer hors la loi.

En conséquence, le Gouvernement impérial invite la population à obéir uniquement aux ordres de l'autorité légale et à s'abstenir de tout acte de nature à troubler l'ordre public.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

En portant les considérations qui précèdent à la connaissance de votre Excellence, j'aimerais espérer qu'elle voudra bien en apprécier le bien-fondé et reconnaître qu'elles justifient la ligne de conduite que le Gouvernement s'est tracée.

Avant de terminer, je me permets d'ajouter que, vu les très graves conséquences qui pourraient résulter d'éventuelles mesures de coercition, le Gouvernement impérial ne pourra, à son vif regret, et malgré son désir de déférer à leur demande, le faire paraître sous cette forme dans les journaux.

E3664.58.44]

No. 1901

Amiral Sir J. de Hubeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 7, 1920.)

My Lordship, I have the honor to transmit herewith, for your Lordship's information, a memorandum prepared by the political officer on the subject of the future peace with Turkey, prepared by the political officer on the subject of the future peace with Turkey, prepared by the political officer on the subject of the future peace with Turkey.

I am in general agreement with the conclusions of this memorandum, but desire to submit the following remarks to your Lordship:—

I do not concur in the belief expressed in paragraph 1 of the memorandum that the recent occupation of Constantinople is likely to intensify the determination of the Nationalist Party. On the contrary, it appears to have given food for reflection to the half-hearted among the followers of Mustafa Kemal, who fear more than anything else the permanent loss of the capital—in their eyes the only desirable residence in the world. It has, in fact, encouraged the Opposition groups rather than driven them into alliance with the Nationalists.

On the other hand, I am disposed to regard the handing over of the vilayets of Erzeroum, Van and Bitlis to the independent sovereignty of the Armenian Republic as certain to prove disastrous. The conception of an autonomous Kurdistan in the present state of development of that country is one which is equally impossible to regard without grave anxiety.

The suggestion advanced in the memorandum of an administration under the supervision of the British Government is, in my opinion, the only practicable solution of the problem presented by these two regions. A period under a British administration would enable the inhabitants to adjust themselves up to a standard in which they may be expected to administer their own affairs, and

permitting the Armenian and Kurdish elements to gravitate, the one towards the Armenian home-land, with ultimate absorption by "Russian" Armenia, and the other southwards towards the real Kurdistan.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK, High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 190.

*Memorandum by Commander Luke on Future Peace with Turkey*

(Secret.)

"Azar," at Constantinople, March 21, 1920.

THE following considerations on the subject of peace with Turkey are submitted—

The first consideration is that the Supreme Council certain peace terms which, in the opinion of the Allied authorities in Constantinople, cannot be imposed on Turkey except by force. The territorial clauses of the proposed terms are in favour of the Armenians and Kurds, and, so far as they affect the two former, Greeks and Armenians, they appear to be in conflict, to a greater or lesser degree, with the principle of self-determination.

The second consideration is that the proposed terms, in reality disconnected from the question of the peace terms, is likely, judging by the evidence, to diminish the determination of the Nationalists not to accept a peace which violates the principle of self-determination.

3. It is presumably the intention of the Supreme Council in framing the Turkish peace terms, *inter alia*, to—

- (a.) Remove from Turkish rule provinces not inhabited by Turks, while preserving the existence of a Turkish State in the residue of the Empire;
- (b.) Assure the safety of non-Ottoman minorities in what remains of Turkey.

It is presumably the desire of the Council that its terms shall be—

- (a.) Be capable of application throughout the areas to which they refer; and
- (b.) Lead to the permanent pacification of those areas.

3. If the above assumptions are broadly correct, I venture to submit that the proposed terms are in several respects at variance with them. It is unnecessary at present to labour the point that the vilayets of Adrianople, Aidin and Erzerum are predominantly Ottoman, but it may be well to try to envisage the practical effect of alienating them from Turkey in the treaty of peace.

In the first place, so to do renders vain the idea of dividing the Turkish people and of stimulating the growth of an anti-Nationalist bloc around the Sultan and the *Entente libérale*. Far from dividing, such a proposal must tend to unite opposing sections of the Turkish people, the Nationalists and the C.U.P., will fight to secure the loss of Smyrna and of Thrace.

It follows, then, that terms of this nature must needs be imposed by force, and are, no doubt, to impose such terms on Turkey. The question is whether such terms will be sufficient? The answer is, no. The Turkish people will not accept such terms, and the Nationalists will fight to secure the loss of Smyrna and of Thrace.

Again, until such terms can be enforced, what will be the position of the non-Ottoman minorities in the interior? Marash supplies a sufficient answer.

Thirdly, would the terms proposed lead to the permanent pacification of Thrace, and the Near East? The answer is, no. The Turkish majority the answer must be in the negative.

implying the argument for the moment to Thrace and Smyrna, it is evident, if the conclusions are accepted, that to impose Greek rule on the vilayets of Adrianople and Aidin will—

- (a.) Run counter to the principle of self-determination, and will therefore be regarded by the Turks of all classes, and probably by many Moslems in Turkey, as a grave injustice;
- (b.) Will require the assistance of the armed forces of the *Entente*, which in practice would probably mean those of Great Britain, since French and Italian opinion seems opposed to the policy;
- (c.) Will perpetuate strife in those provinces instead of laying the foundation of an enduring and peaceful settlement.

A policy with such serious drawbacks would be of equally weighty advantages, and it may be said that there are advantages in the present case. In speaking of advantages, I have advantages in mind. As regards advantages to Greece, that country is whether it will be to its ultimate benefit to acquire large areas of land and with extremely bad strategical frontiers, and non-fruitful. In paragraph 10 of my report of the 10th (No. 170740), I ventured to suggest that Thrace might tend to—

That forecast has received some measure of confirmation from recent reports from Thrace. Probably only those who know the Near East can realise to the full the hatred inspired by Greece in her neighbours. The proceedings of the Greeks in Smyrna have been such as to render the Turks more averse than ever from the prospect of Greek rule.

5. While the sacrifice of many things is apparently being contemplated in order that the full satisfaction may be given to the demands of Greece, has it ever been considered whether it would not be to the advantage of Great Britain to remove the Turkish people from the area of self-determination and to satisfy the claims of justice, would not be vindictive? At present British public opinion is in danger of being diverted from a true perspective by appeals in weighty sections of the press to sentiment which, if thoroughly sincere, is often equally ignorant, and thus easily exploited. Facile crises, such as that the Turk must be expelled from Europe, are used with much effect, as if there were some mysterious virtue in turning the Turk out of Bebek while leaving him in Kandah. It seems to be thought by those whose judgment is stampeded by such crises that there is something essentially occidental in European Turkey, whose manifestation has only been prevented by the blighting presence of the Turk. It is well known but evidently sufficiently well, that Constantinople was an oriental city before ever the Turk was there, and such persons would do well to ponder the words of Sir William Ramsay, a most reliable authority on the Near East, when he writes: "The Turkish character is the result of a gradual orientalising of the Greek and Armenian races, and the final stage occurred when—"

Turkey has a "bad press," and it would sound to many like heresy to suggest that Turkey's friendship is possibly as valuable, and even more valuable, to us, than the friendship of Greece. Yet that is what I venture to suggest. Perhaps it would be better to put the same idea inversely by suggesting that a hostile Turkey is more dangerous to us than a hostile Greece. A country geographically situated as is Greece must always be at the mercy of a Power having command of the Mediterranean.

Mustafa Kemal in his Anatolian uplands is hardly amenable to sea power. I that two dangers in particular now threaten the British Empire from the active hostility of the Turks. One, a general danger, is that the Nationalists will continue to inflame Moslem opinion against the Empire in Egypt, in India, in Central Asia and elsewhere. The other and more immediate danger is that they will ally themselves with the Bolsheviks if the latter enter Transcaucasia, and will then be in a position to work us infinite mischief in our vulnerable eastern marches. The Turk, and indeed, the Moslem in general, is by instinct opposed to the theory of Bolshevism, which is wholly incompatible with the principles of Islam. Only necessity, as in—



understands it will drive him to this unnatural alliance. Cannot the necessity be avoided? I submit that it is worth avoiding even if the avoiding involves the non-acquisition by Greece of Smyrna and Thrace and the reduction of the area to be ceded by Turkey to Armenia. A stolid conservative people such as the Turks are a valuable buffer against the ferment of Bolshevism in the Middle East.

The question now arises as to what terms of peace the Turks would accept. Here it is not possible to speak with any assurance, but, judging from Mustafa Kemal's pronouncements and making allowances for the fact that Orientals (and not only Orientals) generally demand at the outset more than will in the long run satisfy it, it may be conjectured that they will readily assign themselves to the loss of Syria, Palestine, Mesopotamia, Arabia and the control of the Straits and a drastic reduction of their armed forces. They might well be brought to acquiesce in the constitution of an autonomous Kurdistan or for their nominal suzerainty and of a small, limited Armenia, including, say, the bulk of the vilayets of Van and Iğdır. They would probably accept, and even welcome, a fairly wide measure of European financial control, provided it were applied under forms that would preserve their *amour-propre*. The Turk has ever attached a greater weight to the outward form than to the real substance of things.

If present indications form any criterion, they will not peacefully accept the loss of Thrace, Smyrna and the town of Erzerum.

8. Assuming that the terms roughly sketched above would prove acceptable to the Turks or, at all events, to a certain section of the Turks, would they prove acceptable to the Allies and to those whom the Allies are pledged to protect? As regards the rayahs in the territory remaining under the immediate jurisdiction of the Porte, the Allied administrative control which is envisaged should prove capable of assuring their safety and reasonable privileges. As regards the Kurds, a considerable body of Kurdish opinion demands no more than autonomy, the bonds of

of the Kurds

as Turkish Armenia with frontiers contiguous with the independent Armenian Republic of Erivan, I have in mind the present of the Turkish autonomous vilayet of Eastern Rumelia and the principality of Bulgaria.

Had Eastern Rumelia been incorporated with Bulgaria at the time for certain transfers of population and rendered the ultimate incorporation of the principality with the principalities certain, provided that the principality made the prospect sufficiently attractive to the vilayet. Similarly in Armenia, the hope of securing the autonomous Turkish provinces should provide the Erivan Government with a motive to forestall any attempt to secure autonomy in Armenia. The principality will have time to effect exchanges of population with the remaining to Turkey, and will have time, too, to weigh pros and cons before reaching a final decision as to its future.

There remains Greece, who might appear to lose most, or rather to gain least, from the above arrangement. It is important to bear in mind here that Greece was able to realize nearly all her legitimate territorial aspirations in 1913, at the close of the Balkan wars, and that there now remains practically nothing of unquestioned *Grecian irredenta* except the Dodecanese. If, therefore, she now secures the Dodecanese and obtains, as appears to be contemplated, a man late in South Albania, which will consolidate her position for once and for all in Northern Epirus, she will not be doing so badly. If further, she is permitted to annex the rich tobacco-growing country now known as 'Interallied Thrace,' a territory which, despite several years of Bulgarian sovereignty, is still populated by a large Turkish majority, she will be securing a most valuable possession, to which, on purely ethnological grounds, her claim is hardly tenable. She has also, as the result of her participation in the war on the side of the *Entente*, the satisfaction of seeing her credit higher than she could ever have thought possible before

one-half of the nation tried to stab the *Entente* in the back, and that she was brought into the right way only with the greatest difficulty and by the efforts of practically one man. The party then in opposition to that man has still many adherents in Greece

fresh war, and to perpetuate bloodshed in the Near East, in order to dispose of the Greek question, even taking into consideration the forced emigration of the Greeks, is no conclusive ethnological claim! And should it be thought

Finally, the solution is

then consent to eliminate themselves, well and good, if not, they publicly proclaim themselves to be not patriots, but adventurers seeking their own ends. In that case, we should with the policy of rallying around the Sultan the anti-Unionist and pro-Unionist elements. The policy should then have a certain amount of affection, or rather respect, for the British is not entirely the class among which it survives is not the most articulate.

H. C. LUKER, Commander, R.N.V.R.,  
Local Officer

E 3812 4744]

No. 191

The Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon (Received April 23.)

Aden, April 7, 1920  
I HAVE the honour to forward, for your information, copy of letter dated the 7th April, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

(For Major-General)  
C. C. J. BARRIETT

Enclosure in No. 191

The Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

Aden, April 7, 1920  
Following is a summary of the news reported since the dispatch of my last letter dated the 25th March, 1920.

Tihama

Captain Fazluddin, I.M.S., liaison medical officer with the Idri, writing to Captain Gordon on the 14th March, states that the Idri's reverse at Obal was chiefly due to the shortage of ammunition and food supplies, the transport of which was made difficult by the heavy rains in the Tihama. Ample supplies of both have since been sent to the Idri. Captain Fazluddin says that the Idri, though engaged in the Tihama, does not underrate the strength of his enemy, the Imam, who, he says, has contrived to amass a large force of about 4,000 men by inflammatory propaganda against him and the British. The Idri is sending reinforcements in large numbers from the Beni Merwan, Sabiani and Abu Arish countries.

Since the retreat of the Zeidis to Jebel Safan, except that an attempt of a force of 600 Zeidis to relieve the garrison of Riqab was frustrated, the Zeidis being driven into Riqab, with the exception of 170 men, who escaped, and 30 taken prisoners. The Imam is said to be collecting a large force for the recapture of

[4370]

2 S

Jebel Bark. 1,500 men have already been collected at a place called Zahib-el-Bir. The advance will be made from four different directions, viz., Shataba, Attar, Beni Sulaiman and Beni Daud. The Idrisi is prepared for the attack.

The Idrisi is reported to be advancing on Jebel Safan, and the Zeidis are said to have fled to Haraz.

The Idrisi commanders at Obel and Ain are reported to have returned to Bajil, demanding arrears of their pay, and asking to be relieved of their respective commands. A hitch is also reported among the Qubra sheikhs. The cause of this is not known but Captain Gordon is trying to find it out.

Fighting is again reported at Jebel Milhan. About one-third of the place is said to be in the hands of the imam.

A number of clans in the neighbourhood of Zabed are reported to have revolted and have joined the Sheikh of Rikb, Awad Ali.

There is a vague rumour, which lacks confirmation that Menakha has been occupied by the Idrisi. I cannot believe it.

Sayed Mustafa is due in Aden shortly. The Administrator, Karaman, has wired that reasons of health are bringing him.

#### Aden Protectorate.

There is no further encroachment, but the Zeidis are reported to be busy collecting tithes and other taxes from the Amiri people. The tithes are collected at the rate of 1 kela for every 10 kela of grain. The tax on a goat is 1/2 dollar. In addition to this the hakim makes continuous demands for special contributions under various pretexts. Loans are being taken from rich people, and a tax is imposed on jewellery.

There are two customs posts, and transit dues are levied varying from 1/2 to 3/4 dollars per donkey and camel load.

There are at present two representatives of the imam in the Amiri territory. Their names are Sayid Yahya bin Mahomed Abbas and Sayid bin Saleh al-Amer. The former, who is a relative of the imam, is the hakim and commandant of the troops. The latter is the amil and is under the hakim. There are 200-300 Zeidis in Jaldia. Their rations, &c., are supplied by the people through the amil of the place.

In consequence of complaints submitted by the people of Dala re looting, &c., the imam is said to have sent express orders to the hakim at Jaldia to stop the collection of tithes, &c., pending further orders and to send to him the Zeidis concerned in the looting. The Zeidis, however, continue to collect the tithes, &c.

The Alawi sheikh and the nephew of the Kowala sheikh, who had been detained at Dhahab, were sent to Sana on the 24th March by order.

The Zeidis have not carried out their threats to the H. country. They now give out that they have received orders to advance, as the imam's troops are engaged fighting the Idrisi. A rumour that the imam has recalled his troops from the Amiri territory has led the hakim to leave Abdul Hamid, the Amir's brother, to manage the Amiri territory at present. It is said that no further advance will take place in our territory in the near future. The signs of a possible withdrawal are interesting, owing to the effect of the Idrisi's action in the H. neighbourhood.

The imam has written another letter, which is practically a repetition of what he has said before.

Yours, &c.  
(For Major-General Sir James Stewart).  
J. M. DE ROBECK

E 3673 3/44

No. 192

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received April 23)

(No. 400.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, April 8, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to report that his Highness Damad Ferid Pasha, the new Grand Vizier and Minister for Foreign Affairs, called on me this morning.

2. Rear-Admiral Webb and Mr. Ryan were present with me during the interview.

3. I enclose a memorandum giving a record of our conversation.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK, High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 192

#### Memorandum of Conversation with Damad Ferid Pasha.

THE new Grand Vizier paid his first official visit to the High Commissioner this morning.

After complimentary overtures, Ferid Pasha said he had come into power on a platform of subjugating such adherents of the national movement as would not submit to the will of the Central Government. What was he to do with this object? The Government would use moral force, including the authority of the Sultan, for all it was worth, but physical force would be necessary against those who still remained recalcitrant. He had to contemplate the use of gendarmerie and regular troops, more particularly

3. Ferid Pasha made it clear that he had in view gendarmerie of a special kind. There were, he said, here and there in the country elements opposed to the National Government. In the Panderna area there was a movement already in being under Anzavur. A similar germ of a similar movement existed in the Ismidt area. A similar germ was said to exist at Bolu. The elements of a movement on the same lines had existed, he thought, and might still exist, at Trabzon. He could not speak confidently of the present situation there. Further afield, something might be done between Cassarea and Kharpat and still further afield in the direction of Kurdistan.

4. The idea of the Government was to utilize elements of this kind. They had decided yesterday to make Anzavur a Pasha and to appoint him Governor of Ailan-Kara-Hisar. He was thus transformed from a brigand into a servant of the Government. He perhaps already held Panderna. The Government had a stock of arms and wished to put Anzavur's forces into them.

5. The High Commissioner said he would consult General Milne. The Grand Vizier promised to submit them in a concrete written form. The Commissioner suggested for consideration that it might be desirable to avoid the use of the term "gendarmerie," lest difficulty should arise owing to General Foulet's ambiguous position. He urged strongly that, in any direction in which force was used, care should be taken to make it sufficient to avoid the danger of a set-back. The Government wished to avoid civil war. The only way to combine effective action with the avoidance of civil war was to have sufficient force to crush resistance to the action of the Government.

6. Ferid Pasha said that Anzavur had accomplished much with very scanty resources. He would now be able to give even a better account of himself if he could get more (not a great many) and munitions. The High Commissioner said he would consult with General Milne. He thought the General would be able to see what the requirements were, and what quantities of munitions being put to a proper use. The Grand Vizier acquiesced.

7. Ferid Pasha asked whether the High Commissioner could help him to get news from the interior, with which all telegraphic communication was cut off. The High Commissioner explained that our own information was very meagre, but such as it was it would be at the disposal of the Government. We might get a certain amount through Captain Petting at Samson.

8. The Grand Vizier said the Government were preparing a proclamation emphasizing the points made in the Hatti Humayoun, and were obtaining a fatwa condemning the Nationalists. They proposed to print these documents and the Hatti-Humayoun itself for general distribution. He asked whether aeroplanes could be used for distribution in the interior. He believed there were three Turkish aeroplanes, and he had in view one very good aviator, the son of a Minister. The High Commissioner promised to enquire about these aeroplanes.

9. Ferid Pasha asked whether he would be allowed to send a supply of the same documents to Ameer Ali for distribution in India. Indian Muslims had been misled into regarding people like Talaat and Enver as champions of Islam, which they were not. He was anxious that matters should be represented in a true light, and that India should know the views of the Caliph, for whom Indian Muslims had so much respect.

10. The High Commissioner said he appreciated the Grand Vizier's motives, but he could not commit himself regarding this proposal without consulting His Majesty's Government, as he would be trenching on the sphere of the Indian Government. He asked to see the text of the proclamation and fatwa as soon as possible.



11. Ferid Pasha said the Government would want to send agents to access outlying places. He asked for facilities for such agents to travel. He promised to submit their names in such a manner as to ensure the necessary secrecy and only to act with the High Commissioner's approval. The High Commissioner promised to assist in this matter.

12. The Grand Vizier said it would be necessary to prevent a number of persons connected with the Ay-Yildiz Association from doing harm. He specified two or three. He had, he said, a complete list of people of this kind who ought to be rounded up by the Allies or by the Turkish authorities, whichever the High Commissioner liked best. The High Commissioner promised to think this over. Ferid Pasha promised to

to the High Commissioner. Throughout the conversation Ferid Pasha emphasized his desire to work in lines approved by the British authorities. He said, *inter alia*, that he would make the smallest movement of troops without authority.

In a preliminary conversation to which he did not refer in his conversation.

13. He spoke of the dissolution of Parliament. He thought it a necessary measure, though it would be necessary to have some sort of Parliament later to ratify peace. He asked whether, if the dissolution led to disturbances, the assistance of the Allies could be relied on.

14. Mr. Ryan agreed that the dissolution was a necessary measure, but that he had no doubt arrangements would be made for the time being. Personally, however, he did not think the measure would cause any immediate disturbance.

15. The Grand Vizier spoke very confidentially of the desirability of removing certain persons from the entourage of the Sultan. He said this was very necessary, but the Sultan was the soul of good nature, and it was difficult to bring him to a point. Ferid Pasha said it would be more easy to get the Sultan to act if he could represent it as the wish of the High Commissioner that the officials in question should be removed. Mr. Ryan said this would be going too far, but he made certain observations regarding the officials in question, which he said might have a good effect on the Sultan as having been made in a conversation with a member of the

16. Ferid Pasha asked Mr. Ryan whether he would telegraph to the Hon. Austrey to use his influence to moderate the severity of the views of people like Lord Robert Cecil and Mr. Asquith regarding Turkey. Mr. Ryan suggested, as he said, the High Commissioner could only transmit such a telegram through the Foreign Office, and the Foreign Office would be sure to turn it down.

British High Commissioner, Constantinople,  
April 8, 1920

E 36713 44)

No. 193.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon — (Received April 23.)

Constantinople, April 9, 1920.

WITH reference to my telegram No. 340 of the 5th instant, I have the honor to herewith a literal translation of the Turkish text of the High Commissioner's letter to the Grand Vizier.

Reshid Bey, the two outstanding members of the new Ministry, came into power in consequence of the resignation of your predecessor, Sabih Pasha, and to the task they were undertaking. Both realized fully that whatever might be made to disintegrate the national movement by peaceful means, such as would almost certainly have to be adopted.

1. The following notes on Damad Ferid Pasha:  
1. The Sheikh ul Islam, Durr Zade Abdulhalil Effendi, is a person of ecclesiastical dignitarian which has enjoyed no less than five previous positions in the last two centuries. He has himself been Under-Secretary of State for the Interior, but he is quite unknown in political life.

2. Reshid Bey, Minister of the Interior, is well known to your Lordship by reputation. He was at one time associated with the *Entente libérale* Party, but now

ranked as an independent politician, strongly opposed to the Committee of Union and Progress. He was Minister of the Interior in Kiamil Pasha's last Cabinet, and was blamed by some of that statesman's followers for having allowed the *coup d'Etat* of January 1913 to be engineered under his nose. From that time up to a few months ago he lived out of Turkey.

Reshid Bey is an intelligent man, and is said to possess energy. He is popularly regarded as standing well with the High Commissioner, and the emphasis with which he has frequently been mentioned in the Press.

3. Mohamed Said Pasha, Minister of Marine and Acting Minister of War, comes from a family which has had a respectable career as a soldier.

Viewed from Constantinople, his attitude towards the movement is moderate, if not complaisant, but when the national forces invaded Konia and forced the *Entente libérale* to flee, Kiamil Pasha accompanied him to Constantinople.

4. Ali Rashid Effendi, Minister of Justice, has spent practically the whole of his official life in the judiciary, and is little known outside the Ministry of Justice.

5. Fikret Bey, Minister of Public Instruction, has for some months past been in charge of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. In my despatch No. 226 of the

1920, I suggested that he was a person of moderate nationalist views. I am disposed to think I was mistaken, as he is said to have some connection with the *Entente libérale* Party, and is attitude at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs has been invariably conciliatory and sensible. In any case, however, he counts for little politically or personally.

6. Dr. Jamal Pasha, Minister of Public Works, is well known in Constantinople as a surgeon and as a successful prefect of the city. He is intelligent, commands respect, and has always been known as an opponent of the Committee of Union and Progress.

7. Hussein Riza Pasha, Minister of Commerce and Agriculture, and Osman Rifat Pasha, Minister of Pious Foundations, are both generals. Ferid Pasha has shown ability in selecting a military personage for the latter post, but neither is at all known in political life.

8. Reshid Bey, Acting Minister of Finance, is a well-known figure, except that he is not a member of the Ministry of Finance.

No member of a Cabinet having for its programme the enunciation of the principles of the Committee of Union and Progress, but it will be evident from the foregoing list of the Ministers that Ferid Pasha, having ruled out the party politics, has been compelled to choose for his colleagues men respectable in their own vocations and little known outside them. He himself, Reshid Bey, and perhaps Jamal Pasha, constitute the exceptions.

I have, Sir,  
Yours faithfully,  
J. M. DE ROBECQ  
High Commissioner

Enclosure in No. 193.

Turkish Text of Imperial Rescript on April 5, 1920, appointing Ferid Pasha Grand Vizier.

Translation:  
My Illustrious Vizier, Ferid Pasha:  
IN consequence of the resignation of your predecessor, Sabih Pasha, we have, in view of your evident abilities and merit, confided to you the Grand Vizierate, and to you in accordance with article 27 of the Constitution,

the name of "nationalism," have placed in a position to gradually approach a satisfactory point, and the corrective measures attempted up to the present to counteract this have remained without result.

As in view of the events which have recently occurred, a continuance of this state of rebellion may possibly give rise to a still more serious situation (which God forbid!),

it is our firm desire that the prescriptions of the law be applied against those known who have organised and encouraged these disturbances, but that, on the other hand, a general amnesty be proclaimed in favour of those who, having joined and participated in this rebellion, that prompt and energetic measures be taken with a view to the restoration and consolidation of order and security throughout our Empire, and that in this way the unalterable bond which undoubtedly unites all our loyal subjects to the Caliphate and the Sultanate may be strengthened. It is also our firm desire that by striving to establish relations of a sincere confidence with the Allies, in accordance with the principles of Right and Justice, you will endeavour to secure a moderation in the demands of peace and the conclusion of peace at the earliest possible moment.

May God crown your efforts with success.

E 3713 3 441

No. 191

For Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon. Received April 23.

(No. 429)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Constantinople, April 23, 1920

MY telegram No. 420 of 20th April.

At present on that Azavur has retired to Gonen, followed by considerable Nationalist forces and is awaiting arrival of munitions, &c., which must now be sent to Kara Dag.

I submit that Nationalist threat to Darsafel, which this retirement entails, must

and Vizier stated, on 21st April, that Azavur force was unbroken, and does not appear to display any success of policy of combating Nationalists by means of counter-attacks in areas where moral action will be available.

Moral action seems to have succeeded in case of Jaffar Tavar (see my telegram No. 420 of 17th March), who retired to Constantinople.

His attitude appears to have been due to moral action, and not to any military success. It is probable that his consequences will be of great importance.

At interview with Grand Vizier on 22nd April Jaffar Tavar seemed submissive, but I hear he says the one thing Thrace will not tolerate is Greek occupation.

It is too soon to say whether Azavur's move is broken post-report, or success, if any, moral action will have in Anatolia. Following points are, however,

1. Azavur has suffered severe defeat in serious conflict with Nationalists, and not therefore be relied on as counterpoise to their efforts, even if he recovers at all.

2. Other movements on same lines as Azavur's are too inchoate to be counted for purposes of effective resistance to Nationalists in near future.

3. Announcement of drastic peace terms must inevitably detract still more from effectiveness of anti-Nationalist, many of whom will be thrown into sympathy, if not active co-operation, with Nationalists.

4. Allies must therefore rely on their own resources to enforce peace, and it is desirable from political as distinct from military point of view, that Western Powers should be prepared to undertake the task with their own forces. Use of Greek army for enforcement of peace terms in Thrace and Anatolia will involve grave danger of general massacre of civil population, Christians and Moslems alike, and devastation of the countryside.

[E 3726 1 58]

No. 195

For Earl Curzon to Lord Hardinge. (Received April 24.)

(No. 23.)

(Telegraphic.)

San Remo, April 23, 1920

FOLLOWING sent to B. Room:—

"Following for Admiral de Robeck:—

"Position at Batoum this afternoon subject of anxious consideration at Supreme Council. On one hand it is represented that Bolshevik forces are not about to attack and capture town, and that British garrison may be able to hold it. On the other hand, nothing is known of strength or of their chances of success. The military view is that town cannot be held against powerful or sustained attack, except by forces in excess of any that Allies could provide. On the other hand, the political consequences of withdrawal would be most unfortunate, particularly at a time when representatives of three Caucasian States are on the eve of an agreement here for recognising Batoum as a free port. A Bolshevik success at Batoum would destroy this agreement and imperil alliance of three republics, and it is precipitate Bolshevik capture of Baku and consequent loss of entire Caucasus, would deal a heavy blow at Armenian hopes. We shall be glad if you will report at once to me here (please repeat to London your views of situation with your advice as

to the position in imminent danger you are authorised to convert it into a withdrawal, since we cannot contemplate military action on the other hand, the French have agreed to send a white battalion to Batoum, if it be decided to retain it, and have only suspended their pending your reply. Italians also are prepared to fulfil their original promise to send a battalion if situation can thereby be rendered secure.

(To be sent to Lord Curzon.)

E 8622 20 44

No. 196

Memorandum of Agreement between M. Philippe Berthelot, Directeur des Affaires

Sir John Cadman, K.C.M.G., Director in charge of His Majesty's Petroleum Department

BY order of the two Governments of France and Great Britain, the undersigned representatives have resumed, by mutual consent, the consideration of an agreement regarding petroleum.

2. This agreement is based on the principles of cordial co-operation and reciprocity in those countries where the oil interests of the two nations can be usefully united. The memorandum relates to the following States or countries:—

Romania, Asia Minor, territories of the old Ruman Empire, Galicia, French Colonies and British Crown Colonies.

3. The agreement may be extended to other countries by mutual consent.

4. Romania.—The British and French Governments shall support their respective nationals in any common negotiations to be entered into with the Government of Romania for:—

a.) The acquisition of oil concessions, shares or other interests belonging to former enemy subjects or bodies in Romania which have been sequestered, e.g., the Steaua Romana, Concordia, Vega, &c., which constituted in that country the oil groups of the Deutsche Bank, and of the Deutsche Gesellschaft, together with any other interests that may be obtained.

(b.) Concessions over oil lands belonging to the Roumanian State.

5. All shares belonging to former enemy concessions which can be secured and all other advantages derived from these negotiations shall be divided, 50 per cent. to British interests and 50 per cent. to French interests. It is understood that in the company or



companies to be formed to undertake the management and the exploitation of the said shares, concessions, and other advantages, the two countries shall have the same proportion of 50 per cent. in all capital subscribed, as well as in representatives on the board, and voting power.

6. *Territories of the Late Russian Empire.*—In the territories which belonged to the late Russian Empire, the two Governments will give their joint support to their respective nationals in their joint efforts to obtain petroleum concessions and facilities to export, and to arrange delivery of petroleum supplies.

7. *Mesopotamia.*—The British Government undertake to grant to the Government or its nominee 25 per cent. of the net output of crude oil at current market rates which His Majesty's Government may secure from the Mesopotamian oilfields, in the event of their being developed by Government action; or in the event of a private petroleum company being used to develop the Mesopotamian oilfields.

The British Government will place at the disposal of the Government or its nominee 25 per cent. in such company. The price to be paid for such participation to be no more than the price paid for the same participation in the company in which it is also understood that the said petroleum company shall be under permanent British control.

8. It is agreed that, should the private petroleum company be constituted as a limited liability company, the British Government shall contribute one-half of the first 10 per cent. of such native participation and the additional participation shall be provided by each participant in proportion to his holdings.

9. The British Government shall give every facility for the rights of crossing without any royalty or wayleave on the oil transported. Nevertheless, compensation shall be payable to the landowners for the surface occupied.

10. In consideration of the above-mentioned arrangements, the French Government shall agree, if it is desired and as soon as application is made, to the construction of two separate pipe-lines and railways necessary for their construction and maintenance and for the transport of oil from Mesopotamia and Persia through French spheres of influence to a port or ports on the Eastern Mediterranean. The port or ports shall be chosen in agreement between the two Governments.

11. The French Government shall give every facility for the rights of crossing without any royalty or wayleave on the oil transported. Nevertheless, compensation shall be payable to the landowners for the surface occupied.

12. In the same way France will give facilities at the terminal port for the construction of a wharf for the erection of depots, railways, refineries, loading wharves, &c. On this exported shall be exempt from export and transit dues. The equipment shall also be free from import duties and wayleaves.

13. Should the said petroleum company desire to lay a pipe-line and a railway to the Persian Gulf, the British Government will use its good offices to secure similar facilities for that purpose.

14. The British Government will facilitate the granting of any concessions in Algeria which are now under consideration as soon as the applicants have complied with the requirements of the French laws.

15. *British Crown Colonies.*—In so far as existing regulations allow, the British Government will give to French subjects who may wish to prospect and exploit petroleum lands in the Crown Colonies similar advantages to those which France is granting to British subjects in the French colonies.

16. Nothing in this agreement shall apply to concessions which may be the subject of negotiations initiated by French or British interests.

17. Nothing in this agreement shall apply to concessions which may be the subject of negotiations initiated by French or British interests.

18. This agreement had to-day been initialled by M. Philippe Berthelot and Professor Sir John Cadman, subject to confirmation by the French and British Prime Ministers respectively.

J CADMAN  
P BERTHELOT

San Remo, April 24, 1920.

Confirmed

D LLOYD GEORGE  
A. MILLERAND

17 1 1

E 3789 1728 44]

No. 197

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 26.)

(No. 130)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, April 26, 1920.

I WISH to point out that as Senior Naval Officer here I shall be placed in an impossible position if commission at Ministry of Marine is provided over by a French or Italian officer. Moreover, as General Milne is commanding all Allied forces in the Caucasus, it is imperative that all heads of commissions should be officers nominated by him or me.

E 3836 1 58]

No. 198.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Lord Hardinge.—(Received April 27.)

(No. 147)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, April 27, 1920.

FOLLOWING for Lord Curzon, No. 1:

Your telegram of 21st April

"Bolshevik forces threatening Caucasus are disposed along line, roughly, from Sochi through Vladikavkaz to Derbent. Strength estimated at 50,000 men, 200 guns in all."

"Access to Georgia is:—

1. By coast road on the west.
2. By mountain passes from north.
3. Through Azerbaijan from east.

1. Coast road in neighbourhood of Gagra can be made impassable by His Majesty's ships and aeroplanes backed by moderate Georgian force now in place.

"2. Mountain passes can be held by small number of troops. But Allied troops could hardly be sent to assist unless Georgian army and population in rear were held to loyalty by a considerable Allied force in the centre. Without such a force an internal rising would isolate them."

"3. Present attitude of Azerbaijan most doubtful, and occupation of province by Reds may occur at any moment. Baku must capitulate to Bolshevik fleet as soon as it enters Caspian. Certain units are already at sea. Bolshevik wave westward would require large force to stem. Lines of communication from Baku very long to guard, and suppression of risings in rear must be envisaged."

General Milne is advising that a small area enclosing town of Batoum only could be held, and I infer that he considers it out of the question to hold the whole of Batoum province, much less Georgia.

"For this small area, whose perimeter is about 50 miles, he [7 group omitted] heavy artillery."

"Navy can only support flank resting on sea. Reinforcement by one French or Italian battalion is therefore not sufficient."

There is, however, no immediate danger in situation at Batoum, as nearest Red forces have great difficulties to contend with.

[4370]

2 T 2

"To summarise. Retention of any portion of Batoum province means considerable reinforcement to meet Red and, probably, Turkish pressure, and involves entire supply by sea. Position of Armenia scarcely ameliorated. Withdrawal is a grave matter politically, means isolation of Armenia, and will be the forerunner of anarchy from which thousands of all nationalities will expect to be saved by us.

"If General Milne's requirements for retention of small area cannot be met there is no alternative to withdrawal, Allied subjects in Baku and Tiflis being called in first, and withdrawal carried out before pressure is applied, and in time to give opportunity for those who must leave country to do so

E 3789 1728 44]

No. 1

Earl Curzon to Rear-Admiral Sir H. Webb (Constantinople).

(No. 343)

(Telegraphic)

Foreign Office

ADMIRAL DE ROBECK'S telegram No. 439 of 26th April.

Constantinople.

We naturally wish to avoid placing Senior Naval Officer in a false position, but do not understand why this should necessarily be a consequence of arrangement.

I in Foreign Office telegram No. 362. British delegate on Admiralty mission is presumably appointed by General Milne, and the commission is, we understand, under supreme direction of General Milne. It is most desirable to make conversation, especially in view of General Franchet's withdrawal. An alternative would you recommend?

[E 3845 1729 44]

No. 201

War Office to Foreign Office — (Received April 27)

Sir

War Office, April 27 1920

I AM commanded by the Army Council to forward herewith a copy of a report from Lieutenant Colonel H. C. L. Howard, C.M.G., D.S.O., British Military Mission to the General Officer Commanding in Chief, Allied Armies in the Orient, relative to the attitude of General Franchet d'Esperey towards the occupation of Constantinople.

I am, &amp;c

B. B. CLIBITT

Enclosure I in No. 201

Lieutenant-Colonel H. C. L. Howard to Chief of the Imperial General Staff,

War Office

Constantinople, March 25, 1920

Sir

I HAVE the honour to report as follows —

1 The principal events have been concerned with the actions of General Franchet d'Esperey since his return to Constantinople on the 11th instant. Owing to no King's Messenger having arrived here for some time, there has been no opportunity of forwarding a report since General Bridges left here for Novorossiysk on the 10th instant. It was unfortunate that General Bridges left the day before General Franchet d'Esperey arrived and was therefore unable to see him; in fact, General Bridges and General Franchet d'Esperey have not met since they parted at Beiruth early in January, as General Franchet d'Esperey left here for Paris on the 7th February, a week before General Bridges arrived back.

2 Before leaving General Bridges saw General Claudel on the 9th instant. Notes of their conversation are attached as Appendix "A" (Enclosure 2).

3 I attach a diary of events and notes made by me of conversations I have had at different times with General Franchet d'Esperey and Colonel Boucher.

4 On the 10th instant a conference was held on H.M.S. "Ajax," which was attended by Lieutenant Colonel Giraud (Acting Sub-Chief of the Staff), who made full notes of points discussed and settled, but who said that, beyond undertaking to

General Franchet d'Esperey's knowledge, he could accept no further decisions arrived at.

5 The course of events is traced in detail in Appendix "B" (Enclosure 3), but there are certain points regarding General Franchet d'Esperey's attitude during the recent events on which I have telegraphed you information and opinions, and which can now be gone into with more detail, more light having been thrown on them by subsequent events and conversations.

#### (i) General Franchet d'Esperey's attitude towards the occupation

Although he appeared to be against the occupation, he naturally did not express himself against it to me in more than general terms.

In view of your 350 H.W., Personal, of the 19th February, it would appear that I to return to Constantinople before he intended to, and that he had been unable to obtain any decision, let alone one to his liking. This is borne out by the fact that although he knew after his return here on the 11th instant what had been settled at the conference on H.M.S. "Ajax" on the 10th instant, he took no action at all until the 15th after the decision to carry out the occupation had been made by the High Commissioners. There must be some reason why he waited the last minute, as he could quite well have raised the question of inter Allied commissions before. It would seem possible that he was rushed and that the decision to carry out the occupation came before he was ready, and as a last attempt

to get power into his hands, he sent what amounted to a "call to order" to General Milne (vide paragraph 3 of Appendix "B"). He may have been trying to bring off a big coup whilst he was in Paris so as to eliminate General Milne from a share in the control in Turkey in Europe and to get the complete control himself, with General Wilson directly under him. Having failed to bring this off he acted

in a hasty manner. The explanation in paragraph 1 of Appendix "B" is plausible but there must be more in it than

I am not able to say now whether General Franchet d'Esperey's attitude towards the occupation itself or only temporarily, although Colonel Boucher told me his own opinion was that it must come, but later on.

As stated previously, he waited till the last moment to butt in, and although he had been because he found he could not stop the occupation, he felt that he should try to control the situation. If he had not done anything

at all, by his passivity, perhaps have laid himself open later on to an accusation of an anti-British attitude in view of the publication in French papers that the French had brought the British (who wanted to turn the Turks out of Constantinople) round to their view, this would have been awkward for him, especially as

from General Franchet d'Esperey himself. As it is there has been a considerable amount of propaganda to the effect that it was the British who are responsible for the occupation, but General Franchet d'Esperey, although he has not said he approved of the occupation itself, has put in writing that he approved General Milne's dispositions.

#### (ii) General Franchet d'Esperey's attitude as regards the command

General Franchet d'Esperey passed the matter off to me, when discussing the question of the commissions, as being entirely due to instructions from the Supreme Council having been "mal rédigé" somewhere. He said he was good friends with General Milne, and there was no difference of opinion between them.

Colonel Boucher, on the other hand, has been outspoken at times, especially on the 17th instant (Appendix "D") on which occasion he spoke about the position between General Milne and General Pankovitch, but I did not point out to him that General Franchet d'Esperey had interfered in detail when he

General Col limiting the action of the two French battalions. I thought that, if I did, it might give him an idea of one of the particular points on which General Franchet d'Esperey's actions have been displeasing to the British.

#### (iii) The misquotation of General Milne's letter

At first I thought this was an accidental mistake, especially as Colonel Boucher as much as admitted it was, but on receipt of General Franchet d'Esperey's letter,



that he had done it with full knowledge, I telegraphed to you the verbatim translation of General Franchet d'Esperey's reply. There must be a motive for this, but it is not clear what it is, unless General Franchet d'Esperey wishes to try and confuse the issue, as between the command in Constantinople and the command in Thrace. This may be so, as the chief of the 2nd bureau told me he was drafting a letter asking what dispositions General Wilson was making to cope with possible developments. General Franchet d'Esperey has now been replied to that General Milne's distance with instructions from the British Government, takes no responsibility for dealing with Thrace, and regards it as entirely the sphere of General Franchet d'Esperey.

6 The attitude of the French Staff at the present moment is completely in the dark as to the reasons for all this business and they have no instructions from Paris, but this may well be because an attempted coup has failed. In this connection it is interesting to note that months ago Colonel Bouché told me that they had had no intimation that General Milne was Commander-in-chief in Turkey in Asia and that he would telegraph to Paris.

7 I am of the above, and he told me that to this day they have had no official notification that General Milne is Commander-in-chief in Turkey in Asia. I have a letter from General Milne, dated the 16th November, 1919, giving paraphrase of a wire from the Foreign Office to the High Commissioners here, in which it is stated that, at the Supreme Council, the French delegate said that the resolution of the Council conferring the command in Turkey in Asia on General Milne had been communicated to the French Commander, and that they undertook to

7 To sum up, events such as have recently occurred are bound to cause a great deal of ill feeling between the Allies here, and so long as the present régime exists, or anyhow as long as General Franchet d'Esperey remains, difficulties are bound to arise. General Bridges telegraphed his views on the 29th February, estimate that he was away during this last crisis. The presence of both General Franchet d'Esperey and General Claudel is superfluous. A possible solution might be the allotment of clearly defined zones to the French and ourselves, each having our own responsibilities, and, whilst maintaining close liaison, doing away with the present system of command here.

8 As an instance of the trivial sort of incident arising out of the occupation, which Colonel Bouché brought to my notice (although unofficially), he complained that a sentry had been put on the door of Colonel Mougin (French liaison officer) at the Turkish War Office. Although I told him that our own liaison officer sentry on his door to start with, and that Colonel Mougin's had been taken off directly the other in charge had been able to go round, he was still dissatisfied and said that the Turks had said to Mougin, "The British are treating you the same as

9 The chief of the 3rd bureau have impressed on me that the size of the detachment had nothing to do with General Franchet d'Esperey, the latter having received detailed orders from Paris.

10 I have had several talks lately with Colonel Bouché about the general situation. Assuming that the Greeks will be given Smyrna and Thrace, which he greatly deprecates, he calculates that a large number of troops will be required for an indefinite period to maintain order. The Greeks will have to keep three divisions in Thrace and about four at Smyrna. The situation for the French in Syria and for us in Mesopotamia would be adversely affected, and the maintenance of a large number of troops entailed. He pointed out that France might well, under the circumstances, say that she had had enough of it and clear out of Cilicia and Syria, as she would not get her money's worth out of the country except under the most favourable and peaceful conditions. In this respect the wish may be father to the thought.

As regards a United Armenia, he considers four divisions would be necessary as a garrison, and said if the Americans are so keen about it, they must find the troops. France could not, and he was quite sure England would not. I telegraphed to you information had enabled him to foresee the trouble in Cilicia a month before it came,

and that the information he now had was of such a kind that he could foresee an attack on us. He considers that the Nationalist movement has collapsed in Thrace and Smyrna, but that it is still strong as regards Syria and Mesopotamia and must be seriously reckoned with in those parts.

He ascribes the success of the Greek cause in the Turkish peace negotiations entirely to the influence of M. Venizelos, but says the latter won't have things his own way now with M. Millerand as he did with M. Clemenceau.

I have &c

H. C. L. HOWARD

Lieutenant-Colonel G.S.

P.S. March 26 — This morning Colonel Bouché told me they do not understand about the change whereby we take no responsibility for part of Thrace, as hitherto that has been under Allied corps. He told me they were sending a letter asking how and when this change was brought about. I told him that no doubt his Government

General Franchet d'Esperey should remain at Constantinople if the command is not at once transferred. He points out the distribution of responsibility in Turkey in Europe, viz. the Paraskewopoles in Macedonia, a Serbian general in Serbia, a French general in Bulgaria, and a British general in Thrace and Constantinople, all under General Franchet d'Esperey as Commander-in-chief. I am telegraphing this to

11 11

Enclosure 2 in No. 291

#### APPENDIX A

##### Conference between General Bridges and General Claudel

AFTER lunch to day (9th March) I called on General Claudel to enquire after his health and to tell him of the proposed conference to-morrow. I found him considerably better, but he had had a somewhat severe injury to his shoulder, and perhaps a broken collar from the fall from his horse that he sustained yesterday. After the necessary inquiries I asked him whether he would be fit to take part in the conference on the "Ajax" to-morrow. He said that he would be unable to do so, but that his Chief of Staff would be present if required. At the same time he made a point of impressing on me that he considered that the conference should not take place until the arrival of General Franchet d'Esperey, who might reasonably be expected to arrive on Thursday morning. He said that his own instructions from General Franchet d'Esperey as to the use of troops were solely that he must not break up the first importance with the Commander-in-chief of the Allied armies so near at hand begged that the conference might be postponed until his arrival. I informed General Claudel that I had already told both the High Commissioner and General Milne of the probable time of General Franchet d'Esperey's arrival and I thought that the reason that the conference was not postponed was that the matter was too pressing and that important matters might, and, indeed, were known to be leaking out.

I then saw General Claudel's Chief of Staff, Colonel Giraud, and arranged with him that Colonel Howard should take him to the conference on the "Ajax". He in his turn likewise tried to impress on me the undesirability of deciding on action until the arrival of his chief. He said indeed that under such circumstances the discussion could only be academic. I assured him that I did not think that he would find such was the case.

Enclosure 3 in No. 200.

APPENDIX "B."

*Diary and a résumé of events in connection with Military Occupation of Constantinople*

March 15

GENERAL FRANCHET D'ESPEREY was informed by letter that General Milne intended carrying out, on the following day, the decisions taken by the Allied High Commissioners, and that the general measures to be adopted would be those agreed upon at the conference of the 10th March in H.M.S. "Ajax."

General Franchet d'Espercy the same day wrote a letter to this mission enclosing a copy of the decision of the Allied High Commissioners to occupy Constantinople, saying that he approved of the measures proposed during his absence by General Milne and that these were to be carried out, but that the supervising commissions were to be inter Allied with one British, one French and one Italian member each with equal powers, the senior officer in each commission to direct the working of the commission. General Franchet d'Espercy detailed by name in this letter the French officers who were to represent France on these commissions. He stated that the 122nd French Division was to be the French representative.

3. Simultaneously General Franchet d'Espercy wrote to General Milne stating that he had received letter (1) and that he was much surprised that General Milne had thought fit to take action without his orders and without even asking his approval, also reminding him that under decision No. 6 of the Conference of London, dated the 3rd December 1918, the troops of Germany in Turkey in Europe remained under the German command.

4. General Milne replied to letter (2) and (3), informing General Franchet d'Espercy that in the matter of the occupation of Constantinople he had received direct from the British Government his instructions to carry out orders from the British High Commissioner. He had informed General Franchet d'Espercy as representative of the decision taken at the earliest possible moment, and had notified General Franchet d'Espercy as soon as he received orders from the British High Commissioner.

As the command of the Allied troops in Constantinople was a matter which could not agree to General Franchet d'Espercy, he stated that he was governing the city.

March 16

General Franchet d'Espercy informed me at an interview that he was nonplussed at General Milne's refusal to agree as regards the commissions without reference to His Majesty's Government, for all arrangements had hitherto been inter Allied.

6. During the day General Franchet d'Espercy was informed, in writing, that the occupation had been carried out, and thereafter verbal and written situation reports were rendered to him periodically.

7. At an interview in the evening I informed General Franchet d'Espercy, in the presence of General Bouché, that General Milne had decided to start inter Allied telegraph control at once, without prejudice to future decisions and conditions. General Franchet d'Espercy asked why General Milne had changed his mind regarding forming commissions since the previous evening. I replied that General Milne had not said that he could not agree to the formation of inter Allied commissions, but only to the conditions, and that General Milne wished him to be quite clear on this point.

March 17

8. I interviewed Colonel Bouché at 9 a.m. He stated that he had not yet received the letter which I referred to the previous afternoon, to the effect that General Milne was starting the inter Allied telegraph control at once, he added "The General Officer Commanding-in-chief will not let Captain Bergeret join until he receives an answer from his Government." The matter was now one which Government only could deal with. On the 15th M. De France, as representative of the High Commis-

ers had informed General Franchet d'Espercy, as the General Officer Commanding-in-chief Allied armies in the Orient, that the occupation was to be carried out. The latter had immediately written to General Milne saying that he wished that there should be inter Allied control and certain commissions found accordingly. General Milne had replied that he could not carry this out. I then repeated to Colonel Bouché my explanation made the night before in his presence.

He queried my explanation, saying, "If General Milne wished to disagree with me only, why didn't he put it clearly in his letter?" I replied that I had explained as to a possible misunderstanding, and having expressed this to General Milne had been instructed by the latter to make the point quite clear. I went up to the point that although General Franchet d'Espercy had been away several days, it was not until the last minute that the question of inter Allied commissions was raised. Colonel Bouché replied that no commissions had been mentioned until General Cot brought his orders on the 15th, when General Franchet d'Espercy seeing that no mention was made of any Allies, immediately wrote his letter (vide 2).

9. A letter was written to General Franchet d'Espercy informing him that an inter Allied telegraph commission was to be started immediately, and asking that his representative should attend.

10. A reply was received to (9) misquoting General Milne's letter of the 15th March and saying that until General Franchet d'Espercy received instructions from his Government he could not detail an officer for this commission. In the meantime Colonel Bouché had originally joined the commission and worked with it.

March 18

11. I informed Colonel Bouché that an intimation had been received that His Majesty's Government were agreeable to the inauguration of Allied commissions on the understanding that these would remain under General Milne's control, and that in case the British member would be president and that subject to these conditions General Milne would be only too pleased to meet General Franchet d'Espercy.

Colonel Bouché took note of this and emphasised that there was no difficulty between the High Commanders, it was entirely between the Governments, and the liability lay on the Supreme Council.

March 19

12. Confirmation of (11) was sent to General Franchet d'Espercy the misquoting mentioned in (10) above being pointed out.

13. Colonel Bouché during an interview at 5 a.m. stated that he had informed General Franchet d'Espercy of (11) and that the reply was that, until the latter had received an answer from his Government, he was not going to take any steps.

March 21

14. A letter was written to General Franchet d'Espercy stating that he was submitted to General Milne.

15. General Franchet d'Espercy was informed that the naval and military precautions in Constantinople had been relaxed in view of the situation continuing to be quiet.

March 22

16. A reply was received to (12), stating that it was with full knowledge that General Franchet d'Espercy had misquoted, asserting that the command in Turkey in Europe was in British hands. Lieutenant General Sir H. Wilson—and quoting instance of latter's action as Commander of Allied corps in Turkey in Europe in support.

March 24

17. General Franchet d'Espercy was informed that General Milne, in accordance with instructions received from His Britannic Majesty's Government, took no responsibility for dealing with events in Thrace, and regarded it as entirely the sphere of the General Officer Commanding-in-chief, Allied armies in the Orient.



Enclosure 4 in No. 200

## APPENDIX "D"

*Interviews with General Franchet d'Esperey on March 16, 1920*

I HAVE had two interviews to-day with General Franchet d'Esperey to report to him from General Milne regarding the situation.

2. He told me this afternoon that he was nonplussed at that part of General Milne's letter which I handed to him last night, which stated that General Milne did not agree to his proposals as regards the various commissions without referring to His Majesty's Government, as up to now all arrangements here have been made in the name of the Allied Governments. He was certain that the orders had been badly drawn up in London and that, as the Supreme Council was now sitting in London, the matter would soon be cleared up. He pointed out the importance of inter-Allied telegraph control in view of the situation in Cilicia and Mesopotamia, saying that an English officer could not know the degree of importance of Turkish telegrams going to Cilicia nor a French officer of those going to Mesopotamia. He said he had telegraphed last night to his Government.

At this evening's interview I told him that General Milne had asked me to start the inter-Allied telegraph control at once, without prepossession, pending instructions from London as to conditions. He said: "Why did General Milne say last night he couldn't agree and to-day he does?" As I was not sure that the exact sense of General Milne's letter had been correctly given to him in the translation made by his staff, I pointed out that General Milne had not said he could not agree to the formation of the inter-Allied commissions, but only to the conditions, and that General Milne wished him to be quite clear on this point. He then asked why, if Admiral de Robeck had agreed to the commission at the Ministry of Marine concerning work, General Milne would not start the commission at the War Ministry.

As a result of interviews, I give, as personal opinion, that the line General Franchet d'Esperey has taken with his Government is that General Milne has said that as command is in British hands he cannot, without instructions, agree to the formation of inter-Allied commissions, instead of the correct interpretation that he cannot agree to the conditions governing the commissions.

Colonel Boucher told me to-day that, from information at his disposal, he had only foretold attack on Marash, and that from information he now had, he was convinced that British would be attacked in Mesopotamia in about a week. He also said that, if the peace terms turned out to be as he expected, he was certain the Turks would not submit to them.

Enclosure 5 in No. 200

## APPENDIX "D"

*Summary of Interview with Colonel Boucher at 9 a.m., March 17, 1920*

COLONEL BOUCHEZ stated that he had not yet received the letter which I had handed to him about yesterday afternoon, to the effect that General Milne was not in favour of inter-Allied telegraphic control at once. He said: "The 'Général en Chef' of the Allied Armies in the Orient is not in favour of the measure." The matter was now one which Governments only could deal with. The High Commissioners had decided on the occupation taking place on the 16th on the 15th of March, as the representative of the High Commissioners, informed General Franchet d'Esperey, as Commander in Chief of the Allied Armies in the Orient, that the measure was to be carried out. General Franchet d'Esperey at once wrote a letter to General Milne in which he stated that, whilst approving of all the measures proposed, he was not in favour of the measure. He pointed out that the inter-Allied control and certain commissions formed accordingly. He pointed out that this was not a proposal, it was "directions," in other words "orders." General Milne had replied to the effect that he could not carry this out. I then repeated to Colonel Boucher what I had said to General Franchet d'Esperey in his

presence the night before, that General Milne wished it to be absolutely clear that there was no question of declining the principle of inter-Allied commissions, but that, pending instructions from his Government, he was unable to agree to the conditions governing those commissions, in other words, all having equal powers, with the senior of each commission being given the task of supervising the organisation and working of each commission. He queried my explanation, and said: "If General Milne intended to disagree with the conditions only, why didn't he put it clearly in his letter?"

I said that in my first interview with General Franchet d'Esperey and with him, I had got the idea that the letter had not been correctly understood so far as this paragraph was concerned. Later in the day General Milne had replied accordingly, and I had been instructed to make it absolutely clear that General Milne disagreed concerning conditions only, and at my second interview with General Franchet d'Esperey, I had, in his presence, pointed this out, and that I wished again to emphasise this point. He said that he was quite clear on it, that the matter had now gone so far that it could only be settled by the High Commissioners and the Governments. Colonel Boucher further pointed out that General Franchet d'Esperey did not in any way interfere with details—he had only given general directions—and drew my attention to the analogous position of General Paraskevopoulos and General Milne, stating that General Milne gave general directions to General Paraskevopoulos at Smyrna, but didn't interfere with details. He also again emphasised the importance of inter-Allied control of the telegrams in order to supervise the telegrams proceeding to Anatolia—he was sure that the High Commissioners would be able to do this. He said that the High Commissioners were necessary for the Allies jointly to know the contents of telegrams emanating from Constantinople.

Indirectly, I led up to the point that, although General Franchet d'Esperey had been back several days, it was not until the last minute that the question of inter-Allied commissions was raised. He gave, as his reason for this, that he had been said about it at the conference of the 10th March on board H.M.S. "Albatross" and consequently it was not until the morning of the 15th when General Franchet d'Esperey received his orders, which showed that General Shuttleworth was to be in command of the Ministry, &c., and that no mention was made of any Allied High Commissioner. General Franchet d'Esperey wrote the letter containing his directions to General Milne.

E3963 158]

No. 201.

*Lord Curzon to Mr. Wardrop (Telex)*

No. 183)

(Telegraphic) D.

Foreign Office, April 28, 1921

IN supplement to negotiations which you have been so successfully conducting at Tiflis between the three Caucasian States with regard to so important of their future frontiers, a patient and prolonged effort was made at San Remo to induce the representatives there to come to a similar agreement concerning the future of Batoum. The plan was that, upon withdrawal of Allied troops, Batoum should be handed over to the Georgians, subject to guarantees for its future use as a free port for the three States, and railway access to it being secured for Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Armenia showed a most conciliatory spirit. Draft agreement was drawn up and provisionally signed, but was afterwards repudiated by Georgia, who were unwilling to a degree. Presumably they are counting upon getting Batoum subject to no engagement or condition, and thus enabling their neighbours at leisure. I let them know that unless they terms in, interest in the fortunes of Georgia would be greatly diminished. I did find small incentive to hasten myself further for their protection. But of this threat, they refused to sign, and negotiations fell through at last. You should

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 29.)

My Lord,

Aden, April 15, 1920

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter of the 15th April, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Constantinople.

I have, &c

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 202

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Constantinople.

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, April 15, 1920

THE following is a summary of the news reported since the despatch of the last dated the 7th April, 1920.

#### Idris

Nothing of particular interest has been reported from Hadramaut this week. The split among Qahra sheikhs referred to in the last letter appears to have been each one of them trying to assume the governorship of Uqal after its capture by the Idrisi troops. The dispute has, however, been settled by the Idrisi commander at Bayl. There also appears to have been a quarrel between Sheikh Abu Hadi and Ismail Baghawi over the division of sheep captured. This, too, has been settled. A Qahra akda, who recently visited Hadramaut, gave the figures of Zaidi losses from 400 to 500, against the Idrisi losses of 12 killed and 10 wounded. It is not possible to ascertain the exact figures of the Zaidi losses, and though they seem to have been considerably greater than those of the Qahra Idrisi particularly at Jabel Bura, the figures given by the akda are obviously much exaggerated.

It appears that after the capture of Uqal the people of Madwal and Taraf went with allegiance to the Idrisi troops, who then entered Wadi B.

Wadi B is still in the hands of the Idrisi troops. The state of siege is incomplete indeed, as the Idrisi party appears to have ample provisions.

It is reported to be taking place in this neighbourhood. Captain Gordon

is, beyond the loss of a strategic point by the Idrisi, and a setback to his possible

The fall of Bayl, however, in his opinion, would be of importance, as it would mean the suggestion of the Qahra. In such an eventuality, he does not think it likely that the Idrisi will advance into the plains, but that the Akhwa, who are after all half-hearted allies of the Idrisi, would probably desert him, and out of fear assume an unfriendly attitude towards us at Hadramaut.

In the event of the Idrisi extension into the Tihama, it will be interesting to see if

the three akdas were said to have entered into an agreement to accept and obey the orders of his commandant who has been a certain reversion of feeling owing to rumours of

Syed Mustafa, who is expected here shortly, is not of his coming to Aden, but I understand from Captain Gordon, who recently paid a visit to Meke, that the real object is to ask for pecuniary assistance, as the Idrisi finds it difficult to carry on the local war without getting more revenue from the Tihama.

#### Yemen (Upper and Lower)

Rumours of Zaidi activity in the direction of Mafala are again current.

The Akhwa Sultan has received news from some sheikhs in the Yemen of the intention of the Zaidi commander at Taiz, Ibn'l Wazir, to occupy the Maktari then to attack the Subahis within our protectorate with the ultimate object of taking. It is said that Ibn'l Wazir will be assisted by the Hakim of

The Akhwa Sultan has also received a letter from the Maktari sheikh, in which the Maktari people are exhorted to be obedient and

submissive to the Imam's representative in their country, and are warned of severe punishment for failure.

The Maktari sheikh asks for assistance to fight against the Imam.

The Assistant Resident, Perim, reports a movement of Idrisi troops towards Moza and Sheikh Saad. The object seems to be to remove Turkish guns lying at these places. Sheikh Nasir of Sheikh Saad, who was summoned to Taiz, refused to go and has come to Perim to ask for our assistance. He has collected his men at Sheikh Saad.

#### Aden Protectorate

There has been no change in the situation. The number of the Zaidi at Juhla in the last letter was much exaggerated. The number does not appear to be more than 100 at Juhla. According to Abdul Hamid, the brother of the Amir of D., there are about 350 Zaidi in all distributed among various places in the Aden country including Juhla.

The Akhwa Sultan has sent his wazir with a letter he has received from one of the Imam's commanders, Syed M. Hamed bin Ali, in which the wazir says he has heard that at Aden has sent him letters asking the Akhwa to send an expedition to the schools which, he says, we have lately opened. He warns the Akhwa against sending his children to these schools, and listening to the Kadi of Bohan and the Akhwa wazir, who misled him by advising him to befriend us and by saying that the Yemen is now under the Christian. The wazir says that the Yemen is still under the Turks, and that they will shortly return with tremendous forces and will severely punish those who are treacherous to the Islamic faith. The wazir promises to

shortly or send an emissary to explain matters personally. He

will shortly discontinue stipends and presents.

The reference to schools is regarding a proposal to open a chiefs' college at Aden, which has not materialised.

Upper Yafa reports the arrival of 150 Zaidi. Of these

of the Imam. They have been sent as bakura for newly occupied places. It is said, will go to Tarim as hakim in the Kattari

The correspondent sends a letter he has received from the Imam's hakim at Dala which he has been asked to make submission to the Imam. Similar letters are sent to other Yafa sheikhs and sultans. The correspondent says that the Yafa chiefs held a meeting and decided to send the following reply:—

"Know, O Zaidi, that we are believers in God and His prophet. We carry out His rightful orders and act accordingly. We say with every harshness that the Imam will never send you to do what is wrong and prohibit what is right, and to rob people. You must understand that we cannot tolerate such a thing, and we are to fight you. You have occupied the border of Yafa, but note that it will have

Yours, &c

J. M. STEWART

Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 30.)

513

graphical R

Constantinople, April 29, 1920

MILITARY situation up to 27th instant, as stated by Grand Vizier in conversation with me, was as follows:—

1. Loyal Government and volunteers had obtained control over a considerable area of country eastward of Gulf of Issik. Following places were occupied by them: Duxye, Bolu, Gereda, Zafarabolu, Beybazar Modurlu. Government troops were moving on Nati han.

2. Government wishes to extend its hold southwards along Anatolian Railway. It had not advanced in this direction further than Geve.

Telegraphic communications have been restored between Constantinople and Grand Vizier to-day, 29th, appears to think that Government's control in Thrace was in process of being satisfactorily re-established.



Commander Luke to Earl Cuzzum.—(Received April 30.)

Tides and Barograph, April 29, 1920

[illegible]

It is reported that Russian Soviet Government has been unable to secure terms from Bolsheviks, which so far seems questionable.

of British in Baku. Georgian representatives there unimpressed learn on reliable unofficial authority that Tchitcherine has asked Georgia to respect, provided Georgia desires it, which he engages to respect, provided Georgia desires it.

Georgian Government maintain intention of remaining neutral, but internal position at Tiflis showing signs of uncertainty.

(Constantinople, No. 138; Tehran, No. 72; Conbat, Batoum, No. 69.)

No. 205

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).

Foreign Office, April 30, 1929

WITH reference to my telegram No. 1721 of the 3rd November, 1919, relative to the exercise by the Turks of jurisdiction over the subjects of Allied belligerent States during the period of the armistice, I have to forward herewith, for your information, a copy of a note which I have received from the Turkish Government, in which it is stated that capitulatory rights should, without further delay, be conferred upon the subjects of the Allied Governments.

2. I have replied that to raise this question at the San Remo Conference, as suggested, would serve no useful purpose. Meanwhile, I should be glad if you would (until what in practice the position of Serb-Croat-Slovene nationals in

CURZON OF KEDLESTON

No. 200.

Let Carson to M. Goodrich

Sir, *Foreign Office, April 30, 1920.*  
I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the note of the 15th instant, in which you make the suggestion that capitulatory privileges should, without further delay, be conferred upon subjects of the Serb-Croat-Slovene State.

The view of His Majesty's Government, as you are no doubt aware, is that the subjects of States which in the war were allied belligerents should not be amenable to Turkish jurisdiction during the period of the armistice, and His Majesty's High Commissioner at Constantinople has been authorised to act on these lines in conjunction with his colleagues.

It does not appear that any useful purpose would be served by referring to the Conference now assembled to consider the Treaty of Peace with Turkey the question of the status of Serb-Croat-Slovene nationals in Turkey during the period of the armistice.

\* See No 172

I was, however, of the considerations advanced in your note under reply as to the ~~fact~~ under which Serb-Croat-Slovene nationals in Turkey find themselves. His Majesty's High Commissioner at Constantinople has been instructed to report further upon this matter.

I have, &  
CURSON OF REDLESTON

No. 207

*From Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 1.)*

Constantinople, April 30, 1920.  
 (24th April). He said that he could not go himself  
 to the Delegation, owing to situation here. He therefore wished  
 now to gravity of situation as result from attempt to impose draft  
 such as published statements regarding proceedings of Conference led public to

Grand Vizier stated that since coming into power he had had very near view of domestic situation in Turkey. There was unanimous everywhere. He had just reputation from Adrianople, who had declared that if Greek invasion of Thrace were permitted, even women and children would be armed to resist it.

Jaffar Tayar has used similar language, and declared that he could count upon Algerian support.

I told Grand Vizier that I reached the situation, the Government would have been decided on with full knowledge of position of Turkey from Constantinople, and that, while I would report what he said to your Lordship, I could myself make no reply or comment.

Grand Vizier mentioned, in the course of this conversation, that treaty would probably be considered in the first instance by Council of Imperial Family, as being directly concerned, and then by Grand Council of Notables. I gather that [redacted] is opposed to signature, is again prosecuting Government. From what passed with Grand Vizier, and remarks dropped by Tewfik Pasha, also in private conversation of [redacted] date, it looks as if Government rather foresees possibility of having to substitute Grand Council on above lines for regular [redacted]

No. 208.

Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 2.)

(No. 1)  
(Telegraphic) R. Constantinople, May 1, 1900  
REFERENCE my telegram No. 293 of 27th March, follow. The  
undesirables have been arrested and sent to Malia: Aliouf Emin Bey and Retel  
etc.

11

[illegible]

received by Georgian Government, Baku. Town was  
shaken violently at 2 a.m. this morning, following upon their capture  
of the islands and bombardment of Baku from the sea.

"According to Government understood to have handed over administration to Soviet Commissar Narimanov, no resistance being offered. Georgian Government

determined to take necessary measures for de-  
cypherable instance [group undecypherable] in

y villages of Batoum provinces, in order to give their army liberty of action as far  
as possible to counter Bolshevik threats in the west.

Have urged Georgian Government to co-operate with Armenia for mutual  
defence. Am warning political officer at Erivan to hold himself ready to leave if  
Armenian situation suggests that railway, telegraphic and telephonic  
with Baku is likely to be interrupted [group undecypherable] request  
endeavour to obtain news of British personnel, Baku, by wireless from his represent-  
ative, also to ascertain if naval party was able to

E 4108.757 44]

No. 210

Signal Prison to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 3.)

My Lord

I HAVE the honour to inform you that Senator Sculgi, to whom I communicated  
the contents of your Lordship's note, has now accepted me that the Swiss  
Government has addressed to the Italian Government a request, similar to that  
made to your Lordship by the Swiss Minister in London, respecting the participation  
of Swiss representatives in the new council of the Ottoman Debt.

In communicating the foregoing, Senator Sculgi expressed the opinion that the  
decisions of the Governments concerned respecting the reply to be returned to the  
above request should be arrived at by the Conference now assembled at San Remo.

I have &c

G. PREZIOSI

E 3836.1 58]

No. 211.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 406.)

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, May 6, 1920.

CABINET considered yesterday question of continued occupation of Batoum.  
While unwilling to run risk of military disaster, and while repeating to General Officer  
Commanding authorisation to withdraw British troops in event of any such disaster  
pending, they were so much impressed by arguments contained in your tele-  
gram by extreme political importance of holding the town, at any rate for the present, that  
they have called upon French and Italian Governments immediately to fulfil their  
promises repeated at San Remo to despatch a battalion each to Batoum. It was felt  
that the distance of the enemy forces, the possibility of substantial resistance by the  
Georgians, the unlikelihood that the Soviet Government would wish to come into direct  
collision with British troops while they are hoping for the opening of commerce  
negotiations with Great Britain, and the unfavourable progress of their arms elsewhere,  
might tend to postpone and possibly altogether to avert an attack upon Batoum. In  
any case the next few weeks should show what will happen, and His Majesty's  
Government concur with the view that every effort should be made to save the  
situation in the Caucasus, the collapse of which might have very far-reaching

[E 4320.1 58]

No. 212

Earl Curzon to Sir G. Buchanan (Rome).

(No. 181.)

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, May 6, 1920.

CABINET decided yesterday that, while authorising General Officer Commanding  
in last resort to withdraw British troops from Batoum in order to escape contingencies  
of real disaster, it is most desirable on political grounds to retain hold of the town,  
which, in view of the distance of the enemy and the likelihood of resistance by the  
Georgians, could not, with adequate forces, be found difficult. The British troops in

British and one Indian battalion. In these circumstances His  
Majesty's Government will be glad if the Italian Government will at once carry out  
the promise given in London and repeated at San Remo to despatch a  
battalion to Batoum. Orders for its despatch were suspended at San Remo  
reply received from Admiral de Robeck. Latter has reported that there is no  
immediate danger in situation at Batoum, but that with delay would be a grave matter  
of all nationalities would expect to be a

His Majesty's Government agree with this estimate of the position, and think that  
every effort should be made to save a situation of so much importance to the Allies

E 4407.3 44]

No. 213

Hear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 8.)

(No. 575.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, April 22, 1920.

I PROPOSE in the present despatch to take up the connected narrative of  
the main developments of the political situation in Turkey from the point to which  
I dealt with in my despatch No. 226 of the 13th February.

The despatch just quoted recorded the importation into Ali Riza Pasha's Cabinet  
of elements which gave the Central Government a more definitely Nationalist character  
than it had had before, without making it so openly Nationalist as to involve a break  
with the Allied representatives here. Ali Riza Pasha himself did not long survive this  
reconstruction. He resigned suddenly on the 3rd March for reasons which have never  
been fully disclosed. The last news from Cilicia was at that moment becoming  
generally known in Constantinople, and there were strong rumours of an important  
Greek advance in the Smyrna area. These may have been factors in decision  
of Ali Riza Pasha to retire, but his resignation was probably fundamentally due to  
the difficulty of serving two masters, namely, Mustafa Kemal and the Allied High  
Commissioners, whose demands for satisfaction in connection with specific incidents  
tended ever to multiply.

3. Ali Riza Pasha himself, while refraining from any pronouncement as to the  
immediate reasons for his retirement, made no secret in private conversation of the  
embarrassments in which the uncompromising attitude of the Nationalist leaders  
had involved him. They had, he said, kept him in office only because it suited them  
so rather than risk the advent to power of an anti-Nationalist Cabinet, but they had  
failed to make his task easy. Certain Nationalist journalists on the other hand  
had been disappointed to find a Pasha to the public as a Minister who had resigned because  
he found himself in a situation in which no honour to him would be forthcoming. The  
situation, their leaders were left to infer, was created by the Allies.

4. The crisis lasted some days. Its logical outcome would have been the  
constitution of a definitely Nationalist Cabinet. Logic, however, has little to do with  
the complicated interplay of Turkish domestic politics, and amusement rather than  
surprise greeted the accession to power on the 8th March of a Government practically  
identical with its predecessor, except that Salih Pasha replaced Ali Riza Pasha as C.

5. In the improbable event of Salih Pasha's name being handed down to posterity,  
it will be as that of one of the most luckless Grand Viziers in Turkish history. He is a  
man of no strength of character or ability, and he had the misfortune to take office at  
a moment when compromise was no longer possible. The only singular feature of his

occupation of Constantinople on the 16th March. That he did so was due to the  
unwillingness of the Nationalist leaders to take up the challenge of the Allies in  
Constantinople before they had had time to rally their supporters in the interior, and  
to the unwillingness of the High Commissioners to take any action expressly aimed at  
compensating Salih Pasha's fall. The Allied High Commissioners felt that the logic of  
the situation required that Salih Pasha must be succeeded either  
by a declared anti-Nationalist. The first alternative  
presented no advantages. The second presented the disadvantage that it was certain  
to produce a rupture between Constantinople and the provinces, and any advantages it  
might otherwise have offered seemed likely to be nullified by the impossibility of

[4370]

2 X



holding out to any Government, however disposed to work with the Allies, the prospect of a peace tolerable in the eyes of even moderate Turks.

6. Nevertheless, it was the Allied High Commissioners who dealt Salih Pasha the coup de grace. The intimation of the occupation of Constantinople had been accompanied by a demand for the disavowal of Mustafa Kemal and the other Nationalist leaders. I need not recapitulate here the history of the "disavowal incident," which was fully given in my telegrams Nos. 309 and 323 of the 3rd March and the 1st April, and in despatch No. 463 of the 3rd April. It is enough to say that the Government were ready to go far in the direction of a paper disavowal but were not prepared to omit a phrase implying that the national movement was in principle justified by the Greek occupation of Smyrna, and that only its excesses were condemned.

7. During the period between the occupation of Constantinople and the resignation, the political opponents of the Nationalists had been struggling among every artifice to drive the Grand Viceroy from power, and to bring Damad Ferid Pasha back. These efforts were defeated by the tenacity of Salih Pasha, the hesitancy of the Sultan, the difficulty of arranging on what basis a Ferid Cabinet could be constructed, and perhaps by some reluctance on the part of Ferid Pasha to assume office without a fair prospect of being able to obtain a mitigation of the terms. On this last point he was left no ground for illusion, for at the 1st April a member of my staff impressed on him most strongly that the Government could be expected to alter the general lines of the peace negotiations, and that he could have no part in any developments which might make the Nationalist complete the only other statesman of the day.

8. The expected event of Salih Pasha's fall, had been widely warned, though the French displayed some anxiety not to discourage him completely.

9. The fall of Salih Pasha owing to causes independent of the Nationalist struggle against him ended any hesitation which Ferid Pasha might have shown in assuming office on the 5th April, but he inherited behind him a chaotic Ministry of the Interior, and he inherited a political party, most of them being men distinguished in some previous known outside life. It was, in fact, another of those politically neutral Cabinets which had been formed in all conservative elements here but it came in with a definite programme of combatting the national movement in every possible means.

10. The means available were of three kinds, viz., moral influence, physical force, and the purging of public offices in Constantinople, with other measures of a similar kind, such as the dissolution of Parliament. All three have been tried concurrently from the 5th April up to the date of writing.

11. Moral influence was brought to bear by the vigorous condemnation of the national movement in the Imperial Decree appointing Ferid Pasha, the Government's official position.

12. Physical force was brought to bear by the issue of orders to each of the rank and file as should submit within a week. I sent home the text of the Imperial Decree, No. 494 of the 9th April and No. 542 of the 17th April. Especial importance attaches to the latter, owing to the reverence in which such pronouncements are held by the bulk of the Turkish people.

13. The difficulty of circulating them in the interior and by the possibility of counteracting by rival pronouncements, including fetras of other religious leaders representing the Sultan-Caliph as being in distress and incapable of lawful functions.

14. Something has also been attempted in the way of persuading individual adherents of the movement of the interior to reconsider their attitude.

15. It is too soon to estimate the effect produced by the methods described in the preceding paragraphs. Reports reach Constantinople of the signs of loyalty from Hafsa and Trebizond. One concrete result has been the arrival in Constantinople on the 21st April of Colonel Djaffer Tayyar Bey, of Adrianople, the author of the memorandum reported in my telegram No. 245 of the 17th March. It looks as if this officer had not found the support he hoped for either in Thrace itself or outside, and had elected to retire, with the credit of having submitted to the Sultan in a position which did not answer his expectations. These signs of weakening on the part of the national movement must not be exaggerated. Djaffer Tayyar may live to fight another day, and in any case the broad fact remains that the first effect of Ferid Pasha's advent to power

was what the High Commissioners had anticipated. The provinces have ceased to be in contact with Constantinople. The regular troops in the interior are generally all, so far as is known, committed to the national movement. The Nationalist leaders control the situation in Anatolia, and they still, unless Dufferin is proved wrong, control the situation in the Balkans.

16. I turn to Damad Ferid Pasha's second means of action, namely, physical force. He cannot count on regular troops anywhere outside Constantinople. There had, however, been growing up during the earlier part of the period under review an anti-Nationalist movement in the region between Panderma and Bulkeser under the leadership of one Ahmed Anzavur, a Circassian, who had previously had some success against the Nationalists in the same area, but who had apparently collapsed.

17. He had support from anti-Nationalist elements in Constantinople, in the person of a certain Pasha, who had been brought back to power, his movement had revived sufficiently to be the one definite force opposed to the Nationalists.

18. He had also developed any anti-Nationalist forces elsewhere. Anzavur was induced by being made a Pasha and an officer of the Central Government, and in the course he occupied Panderma. Unfortunately his success has been short-lived.

19. The Nationalists have assembled such forces against him as to make it doubtful whether he can recover himself. This setback deprives the Government of any immediate hope of restoring the Nationalist movement, and diminishes the probability of its success.

20. Ferid Pasha has caused of fostering anti-Nationalist movements in more remote areas, where he had counted on them to some extent, such as the north coast of Asia Minor, the Boskirk region south of Konia, and the Kurdish frontier, are obviously very pronounced.

21. The Government have in the meanwhile proceeded with their third means of action, viz., the purging of public offices. Four of the high officials in the Sultan's Palace, who were supposed to exercise an evil influence, have been removed.

22. Considerable changes of personnel have been effected in the various departments, including the appointment of new persons to the offices of State in the most important. In order to establish more completely the Government's authority.

23. It is said to be one of the main causes of that leader's defeat. Ferid Pasha himself took charge as Acting Minister on the 21st April.

24. On the 12th April Ferid Pasha dissolved Parliament, which had already gone on strike as reported in my despatch No. 408 of the 26th March, and thus freed himself of a Chamber consisting almost entirely of nominees of the Nationalist leaders.

25. Such has been the evolution of the present situation in Turkey. It is now to consider that situation in relation to the Allies.

26. The occupation of Constantinople marked the culmination of a series of developments, which, as I have pointed in earlier despatches, rendered a conflict

might have been deferred until the announcement of the Turkish peace terms, had it not been for various incidents of which the most notable were the troubles in Cilicia.

27. These incidents precipitated strong action on the part of the Allies, designed to bring them their own position where it could alone be made really strong, and to serve as a warning to the Nationalists. The warning was not wanted, but it naturally did not suffice to kill the national movement. The inevitable result seemed to be a rupture between Constantinople, where the Allies were strong, and Anatolia, where the national movement was, if not absolutely strong, at least the only

28. No Government could stand in Constantinople in open opposition to the Allies, and the occupation no Government could long go on hesitating. This brought Damad Ferid Pasha back to power, and brought him back in conditions which made no other course possible for the Allies than to back him in his efforts to repress the national movement. This policy is now being pursued on the lines indicated in my telegram No. 372 of the 11th April. It would be useless, however, to attempt to disguise the fact that Ferid Pasha's first endeavour was to suppress the national movement.

a rebuff as to make the chances of ultimate success doubtful in the interior, or the still more important fact that he lacks the one thing which would really justify his anti-Nationalist policy, namely, the ability to hold out to his countrymen any hope of a peace which would leave Turkey in possession of Smyrna, Thrace, and a good part of the eastern provinces of Asia Minor.

30. I crave your Lordship's pardon if I appear to dwell too often and too constantly on the probable effects of a drastic peace. The success of Ferid Pasha's efforts so far to resist the national movement by native means makes it all the more certain that such a peace can only be imposed by armed force, and that that force must be supplied and wielded by the Allies. The present situation in Cilicia affords proof of how unwise and how wickedly unjust to the Christians of Asia Minor it would be to engage in the enterprise without adequate force or without a settled determination to see the thing through to a finish. Past experience in the Smyrna area shows on the other hand how dangerous it would be to entrust the task of imposing the Peace terms to the Greek army. I only hope that the Powers of Western Europe, having apparently decided irrevocably to impose this drastic peace, will provide for its execution in such a manner as to avoid the risk of danger to the lives of non-combatants of every creed and nationality, and any reparation clauses that the treaty itself may contain.

I have, &c.

RICHARD WEBB

Acting High Commissioner

E 4435 47 44]

No. 214

The Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 10.)

No. 131

My Lord,

Aden, April 21, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter dated the 21st April, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Ch.

I have, &c.

J. M. STEWART, Major-General.

Enclosure in No. 214.

The Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

My dear Field-Marshal,

Aden, April 21, 1920

THE following is a summary of the news reported since the despatch of my last

Aden

No reports have been received from Hodeida this week. A rumour is current here that the Idriis has occupied Zabed, and that fighting is in progress at Hais and other places in the neighbourhood.

Uda Protectorate

With reference to the alleged intention of the imam to advance on Lahel via the interior, reported in my last letter, the Arabian Sultan has been informed from the same source that the scheme has been postponed by order of the

The imam has also sent orders to his army at Lahel to suspend further operations in that quarter. The imam is, however, reported to have sent 150 men en route to Dubyat with the object of confiscating the valuable effects of the Dala people removed to Dubyat. Another report states that the imam sent a letter to the Mansab of Dubyat, Sayid Ali Badwan, demanding the tax is not paid. The mansab replied promising to pay the amount, and ask of the

his own prestige. The families of the Amir of Dala and of his brothers, who had been removed to Dubyat after the occupation of Dala by the Zeidis, are reported to have left for Talwa.

The imam is reported to have sent men to bring him information re the number of live-stock in the Amiri, Shairi and Hahin villages. The object is believed to be the imposition of a tax. The imam has imposed the following taxes on articles, &c., sold in the market—

1 dollar per camel

4 annas per goat or sheep

1 anna each from retailers

" " " seller and purchaser of 1 dollar worth of jewelry.

The imam's army is said to have received orders recalling him to Sana, but he has not complied with them.

The Yafas are said to have withdrawn from their border, as they have heard that the Zeidis have given up their intention to attack them.

The imam at Mawia lately sent two sheikhs to the Haushabi Sultan with a letter asking him to come to Mawia. The Sultan sent a verbal reply to the effect that he was under the British protection, and that he had nothing to do with the imam. The Haushabi hastened the departure of the sheikhs by stating that he was expecting the arrival of British troops at Muscat that very day.

I have, &c.

M. STEWART

E 4500.757 44]

No. 1

By Cambon to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 10.)

PAR une lettre en date du 16 avril dernier, sa Seigneurie le Comte Curzon de Winton a voulu faire part à l'Ambassadeur de France d'une démarche faite par lui en vue d'assurer la représentation de son pays au Conseil de la Dette ottomane.

Il a exprimé le désir de voir la manière de voir du Foreign Office et estime qu'il y a lieu de lui en faire part.

Ambassade de France, Londres,  
le 8 mai 1920.

E 4570 3537 44,

No. 214

Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 11)

(N. 165)

(regraphie)

Constantinople, May 11, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 406 of 5th May.

I took an opportunity afforded by visit to Grand Vizier on 10th May to speak in some indicated, but for reason given in last paragraph of this telegram I gave what I had formed simple conversation as expression of my own views.

I found Grand Vizier very depressed over peace prospects. He spoke as though there were now between two minds as to whether Turkish Government could assume responsibility for signing treaty along to Greece, Serbia and the whole of Thrace.

It could only be borne by Parliament.

He adverted to possibility of Nationalists in Europe proclaiming independence of Eastern and Western Thrace combined, and to declaration of Nationalists in Asia that they would never accept treaty of kind foreshadowed in recent disclosures, which declaration, he said, had been embodied in telegram from Ankara to Peace Conference.

Grand Vizier asked what might be expected to happen if Turkey did not sign peace. He said that if he knew this, it might help him to prepare way for acceptance.



and to spare his country from fresh disaster. I said I had no information as to intentions of Supreme Council, but that my own feeling was that, logically, armistice had only two possible ends, viz., peace or resumption of hostilities.

He asked whether draft treaty presented on 11th March would admit of attenuation or would be the last word of Supreme Council. I again expressed ignorance, but said I thought no attenuation would be looked for unless Turkish Delegation could produce new factor, and I could not personally conceive of existence of any factor which had not been fully considered by Council when drafting treaty.

He asked whether time allowed to Delegation for reply would admit of treaty to Sultan and other authorities here.

I said that precedents led me to think that sufficient time would be allowed for this purpose.

Early in conversation Grand Vizier renewed suggestion made by him on previous occasion that Turks could readily sign treaty if they had some assurance that Great Britain would secure revision in their favour eventually as they did after Treaty of San Stefano. I naturally said that I could not give him smallest assurance [group omitted] French and Italians did not hesitate by Turks. He quoted recent speech of M. Nitti, as follows:

"I could surely assent

I pointed out that draft treaty could alone be taken as reflecting

representing as it did joint production of prolonged deliberations of their

from M. Nitti's speech

I also felt some uncertainty as to whether your telegram under reference was intended as definite message to Turkish Government implying that His Majesty's Government expected and intended to play in this country after peace rôle different from paternal than that of other Allies. I should be grateful for any further guidance your Lordship could give me on this last point.

E4617/1728,44]

No. 217.

M. Cambon to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 12)

L'AMBASSADEUR de France a attiré à diverses reprises l'attention sur les conditions dans lesquelles fonctionnaient les commissions d'un comité accordé entre les Gouvernements alliés dans la ville de Constantinople.

M. Paul Cambon a fait remarquer que l'élément britannique dans ces organismes était une cause de malentendus auxquels nous avions intérêt à mettre un terme en conférant respectivement la présidence des trois commissions à trois officiers alliés: un Anglais, un Français et un Italien.

Au cours d'un entretien en date du 30 mars dernier, le Seigneurie le Comte Curzon of Kedleston avait répondu à l'Ambassadeur de France qu'il se renouvellerait immédiatement sur une situation qu'elle ignorait et qui devait être éclaircie. Le 10 avril, le Principal Secrétaire d'Etat pour les Affaires Etrangères déclarait à M. Paul Cambon qu'après avoir pris ses renseignements au War Office, il lui enverrait une réponse.

Au cours d'un récent séjour à Paris, M. Paul Cambon a appris que M. Millerand avait reçu à San-Remo l'assurance que le Gouvernement britannique avait télégraphié à Constantinople pour que la présidence des trois commissions fût confiée à trois officiers alliés.

M. Millerand vient de faire savoir à l'Ambassadeur de France à Londres que, sur la demande de Constantinople, les Commissions de la Mer, des Travaux Publics et des Communications fonctionnent toujours sous la présidence d'un officier français.

et la Commission de la Marine n'a pas de président. Les mesures prises en ce qui concerne les déplacements de troupes et de navires turcs, les envois d'armes, le tout sans que les commissions aient été en outre ou même souvent informées.

M. Millerand insiste donc pour que les présidences soient réparties conformément aux assurances reçues du Gouvernement de Sa Majesté, et qu'en outre le Commandement britannique permette le fonctionnement régulier des organismes interalliés établis à Constantinople.

M. Paul Cambon assure, &c.

Ambassade de France, Londres,  
le 11 mai 1920.

E 4645 56/44]

No. 212.

Sir A. Geddes to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 12)

(No. 336. Very Confidential)

(Telegraphic)

Washington, May 11, 1920.

I HEAR on what appears to be reliable authority that President and Secretary of State are extremely desirous of forcing United States to undertake mandate for Turkey and to participate, but this is less sure, in control of Dardanelles and Bosphorus. I am informed that they have asked ex-Ambassador Gerard to suggest means of effecting this without reference to Congress, and have confidentially approached Caldwell and other members of Republican Committee who are interested in Middle East to assist them in carrying out their plan over the heads and in teeth of opposition of both Senate and House of Representatives.

There is to be a meeting here in Washington in a few days' time provisionally fixed for 18th May, at which President and Colby will meet Gerard and certain Republicans to elaborate the scheme, if any is found to be feasible. I understand officials of State Department are being kept in entire ignorance of this project.

I send you this information, but President's course, if he pursues this line of approach, is so closely surrounded by difficulties, which appear to be insurmountable, that it would, in my opinion, be unwise to count upon his obtaining any results in external affairs. It may be that the whole movement is for internal political effect.

E4658

LA Délégation de Hedjaz a remis au Secrétaire d'Etat un rapport sur les conditions dans lesquelles fonctionnaient les commissions d'un comité accordé entre les Gouvernements alliés dans la ville de Constantinople. Une réponse à cette présentation inopportune paraît imminente.

En outre, malgré la promesse que le Haut-Commissaire de l'Empire Ottoman a faite à l'égard de l'Emir Faysal et des revendications des extrémistes, les troupes françaises ne sauront plus longtemps accepter que tous les principes d'occupation des territoires soient acceptés par les troupes françaises.

Les troupes françaises ne sauront plus longtemps accepter que tous les principes d'occupation des territoires soient acceptés par les troupes françaises. Les troupes françaises ne sauront plus longtemps accepter que tous les principes d'occupation des territoires soient acceptés par les troupes françaises.

De Beyrouth à Alexandrette, les pontons français s'échelonnent sur plus de 400 kilomètres et ne peuvent être attirés que par mer, faute de routes, pour les défendre contre les attaques dont ils sont victimes, il est nécessaire de disposer de la seule voie existante, celle du chemin de fer de Hama à Alep. C'est d'autant plus indispensable que nos troupes de Cilicie ne trouvent également harcelées par des bandes de bandits et de brigands qui leur font perdre l'approvisionnement et le ravitaillement de leurs troupes.

Il est donc nécessaire de pouvoir relier le chemin de fer des côtes libanaises à Nisibis à celui de Syrie. Cette nécessité s'impose non seulement à la France, mais aux Puissances, car, si nous ne pouvons laisser nos troupes et nos soldats, nous avons également la charge de défendre les minorités chrétiennes et de protéger contre les nationalistes turcs les frontières du Traité de Paix arrêtées par la Conférence de Paix.

La situation présente et la collusion des extrémistes turcs et arabes présentant aussi bien pour l'Angleterre que pour la France un danger évident; les négociations conservées jusqu'ici, les concessions successives qui ont été faites n'ont eu pour résultat que d'empêcher nos adversaires et de compromettre nos positions.

Ne pouvant laisser nos troupes en détail nos troupes d'occupation, le Gouvernement français, après avoir envoyé en Syrie les renforts dont il disposait, va offrir à l'Emir Faysal qu'il occupe provisoirement la ligne ferrée d'Hama à Alep, dont disposaient les troupes françaises d'occupation. Ceci ne change en rien les dispositions des troupes françaises, qui restent en place, et ne change en rien les dispositions des troupes françaises, qui restent en place, et ne change en rien les dispositions des troupes françaises, qui restent en place.

réunion du Conseil suprême à Paris pour réaliser un accord définitif. Le mandat à la France sur la Syrie lui fait d'ailleurs non seulement un droit, mais un devoir de maintenir l'ordre et la sécurité.

6. La décision de la Conférence à cet égard modifie d'ailleurs la position respective de la Grande-Bretagne et de la France en Syrie, en Palestine et en Mésopotamie. La même que la France n'interviendra en rien dans l'organisation administrative britannique en Palestine et en Mésopotamie, M. Millerand pense que les intérêts du Haut-Commissaire français en Syrie que doivent déterminer les rapports du Maréchal Allenby avec Damas. Cela implique également la question qui désormais n'incombe plus à l'Angleterre (subside d'ailleurs déjà suspendu depuis la fin de février, d'accord entre les deux Gouvernements, en raison de l'attitude qu'avait prise Faysal). Dans le même ordre d'idées, est-il exact que l'Émir Fayçal reçoit et continue à recevoir de l'administration militaire de la Palestine une partie du produit des douanes de Caïffa? Ce serait contraire à notre décision commune de cesser d'entretenir l'Émir dans la phase présente de sa politique.

7. Il serait désirable que les deux Gouvernements n'aient pas à s'adresser pour des questions de détail à l'Angleterre, qui a amené la situation. Le représentant du Roi du Hedjaz, des revendications pour la Syrie et le prince de la Syrie, placés sous le mandat français. Sinon, il présenterait, aussi bien pour l'Angleterre en Palestine et en Mésopotamie que pour la France en Syrie, une situation très délicate. Un droit accordé dans la politique internationale.

La situation est d'un intérêt incontestable. La communication séparée et l'attitude résumant à Faysal en l'invitant à venir à Paris a encouragé sa réputation en lui laissant l'espérance de s'appuyer successivement sur l'Angleterre et la France pour ses ambitions opposées à l'une et à l'autre Puissance.

8. En me priant de me entretenir en toute franchise avec Lord Curzon de cette situation, M. Millerand me communique :

(1) La note de la Délégation du Hedjaz :

intéressé spécialement comme le Gouvernement français dans la question, adhère à cette réponse, elle serait soumise à la Conférence des Ambassadeurs.

Copie de ces deux documents est jointe à la présente note.

9. Quant à la notification au sujet de la ligne d'Homs à Alep, elle est si urgente et si indispensable que M. Millerand se propose de la faire, en toute hypothèse, sous le bref délai.

Ambassade de France, Londres,  
2 mai 1920.

#### Enclosure 1 in No. 212

#### Hedjaz Delegation to Supreme Council

LA Délégation du Hedjaz se permet de faire part au Conseil suprême du sentiment de San Remo au sujet des pays arabes détachés de la Turquie.

Tout en rendant hommage aux graves préoccupations qui ont inspiré cette décision les chefs d'État alliés, nous ne pouvons cependant nous empêcher de constater une certaine contradiction entre les principes que le supposé et les promesses de liberté et de "self-determination" solennellement proclamées.

M. le Président du Conseil des Ministres de la République française, à la séance du 28 avril de la Chambre des Députés, a fait la déclaration suivante : "la Grande-Bretagne," a-t-il dit, "a reçu un mandat sur la Mésopotamie et sur la Palestine, et la France sur la Syrie."

La Délégation du Hedjaz fait respectueusement observer que, pour l'attribution de la Syrie, le principe même de la consultation formellement stipulé par le Traité de Versailles du 28 juin a été écarté. La Délégation du Hedjaz n'a pas manqué de formuler en son temps ses réserves contre le principe même des mandats sur les pays arabes et depuis elle a eu l'occasion de maintes reprises d'attirer l'attention de la Conférence de la Paix

sur les deurs et les aspirations des populations en question, aspirations nettement exprimées au Congrès de Damas.

La Délégation du Hedjaz se permet en second lieu d'attirer l'attention du Conseil suprême sur ce fait que la décision de San Remo détache de la Syrie sa partie méridionale, la Palestine, ce qui est contre le gré et la volonté de sa population, que sa langue, sa race, de tradition et d'intérêts économiques ont de tout temps

été arabes. Sa Majesté le Roi du Hedjaz en déclarant la guerre à la Turquie et en ralliant les Arabes à la cause des Alliés ne visait rien moins que leur libération du joug étranger et la création d'un Gouvernement libre et indépendant qui leur permettrait de reprendre leur place dans le concert des nations civilisées. Conscients de leurs droits et de leurs devoirs, les Arabes n'ont pas hésité à prendre les armes contre leurs frères de religion pour la défense de la cause du droit et ont redouté à la fois la menace d'une guerre sainte que les Turcs et les Allemands ont voulu exploiter dans leur lutte contre l'Entente.

La décision de San Remo détruit cette espérance. Les éléments modérés de la jeune nation qui se sont efforcés et qui s'efforcent tous les jours de l'Orient vers une politique de collaboration sincère avec les Alliés se trouvent par là découragés et à l'impuissance. Le Gouvernement de Damas que les Alliés lui ont encore à reconnaître et qui cependant a témoigné de son entière bonne volonté pour maintenir l'ordre et la sécurité sur tout son territoire se trouve devant une tâche des plus difficiles.

La note de la Délégation du Hedjaz, présentée au Conseil suprême sur les considérations qu'elle vient d'exposer, ne peut s'empêcher de lui signaler la gravité de la situation. Elle estime de son devoir de protester contre la décision de la Conférence de San Remo, qui porte atteinte aux droits des populations et se trouve être en contradiction avec les principes qui ont déterminé la participation du Hedjaz à la guerre.

Paris, le 30 avril 1920.

#### Enclosure 2 in No. 212

#### Draft Reply to Hedjaz Delegation.

PAR note du 30 avril 1920, la Délégation du Hedjaz a marqué sa surprise de la décision de la Conférence de la Paix qui attribue à la Grande-Bretagne le mandat sur la Mésopotamie et la Palestine et à la France le mandat sur la Syrie.

La Délégation formule des réserves, estimant que cette décision ne tient pas compte des aspirations des populations et des principes qui ont déterminé la participation des Arabes à la guerre.

Elle ajoute que le Gouvernement de Damas, pratiquant une politique de collaboration sincère avec les Alliés et témoignant son entière bonne volonté pour maintenir l'ordre et la sécurité sur son territoire, se trouve déçu et placé dans une situation difficile.

La protestation de la Délégation du Hedjaz ne paraît à aucun point de vue

Il appartient sans contestation possible à la Conférence de la Paix, constituée par l'union des Puissances qui, au prix de sacrifices immenses, ont libéré les peuples opprimés, et en particulier les Arabes, de la domination de leurs oppresseurs, de régler les conditions de la paix et de définir le statut et les frontières des États nouveaux.

Les décisions antérieures des Puissances ont proclamé en même temps que leur ferme intention de reconnaître le droit des populations ainsi libérées à se gouverner à leur tour et de garder leur administration jusqu'au moment où elles seront capables de le faire.

La Délégation du Hedjaz, enregistre formellement cette règle, dont la bon sens et l'histoire démontrent par ailleurs le caractère impératif.

Le chef de la Délégation du Hedjaz, l'Émir Fayçal, a si bien reconnu le bien-fondé des populations arabes. Il ne saurait donc légitimement protester contre la décision de la Conférence de la Paix.



pour le bien des populations et la vie de leurs nationaux.

Paris, le 10 mai 1920

E 46/9 1331 44]

No. 220

Mr. Davis to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 13.)

My Lord

United States Embassy, London, May 12, 1920

I am glad to hear that the Government of the United States has been unofficially informed that the mandates for Mesopotamia and Palestine have been assigned to Great Britain, the Government regarding economic rights.

The Government of the United States desires to point out that during the peace conference that the future peace of the world required that, as a general principle, any nation territory which should be acquired pursuant to the Treaty of Peace with the Central Powers should be assigned to the victors on the basis of equal treatment.

It was on account of, and subject to, this understanding that the United States felt itself able and willing to agree that the acquisition of certain enemy territory by the victorious Powers would be consistent with the best interests of the world. The representatives of the principal Allied Powers, in the discussion of the mandate principles, were of the opinion that such a principle should be applied to the mandates over Turkish territory.

3. The administration of Palestine and Mesopotamia during the interim period of military occupation has given rise to several communications between the United States Government and that of Great Britain relative to matters that had created an unfortunate impression in the minds of the American people. His Majesty's Government in the occupied regions has given advantage to British interests which were not accorded to American companies, and further that Great Britain had been preparing quietly for exclusive control of the oil resources in the region. The impression referred to has, it is believed, been due in large part to reports of authoritative statements regarding the general oil policy of Great Britain, and of actual work such as the construction of pipelines, railways and refineries, the operations of oil wells, the acquisitions of oilfields, cotton investigations, and permitted researches by certain individuals and activities, though stated to be solely in behalf of the civil administration, were attended by circumstances which created the impression that some benefit at least would accrue to British oil interests.

4. Certain of the occurrences above referred to have been explained by His Majesty's Government as due to military necessity and certain others as due to taxes on the part of local authorities. It must be realized, however, that it has been difficult for the American people to reconcile all of these reports with the assurance of His Majesty's Government that the military character of the military occupation does not warrant the exercise of rights under concessions would be promoted. The United States Government in the good faith of His Majesty's Government in attempting to give assurances given by His Majesty's Foreign Office, but desires to point out that the considerations above referred to indicate the difficulty in ensuring the local execution of such undertakings, and the necessity for careful measures to guarantee the practical fulfillment of the principles expressed and agreed to during the peace negotiations at Paris.

the exercise of rights under concessions would be promoted. The United States Government in the good faith of His Majesty's Government in attempting to give assurances given by His Majesty's Foreign Office, but desires to point out that the considerations above referred to indicate the difficulty in ensuring the local execution of such undertakings, and the necessity for careful measures to guarantee the practical fulfillment of the principles expressed and agreed to during the peace negotiations at Paris.

With this thought in mind the Government of the United States ventures to submit propositions, which embody or illustrate the principles which the Government would be pleased to see applied in the occupied or mandated regions, and which are submitted as forming a reasonable basis for discussion. In the event of such discussions, it would be assumed that the legal situation as regards the resources in the occupied or mandated regions would remain in statu quo pending an agreement.

(1) That the Mandatory Power strictly adhere and conform to the principles expressed and agreed to during the peace negotiations at Paris, and to the principles embodied in mandate "A" prepared in London for adoption by the League of Nations.

(2) That the nationals or subjects of all nations treatment in the occupied or mandated regions, in matters of residence, business, property, and other economic rights or economic activities.

(3) That no exclusive economic concessions covering the whole of any mandated region or sufficiently large to be virtually exclusive shall be granted, and that no exclusive concessions relating to any commodity or to any industry shall be granted, and that no concession shall be granted which is essential to the production, development, or export of any commodity shall be granted.

(4) That reasonable provision shall be made for publicity of all economic acts or regulations relating to the economic resources of the mandated regions, and that in general regulations or legislation regarding economic resources, including other privileges in connection with these, shall not have the effect of placing any citizen or company, or those of other nations or companies controlled by nationals or companies of the mandated nation, or companies controlled by nationals of the mandated nation or others.

5. The fact that certain concessions were granted in the mandated regions by the Turkish Government is, of course, an important factor which must be given practical consideration in any discussions relating to the status of such concessions, not only because of existing vested rights of American citizens, but also because the equitable treatment of such concessions is essential to the initiation and application of the general principles in which the United States Government is interested.

6. No direct mention has been made herein of the question of establishment of monopolies directly or indirectly by or in behalf of the Mandatory Government. It is, however, that the establishment of monopolies by or in behalf of the Mandatory Government would not be consistent with the principles of trusteeship in the mandatory idea. His Majesty's Government has stated its conception of the necessity for the control of oil production in these territories in times of national emergency. The Government of the United States does not intend at present to suggest arrangements that shall extend to any considerable commercial interests. The question of control in times of national emergencies of supplies which may be deemed essential by Great Britain is a subject which the United States Government deems a matter for separate discussion.

7. The Government of the United States realizes the heavy financial obligations of the mandated regions. It believes, however, that any attempt to establish monopolies or of exclusive concessions and agreements, besides being a repudiation of the principles already agreed to, would be a violation of the principles of the League of Nations.

8. The Government of the United States realizes the heavy financial obligations of the mandated regions. It believes, however, that any attempt to establish monopolies or of exclusive concessions and agreements, besides being a repudiation of the principles already agreed to, would be a violation of the principles of the League of Nations.

1933

Political Resident, Aden, to Esq. [Name] [Address]

My Love

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 100.

No. 24.

Constantinople, May 13, 1920

Military situation in area south and east of Iloilo has had no important developments during past ten days. Bal Government and National forces have local successes and setbacks, but there appears to have been no fighting of importance. Bal Government is inclined to risk decisive engagement at present.

are reported to be earning ill will of population owing to their lack of humanitarian and Yakov, on Guesdek Peninsula, have been occupied

No. 232

Telegraphing 1

Forum Office, May 12, 1964

at Batoum will not be much longer required. His Majesty's Government are  
out to Batoum Colonel Dickson, recently their political officer at Baku, and  
of the Caucasus, to conduct  
town and province of Batoum.

to provide for free transit over the Caucasian Railway and free use of the port of Batoum for the Republic of Armenia and Azerbaijan. Upon the conclusion of the agreement the General Officer Commanding will hand over the port and Batoum to the Georgian Government, and will then withdraw the occupying forces.

(To Parents only.)

It is hoped that the French Government will issue corresponding orders to the commanding officer of their troops at Bat-um.

To Paris and Rome

In the interval, steps are being taken to send out a supply of arms to the Georgians and Armenians to assist them in the maintenance of their independence.

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, April 20 1901

THE following is a summary of the news reported since the dispatch of the 21st April, 1920.—

On the Bayl front the Queen Idria appear to have cleared the whole of Beni Sae  
troops and occupied Mulwal in the Wadi Har beyond Suq Har  
The siege of Riqab continues, but it is said now to have been made more complete  
with the place having been cut. The garrison is expected to

Q Did you take Safarov to room 118 in the main building of the hotel?

Report from an Indian source that as of the reverse of the Zaidat [redacted] and Mawal last month the movement for Sheikh Bahash of the Hind Bahash near Samak, and asked him for a certain specified number of men and a sum of money, which the sheikh refused to supply. Orders were accordingly issued for his capture and he was subsequently [redacted] to escape. This report is confirmed by the Intelligence Officer, Hobeida, but in a later report from the same agent the [redacted] have raised 1,000 men, and it was this force which ejected [redacted] from Beni Ju [redacted]

Captain F. Hudson reports that the western half of the Jubal Mulla is in the hands of the Idri, the eastern half being still occupied by the Zedra.

Captain Fozdud has also reports that Sh-ikh Hussein-ben-Mahomed Y-hya Fozdud, eldest son of the chief sh-ikh of the Southern Zarniq, has been in Jeddah since the 10th March in company with his cousin, Mahomed ben Isghar-ben Mahomed-ben Yalya.

10. The object is to expedite despatch of Idria troops for the  
11. According to them the total strength of the Zeha in Zabud is

Iran representative at Bat-el Fekih is said to have made arrangements with S. A. Amarat and the Shukh of Rakub to arrive at Bat-el Fekih as soon as the representative at Bat-el Fekih, but the latter is stated to be determined to undertake an expedition, mainly on financial grounds. The rumour that the Shukh has occupied Zaidi, made my last letter was a myth.

A report dated the 13<sup>th</sup> April, 1920, states that Sharif Abdullah Durrani, the man who is said to have been involved in the murder of the late King, has been removed to Sana, together with the Hashid and Arh Arha troops now in Sofala. No reason for this move has been stated.

Captain Gordon paid a visit to Mehi during the first week of this month. He says that he gathered from Syed M. Mehi that the Idari finds it difficult financially to maintain forces in the field for a prolonged period. The revenues of Zaskhri and the

Captain Gordon says that Mochi is a flourishing town the population of which is about 15,000. He thinks that since trade has been resumed at Hodeida, Mochi has become normal, the town of Mochi being after all a product of the blockade. In the future it will probably fall like Mokha, as the geographical situation of Hodeida lends itself particularly as a distributing centre for Sanaa, Zabid and Zaidiya. Hodeida is also favourably situated as a centre of the export trade.



As I ... of the Qubra tribe has come in to ... ment. Captain Gordon says that when he went to see ... first thing the ... asked was, "Where is Colonel Jacob?" He made ... tract with us and has g ... and forgotten about it."

Imports into Hadenda during March amount to ... 3,10,317

Syed Mustafa and Captain Fakhudin arrived here on the 24th instant

#### Yemen (Upper and Lower)

Sharif Abdul Rehman bin Hussein bin Abdul Rehman bin Alawi of Saba and Marib has written expressing his desire to enter into friendly relations with the British Government. He writes that the people of Negran have unanimously agreed to acknowledge the suzerainty of the imam.

The Assistant Resident, Perim, reports that the ... camps referred to in my letter of the 15th April, 1920 have returned to Sana, with the exception of twenty men who are still in Moaa. It appears that the movement of Zaidi troops was connected with the complaints against Sheikh Ali Othman of Khokha, who has been imprisoned by the imam for oppressing minor sheikhs, some of whom have died in prison. The ... troops were under the command of Abdulla Effendi, brother of Ali Othman.

Only the garrison of Taiz was reported to ... 2500. This has now been reduced to 500 owing to desertions and detachments sent for service in Zabid. It is ... that the Amir of ... Thar-el-Wazir, has gone over to the Idri with all ...

#### Aden Protectorate

Reports of arrivals of fresh drafts of Zaidis at Dala continue to come in. According to these reports nearly 500 Zaidis appear to have arrived lately. No definite reason for this massing of troops, if true has ... states that they will proceed ... another states that they have come to relieve the present ... A special messenger has been sent to Dala to report on the actual situation.

On the 23rd instant a Zaidi ... named Al Obadi, with twenty-three armed ... Zaidis, arrived at Lahaj and asked for permission, through the Abidali Sultan ... which he has been informed that the evacuation of our protectorate was the ... may opening of negotiations. I hope to find out indirectly the object ... I hear that the Zaidis were very reticent.

The Maumtaz naqib lately returned from Mokalla, where they had ... assistance of Sultan Ghulib. I have reported the matter officially ... still in Aden, and are expected to leave any ... been received from various chiefs of Yafa, who ... Indian officer to report on the situation there

J. M. STEWART.

[E 4392.56/44]

No. 224.

Sir A. Geddes to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 17)

(No. 364. Secret.)

(Telegraphic.)

MY telegram No. 334 of 11th May

Washington, May 16, 1920.

I have secured drafts of the proposals to be considered at the meeting held on 18th May

First draft (abbreviated):—

Question: Can method be devised for extending governmental aid to Armenian people without delay and obstacles apprehended from Congressional action?

Proposals are: State Department to open negotiations with representatives of Armenian Republic and with representatives of Cilician Armenians to secure contract. To supply and deliver arms, munitions of war, railway and other supplies.

... support to a ... point United ... supplies through commissioner

Armenian Government to afford right to United States escort to pass and re-pass ... execution of contract

then United States to despatch to Black Sea transports with these supplies with ...

Next notify Supreme Council that, despairing of Turkish Government's good ...

... friend of the Republic, will view with grave concern and as an unfriendly act any ...

... also send, if agreed, officers to train and develop Armenian army; also ...

... military officers with power to veto aggressive military action on the part of the ...

... also the necessary appropriations to carry out ...

... (a) United States Government, having recognised Armenia, feels it has a peculiar ...

... these drafts are Hamilton ...

... addition, Hoover, Taft and Elihu Root seem to be in the movement, but how far they ...

... meeting of Cabinet and that final decision was reserved until after meeting of 18th ...

... decision, idea of controlling Batoum being clearly attractive to certain interests ...

... If I am approached, am I authorised to say that His Majesty ...

... welcome participation of United States in work of restoring and maintaining stability ...

... United States Government to accept a mandate under League of Nations?

... I think administration will have great difficulty in carrying out any such ...

... Names of men concerned, however, form such an extraordinary combination that ...

... It is difficult to estimate possibilities. It is still possible that the whole movement is ...

... E 4890 3 44]

No. 11.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon — (Received May 17)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Constantinople, May 17, 1920.

RECEPTION of peace terms, so far as they are yet known here, has been ...

... extreme ...

There is so far no note of defiance, but hope is expressed that Congress may still be induced to modify conditions, which are stated to be not only unfair to Turkey, but inimical to future tranquillity.

Public meeting is being arranged to take place during next few days. Precautions are being taken to ensure orderliness and moderate language.

Everything so far is based on very incomplete summaries of treaty being on various points.

I could have wished to receive full and authoritative summary from Foreign Office to enable me to control other sources of information.

I must warn you against drawing any inference as to what will happen in Thrace or Anatolia from calmness displayed here. News arrives very slowly from Anghar, but course of events there up to about 3rd Mar is now definitely known. National Assembly convoked by Mustafa Kemal met about 2nd April, and assumed powers, both legislative and executive. Executive powers were delegated to Government also provided over by Mustafa. Assembly passed various resolutions, including one formally denying competency of any persons except elected members of Conference appointed by Assembly itself to take any decision regarding destiny of Turkey. Steps were taken to communicate decisions of Assembly to Governments of Europe, America and Soviet Russia.

Anghar Assembly is reported to have already appointed so-called Peace Delegation, including Ahmed Riza, Ghaleb Kemaly and Ahmed Rustan Bilhasky, all of whom are in Europe. This, however, lacks confirmation.

Central Government is, of course, quite without power over Nationalists at Anghar and over bulk of Asia Minor; Anghar maintains touch with certain persons at Constantinople who may serve as link between Nationalists and others who have hitherto opposed Nationalists, but whose drastic nature of action is not known.

Grand Vizier continues to manifest utter depression, but I think he is awaiting text of treaty before taking any line.

(E 4617 1729 44)

No. 226.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Hobeck (Constantinople).

Foreign Office, May 17, 1919.

to which no reply has been received.  
addressed to me a complaint in regard to the arrangement in the three commissions to be of misunderstanding.  
He recalls that at San Remo British Government assured French Premier that presidency of the three commissions would be entrusted to three Allied officers.  
He hears to-day that War and Post Commissions are to be headed by British, French and Italian respectively, while the Marine has no president, and at present has no troops and ships without the

E 4938 39 44.

No. 27.

Earl Curzon to Sir G. Buchanan (Rome).

Foreign Office, May 17, 1919.

(No. 465.)  
THE Italian Chargé d'Affaires had asked to see me this morning. He pleaded strongly, on behalf of the Italian Government, that the Italian Government should be allowed to participate in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion. I admitted quite frankly that I was not in a position to sign and when, after seeing him, I had reviewed and perused the text I had discovered in

...ished or to come into force until the execution of the agreement could take place. It was stated, which might not be for several months.

...President was the point of the view I could conceive of. I was assured to have the Parliamentary advantage shown that he had obtained this *quid pro quo* for the

all would be given to the signature of the agreement.

I said that I could not reconcile this with the extreme anxiety which Signor Dittorri had expressed at San Remo and elsewhere. He had not concealed in any way that he was anxious to go to the end of the road as a vindication of the interests of Italy, but, however, that, whether Signor Dittorri did or did not deserve praise, the fact of signature must at once look out. It would be known if not at once, at any rate in two or three weeks' time, that a document of some sort had been signed. In those circumstances publication would be forced upon us, and I could not imagine anything worse from the point of view of Italy than the publication of the agreement.

It was certain, as I had often pointed out at the Conference in London and in San Remo, that the arrangement would be severely criticised. It would, in all probability, be met with the vehement hostility of President Wilson and of American public opinion. The Government would be less exposed to such attack because the party who had nothing to gain by the agreement. For the time being, it was already likely to be. In the present moment appeared to me, from the point of view of Italy, that the Turkish delegates to Paris would make strong representations in favour of modification of the terms of the Treaty of Peace, and if any such modification was adopted it might involve an alteration of the terms of this agreement, to which it would be much better if the signatures of the contracting parties had not been affixed. It was a possibility which the Turkish might decline to sign the Peace Treaty altogether, in which case the tripartite agreement would be null and void, and the act of signature would turn out to have been a folly.

lying any pressure in the matter. I suggested to him that I would instruct the British High Commissioner in Rome to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

that the Turkish delegates to Paris would make strong representations in favour of modification of the terms of the Treaty of Peace, and if any such modification was adopted it might involve an alteration of the terms of this agreement, to which it would be much better if the signatures of the contracting parties had not been affixed.

It was a possibility which the Turkish might decline to sign the Peace Treaty altogether, in which case the tripartite agreement would be null and void, and the act of signature would turn out to have been a folly.

lying any pressure in the matter. I suggested to him that I would instruct the British High Commissioner in Rome to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

by the French. I suggested to him that I would instruct the British High Commissioner in Rome to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.

It was not one, however, in which I could possibly ask the British High Commissioner to enquire whether the Italian Government would be wise if they carried their anxiety with regard to the acquisition of coal from Asia Minor for a little longer, unless, indeed, they could procure or work coal in the ordinary course of business, as to which I could offer no opinion.



### Note on the Future Control of the Middle East

### 1. Definition of the Middle Range

... Government with the various Countries of the

entrusted control by a new Deputy  
for Foreign Affairs and a new Department of State, or  
of the existing Departments of the Ministry

### 1. Definition of the Middle East

THE areas of the Middle East, which the Government will be most intimately concerned after the settlement with Turkey are Egypt, Palestine, Mesopotamia, Arabia and Persia. Maintenance of order and the peaceful development of these areas His Majesty's Government have undertaken, or proposed, various measures of responsibility. These areas react upon one another, not only from their geographical position, but from the fact that the majority of the inhabitants speak the same

countries Syria and the Hedjaz, for which  
 we are responsible but in which the  
 independence of the population of the  
 Hedjaz, the independence of the  
 population of Syria, and the freedom  
 secured by the British

situated the Holy Places of Mecca and Medin  
Government as the greatest Mohammedan power in the world cannot fail to be  
ruler is not only the Sultan of Turkey but also the Caliph of Islam and the  
since the British for the purpose of the British Empire to the Allied commands  
their victory over the  
am a matter of concern to His  
east of Palestine. The object of the present  
alternative methods by which His Majesty's Government may in the future most  
maintain connection with the countries mentioned above.

2 *Future relations of His Majesty's Government with the various countries mentioned above*

The position in Egypt is that His Majesty's Government have secured a protectorate over the country, which thus forms part of the British Empire. Since an Egyptian Government has been established, advised by British officials under the orders of a High Commissioner who receives his instructions from the British Government, a Commission has recently visited the country with the object of reporting to His Majesty's Government upon the future relations between the British officials and the Egyptian Government. If the report of that commission has been received, it is assumed, for the purpose of this Bill, that the Commission is in force will at least form the basis of the relations between His Majesty's Government and the Egyptian Government. It is proposed that direct British influence in Egypt will be introduced.

encouraging in Palestine the establishment of a national home for the Jewish people while ensuring that the existing rights and privileges of non-Jews should be scrupulously safeguarded. Over 80 per cent. of the population of Palestine are non-Jews, and hostile to the idea of a Jewish national home. It appears from this that the British Government intended to institute a representative government in Palestine, but to set up a British administration which shall make it possible for a Jewish national home gradually to be formed there. It is clear that Palestine is to become eventually a Jewish State. This could only be reconciled with the principle of self-

... if the country were developed to an extent that would admit of the  
... of Jews in such large numbers that they would form the majority of the  
... If this is the intention of His Majesty's Government it will presumably  
be found necessary to control immigration and development in Palestine with a view  
the eventual establishment of a Jewish majority. This will require direct British  
administration for a considerable period of time. If, on the other hand, the  
intention is to set up a Palestinian Government on the analogy of the Egyptian  
... with safeguards for a Jewish minority, the British advisers will have  
... control to a much larger extent than is the case in Egypt, and will  
... actually administer the country.

(c) *Mesopotamia*—By the Treaty of Peace with Turkey the provisional independence of Mesopotamia has been recognised, subject to its control by a taxatory power until such time as it is able to stand alone. No term has been placed to the period of this mandate, but it is assumed that steps will be taken to form in Mesopotamia a local institution in such a form that it will eventually be in a position to stand by itself, and that British officials in that country will, if not immediately, at any rate in the near future, be in the position of advisers rather than of administrators.

1. The Government are endeavouring to secure the acceptance by the other Allied Powers of the predominance of British interests in the Arabian peninsula, exclusive of the Hedjaz. At the same time the proposed protectorate with the other Powers in a declaration that the territorial aggrandisement in the said peninsula. If this is agreed His Majesty's Government will be regarded by the other Powers as responsible for exercising political control over the independent rulers of Arabia, but it will be out of the question to institute any direct British administration. The protectorate of Aden has been excluded from the Arabian peninsula as defined in the proposals quoted above, and it is intended that it shall remain a British protectorate. The position in the Aden protectorate has hitherto been that His Majesty's Government refrained from exercising any administrative control outside the actual peninsula of Aden. They have entered into treaty relations with the Arab chiefs of the hinterland on lines precisely similar to those concluded with independent Arab States in other parts of the peninsula. We may assume then, that the officials of the Government at Aden will be in the future, as they have been in the past, advisers rather than administrators, except in the frontier.

(a) *Peruvia*—Peruvia is an independent country with which His Majesty's Government have recently concluded an agreement by which certain British advisers are accepted by the Peruvian Government in return for assistance and support in matters of finance and in the preservation of internal order. The relations of His Majesty's Government with Peruvia are foreign relations.

(f) Syria. Whether Syria is under a French mandate, or independent, as claimed by Faisal and the Nationalist Party, the relations between His Majesty's Government and Syria will be purely foreign relations. In the event of the loss of Palestine, the territory excluded from the French sphere of control there are three possibilities: (i) the territory may be regarded as part of the Mandate (see (g) below), or as a British mandated territory (see (b) and (c)), or as a part of Faisal's kingdom in which the French disclaim interest.

1. *The Hedjaz*.—The relations of His Majesty's Government with the Hedjaz will be purely foreign relations.

The paper may be briefly summarised as follows:

a) *Egypt* A native government is advised by a High Commissioner, who under the orders of the Foreign Office, though no actual treaty exists. A British protectorate has been proclaimed after forty years of gradually increasing control. This measure is unpopular with the local Nationalist Party and a session has been considering the whole question on the spot.

b) *Palentino*.—No native government exists, nor can the policy of His Majesty's Government be carried out if such a government is brought into existence. It is necessary to take special measures of administrative control.

(c) *Meropolis*—No native government exists, but the independence of the country has been fully recognised subject to the advice and assistance of His Majesty's Government until it can stand alone.

(d) *Arabia*.—With the exception of the fortress of Aden the whole peninsula is governed by independent Arab rulers with whom His Majesty's Government have established, or propose to establish, treaty relations.

(e) *Persia*.—A native government exists with which His Majesty's Government are in treaty relations.

(f) *Syria*.—An unrecognised native government exists for which the French Government will have a mandate if they succeed in coming to terms with Feisal. His Majesty's Government will be in treaty relations either with the mandatory Power or the Syrian Government.

(g) *The Hedjaz*.—His Majesty's Government will be in treaty relations with an independent native government.

Taking the countries of the Middle East in descending order of independence, Syria and the Hedjaz will be completely foreign countries. Persia and Arabia will be independent countries, advised by His Majesty's Government. Mesopotamia will be provisionally independent subject to a mandate. Palestine will require a measure of administrative control to ensure the fulfilment of the Zionist programme.

In the British Empire, while retaining its own native government. There is thus, with the possible exception of Palestine and the fortress of Aden, no area of the Middle East in which British administration is directly concerned.

With these exceptions, the areas concerned will be nominally governed by native governments assisted by British advisers.

### 3. British Advisers

In turning to the various alternative methods by which His Majesty's Government may in the future maintain connection with these countries, it is necessary to consider the degree of control which British advisers may be expected to exercise and the probable trend of political development in the light of past experience. The two main examples of British control of an Oriental country are Egypt and India. In Egypt, we started by advising a native government and have now proclaimed a protectorate. This does not necessarily mean that we in end to tighten our control. It is merely another way of saying that the disorganised Turkish minority leaves us free to establish in law what has for a long time existed in practice, namely, British control over the Egypt. This is not the effect produced on Nationalist sentiment. The Nationalist sentiment is the proclamation of a British protectorate over Egypt as an indication that we intend to establish over the native government a still closer and more effective control.

In British India, on the other hand, we are attempting to establish a system in which direct British administration shall be gradually reduced. A step has been rendered necessary by the fact that the Native States of India there is now a demand for independence. But this is not nearly so marked or

bound by treaties with the native rulers not to interfere more than is necessary to prevent serious abuse and misgovernment. It is necessary to emphasise this point if we are to profit by past experience. The time has gone by when an Oriental people will be content to be ruled into self government by a European Power. The spread of Western education, increased facilities of communication, and above all the war, have all contributed to the widespread principle of self determination, have

in the minds of Eastern agitators a distrust for and impatience with Western control. We cannot ignore this universal phenomenon without endangering, and possibly losing beyond recall, our position in the East. It lies at the root of Indian unrest, of Feisal's hatred of the French, and of Mustafa Kemal's determination to resist the Turkish Peace Treaty. It finds a reflection in the spirit of the Wahabi movement, in the aggression of the Imam on our Aden protectorate, in the murder of British officers in Kurdistan, and in the demands of the Afghan delegation. It is a ready-made weapon for Bolshevik propaganda and a forcing house for Pan Islam. At the same time, we must be careful to distinguish between the wild cries of the extremist, anxious to secure for himself and to deny to the foreigner what he regards as the spoils of government, and the childish vanity of the masses on which he brings his armoury to bear. If we could but descend to tickling that vanity ourselves, we should deprive the agitator of his most powerful weapon. This could be done by recognising the existence of native governments and entering into treaty relations with them. Provisional independence under a mandate is not incompatible with the establishment of treaty relations between the mandatory

Power and the people of the country. It is, however, incompatible with the establishment of direct administration by the mandatory, though this is not yet fully appreciated by the peoples concerned. It is not only the people of the East who object to being treated as children or wards. This is the natural accompaniment of any

The point is that this national sentiment is again awake in those Eastern countries where it has slumbered so long. It is the Western nations who have evoked it, and it is for the Western nations to direct it into healthy channels through the medium of advisers attached to the various native governments—and

it is word must be said about the relations between British advisers and Oriental governments, which are the crux of the Middle Eastern question. There is always a tendency for British officials in an Oriental country to be impatient of Oriental methods and to assume direct administrative control. This tendency is

usually encouraged when the executive authority is in the hands of the British official, and the result is that the necessity for promoting the growth of native government is lost sight of under the pressure of demands for efficiency. This is the reason why it has been found so difficult to evolve a workable scheme of Indian reform. Few natives are fit to take upon themselves the duties and responsibilities which have become inseparably connected with administration in India. The standard is too high. Even when the executive authority remains in native hands as in Egypt, British intolerance of inefficiency, coupled with the demand of the people themselves for the unrecognised advantages of British methods of administration, have resulted in a gradual multiplication of British officials and the relegation to the background of the duty of encouraging native government. In various countries of the Middle East are eventually to become independent nations, it is essential that such European advice as may be given to them during the period for which they are confessedly incapable of standing alone should be given in such a way that the eventual disappearance of European advisers will be the normal

result of tutelage. We are now experiencing in British India the difficulties which face a European Power when it attempts to relax direct administrative control. We are now experiencing the same difficulties in proportion to the measure of direct administrative control which we now institute in those areas of the Middle East for which we have assumed or propose to assume responsibility.

### 4. Finance

There is another point which must not be lost sight of in any discussion of our position in the Middle East. If we are to take upon ourselves the burden of developing these countries and establishing in them the order and security without which development is impossible, we must face the fact that, for some time at least, capital must be found to invest in them. This must naturally necessitate a measure of contribution to the malady of the native Government to enable return for our outlay, whether this takes the form of direct expenditure, of a loan, or of a guarantee. The financial position is as

(a) *Egypt*.—Egypt would normally be financially self supporting, though at present the British forces in that country have been increased to such an extent for strategic reasons that the expenditure involved is not covered by the fixed contribution paid by the Egyptian Government. This is however, merely a passing phase.

(b) and (c) *Palestine and Mesopotamia*.—It is early yet to expect either Mesopotamia or Palestine to be self supporting financially. In both countries there will have to be for some time a British garrison paid for by the British tax payer. If the two countries are to be developed, outside capital will probably be required and it may prove more satisfactory for this to take the form of a loan from or guaranteed by His Majesty's Treasury.

(d) *Arabia*.—It has been found necessary during the war to pay subsidies to certain of the independent rulers of the Arabian peninsula, who were formerly within the Turkish Empire such as Ibn Saud and the Idrisi. Other rulers, such as the Sultan of Muscat, the Sultan of Shehr and Maskat and the Sheikhs of the tribes in the Aden protectorate, received before the war and are still receiving, subsidies or stipends from Indian revenues. The fortress of Aden would only be self supporting if Aden were no longer a free port, though, even so, it could not pay for the British garrison. It appears, therefore, that His Majesty's Government, in possible partnership with the Indian Government and the Governments of colonies from which



Muslims make the pilgrimage to Mecca, will in future be called upon to undertake certain amount of expenditure in the Arabian peninsula. Without such expenditure their claim to a special position in the peninsula would fail to the ground.

(c) *Persia*—A loan has been supplied to the Persian Government in return for their acceptance of a measure of British advice and control. This loan is secured on Persian revenues, but was found in the first place by His Majesty's Government.

(d) *Syria*—The Arab administration of Syria was originally a wholly British subsidy. On the evacuation of the Arab sphere by British troops and the occupation of the coastal area of Syria by the French, this subsidy was transferred to the French Government. For the area which is to be evacuated, His Majesty's Government will, of course, have to make arrangements.

It is that portion of Syria which lies east of the Jordan and south of the Taurus which will fall within the British sphere. It will in all probability need some financial assistance at any rate to begin with.

(e) *The Hedjaz*—Ever since the Arab revolt started, a subsidy has been paid by His Majesty's Government to the King of the Hedjaz to replace that paid to him by the Turkish Government as Emir of Mecca. So soon as the independence of the Hedjaz is finally ratified in the Turkish Peace Treaty it will become difficult, if not impossible, for His Majesty's Government to be the sole providers of financial support to the Hedjaz, but it may prove desirable for them to co-operate with other Allied Powers interested in Islam to provide the King of the Hedjaz with either a subsidy or a loan.

#### *Existing system of control from London, with possible alternatives for the future*

Control from London is at present exercised as follows:

By the Foreign Office through the High Commissioner, Cairo.

(a) *Palestine*—By the War Office through the Commander in Chief. The administrative control of Palestine is military but a Chief Political Officer, in direct communication with the Foreign Office, is attached to the Commander in Chief. A proposal is under consideration by which the Chief Administrative Officer of Palestine will become as well as administrative functions in direct correspondence with the Foreign Office. He will, however, remain under the orders of the Commander in Chief as Palestine remains under military administration.

(b) *Mesopotamia*—By the War Office through the General Officer Commanding. A civil administration has been set up under a Civil Commissioner, who is in direct communication with the India Office. Questions of policy are discussed by the Inter-Departmental Conference on Middle Eastern Affairs.

(c) *Arabia, Persian Gulf Coast*—By the India Office, through the Political Resident in the Persian Gulf.

(d) *Aden and Hadramut*—By the India Office through the Civil Commissioner, Baghdad. Questions of policy are discussed by the Inter-Departmental Conference on Middle Eastern Affairs.

(e) *Aden and the Hadramut*—By the India Office through the Government of India, the Government of Bombay and the Resident, Aden, for administrative. By the War Office through the General Officer Commanding Aden, for military measures in the Aden protectorate. By the Foreign Office, through the High Commissioner, Cairo, and the Resident, Aden, for political matters.

(f) *Yemen and Air*—By the Foreign Office through the High Commissioner, Cairo, and the Resident, Aden. Questions of policy are discussed by the Inter-Departmental Conference on Middle Eastern Affairs.

(g) *Persia*—By the Foreign Office, through His Britannic Majesty's Minister at Tehran. Questions of policy are discussed by the Inter-Departmental Conference on Middle Eastern Affairs.

(h) *Syria*—By the Foreign Office through the High Commissioner, Cairo, and the British agent at Jeddah. Questions of policy are discussed by the Inter-Departmental Conference on Middle Eastern Affairs.

(i) *The Hedjaz*—By the Foreign Office through the High Commissioner, Cairo, and the British agent at Jeddah. Questions of policy are discussed by the Inter-Departmental Conference on Middle Eastern Affairs.

It is evident from the above survey that the present arrangement is merely a makeshift, and it has always been contemplated that on the conclusion of peace with Turkey the whole question should be carefully considered with a view to revising

the temporary position created by the war. This revision may take the form of a centralised control, either under an existing department of State or under a new department to be created for that purpose, or, on the other hand, it may take the form of a permanent division of the Middle East into spheres of control allotted to existing departments of State, with or without co-ordination by a Middle Eastern Committee or Conference.

It is clear that the only existing department which could exercise control over all the areas of the Middle East as defined in paragraph 1 of this memorandum, is the Foreign Office. The relations between His Majesty's Government and the independent countries of Persia and the Hedjaz, and their relations with the French Government as mandatory for Syria, or alternatively, with an independent Syrian State can only be foreign relations. The intimate connection between the Hedjaz and the remainder of Arabia coupled with the proposed self-denying ordinance by His Majesty's Government propose to disclaim all desire for territorial aggrandisement in the peninsula render it desirable, though not, perhaps, strictly necessary, that the Foreign Office should also conduct relations with other independent Arab rulers or at all events, with those whose territories border on the Hedjaz, Egypt and Palestine are countries in which other European Powers are closely interested and in connection with which questions are continually arising which involve diplomatic correspondence. This is especially so in the case of Palestine which will

be practically an international country if it is to be a national home for Jews from all the countries of the world. This makes it essential that the representative of His Majesty's Government in Palestine should be in a position to correspond direct with representatives in foreign countries. For this procedure to work smoothly it appears preferable that he should be under the orders of the Foreign Office, even if a special measure of administrative control is found necessary in Palestine. The effect on local nationalist sentiment of Egypt being removed from Foreign Office control and of Palestine and Mesopotamia being entrusted to an administrative rather than a political department must also be reckoned with. Another point to be borne in mind is that, as mandatory for Palestine and Mesopotamia, His Majesty's Government will presumably correspond through diplomatic channels. We may take it then that if the control of the relations of His Majesty's Government with all the areas of the Middle East, as defined in paragraph 1, is to be vested in a single existing department of State, that department can only be the Foreign Office. The possibility of a new department being created for the whole of the Middle East is discussed in paragraph 7. The possibility of a new department being created for the control of Egypt, Palestine, Mesopotamia and Arabia, while the Foreign Office retain Persia, Syria and the Hedjaz, is discussed in paragraph 8.

#### *Possible Central Control by the Foreign Office*

There are obvious advantages in the centralisation in the Foreign Office of the control of the representatives of His Majesty's Government throughout the Middle East as defined in paragraph 1.

##### *(a) Uniformity of policy*

It is inevitable that there will be some interchange of officials from one country to another, and that there will be some interchange of officials with other Powers and with the League of Nations. The Minister responsible for the relations of His Majesty's Government with the local Governments will be the Minister responsible for the relations of His Majesty's Government with the League of Nations. The effect on extremists and nationalist movements of the fact that His Majesty's Government are not normally in direct communication with foreign countries from the outset.

##### *(b) Check on undue interference in administrative matters by officials on the spot*

which would be provided by the fact that the Foreign Office is not normally an administrative department.

Arguments may be brought against centralisation of control in the Foreign Office.

##### *(a) The first is the usual argument against centralised control, namely, that it leads to the suppression of individuality and to a tendency to force into*

uniformity the administration of countries as widely different as I  
up in one or other of the capitals of the Middle East  
and that such errors as have crept into our administration in Egypt are  
due to the absence of experienced criticism from London.

control on the spot there would be less danger of undue uniformity if the Foreign  
Office were represented by high officials at Cairo, Jerusalem, Baghdad, etc.  
with whom we are in constant correspondence with the Foreign Office through one  
centre, which might tend to become executive. (See paragraph 9 below.)

#### 7 Possible Central Control by a New Department of State

The advantages enumerated under heads (a), (b) and (c) of paragraph 6  
by central control by a new department of State but in order to  
advantages under (d) and (e) and, indeed, to enable the new department to deal with  
all the areas of the Middle East as defined in paragraph 1 it would be necessary for  
this department to be authorised to correspond not only with the League of Nations  
but also with almost all the foreign Powers of the world. With regard to (f) the  
danger of undue interference in administrative matters would be much greater  
use of a department which was created mainly to deal with undeveloped  
There is no precedent for the foreign relations of His Majesty's Government  
conducted by any department other than the Foreign Office and the introduction  
system by which two separate departments issued orders to His Majesty's representa-  
tives at foreign capitals would be an entirely new departure.

#### 8 Possible divided control by the Foreign Office and a New Department of State or by the Foreign Office and one or more of the existing Departments of His Majesty's Government

None of the advantages outlined in paragraph 6 would be secured by divided  
Eastern Department of the Foreign Office could not be expected to exercise sufficiently  
close administrative control. Division of control would moreover, present consider-  
able difficulties. It has been the policy of His Majesty's Government and the independent countries of Persia and the Hedjaz as  
their relations with the French Government as mandatory for Syria, or, alternatively,  
with an independent Syrian State can only be foreign relations. If a new depart-  
ment were to be set up with authority to conduct foreign relations, the necessity for  
divided control would disappear. The advantages of a new department are  
other than Foreign Office control for Arabia, Egypt and Palestine have been enumer-  
ated in paragraph 6. They do not provide inoperable arguments against the  
formation of a new department with authority to conduct foreign relations, but they  
apply with considerable force to control by an existing administrative department  
which has not and cannot well be given such authority.

There remains only Mesopotamia. The position of His Majesty's Government  
in the League of Nations. The French Government are endeavouring to establish  
an identical mandate for the two countries. This is an indication in advance of the  
attitude in Syria has already reacted unfavourably upon the position of His Majesty's  
Government in the East and there is reason to fear that it will continue to do so.

The League of Nations, to whom His Majesty's Government will be responsible, will  
also be in a position to criticise their relations with the people of Mesopotamia. For  
these reasons alone, it appears desirable that the department responsible for that  
country should be the department through which His Majesty's Government communi-  
cate with the French Government and with the League. Geographical considerations  
have hitherto rendered it desirable for His Majesty's Government to communicate  
with the rulers of Central Arabia through Baghdad, and if the Foreign Office are to  
retain control of our relations with those rulers, they should be in a position to  
correspond direct with the representative of His Majesty's Government in  
Mesopotamia.

#### 9 Conclusions

Of the three possible alternatives—

- (a) Control by an Eastern Department of the Foreign Office which should  
include a small number of officials experienced in administrative work,
- (b) Control by a new department of State, with authority to conduct foreign  
relations and
- (c) Divided control,

the least objectionable appears to be (a). (b) introduces a new departure which raises  
questions of high policy, and (c) is open to many objections. The subordinate  
questions of the channel of communication between His Majesty's Government and  
their representatives in the Middle East, and the provision of the necessary personnel  
both in London and on the spot, cannot be discussed until a decision has been  
arrived at on these three alternatives.

The matter is one of considerable urgency, as questions are arising daily which  
call for early decision.

H W YOUNG

Foreign Office, May 17, 1920.

E 4658]

No. 229.

Earl Curzon to Mr. Cambon.

Your Excellency,

Foreign Office, May 18, 1920.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst.  
instant, with enclosures, and to transmit herewith, as requested by your  
Excellency, copies of the message delivered by Field-Marshal Lord Allenby to the  
Emir Faisal and of the Emir's reply.

I venture with all possible friendliness to dissent to the suggestion made  
in the separate and confidential communication recently addressed to the Emir  
concerning his assistance by leading him to hope that he can rely alternately on  
the support of Great Britain and of France for the encouragement of his ambitious  
designs in opposition to one or the other Power. The communication addressed by  
General Gouraud with the specific object of precluding any such possibility, and his  
reply thereto, are enclosed for your information.

I am not aware whether the Emir has addressed any reply to the declaration  
transmitted to him by General Gouraud in the name of the French Government, of  
which your Excellency did me the honour to communicate a copy on the 10th May.  
If he has done so, I presume that he has made demands on the lines of those put  
forward by him in his reply to Lord Allenby, and that he had declined to come to  
Europe unless those demands are complied with. But whether he has done so or not,  
I am of opinion that the time has now arrived for the two Governments to make the  
position perfectly clear to him.

I am in entire agreement with the view expressed by your Excellency as to the  
necessity of some measures being taken to bring to an end the present state of affairs  
in the area occupied by the French forces, and I note with satisfaction that the French  
Government recognise that an early and satisfactory solution of these problems is  
desirable not only in French interests, but also in those of His Majesty's Government.

[4376]

3 A



It is for this reason, and since the French Government have been good enough to lay their views before His Majesty's Government, that I venture to make the following observations.

The two Governments are in close accord as to the objects to be achieved. The best means of obtaining them alone remain to be considered. While fully recognising that the French authorities must be the best judges of the military means to be employed to meet the local situation, and recognising also that they have every right to take such measures, I am nevertheless of opinion that the immediate occupation of the Hama-Aleppo railway, desirable though it may be from a tactical point of view, might lead to serious complications between the two Governments.

Such a step, with its consequent added discontent and disorder, might well result in the evacuation of the Turkish elements through the Caucasus. Such an eventual danger both to the French Government and to His Majesty's Government. I do not believe that the Emir Feisal is as yet disposed thus to throw in his lot with the forces of disorder, and I am strongly of opinion that it is in the best interests of both Governments to attempt every available means of obtaining a satisfactory solution before incurring the risk of a Turco-Arab alliance. Such a solution is in the view of the two Governments to be sought in the East, and not to come to Europe.

I am in agreement with the views expressed in your Excellency's note as to the danger to Syria under the mandate of France. I am, however, of opinion that the effect of the removal of the Emir Feisal from the Hedjaz, on the ground that he occupies the position of Emir in a State for which France has the mandate, could not fail to have a most unfavourable effect on the result. The result would be serious to both French and English relations with the Hedjaz, the satisfactory continuance of which are important to both Governments from the point of view of the pilgrimage.

I venture to hope, therefore, that your Excellency will be so good as to suggest the following course to the French Government:—

That the Emir Feisal should be reminded that he has already received an invitation from the Peace Conference to attend at their end of June. The invitation is issued in the name of the Governments of France and Great Britain, who, for their part, are prepared to receive him and his representatives with every consideration. Should he refuse to come to Europe, the invitation cannot be withdrawn, and he will be forced to face the following alternatives:—

1. That he would no longer be recognised as representing the Hedjaz at the Peace Conference of the Powers.
2. That all financial assistance both from the French Government and from His Majesty's Government would cease forthwith.
3. That the French Government would be at liberty to occupy the Hama-Aleppo Railway for the objects specified by them.

A joint communication of this nature, demonstrating equally the close accord of the two Governments, and their opinion that only by a visit of the Emir to Europe is there a real hope of reaching a satisfactory settlement of the questions involved, will hardly fail to impress him with the gravity of the consequences entailed by a continued refusal.

I, therefore, venture to request your Excellency to be so good as to lay the proposed action in respect of the Hama-Aleppo Railway should be deferred until the proposal has been considered.

I have read with care the views of M. Millerand, communicated to me in your Excellency's note, on the question of the channel through which His Majesty's Government can best, in future, conduct their relations with the Emir Feisal. While His Majesty's Government fully appreciate the point of view therein expressed, they are

nevertheless of opinion that such an arrangement would, in practice, be open to very serious objections, particularly in view of the fact that the future boundaries of Syria and Palestine are not yet determined.

In the event of the proposed joint communication to the Emir being carried into effect, His Majesty's Government consider that the danger of Feisal adopting a policy of alternate appeal to England and France will be eliminated.

In these circumstances it appears to His Majesty's Government that the retention of a British liaison officer at Damascus, while essential to British interests from the point of view of Palestine and Mesopotamia, is at the same time in no way prejudicial to the interests of France.

Enquiries are being made into the question of the port dues of Haifa, and I shall have the honour to communicate again with your Excellency on this subject when further information is available.

With regard to the Hedjaz Delegation, I have the honour to inform your Excellency that I am in general agreement with the terms of the draft reply which the French Government were good enough to refer to His Majesty's Government in your note of the 12th instant, but I venture to suggest the following minor alterations. I am inclined to the view that the phrase on p. 3 beginning, "Donc le bon sens et l'histoire..." might be regarded as expressing a certain attitude of condescension, and for that reason might be omitted as tending to produce a feeling of irritation. I am unable to express an opinion on the immediately succeeding paragraph, in the absence of official information that the Emir Feisal has actually appealed to the French Government, in the name of the Syrian people, for them to accept the mandate for Syria. Subject to these alterations I see no objection to the proposed reply being returned to the Hedjaz Delegation by the Peace Conference, to whom their letter was addressed, as soon as the Conference is again in session.

I have, &c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON

Enclosure 1 in No. 251.

Field-Marshal Viscount Allenby to Earl Curzon.—(Received April 28.)

Curzon, April 27, 1920.  
Following telegram [to Emir Feisal].—

"Your Highness,

I am instructed by His Majesty's Government to convey to you the following message:—

"As a result of recent decisions taken by Allied Powers in conference at San Remo, provision has been made to recognise Syria and Mesopotamia as independent States, subject to assistance of a mandatory Power, until such time as both States can stand alone.

"In pursuance of these decisions mandate for Syria has been entrusted to France, while that for Mesopotamia has been entrusted to Great Britain. Great Britain has also been nominated as mandatory for Palestine.

"His Majesty's Government feel very strongly that time has now come to arrive at an arrangement whereby claims of Syrian people can be reconciled with these decisions.

"In your Highness's letter of the 28th March, addressed to His Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, you express your willingness to proceed to Europe on condition that independence of Syrian people was recognised.

"We are therefore very glad to hear that His Majesty's Government are prepared to recognise your Highness provisionally as head of an independent Syrian State, and we are sure that you will be formally established by Peace Conference. They would therefore urge that you should come to Europe without further delay and state your case. There will be a further meeting of Conference in Paris at the end of May, and it is hoped that your Highness may see your way to attend the meeting.

"It is also to be remembered that His Majesty's Government were pledged to creating a national home for the Jews in Palestine, and that they will regard it as their duty to carry out this pledge. His Majesty's Government will regard it as their duty to carry out this pledge.

No. 230.

Foreign Office to War Office.

409

Foreign Office, May 18, 1901

I am, &c

J. A. C. TILLY

E 4984 56,441

No. 231.

Sir A. Guldee to Karl Ournon.—(Received May 10.)

Washington, May 18, 1920

son of my telegram No. 364 of 10th May, I have been asked by the State to-day if Alice thought projected arrangements with regard to control of Constantinople and narrow waters unsatisfactory. I replied that I held they regarded them as best of series of bad possibilities which alone were left of America's unwillingness to assume responsibility became known. He then asked I thought Supreme Council would reopen question if Armenia were prepared to assume liability for Constantinople. He asked, further, if retention of Constantinople were desirable, and volunteered the name of a religious personage. I understood President to be in favour of America assuming the responsibility for Constantinople as well as Armenia.

United States Government is obviously still doubtful if it can do what it desires to do in Armenia without the consent of the Congress.

E 5056 1729 44]

No. 242

*Reur-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Earl Carson, — (Received May 20)*

(No. 4248.)

Mr. Lord.

Constantinople, April 7, 1821

I HAVE the honour to inform your Lordship that a divergence of views exists between my French and Italian colleagues on the one side and General Milne on the other with regard to the functions and powers of the Inter-Allied Commission of Control which were established at the Turkish Ministries of War, Marine and Posts and Telegraphs, in connection with the military occupation of Constantinople, and which were referred to in my telegram No. 277 of the 24th March and your Lordship's No. 362 of the 21st April.

My French colleague brought this matter up on the 23rd instant at a meeting of the three High Commissioners, who were attended by their military attaches. I had arranged for this meeting to take place in order to give an opportunity for an exchange of information and views on the subject of the present military situation in Turkey as affected by the operations now taking place between the forces of the Government and those of the Nationalists, and also regarding the change in the state of affairs which might be brought about as a consequence of the communication of the peace terms to the Turks.

8. M. DeFrance (who was supported throughout by M. Manna) based his

[illegible]



the fact that the French and Italian members of the Control Commission at the Ministry of Marine, had, a few days before, during the course of the occupation of Turkish warships in the Golden Horn, discovered a Turkish ship being loaded with arms and ammunition and getting ready for sea. Upon enquiry, a paper was produced showing that the order for this action emanated from the Ministry of War. The French and Italian members of the Control Commission at the Ministry of War, upon being referred to, stated, however, that they had no knowledge of it.

4. M. DeFrance pointed out that the control exercised by the commission was either entirely ineffective, or that the despatch of the ship referred to had been given by the Turkish authorities at the War Office (who was at the same time president), without previous consultation of his colleagues, it was in no way inter-Ally.

He then went on to say that it was in every way the duty of the High Commissioners to be kept informed by their respective Governments of important occurrences such as the one he had described. He had been given to understand that the French, as also the Italian, members of the Control Commission at the War Office were not informed of what went on, or of any orders issued to the Ministry of War by General Milne, and that, in fact, they were treated as if their function was to pick up for themselves any information they could. He maintained that as the control was inter-Ally, any order issued by General Milne should pass through all the members of the commission, which in its turn was responsible for seeing to the execution of the order by the Turkish authorities.

5. M. DeFrance then read certain extracts from the minutes of a meeting of the Control Commission at the War Office, at which the scope of the Allied Commission was discussed. From this it appeared that the French and Italian members of the Control Commission exercised control over the Ministry of War, it therefore

exercised control over the Turkish army; furthermore, that any orders issued by the Allied commander should be sent by them before execution, and not merely be communicated to them for information after they had been carried out. The reply to this was that the Commission did not

exercise control over the Ministry of War, it was in no way a military body, its function was to

supervise the occupation of Constantinople had been carried out, and that the right of any member to question or interfere with the execution of any orders issued by General Milne to the Turkish Ministry of War could not be admitted. The matter would, however, be referred to General Milne.

7. His Excellency observed as regards the above that he would not for a moment admit that the French member of the commission was under the orders of General Milne, if he were to be so considered, the commission would have no international character. He would not accept the point of view that the commission's power was confined to the four walls of the War Office, and he maintained that, although the French delegates on the commission had not questioned, and never would question, any orders issued by General Milne, they were in no way informed of such orders so that he might exercise his functions of seeing that they were executed.

8. M. DeFrance and M. Maissa both stated that they were far from wishing to create any difficulties by bringing these matters forward, they wished, on the contrary, to ensure the harmonious working of these Inter-Ally Control Commissions, that they might be of assistance to the military authorities; under present conditions, however, such results were impossible, and they therefore wished the system to be altered so as to allow of the French and Italian delegates playing their proper part in the control.

9. In replying to these remarks by my colleagues, I pointed out that I myself, as Acting High Commissioner, was, just as much as they were, without information regarding what went on at the War Office, or what orders were given at any time by General Milne with regard to the Turkish army. Their Excellencies appeared to be under the impression that the French and Italian officers on the Control Commission acted as delegates of their respective High Commissioners. This, however, I said, was not the case, these commissions were military bodies appointed in connection with the military occupation of Constantinople. The High Commissioners did not come into the matter. Whether the members of the commissions acted under the

orders of General Milne, or, on the other hand, were responsible to their own Governments, would be a matter for discussion. I would discuss the matter with General Milne and inform him of the opinion of the other Excellencies on this point. The question whether the commission at the War Office controlled only that Office or whether its scope included also the dispositions, movements, &c., of the Turkish army, was also a matter upon which I did not feel competent to express any opinion. I stated that I felt convinced that General Milne

had hitherto performed as the Allied commander charged with the execution of the military clauses of the Convention of Armistice, under whose orders all measures connected with demobilisation, disarmament, movement of troops, establishments, &c., had been carried out. Whatever might be the position as regards the Supreme Allied Command in European Turkey, General Milne was indisputably Supreme Allied Commander in Anatolia, and the military occupation of Constantinople was executed by him. It appeared to me unacceptable that his direct control over the Turkish army should in any way be subject to limitation through the possible action of members of a commission who were in turn responsible to their own military authority.

10. Without wishing in any way to anticipate the views which General Milne might express on the subject, I put these considerations forward in order that the other Excellencies might understand certain aspects of the question which, it appeared to me, would necessarily have to be taken into account.

11. I asked both my colleagues to be so good as to forward me a memorandum explaining briefly their points of view on the subject under discussion. A copy of M. DeFrance's note is forwarded for your Lordship's information.

12. I saw General Milne the following day and explained to him the opinions of my colleagues with regard to the functioning of the Commissions of Control, more

as commander of the army, was independent of any of the Allied High Commissioners and responsible solely to the War Office, by whom he was charged with the execution of the military clauses of the Armistice, and with the adoption of such measures as appeared to him necessary for the safety of his troops. Should any of the Allied High Commissioners consider that his actions or policy conflicted with the interests of the Allies, it rested with them to represent the matter to their Governments. Having been charged by the Allies to carry out their orders to occupy Constantinople the manner of execution had to be decided by him, and he could not admit that the members of a commission of control at the War Office, who were not to be under his

military authority. He had agreed to the appointment of the Inter-Ally Commission at the War Office, but it must be understood that it had no power outside the War Office, and in no way exercised control over the Turkish army. He pointed out that the foreign members of the commission were not kept informed of what went on, he pointed out that the members of the commission met at frequent intervals, and at these meetings the subordinate officers charged with the supervision of the various branches and bureaux of the department presented their reports.

13. In view of the importance attached by colleagues to the question of how the Control Commissions should exercise their functions, and the consequent possibility of their making representations to their respective Governments, I have considered it desirable to forward to your Lordship a detailed account of what has taken place up to the present.

I have, &c.

RICHARD WEBB.

Acting High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 232

Memorandum on the subject of the Inter-Ally Commissions of Control, communicated by M. DeFrance to Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb.

CONFORMÉMENT aux instructions du Conseil suprême, des Contrôles interalliés ont été, par décision des Hauts-Commissaires, établis au Ministère ottoman de la Guerre, au Ministère de la Marine, aux Postes et Télégraphes.

...re, quelle qu'elle soit, envisagée par  
...préalablement à son exécution, soumise à  
...mises, préalablement  
...les Commissions de  
...à chacun des trois membres représentant dans les commissions les  
l'instances qui ont établi le contrôle.  
...récemment, un ou deux bâtiments de guerre ou transports turcs ont été  
...Constantinople à Panderna; des fusils, des munitions, des officiers  
...Constantinople à la même destination.  
...fonctionnant au Ministère de la Guerre et au Ministère de la Marine, en aient  
...En conséquence, à l'occasion des mesures indiquées  
...pas eu de contrôle interallié, et il n'y a peut-être eu  
...Les décisions prises par les Hauts-Commissaires en vertu des instructions du

Le 23 avril 1920

(Translation)

IN accordance with the instructions of the Supreme Council, Inter-Allied Comités were established by a decision of the High Commissioners, at the Ottoman Ministry of War, Ministry of Marine and at Post and Telegraph Offices.

The word "control" implies that any measure of whatever nature intended by one of the administrations controlled must, before being put into execution, be submitted to the commission entrusted with the exercise of the control.

Inter-Allied implies that these measures must be submitted, before being put into execution, not to any one of the members constituting the Commissions of Control, but to each of the three members representing on the commissions the Powers who established the control.

Recently, however, one or more Turkish ships of war or transports were sent from Constantinople to Panderna; rifles, ammunition, officers and men were sent from Constantinople to the same destination.

These measures were determined and executed, certainly without the French and Italian members, and perhaps without the British members of the Commissions of Control in operation at the Ministry of War and Ministry of Marine, even having been advised of them.

On the occasion of the above measures, therefore, there was certainly no inter-Allied control, perhaps no control at all exercised by the commissions specially

The decision taken by the High Commissioners in virtue of the instructions of the Supreme Council have not, therefore, in this instance, been executed, and such an occurrence should not be repeated.

April 24, 1920

E 4892.56 44]

N

Earl Curzon to Sir A. Geddes (Washington)

(No. 465.)  
(Telegraphic)

YOUR telegram No. 254 of 14th May

Foreign Office, May 21, 1920

If approached, you should emphatically declare that His Majesty's Government would warmly appreciate American co-operation in support of the Armenian Republic with a view to ensuring its stability.

[E 5230.47/44]

No 234

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 21)

My Lord,

Aden, May 6, 1920

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your telegram dated the 6th May, 1920, addressed to His Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have the

J. M. STEWART, Major

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo.

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo.

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, May 6, 1920

The following is a summary of the news reported since the despatch of my letter dated the 29th April, 1920 —

Yemen

Reports have been received from Hodeida during this week

Yemen (Upper and Lower)

An informant who lately arrived here from Sana reports that while he was in the mountainous region of the Yemen he saw a large quantity of ammunition to the value of £10,000. These were immediately despatched to Sana. The informant also saw twenty camels being loaded with ammunition at Sana. Sheikh Mohamed Nasir. This latter ammunition was intended for despatch to the

Aden Protectorate

The Zaidi party referred to in my last letter left Lahj on the 27th April after the Zaidi party had informed them of my reply. The Zaidi did not come to Lahj, but took that route on their return journey.

The commanding Tilan column, writes that the party travelled slowly, stopping at different stages reconnoitring. They wished to stay over at Nohat Dikim but he sent them to Al Mlah, 5 miles from Nohat Dikim, and they arrived within half an hour of their arrival at Nohat Dikim. They went on singing loudly.

The party did not give out the object of their mission. From the report of a Dala informant, however, it appears that the party was despatched under instructions from the Imam to discuss certain matters with me, and to arrange for the meeting of British and Zaidi representatives at Kataba. The Imam, at the same time instructed his amir at Dala, Sayed Yahia, to keep down the strength of the garrison at Dala to 100 men, and to stop building work.

Very recent reports of arrivals of fresh Zaidi forces have returned. According to him the number of Zaidi posted at Dala in the Amiri territory is not more than 300 men. These men are relieved. This messenger saw the Zaidi party which safely returned to Kataba at Dala, where they have been levying taxes on Kataba. The messenger who was sent to Dala subsequently corroborates the report, and adds that Sayed Yahia is expected to arrive at Dala in the near future. According to this messenger the Kataba shukhs nephew, Maktal Abdulla, who has been taken to Sana, have been sent back to Dala, and are now with Sayed Yahia.

Yours, &c

J. M. STEWART



E 5239 1729 44

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon — (Received May 24, 1920)

(No. 621)  
(Telegraphic)

YOUR telegram No. 446 of 17th May

Reply to your telegram No. 353 of 27th April was held up pending my return from Malta.

Full statement of divergence of views between my French and Italian colleagues and General Milne on the subject of the three control commissions, telegrams Nos. 276 and 277 of 21st May, and in my despatch No. 2864 of 27th April.

As agreed to by Allied High Commissioners pending instructions from their Governments, controls continue working in accordance with conditions required by General Milne (my telegram No. 277).

The War Office and Post and Telegraph Commissions are accordingly under presidency of British officers. At Ministry of Marine there is no president, and, in point of fact, senior Allied officer there is a Frenchman. General Milne is now prepared to hand over post and telegraph control to one ally if I hand over Admiralty to another. In that case, however, he declines all responsibility for former, as officer appoint [here two groups mutilated].

I cannot [two groups mutilated] that, whilst we are still in state of Turkey and in military occupation of Constantinople, and unable to communicate of peace terms, there should be no possibility of interference with or weakening of authority of Supreme Allied Commission, General Milne, who is responsible for military situation to His Majesty's Government and to Supreme Council.

As regards Admiralty, the present arrangement works satisfactorily, and any change would be to our detriment (see my telegram No. 439 of 26th April).

Commissions at War Office and Admiralty are kept fully informed regarding movements of troops and ships. What neither General Milne nor I can admit is that junior Allied officers on these commissions should have it in their power to interfere with arrangements which we may consider it necessary to make arising out of political, military or naval situation.

I can only suggest that danger and impossibility, under present unsettled conditions, of divided authority should be pointed out to French and Italian. It should be made clear that present arrangement of commissions is only a measure, however much such organisation may be modified on conclusion of peace when various commissions of control will presumably be responsible to Supreme Allied authorities.

French and Italian members of the War Office Commission should be informed by President that they were in no way under General Milne's position for General Milne.

E 5241/3, 44

No. 236

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon — Received May 25, 1920

Constantinople, May 25, 1920.

No doubt General Milne is keeping War Office fully informed as to military situation, but I think following requires urgent consideration.

It is reported that Nationalists have taken Adabazar, capturing two guns and sixteen machine guns, and that Circassians have dispersed and Government troops bolted. I fear that little confidence can now be placed in anti-Nationalist forces, and that strong measures must be taken to deal with them. Nationalist propaganda and announcement of peace terms.

Information has reached me that leaders of Nationalists at Brusa under Esham are moving against Government forces in Ismid area with force estimated at, at least, 1,500 men.

371

... his troops, his plan is stated to be an ... British troops oppose this movement he ...

It would seem that Nationalists having cleared Bagdas-Basmanli ... Pasha's forces now propose to clear Ismid area right up to Haskar Pass.

They probably consider Smyrna can be ignored for the present, as they quite easily limits placed on Greek offensive action and are making full use of opportunity thus afforded to deal with Turkish opposition piecemeal.

All reports concur in strong anti-British sentiment now prevailing in Anatolia, and especially in Brusa area.

... feelings do not apparently exist against Italians, or even against French in spite of happenings in Cilicia.

Idea is being spread amongst Turks that Turkish Government steps to suppress Nationalist movements are being backed by British alone, and that death ... are due to British insistence, which has overborne unwelcome opinion of French ... hardly with Turks.

It is ... to point out to your Lordship how serious is situation which may now arise in Ismid area should Nationalists decide to advance to Bosphorus.

While it is obviously not in my province to offer an opinion as to military situation, it is clear that any advance by Nationalists into Ismid peninsula is bound to bring them into direct contact with British naval and military forces.

A decision by His Majesty's Government is therefore urgently required on point which should be adopted in the event of this forward movement materialising, and we in fact to engage in belligerent operations against Nationalist forces previous to arrival of better or eastern shores of Bosphorus.

... co-operate in active hostilities against ... from their respective Governments, but this again is ...

One question which must also receive consideration is if French and Italians release ... to British in Northern Anatolia, even though Turkish Nationalists break ... in every direction, in employment of Greek troops in Ismid area.

This, however, would have disadvantage ... of Christians in the interior.

E 5259 1729 44

No. 2

Sir G. Buchanan to Earl Curzon — (Received May 26)

(No. 271.)

My Lord

Rome, May 18, 1920

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith Major-General Duncan's despatch on ... of Italian brigade at Istanbul — reference Foreign Office telegram No. 184 of the 6th May.

I have, &c.  
GEORGE W. BUCHANAN

Enclosure in No. 1

General Duncan to Sir G. Buchanan

(No. 1781.)

Your Excellency,

Rome, May 17, 1920

ON the 13th May I visited Colonel Carletti, the Chief of the Staff to the Minister of War and informed him that I had received the following telegram from the War Office:—

"London, May 11, 1920

... Ministry of War knows that this brigade will be under ... Milne's orders, but it is wished that this point should be made clear."

Colonel Carletti ... could be under the command of General Milne, but he asked me to delay sending an ...

(4370)

S B 2

answer to the War Office until he had sent a written reply. This reply was sent to me yesterday and is as follows:—

" With reference to your note *verbale* of the 18th inst., inform you as follows:—

troops detached in Asia Minor and in Thrace will, from all points of view,

"Until peace with Turkey is signed, that is to say as long as the power is in the hands of the Sultan, the commander of the inter-Allied troops in Constantinople (General Milne) will have the high jurisdiction over the Italian command, which, however, must always take its orders from the King's Government for measures of a political character. With the subsequent signing of the Treaty of Peace with Turkey, General Milne's military jurisdiction over the Italian troops will naturally cease."

assigning a sector of their own on the Asiatic coast of the Bosphorus to the fleet, troops that are to be despatched to that place.

2. With reference to the ~~Hat~~ battalion, I have the honour to inform you that the Royal Italian Government has come to the decision to suspend the despatch of that body, and therefore it will not be furnished by the division appointed for Constantinople.

"CARLETTI, Colonel, C.S."

J. H. N. VAN, Major-General,  
Military Attache

[E 5304 168/44

*(Received May 26.)*

M. de Flouriau a été chargé de faire savoir à sa Seigneurie le Comte Curzon de Kedleston qu'aucun vermouth nouveau n'est offert par le Gouvernement français à l'Empereur.

M. Millerand est d'accord avec son Excellence le Principal Secrétaire d'Etat pour les Affaires Etrangères pour déclarer qu'il n'effectuera dorénavant aucun versement à l'Enr sans accord préalable entre les deux Gouvernements.

M. de Floriau avertit, &amp;c.

Ambassade de France, Londres,  
le 22 mai 1920.

.E 6278.1729 44

War Office to ... 15

817.

I AM commanded by the Army Council

I am to request that the Army Council may be informed of the exact terms of the French complaint, which at present are unknown to them. According to the records available at the War Office, instructions were sent to the General Officer Commanding-in-chief, Army of the Black Sea, in War Office telegram dated the

16th April, in conformity with your letter dated the 12th April, after obtaining the concurrence of the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty, who are concerned as regards the presidency of the Naval Commission

I am to say that Lord Curzon will doubtless have observed that in this telegram the three different Powers are mentioned for the proposed arrangement by which the three different Powers should be taken up by representatives of the three different Allied Powers.

The Foreign Office then covered the War Office instructions in a telegram No. 439, dated the 21st April, to the High Commissioner, who, however, from his telegram No. 439 of the 26th April, does not appear to have concurred in the new arrangements. The Foreign Office next replied to the High Commissioner's objections in telegram No. 440, dated the 27th April, asking what alternative he could recommend. To this query the Army Council observe, from Foreign Office telegram No. 446, dated the 17th May, that the High Commissioner has made no reply.

2. If the above record of correspondence is complete, I am of the opinion that any further instructions can be sent by the War Office to the General Officer in Chief, the Foreign Office should obtain the concurrence of the Admiralty and the presidency of the Naval Commission after obtaining the reply of the Ambassador to their telegram No 446, regarding the statement made by the Ambassador.

1400. 400.

B. B. CUBITT

(E 5358 4604 441)

No. 240.

Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to Karl Curzon.—(Received May 27.)

(No. 651.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, May 9, 1880

My Lord,  
WITH reference to my despatch No. 275/M 1081 of the 22nd April, I have the honour to transmit herewith a translation, as published in the local press, of a telegram addressed to the Central Government by Colonel Muhieddin Bey, who had been appointed commander of the 1st Corps d'Armée at Adrianople in succession to Jular Tavar Bey after the latter returned to Constantinople.

2. This telegram is very significant as coming from the officer selected by the Government of Constantinople to take command of the Thracian Army. He is appointed to Adrianople a subordinate command in Thrace. He disclaims any connection between the movement in Thrace and the Nationalist movement in Anatolia, but his telegram shows that whatever their position may be, Kemal, the organizers of the movement in Thrace are quite determined to oppose a decision of the Peace Conference as to extend the Greek dominions up to the Tchataldja lines, it is difficult to see what substantial difference there will be a fortnight hence between the point of view of Mubeddin Bey and that of Jusuf Tayar Bey.

3. I am credibly informed that as a matter of fact the Chief of the [redacted] announced that Muheddin Bey's line of action, even before the [redacted] was announced, would differ in any way from that of Jassar Tayar, and that Muheddin Bey is [redacted] completely committed to the movement organised by his predecessor. It remains to be seen whether any section of the Turkish regular forces in Thrace are at all in agreement with the policy of armed opposition to a Greek occupation.

Constantinople under the auspices of the French military authorities here, and in accordance with the orders of the Grand Visier. It would appear that the French military authorities considered him as having come in to treat with the Central Government, and as being consequently free to return.

5. Although Mumukhidin Bey retains the command at Adrianople, and although the Central Government have appointed a Civil Governor-General of whom little is known, there is no doubt that Jaffar Tayar will play the leading rôle in Thrace in the immediate future.



6. In the meantime Adrianople continues in a state of confusion. The Grand Vizier, who is the only person in the Turkish Government, is doing as little as possible in the way of holding his hand until the announcement of the peace terms and then to pass the Central Government.

There is some indication that if and when Turkey is obliged to sign a treaty, the Central Government will be in a position to do so.

Enclosure in No. 240

Colonel Muheddin Bey to Central Government

Andrinople, le 3 mai 1920.  
COLONEL MOUHEDDIN BEY, nommé commandant du 1<sup>er</sup> Corps d'Armée, en remplacement de Djafar Tahir Bey, vient d'envoyer au Ministère de la Guerre la dépêche suivante : "Je viens d'arriver en Andrinople et de prendre en main le commandement. Toute personne, civile et militaire, s'occupe de ses affaires."

1. Le Comité de Défense des Droits de Thrace se trouve dans cette ville. Il n'a aucune relation avec les nationalistes de l'Anatolie, son unique but étant d'empêcher l'occupation de la Thrace par les Grecs.

2. Par suite des décisions prises lors de la Conférence de San-Remo, les membres de ce comité sont très inquiets devant la perspective d'une occupation grecque. Ils n'ont d'autres moyens de défense que leur loyauté à leur pays et à leur Sultan.

3. Les soldats du corps d'armée n'appartiennent à aucun parti. Ils sont dévoués à leur Souverain. Personne ne pourra ni porter l'occupation par les Grecs de la ville d'Andrinople, qui a été la capitale de plusieurs Sultans.

4. Les communications avec la capitale, qui étaient interrompues, viennent d'être rétablies.

5. Je prie de vous communiquer la vérité sur les décisions prises par le San-Remo au sujet de la Thrace.

(E 5386/1720/44)

No 241

Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb to

(No. 662)

My Lord,

W. H. ...  
discrepancy of views existing between my French and Italian colleagues on the one hand and General Milne on the other, with regard to the functions and powers of the Allied Commissions of Control at the Turkish Ministries of War, Marine, and Posts.

Therefore, I have the honour to forward herewith, for your Lordship's information, a letter, dated the 1st May, which I have received from the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Army of the Black Sea, on the subject.

I have, &

RICHARD WEBB.

Acting High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 241

General Milne to Rear-Admiral Sir R. Webb.

Your Excellency. General Headquarters, Constantinople, May 1, 1920.  
I HAVE received a letter from the British Military Mission to the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Allied Armies of the Orient, to the effect that the General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Allied Armies, objects to the Commission at the Turkish War Office taking upon himself the authority to carry out certain orders issued to him by me.

The General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Allied Armies of the Orient, expressed himself as follows:

"I am unable to agree with these views."

"Even during the present state of organization of the commissions, sanctioned by the authority of the president alone cannot be substituted for that of the High Commissioner. Similarly, unless it is to become illusory, the supervision must be carried out not only over the organization of the Ministry but also over the troops, arms and munitions are of the first importance."

The General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Allied Armies in the Orient, added that his view was also that of the French High Commissioner, and that the latter declared that it was the intention of the High Commissioners, when they decided on the inter Allied character of the Control Commission, to give them full powers of investigation and verification.

May I please be informed on what grounds the High Commissioners came to the conclusion quoted in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, and how it is proposed that a civil body, such as the High Commission, should lay down the procedure to be adopted in the military matter under discussion.

G. F. MILNE, General Commanding-in-Chief,  
Army of the Black Sea.

E 5375 47 44]

No 242

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon. — Received No. 17

(No. 16.)

My Lord,

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter dated the 13th May, 1920.

I have, &

J. M. STEWART, Major-General.

Aden, May 13, 1920.

2. 0

(Secret.)

Aden, May 13, 1920.

Τέτατον.

lie to the activities of the Idriat says that the King of the Hedjaz and anti Ibn Saud—amongst the central

Some time ago, Major Gordon, lately Political Officer, Huleida, expressed his opinion that the idea would be strong enough to hold an extended domain against aggression if he was whole heartedly accepted by all the tribes upon the plateau.

### Women (Upper and Lower).

11 About the 6th April, the son and nephew of Sheikh Mohamed Hassan of Jabel  
" (wh), who were given as hostages to the imam's army at Taiz, are said to have  
" and from Taiz and returned to their homes in Sardinia. The said ...

Fifty Zo : with one gun, are reported to have left Dala for Sana on the

1) The first arrangement between us and the main, under which the protectorate

Yours &c

J. M. STEWART

No. 243

No. 1250

Constantinople, May 27, 1891)

Before speaking of peace Grand Vizier explained his situation in regard to the National movement in Anatolia. He stated that at Constantinople there was no organization of regular force for the purpose, which difficulties he attributed not to British or Allied authorities. He formally asked me to obtain permission of Supreme Council for equipment and utilization of force not exceeding 15,000 men. He said he was about to address similar request to French and Italian High Commissioners. He emphasized the fact that force asked for is much less than might be contemplated as limit of Turkish armed forces after peace, and declared that recent visit to Ismail has satisfied him that with such a force he could suppress the rebel movement within three weeks.

Grand Vizier has not yet received full text, and said it would be materially impossible to produce considered reply by 11th June. He asks for maximum prolongation of one month. He was going to approach French and Italian High Commissioners similarly and confirm in writing.

all record follows the bag

100

Foreign Office, May 27, 1920.

1. As you are no doubt aware, the question of Swiss participation in the Council of Ottoman Economic Deputies has not come up for discussion at the 18th plenary and it is therefore necessary to deal with the question by an exchange of correspondence between His Majesty's, the Italian and French Governments.

I have, &c

CURZON OF KEDLESTON



(No. 680.)

Constantinople, May 14, 1920.

2. I have not permitted this telegram to be delivered to the Sultan, though His

I have, &amp;c

RICHARD WEBB

Acting High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 2.

1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, 1964, 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 26

Rebels that could be captured. We must also have the support of our allies.

limited powers, and in ap

*[Faint, illegible handwritten notes]*

[illegible]

Having done everything that was demanded of us by our duty as Mussulmans as well as by our friendship with you, and as we are deeply anxious to secure a just and lasting settlement of the present situation of the East, we now await the response of your Government to our proposals and warnings. But of far greater importance to the Islamic world will be your Majesty's response to the appeal of our Allies, and before that response is made we deem it our humble duty to bring to your Majesty's attention the fact that the Islamic world has never stood since the last of the Khilifat-e-Kashideen passed away.

since the last of the Khalifat Rashideen passed away.  
I am writing you with a fear to God that  
the great God give to your Majesty and to your noble and brave soldiers and  
nation the strength and resolution to do your duty not only by Turkey but by  
Islam and may the unity of Turkey soon become a true reflex of the unity of Islam.

and may the unity of Turkey soon become a true reality of the unity of Islam.  
 The Indian Khilafat Delegation finally beg leave to recall what our glorious prophet said in the cave of about to your Majesty's ~~first~~ <sup>first</sup> ~~prophet~~ <sup>prophet</sup> ~~for~~ <sup>for</sup> ~~Abu Bakr~~ <sup>Abu Bakr</sup> when they were only two and their enemies were many. "Fear not, verily Allah is with us. God willing, we shall yet succeed if only we retain our faith in Allah's omnipotence and serve none but him."

MUHAMMAD ALI

MOHAMED ALI,  
SYUD HOSSAIN,  
SYED SULAIMAN NADWI,  
ABUL KASIM

Members of the Indian Khalfate Delegation,  
Regina Hotel

E 5455 289 447

No. 213.

Count Morgan to Earl Ourren.—(Received May 28.)

(No. 3.)

(Telegraphic.)

Smyrna, May 26, 1920.

(Telegraphic.)  
 M<sup>r</sup> French enquired inform us that Greek High Commissioner at Smyrna, May 20, 1900, in answer told him that when Greece takes over Smyrna, Capitulations and Consular Court will be abolished. May I be instructed whether such is provision of treaty?

E 5441 3, 44,

No. 247.

*Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 25.)*

(No. 628.)

{Telegraphic.}

Constantinople, May 27, 1920.

To His Majesty the King of England, London —

"The peace terms communicated to Turkish Delegation, Paris, have plunged

"Turkish and Ottoman dynasty will thus remain eternally grateful to your Majesty. MEHMET R. VANIBUDIN, representative."

[E 5259/1729 44]

No. 248.

*Foreign Office to War Office.*

Sir,

*Foreign Office, May 28, 1920*

I AM directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to transmit herewith a copy of despatch No. 1781 from the military attaché to His Majesty's Ambassador at Rome.

Lord Curzon would be glad to receive the observations of the Army Council on the statement in the letter of the Italian Minister of War to the effect that the Italian command at Constantinople will always take its orders from the Italian Government for measures of a political character.

I am, &amp;c

J. A. C. TILLEY

[E 5499 1729 44]

No. 249.

*Director of Military Intelligence to Foreign Office.—(Received May 29.)**War Office, May 28, 1920.*

THE Director of Military Intelligence presents his compliments to the Under Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs and, with reference to War Office letter of 20th May, 1920, on the subject of the despatch of Italian troops to Constantinople, begs to enclose herewith a copy of a telegram of the 21st May from the military attaché to His Majesty's Embassy in Rome.

Mr. Hardinge of Ponsington will observe from this telegram that the information contained in telegram No. 85 from His Majesty's Ambassador at Rome, regarding the despatch of an Italian infantry division to Constantinople, was correct.

With reference to the last sentence of the telegram enclosed herewith, Major General Sir William Thwaites has telegraphed to the British military attaché in Rome, asking by what date it is expected that the move of the Italian troops to Constantinople will be complete.

Enclosure in No. 249

*British Military Attaché, Rome, to War Office.—(Received May 22.)*

Telegraphic.) P.

*Rome, May 21, 1920*

THREE regiments of Italian troops are detached for conveyance to Constantinople; these are concentrating at Taranto, but date of departure is not yet fixed.

[E 5505 1729 44]

No. 250

*War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received May 21.)*

(Secret.)

Sir,

*War Office, May 28, 1920*

I AM commanded by the Army Council to forward, for Earl Curzon's information, a copy of a telegram No. 1782 of the 17th May, 1920 from the military attaché at Rome, and to refer to the question of the command at Constantinople.

The Council of the Council the time has now come when the inauguration of the demilitarised zone around the Straits is being completed. The two divisions recommended by the Joint Inter Allied Naval and Military Committee, of which Marshal Foch was president, on the 16th February last.

3. The British force in the army of the Black Sea at present includes twenty battalions, or fifteen battalions in excess of the British share in the after-war garrison, and the Council cannot agree to the retention of so many troops for an indefinite period, bearing in mind the very serious commitments elsewhere. Moreover, they would be unable to spare a complete division of nine battalions to the Straits (including one battalion already in the Straits).

[E 5458 56/44]

No. 245.

*The Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 29.)*

(No. 1603.)

THE Earl of Derby presents his compliments to the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and has the honour to transmit herewith copy of a letter from the United States Ambassador to the President of the Peace Conference on the subject of Armenian frontiers.

I am, &amp;c

Enclosure in No. 245.

*Mr. Wallace to M. Millerand.**Ambassade des Etats-Unis d'Amérique.**Paris, le 18 mai 1920*

M. le Président,

EN me référant à la note relative aux frontières de l'Arménie, rédigée et approuvée le lundi après-midi, 26 avril, et dont le texte a été transmis à Mr. Robert Underwood Johnson, Ambassadeur des Etats-Unis, le Président Wilson a pris la décision de ne le soumettre qu'à la seule condition d'arbitre dans la question des frontières de l'Arménie.

Je suis chargé d'ajouter que le Président accueille avec satisfaction cette occasion de rendre service au peuple d'Arménie.

En communiquant ce qui précède à votre Excellence, j'ai l'honneur de la prier de vouloir bien transmettre ces vœux à Mr. Woodrow Wilson.

Agreez, &c  
HUGH WALLACE



4. The Council understand that it was the intention of the Supreme Council that the command of the inter-Allied force in the demilitarized zone should be exercised in turn by the principal Allied Powers, and they consider that a decision should now be obtained as to which of the Powers will be the first to nominate the military commander and what will be the length of the tenure of command.

5. It appears obvious that the Italian Government intends to find at least a fully representative portion of the Allied force, and it may well be that they contemplate ~~choosing~~ for an Italian commander the first appointment as Commander-in-chief.

The Italian forces under orders for Constantinople actually represents half the total force of two divisions or eighteen battalions agreed upon, and the Army Commanders wish to point out that should the British and French Governments consent to the original agreement by which each Power would be represented in equal numbers, their own military commitments can thereby be lessened considerably.

Army Council wish me to say that from the military point of view this is extremely desirable as regards British representation.

7. In considering the question of setting aside a special zone for the Italian troops on the Asiatic shore, I am to say that the Army Council are reforming this matter. General Milne, but that as long as he remains in supreme command it will obviously be essential that the Italian troops shall conform to such orders as he finds it necessary to give.

The Army Council have therefore that Lord Carson will obtain no new title to this effect from the Italian Government before the troops arrive at Co. in the probable event of their doing so before the after-war arrangements.

B. B. 01 B. 13

Examine in No. 1.

*D. V. I. War Office.*—(Received May 22)

100. 450000 100. 450000

(Telegraphia)

me, May 17, 1901

YOUR No. 84823. Minister of War has agreed to Italian army at Constantinople. Ministers until signature of peace, but will receive Italian political questions. General Milor's control over Italian of peace, 4th June. Italians will consent of division. Italian distinct news for Italians on Asiatic side of Bosphorus. Italian led to suspend sending detachment to Bitoum.

E 5508 3 441

No. 251

*Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon, — (Received May 29.)*

(No. 631)

(Telegraphic.) B.

Constantinople, May 28, 1

MY telegram No. 27, 1931, 1932, 1933, 1934, 1935, 1936, 1937, 1938, 1939, 1940, 1941, 1942, 1943, 1944, 1945, 1946, 1947, 1948, 1949, 1950, 1951, 1952, 1953, 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, 1964, 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611,

Request for extension of time in which to reply to peace terms has now been received from Sublime Porte in writing.

Allied High Commissioners at their meeting to-day, 28th May, decided that application for extension of one month to 11th July was reasonable and should be recommended for acceptance. High Commissioner further considered that facilities for sending delegates and couriers between Paris and Constantinople requires particular attention, as train routine is not functioning satisfactorily at present. I was informed at our last control of train service between Paris and Constantinople is in French hands.

E 5525 289/44]

No. 253.

Consul Morgan to Earl Curzon.—(Received May 30.)

(Telegraphic.)

Smyrna, May 29, 1920.

FOLLOWING is text of collective note sent in agreement with my French colleague, my Italian colleague and my American colleague.

"Greek High Commissioner, Smyrna, has declared that treaty provides for immediate suppression of capitulatory regime so far as regards the region in Asia Minor ceded to Greece.

At their last meeting delegates agreed to address to their Government following remarks on this subject:—

"Immediate suppression of Capitulations seems irreconcilable with maintenance of Ottoman sovereignty over region, for Sublime Porte in ceding its sovereign rights can only transmit them subject to capitulatory obligations. Neutral Powers, such as Spain, Netherlands, &c., which will not be bound by treaty, will doubtless keep their leges, while Great Powers would renounce theirs.

"Private persons, industrial and commercial establishments and companies of our nationalities protest against abrupt alteration of régime, which will without notice deprive them of guarantees which they have enjoyed for centuries and to which they have adapted themselves; they view with apprehension foreign interference in affairs, which lawfully now only concerns their own authorities.

Of these chief reasons the delegates pray their Governments, for at least a transitional period of five years provided for by treaty, to refuse suppression of capitulations, so far as concerns the district of Smyrna, in order to avoid regrettable consequences, of which least would be an exodus of their nationals."

(Sent to High Commissioner, Constantinople, No. 64.)

E 5572 1729 44]

No. 253.

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received May 31.)

Sir,

War Office, May 29.

IN continuation of War Office letter dated the 25th instant, I am commanded by the Army Council to forward, for the information of Earl Curzon of Kedleston, copy of telegram, dated the 22nd May, from the War Office to General Milne, and General Milne's reply thereto in telegram dated the 26th May.

I am to say that Lord Curzon will observe that General Milne awaits the decision of Admiral de Robeck regarding the presidency of the Marine Commission, for which he will have no responsibility, in order to hand over the presidency of the Post Office and Telegraphs.

As regards the War Office, I am to say that Lord Curzon will see no reason to change the opinion expressed in his letter dated the 1st April, that the presidency of this commission should remain in British hands during such times as General Milne is in supreme command at Constantinople. I am, however, to observe that, in view of General Milne's telegram under reference, it might facilitate matters if Lord Curzon would make the necessity quite clear to the French and Italian Governments of this presidency being occupied by a British officer for the present.

I am, &c.

B. B. CUBITT

Enclosure 1 in No.

War Office to General Milne (Constantinople).

(Telegraphic.) P.

War Office, May 22, 1920.

REPLY to telegram, dated the 17th May, from Foreign Office to High Commissioner. What is present position re presidencies of commissions? Wire also your comments.

253

Enclosure 2 in No. 253.

General Milne to War Office.

(Telegraphic.) P.

Constantinople, May 26, 1920.

YOUR telegram of 22nd May.

Allied Commission of Marine is under orders of Volunteer Army Commander-in-Chief afloat. At present the other commissions have British presidents, but I should be in a position to hand over telegraphs to the [group undecipherable] power as soon as admiral has decided nationality of the president of Marine Commission, but I have notified High Commissioner that in that case, as the president will not be under my orders, I can accept no responsibility for the control. The Allied members of the War Commission maintain that the control is entirely under them, and have informed me that they do not consider themselves under my control. Especially as the Italians openly show preference for the Nationalist Party, I cannot agree that the control which I formerly exercised should be conducted by three junior officers, who know nothing of either military or political situation.

E 5596 2 44]

No. 254.

M. Cambon to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 1.)

L'AMBRASSEUR de France a l'honneur de communiquer à sa Seigneurie Comte Curzon de Kedleston la réponse de M. le Président du Conseil français à la du Gouvernement britannique en date du 18 mai 1920.

Ambassade de France, Londres,  
le 31 mai 1920.

Enclosure 1 in No. 254.

Reply of M. Millerand to British Note respecting Syria.

M. MILLERAND a pris connaissance avec la plus grande attention de la réponse adressée le 18 mai courant par Lord Curzon à M. Paul Cambon relativement aux vues politiques du Gouvernement britannique.

Le Président du Conseil a été d'accord sur les lignes essentielles de son exposé: obligation pour la France de mettre fin aux incertitudes qui ont créé un état d'insécurité de plus en plus dangereux en l'honneur d'une communication jointe marquant vis-à-vis de l'émir Ferial l'entente commune des deux Gouvernements: nécessité de définir et de limiter la position de Ferial à son rôle éventuel en Syrie sous le mandat français.

S'il était besoin de prouver l'intérêt que présente une communication jointe et identique des deux Gouvernements, on en trouverait la preuve dans le fait que l'émir Ferial, interprétant d'une manière tendancieuse la communication de M. Millerand, a écrit récemment au Général Gouraud: "Le mandat français est placé sous le mandat français."

La France bien que ne doutant à aucun degré de la loyauté d'action des autorités anglaises, a un intérêt de premier ordre à ne laisser aucune de ces communications prendre une attitude de plus en plus contraire à ses engagements et qui ont été

La nouvelle communication à faire à Ferial pour lui demander pour la dernière fois s'il veut se rendre à la Conférence ne doit lui laisser aucun doute sur les conséquences qu'aurait son refus. Les termes de ses réponses au Maréchal Allenby, Général Gouraud exigent que les conditions de sa venue soient nettement définies, laissant d'ailleurs pas l'impression qu'il soit disposé à s'y prêter, sa politique ayant été jusqu'ici de forcer la main aux Puissances et de ruiner la situation de la France en Syrie par tous les moyens au mépris de ses engagements.

Dans la réponse au Maréchal Allenby, l'émir prétend parler au nom de la Mésopotamie comme de la Syrie, en les déclarant États indépendants, se refuse à accepter le mandat donné respectivement au Royaume-Uni et à la France, réclame



l'inclusion de la Palestine dans la Syrie, conteste la position réservée aux Sionistes, et à cet égard des assurances avant de se rendre à la Conférence.<sup>1</sup>

Dans sa réponse au Général Gouraud, l'Émir réclame comme un droit résultant de l'état de fait existant la reconnaissance de l'indépendance d'une Syrie une et indivisible incluant la Palestine, qu'il revendique en réclamant à cet effet l'aide de la France, tout en refusant le mandat donné par la Conférence de la Paix à cette Puissance, et en reniant les termes mêmes de l'accord qu'il a signé le 6 janvier.

On ne saurait laisser l'Émir Feysal continuer son jeu actuel, qui consiste à se faire passer pour le représentant de la Syrie par un congrès improvisé et sans titres, à refuser le mandat français au mépris des décisions de la Conférence de la Paix, à témoigner de toutes manières les faits son hostilité à la France en armant des bandes contre elle en liaison avec les nationalistes turcs, tout en cherchant à l'amuser par des assurances verbales et en gagnant du temps pour user les troupes françaises, jusqu'au jour où il pourra résolument se lever contre elles. Il vient encore de se refuser à autoriser quelque espèce de transports que ce soit sur la voie ferrée d'Alep, tant que le Gouvernement français n'aura pas fait droit "aux justes revendications du Congrès syrien," compromettant par là le sort des colonies françaises du nord et marquant nettement l'appui donné aux attaques des nationalistes turcs.

Il n'y a plus lieu de reculer devant le risque de voir Feysal se joindre aux nationalistes turcs; dès à présent, il a pris en fait cette position, dont il a tous les avantages, puisqu'il garde en même temps à l'égard de la France des formes hypocrites que nous avons intérêt à démasquer. Nous n'éviterons pas davantage une alliance des bolcheviks et des Turcs et ne pourrions y parer en témoignant de la faiblesse ou de la crainte.

Le Gouvernement français est prêt à adhérer à la suggestion anglaise et à adresser à Feysal une nouvelle invitation à se rendre à la Conférence. Mais il conviendrait comme

Il n'y a plus lieu de reculer devant le risque de voir Feysal se joindre aux nationalistes turcs; dès à présent, il a pris en fait cette position, dont il a tous les avantages, puisqu'il garde en même temps à l'égard de la France des formes hypocrites que nous avons intérêt à démasquer. Nous n'éviterons pas davantage une alliance des bolcheviks et des Turcs et ne pourrions y parer en témoignant de la faiblesse ou de la crainte.

Il n'y a plus lieu de reculer devant le risque de voir Feysal se joindre aux nationalistes turcs; dès à présent, il a pris en fait cette position, dont il a tous les avantages, puisqu'il garde en même temps à l'égard de la France des formes hypocrites que nous avons intérêt à démasquer. Nous n'éviterons pas davantage une alliance des bolcheviks et des Turcs et ne pourrions y parer en témoignant de la faiblesse ou de la crainte.

La réponse à Feysal devrait définir la position de la question par rapport à la Conférence de la Paix, répondre à ses propres déclarations faites au Maréchal Allenby et

ments français et indiquer clairement que, s'il ne se rend pas à l'invitation qui lui est adressée, la France se réserve le droit de le considérer comme un ennemi de la Syrie.

M. Millerand a l'honneur d'adresser au Gouvernement anglais un projet de déclaration dans ce sens; il compte recevoir très prochainement son assentiment, en raison de la situation militaire, qui ne permet pas au Gouvernement français, sans courir les risques les plus graves, de tolérer plus longtemps les agissements des bandes

Il existe pour la défense de nos divisions de l'Est contre les troupes turques, le chemin de fer dont disposaient les Anglais quand ils occupaient la région et dont l'occupation seule a permis le maintien de l'ordre.

Le Président du Conseil français n'insiste pas sur son projet de réponse à la Délégation du Hedjaz, qui a été différé jusqu'ici et n'aurait plus aucune portée s'il était retenu encore à la fin de juin, jusqu'à la prochaine conférence; il se confondra d'ailleurs avec la réponse adressée à l'Émir.

Paris, le 25 mai 1920.

Enclosure 2 au No. 254.

### Déclaration à l'Émir Feysal.

LES Gouvernements français et anglais ont examiné en commun les objections faites par Son Altesse l'Émir Feysal à la récente déclaration par laquelle les deux Puissances alliées lui avaient confirmé leurs déclarations antérieures relatives à l'indépendance des populations de la Syrie, de la Palestine et de la Mésopotamie. L'Émir avait informé de la décision prise par la Conférence de la Paix de donner à la France le mandat sur la Syrie et à l'Angleterre le mandat sur la Mésopotamie et sur la Palestine, et l'avaient invité à se rendre à la prochaine session du Conseil suprême.

Les deux Gouvernements ont également pris connaissance de la protestation de la Délégation du Hedjaz contre la décision de la Conférence de la Paix relative aux mandats, décision qu'ils représentent comme négligeant les aspirations des populations et les principes de la guerre et de la déclaration que le Gouvernement de Damas, qui a pratiqué une politique de collaboration sincère avec les Alliés et tenu une entière bonne volonté pour maintenir l'ordre et la sécurité sur son territoire serait placé dans une situation difficile.

Les objections ainsi formulées ne paraissent nullement justifiées. Il appartient sans contestation possible à la Conférence de la Paix, constituée par l'union des Puissances qui, au prix de sacrifices immenses, ont libéré les peuples asservis, et en particulier les Arabes, de la domination de leurs oppresseurs, de régler les conditions de la paix et de déterminer le statut et les frontières des États nouveaux.

Les décisions antérieures des Puissances ont proclamé en même temps que leur ferme intention de reconnaître le droit des populations ainsi libérées à se gouverner à titre de nations indépendantes, la nécessité de confier à une Puissance mandataire le soin de guider leur administration jusqu'au moment où elles seront capables de la gérer elles-mêmes. La Conférence de la Paix a donc décidé que la Délégation du Hedjaz, enregistre formellement cette règle.

Le chef de la Délégation du Hedjaz, l'Émir Feysal, a si bien reconnu le bien-fondé de ces principes qu'il a de lui-même fait appel à la France pour cette mission dans les termes suivants de l'accord du 6 janvier :

"Le Gouvernement de la République française, se référant d'une part à la déclaration franco-anglaise du 9 novembre 1918, d'autre part aux principes généraux de libération des peuples et de collaboration amicale proclamés par la Conférence de la Paix, confirme sa reconnaissance du droit des populations de langue arabe, fixées sur le territoire syrien, de toutes confessions, à se réunir pour se gouverner elles-mêmes à titre de nation indépendante.

"Son Altesse Royale l'Émir Feysal reconnaît que les populations syriennes ont un grand intérêt en raison de la désorganisation résultant de l'oppression turque et des tourmentes subies par elles, à la libération de leur pays, à la mise en œuvre de la Puissance pour réaliser leur unité et organiser le fonctionnement de la nation, conseils et aide qui seront enregistrés par la Société des Nations, lorsque celle-ci sera pratiquement réalisée.

"Au nom des populations syriennes, c'est à la France qu'il fait appel pour cette mission."

Il ne saurait donc légitimement protester contre la décision de la Conférence de la Paix :

"C'est aux Puissances intéressées, qui ont libéré les Arabes et qui n'ont pas cessé de leur prêter avec le plus grand dévouement leur appui matériel et moral indispensable, qu'il appartient, au contraire, d'appeler la plus sérieuse attention de la Délégation du Hedjaz et de son chef sur le désordre et l'insécurité maintenus depuis des mois et toujours croissants sur le territoire syrien, sur la collusion d'officiers chrétiens avec des chefs de bandes turcs contre les troupes européennes libératrices et sur la situation de la population arabe. Il est évident que les graves inconvénients d'une telle situation ne sauraient se prolonger et que si les autorités arabes de Damas ne voulaient ou ne pouvaient pas maintenir l'ordre et la sécurité sur le territoire, les Puissances mandataires auraient le devoir d'y procéder elles-mêmes pour le bien des populations et la vie de leurs nationaux.

Les deux Puissances allies renouvellent à Son Altesse l'Emir Feysal leur pressante invitation à se rendre à la prochaine session de la Conférence de la Paix, dans l'espoir que l'avance des pays arabes pourrait être réglé d'accord avec lui et les malentendus dissipés. Elles ne sauraient, d'ailleurs, ni dissimuler que, faute de se rendre à la cordiale invitation des Puissances, il cesserait à leurs vœux de conserver sa position officielle de délégué au Hedjaz à la Conférence de la Paix.

Paris, le 20 mai 1920.

E 5689 47 44.

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon. — (Received June 1)

(No 17.)

My Lord,

Aden, May 20, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter dated the 20th May, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, C.

11

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 255.

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, C.

(Secret.)

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, May 20, 1920.

THE following is a summary of news reported since the despatch of my last letter, dated the 13th May, 1920.

Tihama

Reports received from Hadenda during this week — Bura by the Idriat. Sheikh Mohamed Zaid (Qubra), who was in a fight, was wounded in the leg. A force of 1,500 to 2,000 imams is to be concentrated at Shi Jaba, and another of 700 awaiting the arrival of Sayid Mahomed al-Sayid at Bura to advance on Jebel Bura.

The Idriat, in his letter to Syed Mustafa referred to in my last letter states that he has made adequate arrangements for the defence of Jebel Bura, and that he is advancing on Zaid.

An agent at Menakha reports that Sheikh Abu Hadi is inclining towards the Idriat. He says that he has received no confirmation of this report. In a recent letter to him, the Idriat commander at Bajil, Sheikh Mohamed Tabir, said that the Qubra tribes were absolutely in his hands.

Captain Gordon says that at present the Qubra are mustering in numbers, and it appears that the eve of considerable fighting on a larger scale is approaching. He adds that if this continual fighting is maintained, there is the possibility of the Qubra becoming war-weary, and the Menakha agent's report may be a warning of this in advance.

Sheikh Sulaiman Haman Bukheit of the Abos, in a recent letter to the Political Officer, Hadenda states that rumours are afloat that the imam has made a vow to conquer Hadenda, even if he has to pay his soldiers at the rate of 1.50 dollars per man. The sheikh has heard the imams' demand that it is lawful to shoot to assist in capturing Marawa, Kutai and Hadenda, and behind the sheikh and the Political Officer, Hadenda. He says that our interest is identical. The party should help the other—the Abos on land and we on sea. He warns us to be on our guard, and enquires whether we will help him in case of necessity.

Captain Gordon has sent him a non-committal reply. According to the information received by the Political Officer, on 23rd April, 1920, the imam's commander, Ahmed Abbas-ar-Ramash, Sheikh of Beni Matar, came down with 2,200 soldiers and occupied Hujeda, Attar, Shutaba, W. Har, and about five villages at the foot of Jebel Bura; 500 imams are stationed at Hujeda.

Yemen (Upper and Lower).

An agent at Sana reports that the imam has invited fresh drafts of soldiers from Beni Matar and Hamhan; 100 to 200 soldiers arrive daily in Sana, and are despatched to the Tihama. Some of the tribesmen of Khaulan and Al Hadda have refused to join the imam's service. They are trying to overthrow the yoke of the Imam. The majority of the people of Sana is in favour of the Idriat. I regard this report as reliable.

The number of Turkish officers and soldiers still remaining in the Yemen is small.

Iden Protectorate

A. K. M. ibn-el-Wagi, ex Attil of Nadlura, is reported to have arrived at Jajila with 200 Zaidis on the 3rd May, 1920, and to have since come down to Sana (Alawi) accompanied by Muqbil Abdulla and the Alawi sheikh. I have had no confirmation of this report.

Yours, &c.

J. M. STEWART

E 5631/1331 44.

No.

Sir A. Geddes to Earl Curzon. — (Received June 2)

(No. 657)

My Lord,

Washington, May 20, 1920.

WITH reference to your despatch No. 436 of the 21st April, I have the honour to transmit herewith copies of a Message from the President to the Senate dated the 17th May,\* and dealing, in reply to a Senate resolution, with the restrictions alleged to be imposed in various foreign countries, chiefly the component parts of the British Empire, on the acquisition and development of oil-bearing territory by United States citizens.

To this statement the facts contained in your telegram No. 433 of the 7th May would enable me to return an immediate reply. Nevertheless, in view of the fact that the chief argument of men like Senator Phelan, who present this particular form of anti-British agitation, is based on the contention that the oil sources controlled by the United States are rapidly deteriorating, while it is the undeveloped and potentially rich fields which are being monopolized by Great Britain, I hesitate to issue a statement which might be the prelude to a long and bitter controversy.

At the same time, and since it is in my view most improbable that this agitation will die down or that we shall be able indefinitely to ignore it, I would suggest, for your Lordship's consideration, that the subject having now been definitely raised in the Senate here, a reply to the anti-British allegations implied or expressed might well be made in Parliament. The possibility of including in such a reply a reassuring statement as to the policy of His Majesty's Government with regard to oil exploitation in those parts of former Turkey for which they propose to assume the mandate is one which can only be considered in London.

Mr. Lindsey has already, in his despatch No. 54 of the 9th January, referred to the influence of the Standard Oil Company during the war between the Standard Oil Company and the Administration, a relationship which there is good reason to suppose extended also to certain members of the Legislature such as Senator Phelan. This influence was brought about chiefly by the efforts of Mr. Bedford, president of the Standard Oil Company, who gave his services to the Government in connection with the direction of oil supplies at a nominal salary of one dollar a year, has completely reversed the pre-war relationship under which it was nothing less than courting disaster for any member of the Administration to incur the suspicion of an association with the oil interests.

The extent of the influence thus acquired by the company Administration circles may be gauged by the fact that the former Director of the Bureau of Mines, the department which is directly responsible for oil questions, has accepted, undoubtedly on the recommendation and with the support of Mr. Bedford, position on the American Petroleum Institute, while it is possibly also not without significance that Mr. Lane, formerly Secretary of the Interior, has joined the board of a

\* Not printed.



large oil corporation on his retirement from the Administration of the oil interest the Administration cannot but increase to a large extent the dangers to Anglo-American relations inherent in the whole question.

I need not remind your Lordship of the evidence already in the possession of the Foreign Office showing the connection of the Standard Oil Company with the agitation.

I have, &c.  
A. C. GEDDES

[E 5700 1 58]

No. 257.

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received June 1, 1920.)

(Secret.)

Sir,

I AM commanded by the Army Council to bring to the notice of the Foreign Office the attached telegram of the 30th May from the General Officer Commanding Constantinople.

It will be observed that General Milne points out that in the first place a withdrawal of from ten to fourteen days is necessary to enable shipping to be collected for the withdrawal of the garrison.

Secondly, the present political, industrial and military situation in Batoum is such that a complete upheaval may take place at any moment.

Thirdly, it is only right that fair warning should be given to the inhabitants before the withdrawal taken place.

Fourthly, General Milne must of necessity remain in Batoum, with which communication is precarious owing to the fact of the wireless being unreliable.

In these circumstances I am to state that the Army Council cannot agree to be responsible any longer for the safety of the British troops in Batoum, and propose to send the attached telegram to General Milne ordering the necessary preparations for withdrawal to be made forthwith.

I am, &c.  
H. J. CREEDY.

Enclosure I in No. 257.

General Milne to War Office.—(Received May 31.)

(Secret.) P.

YOUR telegram of 2nd May.  
Situation as follows:—

Constantinople, May 30, 1920.

Soviet system has been adopted by Azerbaijan. Russian Red troops have arrived, and communication between Baku and Tiflis is cut. For the present Russian Reds appear content with practical control of Azerbaijan and prospective control of Georgia. Any attempted occupation will be resisted by the Adjarian inhabitants, who may invoke the intervention of Turkey. Indecisive fighting between Georgians and Azerbaijan now stopped by armistice. Although it may be desire of Georgian Government to resist Bolsheviks, I do not consider Georgian army capable of resisting any Bolshevik invasion, or to do so. Armenia states she will resist Bolsheviks, but has apparently sent no troops to discuss future. Probably unable to resist, even if supplied with military and pecuniary support is essential before she can be regarded as appreciable factor. Turkish and Armenian mutual antagonism, but at present are ready to use each other against common enemy. A bad impression against us is being caused by Bolshevik action in Northern Persia.

Situation Batoum:—

Garrison merely police, and against any attack would be totally insufficient. Trade at a standstill, and labour troubles will shortly arise owing to lack of work. Oil pumping ceased, and twice lately attempts made to fire the tanks, which, if successful,

would have destroyed the docks. No food for inhabitants except such as is brought by British. Owing to Baku occupation currency has now become valueless, hence administration is now being run at a considerable loss. Communication by wireless only, and liable to be impossible for several days at a time in summer owing to weather. Owing to shipping question reinforcement or evacuation or garrison impossible without minimum warning of ten days. No useful military purpose being served by retention of Batoum, and military situation of three battalions occupying indefensible town is unsound, especially as neither town population nor Georgians seem likely to become suddenly dangerous, it must be remembered that I am not in a position to intervene for nearly a fortnight, allowing for delays in communication and for collecting shipping. Any evacuation must be carried out *en bloc*, and fair warning to the commercial classes should be given.

Constantinople, June 1, 1920.

War Office to General Milne (Constantinople).

(Telegraphic.)

War Office, June 1920

YOUR telegram of 30th May

In view of the situation described in your telegram, the necessary arrangements should be made to collect shipping, issue warnings, &c., for the withdrawal of the Allied garrison from Batoum forthwith.

[E 5508 3 44]

No. 258

Earl Curzon to Sir G. Buchanan (Rome).

(Telegraphic.) R.

Foreign Office, June 2, 1920

HIGH Commissioner, Constantinople, telegraphs a request by the Sublime Porte for extension to 11th July of time for reply to the peace terms.

Please suggest to Italian Government that this request should be concurred in.

[E 5700 1 58]

No. 259

Foreign Office to War Office

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 2, 1920.

IN reply to your letter of the 1st June, I am directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to draw the attention of the Army Council to the following points:—

1. The Cabinet has three times during the past three weeks reaffirmed the desirability on political grounds of postponing for a short time longer the ultimate evacuation of Batoum, while enforcing the authority given to General Milne to withdraw the British force in the event of serious disaster impending.

The grounds upon which the War Office and the General Officer Commanding have hitherto opposed this decision have been that the Soviet forces were about to attack, that the Georgian forces had made common cause with the enemy, and there was serious danger of the small military garrison at Batoum being either overwhelmed or compelled to withdraw in circumstances of ignominy if not of disaster.

None of these contingencies have so far arisen, and indeed for days in succession the War Office telegrams from Batoum have reported the situation as "quiet."

4. The Soviet forces show no signs of advancing, and the Georgians appear to be resisting the enemy. There seems to be no likelihood of anything approaching a general attack, and if Batoum is evacuated before any local settlement is arrived at, the fate of Armenia is sealed.

5. General Milne, who appears to be bent on evacuation in all circumstances, now relies on the instability of the internal and economic situation in Batoum, and for the first time informs us that a minimum period of ten days is required in order to procure the necessary shipping; while the Army Council propose in addition to issue telegraphic instructions for the withdrawal of the Allied garrison from Batoum forthwith.

With reference to this, Lord Curzon wishes to observe, firstly, that the immediate withdrawal of the garrison would be a reversal of the existing Cabinet decision; secondly, that it is not for His Majesty's Government to make arrangements for withdrawal of the Allied garrison, since a portion of this garrison is provided by the Allies themselves; thirdly, that it is not understood why it is now stated for the first time that so long a notice is needed to procure the necessary shipping, a point which his Lordship would be glad to receive further explanation; fourthly, that his Lordship would be glad to be informed what is the nature of the warning that it is issued, under what terms and to whom; finally, that if it be a warning that execution is to take place forthwith, this would appear to anticipate a decision which whatever its merits, has not so far been taken by His Majesty's Government.

I am &c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON

E 5714 289 44

No. 260.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 1.)

(No. 649.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, June 2, 1920.

FOLLOWING received from my representative at Smyrna:—

"My French colleague informs me Greek High Commissioner told him when Greece taken over Smyrna Consular Courts and consular courts will be abolished. May I be instructed whether such is provision of treaty? What reply should I send him?"

(E 5858/3.44)

No. 261.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 4.)

(No. 733. Secret.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, May 22, 1920.

WITH reference to my telegram No. 586 of the 17th May, I have the honour to transmit herewith copies of the following papers, which throw considerable light on the activities and policy of the Nationalist leaders at Angora:—

- (a.) A report from a very secret source on the activities of the Nationalist leaders at Angora.
- (b.) A translation of a proclamation said to have been issued by the Nationalist leaders now sitting at Angora. This has also reached me from a secret source. I cannot vouch for its authenticity, though I see no reason to doubt it.
- (c.) A translation of a report issued by the Nationalist leaders at the first sittings of the National Assembly.
- (d.) A translation of a telegram addressed by Ferid Pasha at Angora to "an important personage" in Constantinople.

2. The last two documents are of particular interest as being official pronouncements by Nationalist leaders of undoubted authenticity. I would draw your Lordship's attention to the following points of interest:—

1. The Nationalist leaders have considered the question of setting up a Sultan in Anatolia, and abandoned the idea. It is possible that this decision was reached after a long and bitter struggle. However that may be, the theory invented to explain the course actually adopted is interesting. The leaders of the movement profess loyalty to the Sultan-Caliph, but declare him to be in danger, and state that to appoint a deputy Sultan would be to play into the hands of the enemy, whose aim it is, according to them, to separate the functions of Sultan and Caliph. They therefore meet the situation by maintaining that in the abnormal circumstances there is nothing for it but for the Nationalist

Assembly to unite in itself all powers, executive and legislative, which are normally exercised by different organs in the State and have their point of contact in the person of the sovereign. The Provisional Government set up at Angora is expressly stated to be only a delegation of the Assembly, and the Ministers are for that reason called "Vekil," i.e., an attorney or person acting under powers conferred by another.

2. It is characteristic of Turkish methods to seek to invest with a form of legality the most lawless transactions. The National Assembly is being used to enact so-called laws intended to cover the responsibility of the National leaders. Among these is a law making it punishable with death to oppose the will of the National Assembly. This law is already invoked to justify certain "executions" in areas where the Nationalists are at grips with the supporters of the Central Government.

3. The resolution of the Assembly declaring its non-recognition of any agreement entered into since the armistice is probably aimed particularly at the alleged agreement between Damad Ferid Pasha and His Majesty's Government, which has lately been resuscitated for propaganda purposes both in Asia Minor and in Paris. It is preposterous to suppose that this preposterous document has found its way even to

South America.

I am still without definite information regarding the personnel of the "Delegation." It is said to consist of Ahmed Riza Bey and Ahmed Ruxton Ridwanly and others. Ahmed Ruxton Ridwanly is supposed to have returned to Angora after the occupation of the city, but it is noticeable that his name does not appear in the list of the members of the Delegation. From this and certain more positive indications from Moustaf Bey, sometime Turkish Minister at Kiel and a well-known adherent of the Committee of Union and Progress, it is believed to have recently gone to Europe. I conclude that he has almost certainly made his way to Europe.

Nationalists started some time ago a news agency, which publishes in the interior daily news bulletins. These reach Constantinople after a certain delay. They contain a certain amount of information regarding what passes at Angora. Their most striking feature, however, is violent anti-Entente propaganda, directed to some extent against the French in Cilicia and Syria, but mainly against the British. The British get the whole credit of the occupation of Constantinople and of the harshness of the attitude of the Allies towards the Turk. The British Government's difficulties in all parts of the world, from Ireland to India, are explained, and they are the object of constant attack, including accusations of the most scurrilous description. Supposed American sympathy with Turkey is a good deal advertised in these bulletins. A noticeable feature of recent issues has been the almost complete omission of any mention of Azerbaijan and the Caucasus generally, an omission probably due to a desire to cloak Turkish activities in those regions.

4. The "important personage" to whom the telegram mentioned above under (d) is addressed, is Nureddin Pasha, who was Wali of Smyrna soon after the armistice and who subsequently played a considerable role in the organisation of the Nationalist movement in the Balkan area. The position of Nureddin Pasha vis-à-vis of the Central Government is obscure. His activities are at least tolerated by the Government, and it is conceivable that he may have backing from the Sultan and some elements of the "liberal" Party. It is, moreover, probable that Damad Ferid himself is not unwilling to keep a door open for an understanding with the Nationalists. Damad Ferid is sincerely anxious to re-establish the authority of the Central Government, but there is a growing feeling that he does not wish to push the policy of repression by force beyond a certain point.

5. The Grand Vizier's position is in fact one of increasing difficulty. He is in a weak position, and his attempts to bolster up his position are hampered at every step by the fundamental illegality of his position. He had a meeting on the 10th May with a number of ex-deputies still in Constantinople. He is reported to have said at this meeting that the Government would never consent to the loss of Thrace and Smyrna, and to have been met with the not unnatural rejoinder that in that case it was difficult to understand why he was fighting the Nationalists. This puts the matter in a nutshell. Should Damad Ferid Pasha sign the Peace Treaty as it stands at present, he cannot hope to carry with him more than a few even of those who at present support him. If, notwithstanding this, he should attempt to remain in power and to continue the struggle against the Nationalists, he will, in effect, be using Moslems to fight the battle of the Greeks.

6. It is quite possible that, notwithstanding all his desire to serve the Sultan and keep things together, Damad Ferid Pasha will find this prospect so intolerable that he



will resign rather than sign the treaty. Whether he signs it or not is, however, merely a detail in a complex situation, for it remains true that the treaty, even if signed, can only be imposed on Turkey, as a whole, by the use of force.

I have, &c

J. DE ROBERTS,  
High Commissioner

Enclosure 1 in No. 261

Report from Angora.

The Nationalist Assembly held at Angora under the presidency of Mustafa Kemal on the 23rd April consisted of 174 members, and included twenty-four deputies who had arrived from Constantinople, among whom were Jelaeddin Arif, Riza Nur, Yonus Nuri, and Jani Beya. The meeting, at which I was present, concerned itself with the election of presidents, and Mustafa Kemal made a long speech in which he gave an account of the activities of the Nationalist organization during the past twelve months. The Assembly then elected Mustafa Kemal, first president; Jelaeddin Arif, second president; Bektaşlı Abdul Mejal, of Kırsehir, first vice-president; and Abdul Halim Çelebi, first [sic] vice-president. An executive council was then selected from among the members of the Assembly and included Mustafa Kemal, Jelaeddin Arif, Dr. Adnan Hakk, Behçet Bekir Sami, Hamidullah Subhi, Jami, Fevzi Pasha, and Colonel İsmet Bey as Chief of the General Staff. The Provisional Government thus established is actually republican in form, but in view of the sentiments of the people towards the Sultan this is not openly avowed.

The Government fetwas have made a deep impression upon the population and the prevailing opinion is that if a really efficient force were to be sent to Anatolia from Constantinople the Nationalists would be

was that have been issued here no signature, but other Nationalist announcements usually bear the signature of Mustafa Kemal, just as official documents of the Central Government bear the signature of the Sultan. The decision to form a National Assembly at Angora was taken at a meeting of the Nationalist Representative Council held at Karaman on the 28th March.

Mustafa Kemal has been collecting troops and sending them to Greece, but they are not very numerous, as the Nationalists are short of certain supplies. In general the non-Moslem population is at present well treated, but in Greece and at Ortakou 1,100 Greek houses have been burnt. In that district many people were killed, including a Greek officer.

Mustafa Kemal's relations with the Italians are excellent. At the Assembly speeches in favour of the Italians were made, and it was stated that the territory occupied by Italian troops had been completely evacuated. Mustafa Kemal himself informed the Assembly that the Italians had now only a few troops at Kuah Ada and Adalia. Major Fuad Bey told me that the Nationalists had obtained large quantities of arms and munitions from the above-mentioned places.

Mahar Bey formerly Governor of Uskub, Roshad Bey, formerly Commander of the Parliament Guard, and the son of Bekir Sami Bey have arrived here. They escaped from Constantinople with the help of a French officer and went to Mesopotamia whence they travelled via Eski Shehir and Konia.

The French officer and ten French soldiers who were arrested in Eski Shehir were released on the 23rd April, and were allowed to return to Constantinople via İskele and Brusa.

When I was in Konia I saw three British officers and four soldiers who had escaped with the help of a Turk and were caught in Akabad. They were brought to Eski Shehir, and I have seen one of them taking a walk under escort. He was wearing a Scottish cap.

The correspondent of the "Chicago Tribune," Mr. Williams, was in Angora for five or six days, and had a few interviews with Mustafa Kemal, but, as he speaks very little French and no Turkish, he had some difficulty in making himself understood, although an officer acted as his interpreter. On the 27th April Mr. Williams left Angora for the Smyrna front, and I travelled in the same train as far as Eski Shehir.

\* See Report HA 122. Agent may either have given a wrong name, or the full name of this man may be Abdul Mejal Jelaeddin.

He made certain statements about the Turkish peace terms to some journalists, but what he said was mostly nonsense. But he also stated openly that the British had tried to prevent him from going to Angora, and the Anatolian press repeated the statement.

Eski Shehir, May 6, 1920.

Enclosure 2 in No. 261.

Proclamation by the Great National Assembly.

(Secret.)

The following is a translation of the text of a proclamation to the nation by the Great National Assembly, taken from a copy which is in the possession of the Sublime Porte:—

The Great National Assembly, consisting of representatives of the whole nation, taking into consideration all that has been said and all that has occurred, has decided to appeal to the country as follows:

"Certain enemies and traitors against their religion and their country, bought by the English, have circulated among you stories of revolution against the Sultan and the Caliphate. This is being done for the purpose of fomenting internecine strife amongst the nation which has taken up arms and is sacrificing its blood for the purpose of restoring the power and prestige of the Caliphate and the Sultanate, by driving from the country the enemies of religion, who have occupied with their troops Smyrna, Adana, Marash, Urfa and Antak, all of which are parts of the Ottoman Empire. Representatives of the nation, swear by God and His Prophet that there is no revolution against the Sultan and Caliph. What the enemy heartily desires in this there may be discord, in order that our country may suffer the same fate as India and Egypt. Believe not the words of the English spies; refuse absolutely to hear them; for by sowing discord amongst us they desire to enfeeble us, for the sole purpose of enslaving our nation. The dominion of the Turkish Sultanate and Caliphate could no longer exist after the loss of its Stamboul, its Smyrna, its Adana and its Marash. Help those who are striving to save the Empire and our religion from the enemy which is seeking to destroy the country, and assist those who are striving to retake these beloved portions of our country. Continue the holy war for the re-establishment of the power and the prestige of the seat of the Caliphate and of the Sultanate. Trust not the words of traitors and cowards, but assist those who are punishing them in conformity with the decisions of the National Assembly. May the will of God and the divine help of our Holy Prophet be the guide and support of those who devote their lives and their souls to the union of the nation and of the Fatherland! Amin.

"By order of the Great National Assembly

"May 1, 1336."

MUSTAFA KEMAL President

Enclosure 3 in No. 261

National Assembly at Angora.

(Secret.)

The following is a translation of an account of the first meeting of the National Assembly at Angora, issued by the Anatolian Press Agency:

April 24, 1920. Saturday evening.

The great National Assembly met at ten o'clock this morning under the presidency of Şerif Bey, deputy for Sinope and doyen of its members.

The Assembly first examined and approved the reports dealing with the election of the deputies.

Mustafa Kemal Pasha then gave an account of important events which had occurred since the Armistice. The speech of Mustafa Kemal lasted two and a-half hours, with an interval of five minutes, and had not ended when the sitting was suspended for the luncheon hour. Mustafa Kemal Pasha continued his speech at three o'clock and explained in full detail all the secret history of events which had

has been created to take charge of the general national administration and to assume the functions of the former Caliph. No other force superior to your Honourable Assembly exists. When the Caliph of all the Moslems, released from menace and free, and our Sovereign the Caliph of all the Moslems, released from menace and the oppression of every kind, finds himself free and independent in the bosom of the law, he will have his high and venerable place within the limits of the law drawn up by the Assembly.

of deputies would be. It is not entirely correct to say that the

the laws already made, and let each and every one of us  
to these principles and gives to its executive bodies extraordinary power  
in order to carry them out. The law is the basis of all action. The  
law is the basis of all action. The law is the basis of all action.

work by choosing them among themselves, and by accepting the principle that these persons will be responsible to

It has been decided that he shall be head of the executive body to be chosen by you. This head will have the right to sign in the name of your honourable Assembly, and to legalize its decisions. The other members of the executive body will be named 'Vakil'. The responsibility of the head, like to the other honourable members, for the administrative affairs. Thus the executive corp will consist of members of the Assembly chosen by the latter and responsible to it. These members will be named 'Vakil'. The responsibility of the head, like to the executive body and to your honourable Assembly, will be a grave one.

Executive body and to your honorable Assembly, will be a grave one. This general awakening is due to the lack of foresight in consequence of which our country has pursued through a series of years a policy of attempts to copy European methods, at others the affairs of the State have been conducted from a standpoint of personal interests, whilst at others again the country has been sacrificed to personal ambitions.

to decision must necessarily depend on your honorable Assembly. I desire to state, however, that the destruction of the monument is not a competent directing authority. I consider it my patriotic duty to declare to you that empty discussion of theories will be worse than bad administration.

"May God grant you success!"

Enclosure 4 in N. 100.1

*Translation of Telegram sent by the Representative Committee.*

From Peter Pasha to Noureddin Pasha.

[4370]



DE 5872.1729 44

*Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 4.)*

(No. 651.) (Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, June 3, 1914.

GENERAL MILNE informs me that Military Attaché, Rome, telegraphs  
advent of Italian division in Constantinople area. Further Italians are anxious to  
acquire zone on Asiatic side of Bosphorus. This is a serious matter  
politically, in view of present Italian activities and military movements.  
Milne, I consider efforts should be made to get Italians to leave over the  
Bosphorus and out of the Straits of Mermer as far as Panderma  
is concerned until such time as peace is signed. I thought upon objections, it  
would leave French in Gallipoli, Italians remaining two  
necessary support in Straits. Reasons against Italians taking over section of Aegean  
peninsula and the Dardanelles are as follows. It would at once weaken our position both  
to Italians that security vanishes. There are many other military objections.  
doubtless Milne will inform War Office.

(E 5874/S 44)

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 4.)

(No. 634)  
(Telegraphic) R  
Constantinople, June 4, 1920

Despatch No. 732 of 22nd May.  
Admiral Le Tonnerre informs me, and French II<sup>nd</sup> C<sup>orps</sup> Commander confirms, that General Gouraud has arranged for armistice with forces of Mustafa Kemal, lasting from midnight 31st May to 1st June.  
Further, it appears that General Gouraud is pointing out that, as French did not intend to retain Cilicia, he did not wish to see further bloody fighting for a country in which French interned themselves.

[E 5870 '3 44]

No. 264

Sir G. Graham to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 4.)

Paris, June 4, 1920

President of the Council.

a true statement of case, but please treat it as very confidential.

French troops were in very precarious all night and one battalion at Biridjik [?] completely surrounded, and Gouraud said he could not assist them, Faisal having

be massacred.

passing through Angora on his way home, saw Mustapha Kemal, and agreed with him for a suspension of hostilities for 24 hours. The French hoped French battalion will be able to make a safe retirement.

President of the Council said there was no other alternative to be pursued.

[E 5900, 1739/44]

No. 265.

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received June 5.)

War Office, June 4, 1920

Sir,

IN continuation of War Office letter dated the 28th May, relative to the first military command and organisation in the demilitarised zone at Constantinople, I am now directed by the Army Council to forward for the information of Earl Curzon of

Kedleston, copies of correspondence from the military attaché, Rome, relative to

It will be observed from this correspondence that the Italian War Office agree to the Italian troops being under the general command of General Milne "until peace with Turkey is signed, i.e., as long as the present state of armistice lasts," but that they assume that the moment peace is signed with Turkey, by which they presumably imply the moment when the after-war organisation definitely comes into effect, General

to point out that the Italian Government now propose to appoint a

I am to say that the Army Council have no objection to make to the proposal of the Italian War Office, provided that—

- (a.) Covering approval is obtained from the Italian Government for Italian troops to be under the supreme command of General Milne until the after-war organisation comes into force.
- (b.) It is made quite clear that General Milne shall retain supreme command until this moment.
- (c.) The proviso suggested by the Italian War Office that the

a political character shall in no way interfere with General Milne's authority to dispose the Italian troops in such a manner as he may see fit, as Inter-Alled Commander-in-Chief, and

I am to say that this last proviso appears to the Army Council to be one in which the Italian Government a definite announcement of the date by which they expect the arrival of the last Italian unit to be completed and upon which they

in conclusion and with reference to my letter dated the 21st May, 1920, I am to

I am, &c.  
B. B. CURTIS

Enclosure 1 in No. 265.

Military Attaché, Rome, to War Office

Rome, May 15, 1920.

I RECEIVED your telegram on the 12th instant, and asked his Excellency the Ambassador if he had yet received a reply to his note verbale to the Minister of Foreign Affairs asking that the Italian Government should send a white Italian battalion to Batoum. His Excellency the Ambassador informed me that he had not yet received any reply, and that it would be better to await the result of an interview he was going to have with the Minister of War on the 14th instant.

On the 13th May I received your telegram of the 11th May and at once had an interview with the Chief of the Staff to the Minister of War. I explained to him that it was presumed that the Minister of War understood that the Italian troops proceeding to Constantinople would be under the orders of General Milne. He replied that he understood this, but on my saying that I would wire to you to this effect he said that I had better await his written reply to the message, and promised that he would send it by the 14th May. I have not yet received it, and I am sending you a reminder.

On my asking the Chief of the Staff to the Minister of War whether the Italian troops moving to Constantinople would furnish a battalion for Batoum he informed me that he had received no information on the subject; on my pressing him he informed me that he knew we had made a demand to the Foreign Minister for a battalion to be

sent to Batoum, but that when the Chief of the General Staff to the army had heard of the request he had formed the opinion that in case of attack two divisions would be required, and that as they were not a large force they would send nothing at all. I at once reported the result of my interview with his Excellency the Ambassador, who is taking the matter up with the Foreign Minister.

During the course of the interview the Chief of Staff to the Minister of War informed me that they were sending sufficient troops to make up an Italian division at Constantinople, and that this meant sending two complete regiments and one or perhaps two battalions to make up the 62nd Italian regiment, at present in Constantinople, which consists of only one battalion; he hoped that the Italians would be given a complete zone in Constantinople under their own general, and would prefer to be quartered in Scutari. I have communicated this privately to General Milne.

A few days ago General Albrici, who is going to Constantinople to command all the Italian troops in Turkey, asked me to go to see him. He asked me various questions, and I told him that I was most anxious to establish friendly relations with the Italians. He said he was dining with me on Monday to meet Admiral Calthorpe, our late High Commissioner in Constantinople.

With regard to the question of the despatch of Italian troops to Batoum, it is unlikely that the question can be dealt with until the formation of a new Italian Cabinet, as the Italian custom is that during the interval between the fall of a Cabinet and the formation of a new one only questions of routine can be dealt with, any other questions being reserved for the first session of the new Cabinet.

J. DUNCAN, Major-General

Enclosure 2 in No. 265.

Military Attaché, Rome, to War Office

Rome, May 17, 1920

I ENCLOSE herewith for your information copy of my despatch addressed to His Britannic Majesty's Ambassador.

J. DUNCAN, Major-General.

Enclosure 3 in No. 265.

Major-General Duncan to Sir G. Buchanan

Rome, May 17, 1920

My Lord, Excellency,  
ON the 14th May I visited Colonel Carletti, the Chief of the Staff to the Minister of War, and informed him that I had received the following telegram from the War Office—

"London, May 11

"General Milne informs War Office that an Italian brigade is expected to arrive within a very few days, and that it will be quartered at Stamboul. It is presumed that the Ministry of War knows that this brigade will be under General Milne's orders, but it is wished that this point should be made clear."

Colonel Carletti informed me that he quite understood that the Italian troops could be under the command of General Milne, but he asked me to delay sending an answer to the War Office until he had sent a written reply. This reply was received by me yesterday, and is as follows:—

"Rome, May 14, 1920.

"With reference to your note verbale of the 13th instant, I have the honour to inform you as follows:—

"1. Simultaneously with the despatch of an Italian brigade to Batoum, the command of the Italian troops in the East will be established in Constantinople. For administration and discipline, besides the above-mentioned division, also the Italian troops detached in Asia Minor and in Thrace will, from all points of view, depend from that command."



"Until peace with Turkey is signed, that is to say, as long as the present state of armistice lasts, the command of the inter-Allied troops (General Milne) will have the high jurisdiction over the Italian command, which, in the interim, take its orders from the King's Government for measures of order. With the subsequent signing of the Treaty of Peace with Turkey General Milne's military jurisdiction over the Italian troops will naturally cease."

"I take this opportunity of informing you that the Italian High Commissioner at Constantinople has received orders from this Royal Government to come to an agreement with the inter-Allied authorities of Constantinople with the object of assigning a sector of their own on the Asiatic coast of the Bosphorus to the Italian troops that are to be despatched to that place."

"2. With reference to the Batoum battalion, I have the honour to inform you that the Royal Italian Government has come to the decision to suspend the despatch of that body, and therefore it will not be furnished by the division appointed for Constantinople."

"CARLETTI, Colonel. G.S."

I have, &c.

J. DUNCAN, Major-General

[E 5441/3 44]

No. 266.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 508.)

(Telegraphic) R.

YOUR telegram No. 628 of 27th May

Following is reply of His Majesty the King to the Sultan of Turkey:—

"I have received Your Majesty's appeal of 27th May. The future of Turkey is in the hands of the Allied Governments, who have devoted long and patient construction of an equitable Treaty of Peace, and who may be trusted to do justice to all parties and interests concerned."

E 5506 3 44]

Earl Curzon to the Earl of Derby (Paris).

[By Hag.]

(No. 632.)

(Telegraphic) En clair.

Foreign Office, June 5, 1920.

HIGH COMMISSIONER, Constantinople, telegraphs a request by the Sultan's Porte for extension to 11th July of time for reply to the peace terms.

Please suggest to French Government that this request should be concurred in. You should also draw the attention of the French Government to delays in the train services between Paris and Constantinople, and request them to do anything possible to improve facilities for communication between Paris and Constantinople.

E 5982 3 44]

No. 268.

The Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 7.)

(No. 676.)

(Telegraphic.)

YOUR despatch No. 1895 of 5th June.

Paris, June 7, 1920

I saw Secretary-General of Ministry for Foreign Affairs this morning, and questioned him according to your instructions. The position is as follows:—

Troops are being drawn in from outlying posts such as Bozanti, Onra, Birdjik Marash, as they were dangerously exposed. But French troops have arrived by beginning of July

With reference to safety of Armenians in advanced posts now being evacuated negotiations are proceeding between Mustafa Kemal which French Government believe will ensure safety of Armenians. Such Government have now made satisfactory arrangements with Feisal for conveyance of troops by railway

E 5976 3 44]

No. 268\*

War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received June 7.)

Sir,

War Office, June 7, 1920

WITH reference to telegrams from the General Officer Commanding, Army of the Bosphorus, dated 27th May from the High Commissioner, Constantinople, of which copies are sent, I am commanded by the War Council to say that they adhere to the opinion expressed on 14th May regarding the employment of Turkish troops.

They consider that it would be undesirable to accede to the Turkish request for equipment and uniforms for a regular force of 10,000 men until the Inter-Allied Commissions of Control, provided for in the Treaty of Peace, are in a position to peruse with the terms of this treaty.

I am, &c.

H. R. CUBITT.

Enclosure in No. 268\*

General Milne to War Office.—(Received May 26.)

Constantinople, May 26, 1920.

(P)

A REQUEST has been made by Damad Ferid as Turkish War Minister to be authorized to number authorities in the draft Peace Treaty. I refer this matter to the War Council for their consideration of great importance.

[E 5872/1720 44]

No. 269.

Foreign Office to War Office.

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 8, 1920

WITH reference to the letter of the 28th ultimo from this Department, I am commanded to say that the Earl of Derby has transmitted to you herewith, for the information of the War Office, a copy of a letter from the Earl of Derby to the Earl of Curzon, dated 5th June, in which the Earl of Derby expresses his opinion on the subject of the request for extension of time for reply to the peace terms.

Lord Curzon agrees generally with the line of argument advanced in the Earl of Derby's telegram, he would be glad to receive the observations of the Army

I am, &c.

J. A. C. TILLEY

E 6094 3 44]

No. 27

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 9.)

(No. 676.)

(Telegraphic) R.

Constantinople, June 8, 1920

INFORMATION from same source as that mentioned in my telegram No. 676 of 5th June gives what purports to be a verbal order issued by Ferid P.

† See No. 243.

[4370]

3 F

Grand Vizier by two delegates who brought text of treaty from Paris. It is briefly as follows —

"Though Allies have agreed on text of our treaty, French and Italian representatives state that conditions are generally unacceptable and require revision with them for maintenance of their political and economic interests. Allies have told Greeks that latter must act alone in occupying Thrace and Smyrna and can count on being able to extend by one or two months period allowed for our reply."

E 6161/3 44

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. — (Received June 10)

Constantinople, May 25, 1920.

Enclosures in my despatch of the 22nd May, 1920, mention is made of a communication alleged to have been addressed to the Allied and to certain other Governments by the Nationalist Congress. No copy of any such communication has reached me direct from Angora, but about a week ago my French colleague received a communication addressed to the French Minister for Foreign Affairs, a copy of which is enclosed.

A Turkish version of the same communication has been published in the press in one of the news bulletins mentioned in the despatch referred to above.

It is a noticeable, though perhaps merely an accidental, detail that this Turkish version describes the document as having been addressed to the Entente, the neutral Powers in Europe and the American Government, without any mention of Soviet Russia, whereas in the telegram to Nureddin Pasha, enclosed in my despatch under reference, Soviet Russia was specifically mentioned.

I should be glad to be informed whether any copy of this communication has reached your Lordship direct, and, if so, by what channel.

I have, &c

J. M. DE ROBÉCK, High Commissioner.

Enclosure in No. 2, 1

Note communicated by Turkish Nationalist Congress to French Minister for Foreign Affairs

M. le Ministre,

Angora, le 30 avril 1920.

J'ai l'honneur de porter à la haute connaissance de votre Excellence qu'à la suite de l'occupation injuste et injustifiée de la ville de Constantinople par les forces

du 23 avril 1920, a déclaré par un vote solennel prendre en main les destinées présentes et futures de la patrie, tant que Son Kalid Su tan et sa ville

honneur de me charger de porter à la connaissance de votre Excellence que le peuple ottoman, en violation des stipulations de l'armistice qui a, une fois de plus, confirmé la nation ottomane dans son droit de disposer librement de son territoire.

Le Parlement, sacré et reconnu comme tel par toutes les nations, a été violé en pleine séance, du sein de laquelle les représentants du peuple ottoman ont été expulsés en se tenant simplement sur le droit du plus fort.

Le peuple ottoman, vu la violation de tous ses droits et l'attente portée à sa

exécutif du sein même de l'Assemblée, qui a pris de suite en main le gouvernement du pays.

En soumettant à votre Excellence ce qui précède, j'ai l'honneur de vous communiquer les desiderata de la nation exprimés et adoptés à la séance du 23 avril 1920 :

1. La nation ottomane, tout en gardant son sang-froid, ne comme prisonnière des Alliés, et, par conséquent, ne peut être considérée comme contractée par le soi-disant traité de paix.

2. La nation ottomane, tout en gardant son sang-froid, ne peut être considérée comme contractée par le soi-disant traité de paix, et pour son compte.

3. L'élément chrétien ottoman, ainsi que les éléments étrangers établis dans le pays, restent sous la sauvegarde de la nation; cependant ils ne doivent rien entreprendre contre la sécurité générale de la patrie.

Dans l'espoir d'un accueil favorable aux justes réclamations de la nation ottomane, je prie votre Excellence de vouloir bien agréer, &c

Au nom de l'Assemblée suprême nationale ottomane et par ordre,

Le Président de l'Assemblée,

MOUSTAPHA KEMAL.

E 6180 2854 44]

No. 559

Field Marshal Viscount Allenby to Earl Curzon. — (Received June 10)

(No 559)

My Lord,

Cairo, May 28, 1920

I HAVE the honour to submit the following remarks on the question of the grant of subsidies to Arab rulers, with reference to your Lordship's despatch No. 532 of the 4th May, transmitting the minutes of a meeting held at the Foreign Office on the 17th May, 1920, which I observe that the Treasury are not yet convinced of the necessity for the grant of the subsidies.

It appears to me that to arrive at a just decision on this subject it is necessary in the first place to compare the position of the different rulers now and before the war, to weigh the services of each during that period, to examine whether any responsibility rests on His Majesty's Government for the changes which have occurred, and, finally, to consider whether on grounds of morality or expediency, it is justifiable to impose on the British taxpayer the burden of providing some or all of them with monetary assistance in the future.

The beginning of the war saw an effective, if not entirely efficient, Ottoman administration in the Hejaz and Yemen. Ibn Rashid a mediatised Turkish vassal, the Idrisi in revolt against the ruling power, but already discussing terms of peace, and Ibn Saud who had not long before ejected the Turks from Hama and Qatif, showing a disposition to resume his allegiance provided that he was allowed a free hand in the administration of his own territory. The feeling of racial consciousness, which is now so marked, was already showing itself even in the Hejaz. It also shows that the Ottoman Government, however unwillingly, was beginning to realise its incapacity to retain by force the Arab countries, and was preparing to grant a measure of self-government which might satisfy the aspirations of its inhabitants.

The consideration of the subject was, however, abruptly broken off by the commencement of the war, and after the Ottoman Government had declared against the Allied Powers. Great Britain, taking advantage of the discontent which already existed, made promise to the Sherif of Mecca, Ibn Saud and the Idrisi, which induced them definitely to cast off their allegiance and throw in their lot with the Allies. I do not propose to examine and compare in detail the services performed by these three rulers, but I think it may fairly be said that each, according to his capacity rendered





to have regard to the fact that the Commission is under the supreme direction of General Officer Commanding Allied forces in Turkey in Europe. They will not admit commission is under General Milne's control, on equal footing. They will not admit commission is under General Milne's orders.

Consider expression "supreme direction" which they employ is so indefinite as to mean nothing and that members of commission would in fact be virtually independent, and thus constitute a separate authority approved by General Milne and the British Government.

I am therefore informing my French and Italian colleagues that as our opinions are not in accord regarding this question it must be referred for a solution to our respective Governments.

Copies of correspondence follow by bag

[E 8226 289 44]

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon — (Received June 10)

(Telegraphic) H.

Constantinople, June 10, 1920

SMYRNA telegram No. 5 of 20th May

I venture most strongly to support conclusions of Allied delegation. Consideration advanced in paragraph 4 appears to me conclusive, but in view of the far-reaching importance of matter from the point of view of the extensive

no time in making its attitude in regard to it unmistakably clear, as Allied residents are already seriously perturbed by the declaration of Greek High Commissioner and the prospect of being subjected to Greek jurisdiction and Greek law

E 5270 1729 44

Foreign Office to Admiralty

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 10, 1920.

With reference to Foreign Office letter of the 28th April enclosing correspondence regarding the question of actual representation on the Commissions of Control established at the Ministries of War, Marine and Posts and Telegraphs at Constantinople, I am directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to transmit herewith, for submission to the Lords' Commissioners to the Admiralty, copies of further correspondence exchanged with the High Commissioner at Constantinople on 1

from Admiral de Robeck, in his capacity of Admiral Commander-in-Chief, acts as president of the Posts and Telegraphs Control Commission, however, in that strongly opposed to any change being made in the present constitution

reference of the junior Allied officers on the commissions, and of a consequent weakening of the authority of the General Officer Commanding occupying forces.

Lord Curzon cannot but regard these fears as exaggerated. The over-riding authority of General Milne as General Officer Commanding has been admitted by French and Italian High Commissioners, and it appears to his Lordship

and of our Allies for equal representation on the Commissions of Control could in no way of harmony safely be conceded without in any way undermining the authority of General Milne or Admiral de Robeck. The fact that a French or Italian officer may sit as president of a commission should not, in Lord Curzon's opinion, prevent the British representatives from exercising their proper influence, especially when backed by the overriding authority of the Allied Commander-in-Chief.

In spite, therefore, of the arguments employed by Admiral de Robeck against a modification of the present system, Lord Curzon holds that there is no justification for going back on the promises made at San Remo, and that, on the contrary, it is necessary

\* See Nos. 226, 237 and 238.

to insist on the full execution of the instructions originally sent to the High Commissioner and General Milne.

Under these circumstances Lord Curzon trusts that the Lords Commissioners will see their way to instruct Admiral de Robeck to join in carrying out the instructions sent to him in his capacity of High Commissioner in the telegram from this Department No. 32 of the 21st April, and No. 383 of the 27th April, and to withdraw his opposition to the appointment of a French or Italian president on the Inter-Allied Commission at the Ministry of Marine, it being understood that this commission, just as the other two, will, in executive matters, act under the supreme orders of the General Officer Commanding Allied forces in Constantinople, or the Naval Commander as the case may be.

J. A. C. TILLEY

E 5270 1729 44]

Inter-Allied Commission established at the Ministry of War, Marine and Posts and Telegraphs. Attached in the earlier letter appears to be a telegram to explain that, in view of the honor of the French flag, the telegraphed to the High Commissioner on the 21st April, he took for granted the concurrence of that officer in the arrangement approved by the Foreign Office, the War Office and the Admiralty, by which the three commissions were to be divided between representatives of the three different Allied Powers. Subsequent to the receipt of the telegram from Admiral de Robeck, the High Commissioner made it clear that Admiral de Robeck could not lend himself so far as the presidency of the Marine Commission was concerned, in his capacity of British High Commissioner. Indeed, your letter of the 27th April, in spite of the clear intimation of Lord Curzon's wish, No. 383 of the 27th April, Admiral de Robeck has not yet accepted the presidency of the commission in question, nor has he suggested any alternative to the scheme of partition telegraphed from London.

As requested by the Army Council, I am to forward a copy of the written complaint of the French Ambassador referred to in the letter from this Department of the 18th May, and, for their further information, I enclose copies of two despatches from Rear Admiral Webb and of a telegram from Admiral de Robeck—all dealing with the subject of the Control Commissions. From Admiral Webb's despatches it would appear that the divergence of views between the French and Italian High Commissioners and General Milne extends now to the functions and powers as well as to the

exactly what the position in this respect is, and in view of the smooth working of the Inter-Allied controls, it would not be possible to arrange that the commissions should be consulted whenever practical matters are concerned, before instructions are issued to the Turkish Department affecting matters in the execution of which the commission is concerned. In maintaining his direct control of the Turkish army as Allied Commander-in-Chief

Admiral de Robeck states that the Commissions of Control are still working under the system provisionally agreed to by the French and Italian High Commissioners pending settlement by the Governments of the question of principle, and that, in spite of the fact that this question since arrived at, the commissions at the War Office and Ministry of Posts and Telegraphs are still under British presidency, while at the Ministry of Marine the commission is without a president. General Milne, it now appears, is prepared to surrender the presidency of the Post and Telegraph Commission provided Admiral de Robeck acts similarly in regard to the Marine Commission. Admiral de Robeck, however, as will be seen, is still strongly opposed to any change

\* See No. 237.

† See Nos. 232 and 241.

‡ See No. 235.



being made in the present constitution of the Commissions of Control, and is apprehensive of the possible results of the interference of junior Allied officers on those commissions and of a consequent weakening of the authority of the General Officer Commanding occupying forces.

Lord Curzon cannot but regard these fears as exaggerated. The overriding authority of General Milne as General Officer Commanding has been admitted by the French and Italian High Commissioners, and it appears to his Lordship that the demand of our Allies for equal representation on the Commissions of Control could not be conceded without in any way undermining General Milne's supreme authority. The fact that a French or Italian officer may sit as president of a commission should prevent the British representatives from exercising their proper influence, especially when they are backed by the overriding authority of the Allied Commander-in-Chief.

In spite, therefore, of the arguments put forward by Admiral de Robeck for maintaining the present purely provisional arrangement, Lord Curzon is of opinion that there is no justification for going back on the promises made at San Remo, and that, on the contrary, it is necessary to insist on the full execution of the instructions originally sent to the High Commissioner and General Milne.

In view, however, of the statement contained in the War Office letter of the 29th May, that General Milne awaits the decision of Admiral de Robeck as to the presidency of the Marine Commission in order to hand over the presidency of the Post Office and Telegraph Commission, Lord Curzon is approaching the Admiralty regarding the appointment of either a French or Italian officer as president of the Marine Commission. A copy of his Lordship's letter to the Admiralty\* is herewith enclosed.

In regard to the point raised in the last paragraph of the War Office letter of the 29th May, Lord Curzon is of opinion that the British hands so long as a British General is in command of the Allied forces in Constantinople, and he will instruct the British High Commissioner so to inform his colleagues. His Lordship proposes further that, as a condition of the acceptance of French or Italian presidents of the two other Commissions of Control, it shall be made clear to the French and Italian Governments that the Commission of Control shall be a purely advisory body, and that the British High Commissioner shall be the only authority to whom appeals shall be made.

I am, &c,  
J. A. C. TILLEY

E 6238 47/44

No. 77

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 11)

My Lord,

Aden, May 27, 1920

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter dated the 27th May, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo. I have, &c

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 277.

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo.

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, May 27, 1920

THE only item of news to record this week is that Kaid Saleh, nephew of Sheikh Mohamed Nasir Mukbil of Mawia, has run away from his country and taken refuge in the Haushabi country. The cause of this appears to be the demand of the man's amir, a Mawia Sheikh, for the return of his son, who was taken as a hostage. Kaid Saleh refused to surrender his son, and, to avoid trouble with the amir, has left the country. The Haushabi Sultan suspects this to be a Zaidi

\* See No. 275.

stratagem to find a pretext for invading his territory. There is rumour that Sheikh Mohamed Nasir Mukbil also intends to leave for the Haushabi territory.

I have advised the Haushabi Sultan not to harbour any such refugees in his territory, but to send them to Lahij or still farther south.

Kaid Saleh is since reported to have returned to Mawia.

The Alawi sheikh is reported to have returned home after leaving his brother as a hostage with the Zaidis. This is a

Yours, &c,

J. M. STEWART

E 6301 3 44

No. 2

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 11)

(No. 681)

Telegraph No. R

Constantinople, June 10, 1920

MY telegram No. 661 of 27th May

Grand Vizier has now decided positively to leave by sea for Marseilles on 12th June. He should be there by 14th.

2. He paid me farewell visit on 9th June. He again made urgent appeal for revision of the Peace Conference which would leave to Turkey all areas in which the Turkish population was predominant. He covered same ground, to great extent, as in my telegram No. 627 and my despatch No. 700 of 27th May.

3. Grand Vizier prefaced his remarks by assertion of his conviction (which had been confirmed by what passed in Paris last year and by statements of Mr Lloyd George and Mr Balfour) that Allies did not hold Turkish dynasty or people responsible for bringing Turkey into the war, and that they contemplated peace of justice, not of

revenge. He then produced statistics which, according to him, showed undoubtedly Moslem majority. He said that he did not wish to reopen question of area between Kars and Maritsa, although it contained 1,000,000 Moslems, as against 500,000 Armenians. He also said that although Peace Conference had, he declared, decided in favour of Armenia, whose fate of Constantinople. He did urge that the country east of Maritsa, where majority was also Moslem, and Adrianople, with which Turkey had so many ties.

4. He added nothing new about Smyrna, but once more insisted that majority of population in that area were Moslem.

5. In regard to Armenia, he complained that Turkey was asked to commit 100,000 men to a frontier not defined in treaty, and to do this in regard to region in which 90 per cent of population were Moslem.

6. He again deprecated creation of straits zone, which would be in effect the only sovereign State between Sultan and his dominions in Asia. He urged object of securing free straits could be fully obtained by occupying both sides of straits only and maintenance at sea of as many naval units as Allies chose.

7. Grand Vizier said he would not trouble me with more technical details, which he hoped would be thrashed out in commissions in Paris. He passed to more confidential statements, gist of which was as follows:

8. Even if Turkey obtained mitigation of peace terms, which he had no doubt she would be so utterly weak internally and so surrounded by enemies that she could not long sustain unless she had a strong supporting hand. Would England hold out that hand, and would he have means when in Paris of conveying his views to the British Government?

9. I told Grand Vizier to arm himself with all statistics and data he could, as he would find statisticians at the other end who had gone very deep into the question of population raised by him. I pointed out that his suggestion regarding straits would involve maintenance of large naval forces in those waters at a moment when all the Allies were anxious to reduce armaments of this kind. Essential purpose was, I said, to make waterway absolutely secure, and to achieve this it would be necessary to occupy every part of the littoral from which it would be threatened by gun fire. I admitted risk of such threat was small in view of disarmament of Turkey, but said that Allies were on their guard, not against people like himself, but against people of the type of Enver Pasha and Tawat.

[4370]

2 G

11. Speaking quite personally, I asked whether he was ready with any proposals which would give Turkey access to Anatolia by excluding from straits zone some port, like Ismid or Panderma, to which my gun-fire argument would not apply. The Vizier persisted in his view that his first suggestion would guarantee all that was necessary, but said that if it could be agreed in principle that separate zone extending from Dardanelles to Black Sea was unnecessary, detailed solution could be worked out by technical experts.

12. I said I could not even express opinion as to prospect of future support from England, but promised to report all he had said to your Lordship.

13. Grand Vizier urged illusoriness of hope that stable equilibrium could be created by peace now contemplated. England no doubt wanted durable lesson of history was that Greece could never hold on to great possessions. He held them under individual great men, but when those men passed away Greece lost her grip in Asia and in Archipelago. In Europe Greece was under perpetual threat from Turkey.

14. What would happen, he asked, if Sultan and Government made up their minds to sign treaty whatever it might be and Thrace and Anatolia went into revolt? I parried this by saying it would be hard to know whether Thrace was in revolt against Sultan or at war with Greece. He said Thracians had hitherto listened to Government, but attitude of leaders there was that they would withdraw their obedience from Government if Central Government proved incapable of defending them. Government would only defend them by argument, and if Greeks crossed Maritza leaders in Thrace would consider Central Government had failed. Thrace would then be at war with Greece.

15. I said Conference had doubtless envisaged every possibility. They had certainly told Greeks what they had to undertake.

Grand Vizier asked eagerly whether Greeks had been told not to invade Thrace before signature of peace. I said they could not do so without good authority from Conference.

[E 6260 3 44]

No. 279.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 11.)

(No. 682)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, June 10, 1920.

GENERAL MILNE informs me that Turkish troops in Ismid area who are loyal to Government have now declared their inability to maintain their present positions and have expressed their intention of withdrawing. This will leave British troops at Ismid face to face with National forces, whose avowed intention is to advance to Ismid.

One of the main reasons for military occupation of Constantinople by Allies was to put a stop to Nationalist activities in capital and surrounding districts. For our troops to withdraw from Ismid now and to allow the Ismid peninsula to pass under control of Nationalists would render our position in Constantinople impossible with Nationalist forces on or near Asiatic shore of Bosphorus.

Further, the Ismid district is well within area which is to be demilitarised and controlled under terms of Peace Treaty. Any retirement of our troops from Ismid to a line further back would be most undesirable and a clear sign of weakness. I have accordingly requested General Milne to take steps to prevent any of Nationalist forces from coming into Ismid or Ismid peninsula, and to fix a line west of which any Nationalist troops will be fired upon if they advance.

I am arranging for navy to give support to our troops and to control waters of Ismid and northern shores of Ismid peninsula towards Shile.

The maintenance of our control of Ismid peninsula is a matter which is as much in interests of French and Italian as in our own. General Milne estimates that he requires at least a division in Ismid peninsula should Nationalists carry out their intentions.

It is therefore most necessary that French and Italians should take their part by providing their quota of troops required. The French should co-operate by sending a force to Ismid, and Italians by taking over Asiatic side of Dardanelles, as proposed in my telegram No. 651 of 3rd June, and thus releasing British troops now stationed at Chanak.

I would represent most strongly that matter is one of extreme urgency, and it is absolutely necessary that definite orders should be given by His Majesty's Government if it is the intention that we should retain our position in occupation of Constantinople.

[E 5900 1729 44]

No. 280

Earl Curzon to Signor Presutti.

Foreign Office, June 11, 1920.

ON the 14th May the British military attaché at Rome was informed in writing by the General Staff at the Italian Ministry of War that an Italian infantry division was shortly to be despatched to Constantinople and the Straits area, and that simultaneously it was proposed to establish at Constantinople a general command of the Italian forces in the Middle East. The Italian War Office agreed to the Italian troops being under the command of General Milne, but they reserved to the Italian Government the right to issue orders direct to the Italian commander for measures of a political character.

With a view to avoid any possible misunderstanding in the future, I have the honour to state that His Majesty's Government are fully in accord with the proposals of the Italian Government, provided that (a) the latter are prepared to confirm the statement of the Italian General Staff that the Italian troops will remain under the supreme command of General Milne, as General Officer Commanding Allied Forces, until such time as the post-war organisation comes into force; (b) it is made clear that General Milne, as General Officer Commanding Allied Forces, shall be able to give orders direct to the Italian commander for measures of a political character, shall in no way interfere with General Milne's freedom to dispose the Italian troops in such manner as he may consider necessary in his capacity of Inter-Allied Commander-in-Chief, and that no operations shall be initiated by the Italian commander without the full concurrence and executive orders of General Milne.

I have further the honour to beg to be informed of the dates by which the Italian Government expects the Italian High Commander shall enter upon his duties, which they propose that the Italian High Commander shall enter upon his duties.

I take the opportunity of enquiring whether the Italian Government will reconsider their decision not to send a battalion to Batoum. Although orders have been given and preparations are being made for evacuation in case of necessity, the presence there of a fourth battalion might suffice to induce the Soviet troops to refrain from attacking the town, especially in view of the solidarity between the Allies which it would indicate. The Italian Government will not fail to realise how important the possession of Batoum is if the Allies are to discharge their obligations towards the Armenians.

I have &amp;c

CURZON OF KILDARE

E 5900 1729 44

No. 281

Foreign Office to War Office.

Foreign Office, June 11, 1920.

WITH reference to your letters of the 28th May and 4th June relative to the despatch of Italian troops to Constantinople, I am directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to forward, for the information of the Army Council, copy of a letter which his Lordship has addressed to the Italian Charge d'Affaires on the subject.

In regard to the opinion of the Army Council, contained in your earlier letter under reply, to the effect that the time has now come when the inauguration of the permanent international organisation of the demilitarised zone around the Straits should be taken in hand and the garrison of the zone reduced to its agreed post-war numbers, I am to state that these appear to be questions for the Supreme Council to deal with, but on

[4370]

S G 2



general grounds Lord Curzon suggests that it would be advisable to defer any reduction of the British garrison until we see the first results of the presentation of the Turkish peace terms.

I am, &c.

J. A. C. TILLEY.

[E 6344 289 44]

No. 282

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 12.)

(No. 690.)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Constantinople, June 11, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 320 of 12th April.

My representative in Smyrna asks whether a general authority may be granted under rule 305 of rules [? International] for consular courts in Turkey, 1914, for his dealings with applications for probate made after three years from death, without reference in each case to Supreme Court. What reply should I send him?

[E 6314/1/58]

No. 283

Earl Curzon to Mr. Vansittart (Paris).

(No. 20.)

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, June 11, 1920.

COLONEL STOKES is proceeding at once to Batumi to conclude an agreement for handing over town and province to Georgians. He will call on you on the 14th or 15th June to obtain copy of the draft agreement between representatives of the three republics which was discussed at San Remo but not signed.

[E 6372 289 44]

No. 284

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 14.)

(No. 788.)

My Lord.

Constantinople, June 4, 1920.

WITH reference to your Lordship's despatch No. 315 of the 30th April regarding the position of Serbo-Croat-Slovene nationals in connection with the enjoyment of capitulatory rights in Turkey, I have the honour to inform your Lordship that in practice subjects of the Serbo-Croat-Slovene kingdom are actually in enjoyment of the same rights and protection as those of other Allied countries; the Porte having been informed on the 18th May, when the question arose locally, that no difference could be admitted between the Serbs and other Allies, and that the jurisdiction of Turkish Courts over them could not be tolerated.

2. I would however, point out that the desire expressed in the letter from the Serbian delegate, enclosed in your Lordship's despatch under reference, regarding the

misapprehension in regard to the actual situation here, the so-called "Régime des Capitulations" to the subjects of the Kingdom of Serbia is not in fact in force as regards neutrals, so long as armistice conditions are observed.

I have, &c.

J. M. DE ROBECK, High Commissioner

[E 6402 3 44]

No. 285

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 14.)

(No. 701.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Constantinople, June 13, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 460 of 20th May.

Grand Vizier has put forward several proposals for the formation of a force, of

varying numbers from 7,000 to 50,000 men, to be used to suppress national movement in Anatolia.

General Milne considers that Peace Treaty should be signed before authorisation be given for the formation of such a force.

Have informed my French and Italian colleagues of his opinion.

E 7516 1729 44

No. 286

Memorandum on Allied Commissions at Constantinople by Mr. Max Muller

THIS memorandum deals with two questions—

- 1 The general question of General Milne's position *en-droit* the Allied High Commissioners and
- 2 The particular question of the position of the Allied representatives on the Commissions of Control constituted by the occupation of the Turkish Ministries of War, Marine, Posts and Telegraphs.

In considering point (1) there is no need to go into the bulky correspondence in regard to the differences between General Franchet d'Esperey and General Milne, as they appear to have been settled, at all events for the moment, by the departure of the former on long leave without any intention of returning, yet I feel that it may be of interest to quote from one despatch a remark made by Lord Curzon to M. Cambon on the 2nd April, 1919, in the course of a conversation on the growing friction between the Allies in Constantinople, in which his Lordship deplored "the absence of any clear understanding as to the lines of division between the authority of the Military Commanders and that of the High Commissioners." The absence of any clear understanding on this point has continued down to the present day, and is at the bottom of much of the friction in Constantinople. As late as the 17th March Admiral de Robeck asked for fresh instructions as to the military command in Constantinople, on the grounds that such instructions might assist him in clearing up the position with his French colleague. At that time he had not even received any reversal of the instructions telegraphed to him on the 21st September, 1918, that as military occupation of Constantinople must necessarily be under supreme French command.

From the beginning there were differences of opinion between the French Government as to the relative powers of the High Commissioners and the Military Commanders, and the British Government at first maintained the view that the High Commissioners should be supreme.

of the terms of the armistice, and that on other matters the Military Commander was at liberty to make any arrangements he chose in direct negotiation with the Turkish Government, while His Majesty's Government, on the other hand, held that the Military Commander at Constantinople should devote his attention exclusively to military matters in inter-Allied interests, and should not exercise any civil or political authority or approach the Turkish Government directly on any save purely military questions.

On the 14th May, 1919 however, the French Ambassador in the course of a conversation with Sir R. Graham stated that the French Government were in entire accord with the views of His Majesty's Government on this point, viz., that the military command should deal solely with military matters, and that all questions of administration ought to be referred to the High Commissioners, and all civil matters, such as the Ottoman Public Debt &c. be left to civilian control. How far this understanding has been observed in Constantinople up to the time of the occupation of the city on the 17th March we need not enquire, as the situation has been entirely changed by that measure. An occupation is a purely military operation and in the ordinary course of events the responsibility for the administration and for the relations with the Turkish Government would have passed into the hands of the General Officer Commanding. The situation, however, was complicated by the necessity of getting rid of General Franchet d'Esperey if General Milne was to be left in a position to carry out the occupation without constant interference. It was therefore considered expedient to make certain concessions to the French. The French Ambassador in a conversation with Lord Curzon suggested that in return for the withdrawal of General Franchet d'Esperey the "supreme" command in

Constantinople should be abolished, and a "local" command of the Allied forces established. The High Commissioner, while maintaining the military occupation, be regarded as primarily civilian and left in the hands of the High Commissioners, under whose general control the military administration should be placed.

After consultation with General Milne the Chief of the Imperial General Staff agreed that, provided the General Officer Commanding in Constantinople was British, it did not matter whether his command were called "supreme" or "local". Moreover, while maintaining that the military command should not be placed completely under the control of the High Commissioners, as such a control would entail constant reference to the High Commissioners of points of routine and detail such as solely concerned the military authorities, the Chief of the Imperial General Staff agreed that no military action should be taken by the Allied Commander-in-Chief, other than measures connected with the immediate security of the Allied garrison, without the authority of the High Commissioners in Council, the decisions of the High Commissioners being conveyed to the General Officer Commanding through the Channel of the British High Commissioner. Such an arrangement, it was felt, would satisfy the principle contended for by M. Cambon, that the control of the military forces should be ultimately in the hands of the High Commissioners. The memorandum of the Chief of the Imperial General Staff was communicated to M. Berthelot at San Remo on the 20th April, but we have not, so far as I am aware, received any expression of the views of the French Government thereon.

On the 19th May Lord Curzon caused verbal enquiries to be made at the War Office as to how the question of the Constantinople command stood. The General Staff suggested waiting for the French reply to the memorandum handed to M. Berthelot at San Remo. Personally, I do not share the view of the General Staff. On the contrary, I consider that the question of the command in Constantinople, or at all events of the relative position of the Allied Commander-in-Chief of the High Commissioners, should, in the interests of harmony, be definitely decided, and that instructions embodying the decision should be sent to both the High Commissioner and the General Officer Commanding. In that case with a little good-will on both sides, the solution of the problem ought to present no insuperable difficulty.

I annex an extract from a private letter to me from Rear Admiral Webb, from which it is clear that General Milne does not share the views of the Chief of the Imperial General Staff in regard to his position *vis à vis* the High Commissioners, but presumably he has not yet received any instructions based on Sir Henry Wilson's memorandum, which was communicated to M. Berthelot, and which no doubt the French Government regard as representing the considered opinion of His Majesty's Government on the subject.

The absence of definite instructions is undoubtedly at the bottom of much of the friction at Constantinople, of which we have had many examples of late, and steps should be taken without loss of time to arrive at a definite agreement on the question of the position of the General Officer Commanding. The question is whether the best method of attaining this end would be —

1. To ask the French Government for a reply to the San Remo memorandum, or
2. To suggest to the War Office that the contents of their memorandum should be communicated to Admiral de Robeck and General Milne for their guidance and for communication to the Allied High Commissioners.

Apart from the above evidence supplied by Admiral Webb, General Milne has himself furnished proofs of his intention to act independently of the High Commissioners, and his assumption of the roll of Military Dictator cannot fail to confirm the prevalent suspicions as to our ultimate designs on Constantinople.

1. In the course of a conversation with the Acting High Commissioner in regard to the proper functions of the Inter-Allied Control Commissions, as reported by Admiral Webb in his despatch No. 608 of the 27th April (E5058), General Milne stated definitely that "he as commander of the army was independent of any of the Allied High Commissioners and responsible solely to the War Office, by whom he was charged with the execution of the military clauses of the armistice, and with the adoption of such measures as appeared to him necessary for the safety of his troops."

or policy conflicted with the interests of the Allies, it rested with them to represent the matter to their Governments. Having been charged by the Allies to carry out their orders to occupy Constantinople, the manner of execution had to be decided by him.

2. General Milne's intransigence is further exemplified in a letter addressed by him to the High Commissioner on the 1st May, 1920 (E5386), in the course of which, referring to the decision of the High Commissioners as to the inter-Allied character and the proper functions of the Control Commissions, he writes: "May I please be informed on what grounds the High Commissioners came to the conclusion quoted above, and how it is proposed that a civil body such as the High Commission should lay down the procedure to be adopted in the military matter under discussion."
3. Again to a long despatch from the High Commissioner dated the 22nd April (E5357), asking for General Milne's views in regard to the arrest and detention of certain Turkish undesirables, the sole reply vouchsafed by the latter officer was "I have no remark to make."
4. On the 21st May Lord Curzon instructed Admiral de Robeck to inform General Milne that a conflict with Georgia was very undesirable, and should be avoided if possible. This called forth a violent explosion from General Milne to the effect that he failed to understand the telegram, that he was in no way under the orders of the High Commissioner, who was not in a position to appreciate the position in Batoum, and that he would only act on War Office orders. We have informed the War Office that Admiral de Robeck being in supreme political control, and the question dealt with being primarily political, the telegram despatched by the Foreign Office was in no sense an infringement of General Milne's military position and authority, and that the Foreign Office were justified in sending the telegram to the Admiral, and the Admiral in communicating it to the General.

These instances are sufficient to show that "the absence of any clear understanding as to the lines of division between the authority of the Military Commanders and that of the High Commissioners," which Lord Curzon deplored more than a year ago, still continues, and is the cause of much unnecessary ill feeling and friction. The fact that the terms of the San Remo memorandum have never been communicated to the High Commissioner or the General Officer Commanding appears to be at the root of the misunderstanding, and I therefore return to my proposal that they should be sent to them at once for their own guidance and for communication to the Allied High Commissioners.

The question of the Allied representation on the Commissions of Control is a simpler one, and is governed by the promise made at San Remo that the presidencies of the three Commissions should be divided among the three Allies.

The history of this question may be briefly summarised as follows —

On the occupation of Constantinople, Commissions of Control were established at the Turkish Ministries of War, Marine, and Posts and Telegraphs. The three High Commissioners agreed that they were to be inter-Allied, but the French and Italian High Commissioners, while admitting that the Commissions must work under the supreme direction of the General Officer Commanding, demanded that the representation on them should be on a basis of full equality among the three Allies, while the British High Commissioner on the contrary supported British Headquarters in insisting, in virtue of orders received from the War Office, that all three Commissions should work under the control and presidency of a British officer. It is true that the French and Italian High Commissioners accepted, pending the decision of the Inter-Allied Conference, the arrangement proposed by the British Headquarters, but this agreement was purely provisional and cannot be insisted on.

We suggested to the War Office that we should accept the inter-Allied Commissions provided we had a British President on the War Office Commission and equal representation on the other two Commissions, thus implying that the other two Presidents might be French or Italian. After a delay of about three weeks the War Office accepted our proposal, and the necessary instructions were telegraphed to the High Commissioners. The War Office, however, General Milne included a proviso on behalf of the Admiralty that their approval was



subject to the concurrence of the High Commissioner (sic), though it now appears that what was meant was "subject to the concurrence of the Admiral Commander-in-chief," and it was in this latter capacity that Admiral de Robeck has raised objections to our proposals for the internationalisation on an equal basis of the Control Commissions. At the time we took it for granted that Admiral de Robeck would concur in instructions issued to him by the department under whose orders he acts as High Commissioner, if not as Admiral Commander-in-chief.

Apparently this misunderstanding has in some degree been responsible for the delay in carrying out our instructions.

The present position according to the latest information received from Admiral de Robeck and the War Office, is that the Commissions of Control are still working under the system provisionally agreed to by the French and Italian High Commissioners pending the settlement of the question of principle, and that, in spite of the settlement since arrived at and the promises made at San Remo, the Commissions at the War Office and Ministry of Posts and Telegraphs are still under British presidency while at the Ministry of Marine the Commission is without a President. General Milne, it now appears, has abandoned his former obstructive attitude, and is prepared to surrender the presidency of the Posts and Telegraphs Commission provided Admiral de Robeck acts similarly in regard to the Marine Commission, though at the same time General Milne declines in that event all responsibility for the former Commission. Admiral de Robeck, however, is still strongly opposed to any change being made in the present constitution of the Commissions of Control, and is apprehensive of the possible results of the interference of junior Allied Officers on the Commissions, and of a consequent weakening of the authority of the Allied Officer Commanding occupying forces.

I submit that these fears are baseless, or at all events unfounded. The overriding authority of General Milne as General Officer Commanding has been admitted by the French and Italian High Commissioners, so that it appears to me that the demand of our Allies for equal representation on the Commissions of Control could safely be conceded without in any way undermining General Milne's supreme authority. The fact that a French or Italian officer may sit as President of a Commission should not prevent the British representatives from exercising their proper influence, especially as they will be backed by the overriding authority of the Allied Commander-in-chief.

I submit that there is no justification for maintaining the present purely British position, going back on the promises made at San Remo, and that we must insist on the full execution of the instructions originally sent to the High Commissioner and General Milne.

I have drafted letters to the War Office and Admiralty on the above lines, but in view of the statement that General Milne is now represented as awaiting the decision of Admiral de Robeck, I suggest requesting the Admiralty to instruct the Admiralty in the capacity of Admiral Commander-in-chief, to withdraw his Commission at the Ministry of Marine.

I have also included a paragraph in the draft to the War Office indicating that as a condition of the acceptance of French or Italian Presidents on the Commissions at the Ministries of Marine and Posts and Telegraphs, it should be made clear to the French and Italian Governments that the Commissions are dependent on the supreme authority of General Milne as General Officer Commanding occupying army, and that the Commissions as a whole and therefore the individual members must in executive matters be regarded as working under his orders.

By such an arrangement the French and Italians can save their faces, and the cause of Allied harmony be helped without undermining in any practical degree the supreme executive authority of General Milne. The arrangement would have the further advantage of transferring to the Allies a share of the odium involved in many of the measures which we shall have to take.

Foreign Office June 14, 1920

E 6526/3/44]

No. 287.

Sir G. Grahame to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 15.)

(No. 710.)

(Telegraphic) D.

Paris, June 15, 1920

YOUR Lordship's telegram No. 692 of yesterday's date.

Armistice was drawn up at Angora by M. Decaux, Secretary-General of French Forces. Under it, French troops were to be allowed to withdraw from Bozanti to Tarsus-Aleppo, and from Aintab to certain distance outside the town and towards railway. Guarantees were given for safety of Armenians at latter place. French Armistice about 550 French troops, who were retiring from Bozanti, were attacked and captured. French Government expected their delivery in accordance with armistice condition above mentioned.

E 6221 1729 44]

No. 288

Foreign Office to War Office

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 16, 1920.

WITH reference to the letter from this Office of the 10th June, 1920, on the subject of the Inter-Allied Commissions of Control at Constantinople, I am directed by Earl Curzon of Kedleston to transmit, for the information of the Army Council and for any observations which they may wish to offer, copy of a further telegram on this question from His Majesty's High Commissioner at Constantinople.

I am, Sir,

J. A. C. TILLEY.

E 6572 47 44]

No. 289

Political Resident, Aden, to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 16.)

My Lord,

Aden, June 2, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter from the Political Resident, Aden, to the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have, Sir,

J. M. STEWART, Major-General

Enclosure in No. 289

Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo

(Secret.)

My dear Field Marshal,

Aden, June 2, 1920.

THE following is a summary of the news reported since the despatch of my last letter dated the 27th May, 1920—

Tahama

It appears that he only sustained a flesh wound. Sheikh Isma'il was required for a friend, without giving his name. The Political Resident in the medicine if we know it was for Sheikh Mahomed Zaid.

A deputation, consisting of the head sheikh and several other sheikhs, are to be placed under Idris protection, and for help to expel a party of Zaidis, who were

committing excesses in their country. Sheikh Mahmud Tahir responded by despatching a party of Idrisi soldiers from Shutaba and a gun from Attar.

The imam has made no fresh attempt to recapture Jabel Bura. He is reported to have sent orders to stop fighting during the Ramadhan. His soldiers have been under a disadvantage owing to the heat, from which they have suffered a great deal. He has recalled a number of commanders from Menakha, and the majority of the troops there have proceeded to Zabeed.

The Zaidis have destroyed the house and other property of the Sheikh of Rakb, in the vicinity of Zabeed, owing to his recent revolt against the imam. The sheikh has sought help from the Idrisi. Syed Ahmed-al Ambari of Z... by the imam army commander, because of his influence with t...

Syed Mustafa has received a letter from the Idrisi... took place between the Idrisi and the imam's troops on the... Jabel Mahan, in the neighbourhood of Zaidiya, in which fight the latter were... out from a place known as Madhaya. A few Zaidis were killed and twenty were taken prisoners. The commander, who has been killed, is said to be a near relative of the imam.

Syed Mustafa and Captain Fazludin left this morning for Ji...

The ex-Vali, Mahmud Nailhan, is reported to be giving out a letter from Constantinople telling him that the Turks are returning shortly, and that Tewfik Bey, the ex-Accountant-General of the vil... appointed the ex-Vali's assistant.

Aden Protectorate.

The imam is reported to have issued orders to his amil and commanders in the A... try to withdraw from our protectorate. The amil is said to be suspicious as to the... of these orders, and has despatched a special messenger to the imam to... in the protectorate.

Yours, &c.

J. M. STEWART

(E 6546 757 44)

No. 210.

Signor Preziosi to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 16.)

(Translation.)

My Lord,

Italian Embassy, June 1

IN reply to your note of the 27th ultimo, which referred to... the 14th April last, I have the honour to inform your Lordship that the Italian Government fully concurs in His Britannic Majesty's... the undourability of complying with the request of Switzerland for the admittance of a representative to the Commission of the Ottoman Public Debt.

I have, &c.

G. PREZIOSI.

(E 6541 3 44)

No. 291

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 16.)

(No. 700.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople June 15, 1920.

AT the present moment we are actively engaged in hostilities with Nationalist forces at the Gulf of Issik. It is not a satisfactory military position for various reasons, but I have had to urge on General Milne how desirable it is for us to hold the Gulf of Issik. Further retirement towards the Bosphorus will [group omitted] our position in Constantinople increasingly difficult and might become impossible. It is obvious, if we are to retain control here and carry through Peace Treaty, our army must be strongly reinforced immediately.

E 6579 3 44

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 16.)

(No. 708.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Constantinople, June 16, 1920

IN view of serious situation, following appears to be points for immediate decision by His Majesty's Government.

We are already fighting Turkey, are we to continue a new war observing that... has united practically all Turks?

If we are to have a new war, strong army reinforcements are immediately required in any case a considerably larger force than that now at General Milne's disposal is required to ensure safety of Constantinople and Straits.

... in that area, should Greek army at Smyrna attack...

... understood that if we intend to occupy area on either side of Straits set forth in Peace Treaty, it will now require a largely increased army to retake and hold.

Advance of Greek troops will probably mean further massacres of Christians in Anatolia, but if they are not used there appears to be no reason why a large N... concentration against us in land peninsula should not take place at once.

E 6226 289 44.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 3.)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Foreign Office, June 16, 1920

OUR telegram No. 679 of 10th June

According to the treaty the Capitulations will lapse on the coming into force of the treaty, and in view of the probably short duration of the transitional period, it does not seem worth while to institute a special judicial regime at Smyrna.

E 6402 3/44

No. 291.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople)

(No. 555.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Foreign Office, June 16, 1920

YOUR telegram No. 701 of 13th June.

I agree that signature of Peace Treaty should precede authorisation for formation of force advocated by Grand Vizier.

(E 6625 3 44)

No. 2

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 17.)

(No. 765.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, May 27, 1920.

WITH reference to my telegram No. 625 of the 26th May, 1920, I have the honour to transmit herewith the text of the resolutions adopted by the meeting held in Stamboul on the 21st May under the auspices of the Entente libérale Party to apiece for reconsideration of the terms of the Turkish Peace Treaty.

2. These resolutions were brought to

by R. M. Tewfik Bey "the philosopher" ... me, but, in handing the resolutions to Mr. Ryan, Riza Tewfik Bey made a short ... emphasising the fact that the people for whom he spoke recognised how deeply Turkey



I have, &c.  
M. DE ROBECK  
High Commissioner

Resolutions respecting Recognition of Turkish Peace Treaty

5. Ces résolutions devront être soumises aux Hautes-Commissaires des Puissances alliées à Constantinople et communiquées à la Conférence de la Paix.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 17.)

Constantinople, May 27, 1920.

THE Grand Visier called on me on the morning of the 26th May for the first time since the presentation of the peace terms in Paris. He had not yet received the text of the draft treaty, and, as none of the High Commissioners have received it either, so

7 If Constantinople were to sign the treaty in its present form, said Damad Ferid Pasha, his Government and the Sultan would be in a most embarrassing position, for

Anatolia, peace terms which all alike considered excessively severe and unjust. If the other hand, the treaty could be mitigated in the matter of the three regions he had mentioned, it would, so far as he could judge from the summaries received, be possible to secure acceptance for the rest. The Grand Vizier urged that in these circumstances it would be politic as well as just to modify the treaty. However great Turkey's fault had been, she would be amply punished by the loss of vast lying territories amounting to nearly three-fourths of the Empire.

The Grand Vizier turned to the question of the period allowed for the Turkish

despatched from Paris with the treaty had been expected to reach Constantinople on the [redacted] but would leave little time for consideration here. Moreover, Tewfik Pasha reported that he was at work on a draft reply, which would be ready in ten days. This also would have to be examined here. Ferid Pasha assured me that he had no desire to procrastinate, but he asked that the period allowed should be prolonged to the understanding that, if it were possible to get the answer sooner, it would be handed in before the prolongation expired. He said he would lay this request before my French and Italian colleagues also, and he stated that it was his intention to confirm the request to the three High Commissioners.

He went on to say that the Sultan desired to add on a [redacted] asking His Majesty in conjunction with the heads of the other Allied States, to mitigate the peace terms. He asked me whether I would [redacted] but not inter it, he said to address himself to the other heads of State direct, as the appeal to the King would be an appeal to them also. I undertook to transmit the message but, I said, I should feel bound to inform my French and Italian colleagues that I had done so.

10. The Grand Vizier mentioned the situation in Cilicia. He had received on the previous day a deputation representing the Mussulman inhabitants of that district, who complained of the extraordinary severity of the measures adopted by the French. The French had, according to the deputation, destroyed fifty villages and this was partially confirmed by official information which spoke of the destruction of thirty villages. The French, he said, were employing these drastic methods because they thought they had to do with the Nationalists. He could not complain of action really directed against the Nationalists, but there was a limit to [redacted] asking to [redacted] he was actuated by motives of humanity, and hoped that if the question came up at a meeting of the High Commissioners I might use my influence in favour of less drastic methods. He mentioned, as an indication of the extent to which the French were preoccupied by the situation in Cilicia, that they had asked him to recall the Val of Aqun. He had agreed to do so, but he thought that the French must themselves have begun to realize that severity had been pushed too far, [redacted] Minister of the Interior that the French [redacted] telegraphing to General Gouraud to ask for the recall of the French general whom he had mentioned.

11. The Grand Vizier mentioned incidentally in the course of the conversation that a certain person, apparently a Turk and the son of a former Minister had sought an interview with the Sultan as representative, he said, of some Indian philanthropic association. He had been referred to the Porte, and had hesitated to go there. Ferid Pasha mentioned this incident in order to assure me that those now in authority here were in no way disposed to have irregular relations with persons or societies in India. Their desire was to work in a perfectly straightforward and open way with the British representative here, and, if the individual in question had come to him at the Porte as suggested, he would have told him to apply to the Turkish Peace Delegation in Paris.

Before the Grand Vizier left me, I reverted to the subject of the treaty, and reminded him that my predecessor, Rear-Admiral Webb, and myself had had opportunity since the armistice of impressing on the Turkish Government that they

must be rigorous, but he suggested that it was a question of how the word "rigorous" was employed. He used a simile, and said that a treaty which lopped Turkey of her arms and her legs would be rigorous enough in all conscience even though it left a head and trunk; but a treaty which deprived her of Smyrna and Thessalonica, struck at vital parts of the head and trunk as well, and such a treaty was something more than rigorous. It meant the amputation of Turkey. He reminded me that the Supreme Council in their reply to the Turkish Peace Delegation last year, had promised that the Turkish-speaking parts

no claim to Smyrna except a claim based in memories of Homer. These [redacted] were very ancient. If they constituted a title, they might be invoked in connection with many another place in the Mediterranean besides Smyrna. I promised to report all that His Highness had said faithfully to your Lordship.

J. M. DE ROBECK,  
High Commissioner.

[E 6721/3/4]

No. 297

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon. (Received June 18.)

No. 713)

(Telegraphic) D.

(Constantinople, June 17, 1920)

FOLLOWING is translation of identic telegram which my colleagues and I have decided to send to our Government.

The High Commissioners of [redacted] and military [redacted] have conjointly examined [redacted] additional point of view, [redacted] identic telegram.

1. Constantinople is threatened from side of Asia perhaps shortly from side of [redacted] and may see its commercial relations and its food supply compromised [redacted] of Dardanelles. The Allied forces are insufficient to ward off all [redacted] dangers.

2. Yet Allies must at all costs remain masters of Constantinople and [redacted]

3. The High Commissioners therefore urgently support the proposals of naval and military commanders, particularly in regard to immediate despatch of considerable reinforcements.

4. The High Commissioners note that action of Nationalist forces was not in [redacted] between, immediately that peace conditions became known, [redacted] conditions had made nearly all Turks Nationalists.

5. In these conditions, it becomes more than ever necessary to consider what Turkish Delegation will sign treaty, and to consider, moreover, what that delegation actually represents. In any case, the Allies are from to-day on under necessity either of employing force to impose their will, and even to maintain themselves in Constantinople, or of introducing substantial modifications into treaty.

6. The approach of Kemalists, and their military successes, may have as the consequence provocation of a movement of their partisans at Constantinople for the overthrow of present Government, the Chief of which is absent, and substitute a [redacted] event, eight High Commissioners to suppress such a movement and maintain Government of Damad Ferid by force, or [redacted] might they to restrict themselves to maintenance of order [redacted] allow events to take their course (laissez faire)?

7. In the former case, the facade of Government, which in all that exists to-day runs the risk of collapse, and Allies would then be under the obligation of taking Government and administration into their own hands. In the latter case, the High Commissioners find themselves in the presence of a Government representing a party which is declared rebellious, and which has already opened hostilities against Allied



conditions, in consequence of strength of Nationalist organisation, the which their forces have already met and the difficulty of proceeding to Turkey.

"9. The High Commissioners beg their Governments to inform them intentions, and to send them identic instructions with as little delay as possible

E 7101 11 16 44

Carl Curzon to Sir H. Samuel (Jerusalem)

Sir,  
I HAVE to inform you that the King has been graciously pleased to appoint you to be High Commissioner and Commander-in-chief in Palestine for the purpose of instituting a civil administration to replace the existing military administration in that country.

The Army Council have approved the assumption by you of the title of Commander-in-chief of the troops in Palestine, with the proviso that the possession of this title will not give you any right of interference in details of operations or movements of troops, but will merely allow you to indicate the general policy to be pursued by the military forces.

A formal commission will be issued to you when the terms of the mandate for Palestine have been finally approved by the League of Nations.

I transmit herewith copies of a Message from His Majesty the King to the people of Palestine, and the principles contained therein should guide you in your general policy.

The measures suggested by you in the outline of a statement which you propose to submit to assemblies at Jerusalem and Haifa have my approval.

I am, Sir,

CURZON OF KEDLESTON

Enclosure in No. 100

Message from His Majesty the King to the People of Palestine

To the People of Palestine

THE Allied Powers, whose arms were victorious in the late war, have entrusted to my country a mandate to watch over the interests of Palestine and to ensure to your country that peaceful and prosperous development which has so long been denied to you.

I recall with pride the large part played by my troops, under the command of Field-Marshal Lord Allenby, in freeing your country from Turkish rule, and I shall indeed rejoice if I and my people can also be the instrument for bringing within your reach the blessings of a wise and liberal administration.

I desire to assure you of the absolute impartiality with which the duties of the mandatory Power will be carried out and of the determination of my Government to respect the rights of every race and every creed represented among you, both in the period which has still to elapse before the mandate can be finally approved by the League of Nations and in the future when the mandate has become an accomplished fact.

You are well aware that the Allied and Associated Powers have decided that measures shall be adopted to secure the gradual establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people. These measures will not in any way affect the rights or diminish the prosperity of the general population of Palestine.

The High Commissioner whom I have appointed to carry out these purposes, I am confident, do so whole-heartedly and effectively and will endeavour to secure in every possible way the welfare and unity of all classes and sections among you.

I realise profoundly the solemnity of the trust involved in the government of a country which is sacred alike to Christian, Mohammedan and Jew, and I shall watch with deep interest and warm sympathy the future progress and development of a State whose history has been of such tremendous import to the world.

E 6806 6806 44

Sir G. Graham to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 21.)

(No. 1903. Confidential)

My Lord,

Paris, June 17, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to inform your Lordship that the Commission for Foreign Affairs of the Chamber of Deputies has been engaged for some days in discussing Near Eastern affairs. The day before yesterday a long debate took place which resulted in a proposal being made and accepted that a deputation should be sent to Syria, Cilicia and Constantinople, to enquire on the spot with regard to questions which the commission consider to be so serious and disquieting.

At the same sitting the commission charged M. Louis Barthou, its president, to request the President of the Chamber of Deputies to request the Government and General Franchet d'Esperey, Commander-in-Chief of the Allied Armies in the East.

I had an opportunity yesterday evening of asking M. Louis Barthou about the proposal to send a deputation to Syria, Cilicia and Constantinople. He told me that the proposal had been made by M. Brund in the commission and had been unanimously approved by all the members present, who numbered over thirty. I asked him whether he would concur, and he replied that it was not to be the wish of the commission. Nothing had yet been decided as to the date when the deputation would start nor who would compose it.

M. Brund is evidently being very active behind the scenes regarding all questions dealing with the Near East. It is said that he is extremely anxious to damage M. Clemenceau's reputation and to make it appear that, had his own policy been followed, France would be in a better position in the Near East than she now is. It is necessary, with the object of persuading the public to take this view, to show that England has got the better of France all along the line, in order to lay the blame of such a state of things at M. Clemenceau's door.

GEORGE GRAHAM

E 6817 1720 44

No. 300

Admiralty to Foreign Office.—(Received June 21.)

Sir,

Admiralty, June 19, 1920.

WITH reference to your letter of the 10th June regarding the question of Allied representation on the Commissions of Control established at the Ministries of War, Marine and Posts and Telegraphs at Constantinople, I am commanded by my Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, that they have already expressed the view that they had no objection to relinquishing the presidency of the Inter Allied Commission of Control at the Turkish Ministry of Marine provided the High Commissioner agreed vide War Office telegram to General Milne of the 16th April, 1920.

1. In view of his strong objection to such a course, their Lordships regret that they do not see their way to instructing him to withdraw his opposition which is undoubtedly greatly influenced by his desire to minimise the opportunity for French and Italian pro-Turkish intrigues.

2. Their Lordships are unaware of the nature and extent of the promises made at the Barmah, to which Lord Curzon refers, but they hope that it may not be found inadvisable to adopt the course suggested by Admiral de Robeck specially in view of recent events.

3. In view of active war operations now being undertaken it does not appear to be desirable to take any steps to weaken the authority of the General Officer Commanding in charge of operations.

A copy of your letter of the 10th June and of telegram No. 621 from Admiral de Robeck, together with a copy of this letter, have been sent to the War Office.

ALLAN & CO.

Enclosure in No. 300

War Office to General Milne (Constantinople)

1. R. I. 8340, dated the 28th March. Inter Allied control  
Foreign Office refer to High Commissioner's telegram No. 100  
11th March, and consider this is largely a political question.  
Government has been reached with French and Italian  
British president and that British officer has place  
discussions, Foreign Office agrees to commission being  
commissioner has no objection, Admiralty also agrees  
arranges accordingly report in due course which Powers present  
of Admiralty and Posts and Telegraphs.

E 6269 3 44

No. 101

Earl Curzon to the Earl of Derby (Paris)

1. ROBECK'S telegram No. 682 of 10th June.  
French and Italian Governments will certainly agree that any work  
of Nationalists in or to Constantinople would be fatal to Allied policy  
them, therefore, to furnish the Commission and military commanders with  
are to ensure the co-operation of French and Italian troops in the  
of the small peninsula and in preventing the Nationalists from endangering  
We also trust that they will send such reinforcements  
General Milne may find necessary for his purpose in accordance with the  
It must be made paragraph of the Commission's report.  
No. 26; report.

E 6948 1729 44

No. 102

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon

1. Curzon to Robeck, Constantinople, 10th June.  
No. 677 of the 9th June.  
Commission of Control at the Turkish Ministry of War.  
1. Inter dated the 5th May, addressed by me to the President  
they supported the claim put forward by  
the French and Italian officers on the War Office. I stated that they  
M. de Robeck, Constantinople, 10th June.  
1. Inter dated the 5th May, addressed by me to the President  
they supported the claim put forward by  
the French and Italian officers on the War Office. I stated that they  
M. de Robeck, Constantinople, 10th June.

2. An identical letter, dated the 9th June, addressed by the French and  
High Commissioners proposing to solve the matter to

As regards the matter of telegrams received from the  
War Office I will not fail to inform your Lordship of the  
I have addressed to the General Officer Commanding in Chief, Army of the Black Sea

I am, Sir,

J. M. DE ROBECK, High Commissioner

Enclosure 1 in No. 302

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to M. De France

(No. M. 2561)

Sir,

Constantinople, 11th June 1919

WITH reference to the conversation which took place at the High Commission  
after the High Commissioners' meeting on the 21st May between your Excellency  
Excellency M. Maun, and myself on the subject of the functioning of the  
Commission of Control at the Turkish War Office, Admiralty, and Ministry of Posts  
and Telegraphs, I have the honour to inform your Excellency that I took  
after my return from Malta to discuss this question with the  
Officer Commanding in Chief, Army of the Black Sea.

Both General Milne and myself are most desirous that the conditions under  
which these Commissions work should be such as to ensure their being in a  
position to fulfil in the most effective way possible the objects with which they were  
instituted in accordance with the instructions of the Supreme Council and the  
the military Commission by the Allies.

3. General Milne has informed me that the French officer who is  
commissioned at the Turkish War Office claimed the president of the  
Brigadier General Shuttleworth that he does not consider himself  
under the orders of General Milne.

4. As the point of view expressed by the French officer is, in the opinion both of  
General Milne and myself, one which, if adopted, would establish the pre-  
divided authority over the Turkish War Office and would therefore result in a situation  
with the responsibility which devolves upon General Milne, I should be  
your Excellency would inform me whether the claim of the  
the War Office Commission that he is not under General Milne's

J. M. DE ROBECK, High Commissioner

Enclosure 2 in No. 302

M. De France to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck

M. le Haut Commandant, Constantinople, le 2 juin 1919  
J'ai l'honneur d'accuser réception à votre Excellence de la lettre  
adressée le 25 mai dernier à la suite de la conversation que nous avons eue quelques  
jours auparavant avec son Excellence M. Maun au sujet du fonctionnement de la  
Commission de Contrôle inter-Alliés au Ministère de la Guerre.  
Au cours de cette conversation, son Excellence M. Maun et moi avons eu la  
connaissance de votre Excellence le fait que plusieurs télégrammes enfreignent  
expédies ou reçus par le Ministère de la Guerre, sans que la traduction de ces télégrammes  
soit soumise à la Commission de Contrôle et, par conséquent, sans que  
cette Commission ait pu exercer le contrôle dont elle est chargée et qui est  
un de ses premiers devoirs.

Notre collègue d'Italie et moi avons, en conséquence, pris  
prendre les mesures nécessaires pour que ce fait ne se reproduise plus et  
la Commission puisse remplir le devoir qui lui incombe.

La lettre du 25 mai, votre Excellence ne fait pas allusion aux faits qui lui ont  
donné lieu et qui lui ont été exposés par le Général Milne, de voir fonctionner  
la Commission dans des conditions qui ne permettent pas de savoir que l'officier français, membre de la Commission  
formé le Brigadier Général Shuttleworth qu'il ne se soumet pas aux ordres du Général Milne et cela me demande de justifier cette  
opinion, qui est tout à fait contraire au principe d'une autorité unique sur le  
Ministère de la Guerre, et aurait pour conséquence de créer une situation incompatible  
avec la responsabilité qui incombe au Général Milne.

Mon point de vue au sujet des Commissions de Contrôle est contenu dans le  
document signé le 22 mars dernier par les trois Hauts-Commissaires à la suite d'une



versus le fait l'objet était précisément le fonctionnement de ces commissions; ce point de vue n'est pas modifié, et j'estime toujours, conformément aux instructions de mon Gouvernement, que les contrôles doivent, sous la haute direction du Général Commandant en chef les troupes alliées en Turquie d'Europe, être exercés sur le pied d'égalité par des officiers appartenant aux trois nations.

Il est à regretter que les officiers des Commissions de Contrôle ne peuvent pas être considérés comme étant, dans le sens que votre Excellence paraît donner à cette expression, placés sous les ordres du Général Milne, car il en résulterait que les contrôles seraient exercés non plus par les officiers interalliés sur le pied d'égalité, mais seulement par un officier britannique qui aurait la faculté d'utiliser ou non, suivant qu'il le jugerait à propos, les Commissions de Contrôle devenues de son, les instruments à sa disposition.

En ce qui concerne le point précis qui avait fait l'objet de notre conversation, et au sujet duquel il n'a pas été fait de réponse, votre Excellence voudra bien convenir que si des télégrammes chiffrés pouvaient être expédiés ou reçus par le Ministère de la Guerre, sans que la traduction en fût communiquée aux officiers interalliés, le contrôle que cette

de serait reconnaissant à votre Excellence de vouloir bien me faire connaître son opinion de voir à ce sujet, afin que je sois à même de mettre sans retard mon Gouvernement au courant de cette importante question.

Veuillez agréer, &c.  
A. DEFRANCE

*Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to the French and Italian High Commissioners  
Constantinople*

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 10th instant.

Control at the Ottoman War Office.

As regards the matter of cipher telegrams received and despatched from the War Office, which is referred to in the first part of your Excellency's letter, I have forwarded your Excellency's observations to the General Officer Commanding in the Black Sea, and will communicate further on this subject upon request.

3. As your Excellency and I hold different opinions with regard to the general question of the position and responsibilities of the Commission of Control at the War Office, your Excellency will, I feel sure, agree with me that the matter had best be submitted to the consideration of our respective Governments.

I have &c.

J. M. DE ROBECQ, High Commissioner

[E 7053 47 44]

No. 303

*Acting Political Resident, Aden to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 2.)*

My Lord,

Aden, June 10.

I HAVE the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, copy of letter dated the 10th June, 1920, addressed to his Excellency the High Commissioner, Cairo.

I have, &c.

D. FIELD, Lieutenant-Colonel.

I enclose in No. 303

*Acting Political Resident, Aden, to High Commissioner, Cairo*

(Secret)

My dear Field-Marshal,

Aden, June 10, 1920

THE report regarding the imam's order to withdraw from our protectorate, referred to in the last week's letter, has not been confirmed, nor is there any sign of the Zaidis preparing to leave the protectorate.

is said to be buying twist, and has sent money to Dala, Yarim, Damar

the purpose

the imam's son at Dala has sent word to the Alawi sheikh and Mukoil Abdulla, the Kotabi sheikh's nephew, to come and see him. They have promised to do so. It will be remembered that they were allowed to return to their homes last month after having been detained by the Zaidis for a prolonged period, during which they were forbidden to see the imam. What arrangement, if any, has been arrived at between them and the imam is not known. The Alawi sheikh has written reminding me of his claim against the Turks, but has said not a word in connection with his detention.

A report says that the Zaidis have been making a display of fireworks in order to induce people to believe that they have occupied Jabel Bura and other places in the Tih.

No reports have been received from Hodeida this week.

Yours, &c.

C. D. FIELD

E 7012 1729 44]

No. 304.

*War Office to Foreign Office.—(Received June 2)*

Sir,

*War Office, June 22, 1920*

I AM commanded by the Army Council to acknowledge receipt of your letter, dated the 10th and 15th instant, relative to the Inter-Allied Commissions for Control established at the Ministries of War, Marine and Posts and Telegraphs at Constantinople, resultant upon the technical occupation of that city. The Army Council are of the opinion that Earl Curzon of Kedleston is addressing the Admiralty regarding the appointment of a French or Italian officer as president of the Marine Commission, and, upon receipt of reply of the Lords Commissioners to Lord Curzon's letter to the Admiralty, dated the 10th instant, I am to say they will issue the further necessary instructions to General Milne regarding the transfer of the presidency of the Commission for Posts and Telegraphs to a representative of the French or Italian Government, as the case may be.

2. With reference to Lord Curzon's enquiry, in the first of the above two letters, regarding the exact position in respect of the functions and powers of these Allied Commissions of Control, it appears to the Army Council that some confusion exists in the minds of the representatives of the French and Italian Governments in Constantinople.

As long as General Milne is in co-operation with the Allied Naval Commander and is responsible for military measures in connection with the occupation of Constantinople, which Lord Curzon states has been admitted by the French and Italian High Commissioners, it seems to the Army Council that he must have executive authority over matters controlled by the Commissioners for the War and Postal Offices, and that the presidents of these commissions, which are only part of the organisation set up by the Army Council for the better organisation of his control, are merely members of his staff, acting under his orders, in the same way as an Allied officer in command of a body of troops, other than British, in Constantinople is under the orders of General Milne.

Therefore the nationality of the presidents of these committees would be immaterial, provided that they could be relied upon to carry out loyally the policy and instructions of General Milne, and, in the opinion of the Army Council, this is the case. If, however, it should be necessary to refer the question to the French or Italian High Commissioners, the question could be referred by these Commissioners to their Government, and, in this connection, the Army Council would observe that a French or Italian representative as one of these committees, whether serving in the capacity of president or that of member, presumably has full discretion to inform his own High Commissioner of the instructions actually issued by General Milne. The Council propose, however, to make this point quite clear in a telegram to General Milne, a copy of which is attached for Lord Curzon's approval.

3. I am therefore to suggest that Lord Curzon should explain to the Allied Governments that His Majesty's Government, on their part, are instructing General



Milne to allow all the Allied High Commissioners complete access to information regarding the proceedings of the commissions, while they, on their part, will be expected to explain the true situation as indicated above to their own representatives, who should adapt themselves in such a manner as to enable General Milne to appoint them to posts of this nature with full confidence in their loyalty and readiness to comply with his instructions.

A copy of this letter is being sent to the Admiralty.

I am, &c.  
R. B. CUBITT.

Enclosure in No. 304.

War Office to General Officer Commanding, Constantinople.

(M.I. 2.)  
(Telegraphic.)

YOUR I 8767 of 11th June.

French Government have lodged official complaint regarding conduct of business by these commissions. Foreign Office have been asked to inform French and Italian Governments that presidents of Allied Commissions of Control must be under your executive command, as part of the organisation set up by you in your capacity as authority responsible for military measures in connection with the occupation of Constantinople, in exactly the same way as an Allied officer in command of body troops in Constantinople must be under your command. At same time War Office have undertaken that you will ensure full facilities for Allied representatives serving on these commissions, whether as president or member, to inform their own High Commissioners of your instructions without prejudice to loyal execution of them by Allied representatives. If High Commissioners are dissatisfied they must make representations to their own Governments.

[E 7091/3/44]

No. 305.

The Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 23.)

(No. 722.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Paris, June 23, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 722 of 21st June: Ismid peninsula.

I have received following reply from President of the Council, Minister for Foreign Affairs, to representations which I made in accordance with your instructions:—

"French Government recognise necessity of defending Ismid peninsula against Nationalists. It is also ready to maintain closest co-operation between Allies in Turkey against Nationalists' attacks."

"But it considers intermingling of British, French and Italian troops presents great inconvenience and difficulties, not only from point of view of command, but also of supplies. It considers it necessary to maintain homogeneous detachments and to divide defence of Constantinople into sectors both on Asiatic and European side. In its opinion it would be practical and logical that defence of Ismid peninsula and of Bosphorus on Asiatic side should be concentrated in hands of British troops, and that defence of Constantinople on European side be ensured by French troops. This would seem the more logical, since command of General Milne in Asia has been formally agreed by France, and since, from point of view of right as well as in accordance with proposals repeatedly made by French Government, command in European Turkey should be French."

The President of the Council insists on fact that he is anxious to maintain close co-operation between Allies in resistance to Turkish Nationalists, and that his reply is solely inspired by anxiety to ensure such resistance under safest and most homogeneous conditions.

President of Council would like to know views of His Majesty's Government as soon as possible, in order that he may lose no time in sending most urgent instructions to Constantinople.

[E 6546/757/44]

No. 306.

Earl Curzon to M. Cambon.

Your Excellency,

Foreign Office, June 23, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to refer to the communication which you were good enough to address to me on the 8th May last conveying the intimation that the French Government concurred in the view expressed in my note of the 14th April, that the request of the Swiss Government for Swiss representation on the Council of the Public Debt Administration could not, for various reasons, be entertained.

2. I have now the honour to inform your Excellency that the Italian Government, who were also consulted on the subject, have now expressed the undesirability of complying with the request of the Swiss Government.

3. In consequence of the complete agreement reached in the matter by the three Allied Governments, I have informed the Swiss Minister at this Court that after careful consideration of the question in consultation with the French and Italian Governments, His Majesty's Government have felt that in view of the arrangements which have been made for the eventual disappearance of the Council it was hardly worth while setting in motion the procedure necessary to obtain what could only be a very transient representation of Swiss interests, and I therefore venture to express the hope that a similar intimation may be conveyed by the French Government.

I have, &c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON.

[E 6546/757/44]

No. 307.

Earl Curzon to Signor Preziosi.

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 23, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the note which you were good enough to address to me on the 12th instant, intimating the concurrence of the Italian Government in the view of His Majesty's Government regarding the undesirability of complying with the request of the Swiss Government for the admittance of a Swiss representative on the Council of the Ottoman Public Debt Administration.

2. In consequence of the complete agreement now reached in the matter by the three Allied Governments, I have informed the Swiss Minister at this Court that, after careful consideration of the question in consultation with the French and Italian Governments, His Majesty's Government have felt that in view of the arrangements which have been made for the eventual disappearance of the Council, it was hardly worth while setting in motion the procedure necessary to obtain what could only be a very transient representation of Swiss interests, and I therefore venture to express the hope that a similar intimation may be conveyed by the Italian Government.

I have, &c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON.

[E 6546/757/44]

No. 308.

Earl Curzon to M. Paracchini.

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 23, 1920.

I HAVE the honour to refer to the note which you were good enough to address to me on the 23rd March last, relative to the desire of your Government for Swiss representation on the Council of the Ottoman Public Debt Administration.

2. The request which you have put forward has had the careful consideration of His Majesty's Government, in consultation with the Allied Governments of France and Italy, and it has been felt that in view of the arrangements which have been made for the eventual disappearance of that Council, it was hardly worth while setting in motion the procedure necessary to obtain what could only be a very transient representation of Swiss interests.

I have, &c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON.



[E 7156/3/44]

No. 309.

*Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 24.)*

(No. 738.)

(Telegraphic.)

*Constantinople, June 23, 1920.*

MY telegram No. 734 of 23rd June.

Reshid Bey and Jamil Pasha called on me this morning, 23rd June. They said that, thanks to preparatory work done in Paris, drafting of Turkish reply by Government here had not involved much labour, and that draft reply had now assumed form so nearly final that it would be easy to put finishing touches to it with Grand Vizier at Paris. They took line that granting further extension of time was foregone conclusion.

They said that they had intended to leave on 26th June, but that steamer for Venice was not now expected to leave before 28th June.

I urged importance of their starting at earliest moment, and, as it happens to fit in with other arrangements, I offered accommodation as far as Taranto in H.M.S. "Caradoc," sailing 26th June.

Delegates expressed hope that Turkish Government's objection to present draft treaty would be considered indulgently. I said that I could not disguise cooling effect likely to have been produced on any sympathy for Turkey felt in England by recent unprovoked attack on our troops at Izmid by so-called National force. I pointed out that observers at a distance most find it difficult to discriminate between Central Government and Nationalists, when latter have started warlike operations against us within stone's throw of capital. I added that Nationalists were not only operating in Asia Minor but also in Paris, where every effort was being made to persuade Allied public opinion that Mustafa Kemal and his associates alone stood for Turkey.

I said that best thing delegates could do was to get quickly to Paris and satisfy Allies that legitimate Government of Turkey have no connection with Nationalists, who are our avowed enemies, and that delegation really represents Sultan and his people and not rebels against his authority.

Delegates denounced Nationalists with utmost fervour, but urged strongly that Turkey should be given just peace, and one likely to assure her future stability. This, they said, could only be assured by placing her under regis of single Power, and England was the only Power qualified to fill necessary rôle of guide and protector. They did not expect England to undertake task from purely disinterested motives, but at least her motives would not be local economic ones. They would be founded on her interest in regenerated Turkey as element of order and stability in the East generally.

(Secret.)

My offer of transport to Taranto and my language generally were inspired by knowledge that Reshid has since returned here, being engaged in intrigue against Ferid Pasha, and strong suspicion that for some time past he has been tending towards collaboration with Nationalists for peace treaty purposes. Object of intrigue against Ferid is to reduce him to nullity in peace negotiations and perhaps to force his resignation. Game of Nationalists appears to be capture of peace delegation, in subordinate ranks of which they have strong sympathisers.

French have also hand in this game. Reshid Pasha is probably sincere in belief that Great Britain is only Power really capable of helping Turkey, but he is on most intimate terms with French, who dislike Ferid, and who would be glad to see Reshid in his place. Latter might be specially convenient instrument in their hands if pro-Nationalist element in high French circles gain ascendancy, as they seem increasingly likely to do, and succeeded in giving new orientation to French policy in Turkey on lines of establishing understanding with Mustafa.

[E 6372/289/44]

No. 310.

*Earl Curzon to M. Gavrilovitch.*

Sir,

*Foreign Office, June 24, 1920.*

WITH further reference to your note of the 15th April, in which you put forward the suggestion that capitulatory privileges should without further delay be conferred upon subjects of the Serbo-Croat-Slovene State, I have the honour to inform you that I have now received a despatch from His Majesty's High Commissioner, Constantinople,

in which he informs me that, in practice, subjects of the Serbo-Croat-Slovene Kingdom are in enjoyment of the same immunities and protection as those of other Allied countries.

2. The question of the status of the Serbs having been raised by the Turkish Government, the Sublime Porte was officially informed on the 19th May that no distinction could be admitted between the Serbs and other Allies and that the jurisdiction of Turkish Courts over them could not be tolerated.

3. It is not possible to apply the so-called "Régime des Capitulations" to the subjects of belligerent States, and so long as armistice conditions endure, it is not in fact in force as regards neutrals.

I have, &amp;c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON.

[E 7230/3/44]

No. 311.

*Sir G. Buchanan to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 25.)*

(No. 264.)

(Telegraphic.)

*Rome, June 23, 1920.*

I YESTERDAY addressed note to Italian Minister for Foreign Affairs, embodying your telegram No. 265 of 21st June and Constantinople telegram No. 682 of 19th June.

As Minister for Foreign Affairs has not yet returned from Boulogne, I to-day called on President of Council, and, after impressing on him gravity of situation and urgency of immediate action, expressed earnest hope that Italian Government would co-operate with us and at once send troops to take over Asiatic side of Dardanelles.

President of Council said that he fully realised Italy was as much interested as we were in maintenance of their rôle at Imdid peninsula, and that any indication of weakness on the part of Allies might seriously prejudice the position of their forces in Constantinople. Anxious, however, as he was to co-operate in this, as in other questions, with His Majesty's Government, he could not at present moment spare any troops for such a purpose.

I urged in matter of such grave importance it hardly became Italy to stand aside altogether, and that all that General Milne had asked for was that she should send enough troops to the Dardanelles to enable us to transfer British troops who were now at Chanak to Imdid. He said he had at present at his disposal, to meet all eventualities, only some 300,000 men, and he required them, and indeed more than these, to deal with situation in Albania and Tripoli and to maintain order at home, more especially as threatening attitude of railwaymen, if not kept under control, might end by cutting off several important towns from food supplies. It was case of *force majeure*, and he regretted exceedingly his inability to comply with our request.

(Repeated to Constantinople.)

[E 7091/3/44]

No. 312.

*Earl Curzon to the Earl of Derby (Paris).*

(No. 741.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

*Foreign Office, June 25, 1920.*

YOUR telegram No. 752 of the 23rd June.

Please convey following as personal message from Prime Minister to President of the Council:—

"I have given careful consideration to your proposal to divide military control in the Constantinople area, and have consulted my military advisers on the point. They point out that, according to every military rule, there must be unity of command in the operations which are now being conducted against the Turks, and which may take place both north and south of the straits. To divide arbitrarily forces into two commands on either side of a line, which, in a military sense, is purely imaginary, would be opposed to all principles of strategy, and would be to deprive ourselves of the advantages of the central position. Further, the base for our operations on the Imdid Peninsula is, and must remain, Constantinople. This in itself would appear to preclude the practical working of your proposal. Finally, supposing the Turks made a general attack and it were accompanied by some kind of rising in Constantinople, I can conceive of no arrangement more calculated to produce confusion, delay, and possibly disaster,



than that in the small common zone which we actually occupy there should be two commanders and no Commander-in-chief. There might even be three, for if there is to be no unity of command the Italians would also probably claim an independent command. It is, therefore, impossible for the British Government willingly to give their consent to the abandonment of the principle of unity of command in the Constantinople area.

"The only question, therefore, is whether that command should be British or French. I am most anxious to say and do nothing in this matter which could cause misunderstanding, and I would assure you that the British attitude in this matter is not in the least concerned with prestige or political influence. But I must point out that almost the whole burden of the war against Turkey was borne by the British, that the majority of the troops engaged in this area are still British, and that the troops most liable to serious attack are also British. Further, I would remind you that the British agreed to a French Commander-in-chief both on the Western front and on the Salonica front during the war, and that large British armies loyally accepted and worked this arrangement. I think therefore that it is only reasonable that the French Government should now agree, seeing how strong the other reasons are, to there being a British Commander-in-chief during the present operations. I do not wish to have to meet the criticism in Parliament that unity of command is always interpreted to mean that British troops must be placed under French command, and that it does not mean that French or Italian troops can be placed under British command. I would, therefore, earnestly ask the French Government to take a big view of the situation and agree to there being unity of command in the Constantinople area, and to the supreme command being exercised by a British General until peace with Turkey is established. The French Government, of course, under this arrangement would have a voice in the selection of the General who was to have the supreme command, and if they agreed to my proposal the British Government would consult with them on that subject immediately."

[E 7274/3/44]

No. 313.

Admiral Sir J. de Robeck to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 26.)

(No. 751.)

(Telegraphic.)

Constantinople, June 26, 1920.

GENERAL MILNE has shown me a telegram from War Office which states that M. Millerand has proposed that French should assume entire military control over Constantinople on behalf of Allies, whilst General Milne should, as hitherto, be responsible for Asia Minor.

I would point out that acceptance of this proposal would mean that our predominant position at Constantinople as Power which defeated Turkey in war, made armistice and executed military occupation of town this year, would be sacrificed, and that French would take our place and play leading rôle.

We are now at war with Turkish Nationalists, and have in addition to ensure execution of terms of Peace Treaty. If we hand over Constantinople to French we give up control of place, which is strictly only possible base for all military and naval operations in Turkish area.

We should also resign to French our present predominant position in being able to influence the Sultan, Turkish Cabinet and Sublime Porte. We are the Power which is determined to execute Peace Treaty in spite of Nationalists; our French Allies may, however, at any time decide that a policy of compromise with Nationalists is more in accordance with their interests. They have been in communication with Mustafa Kemal, and have made an armistice with him in Cilicia. If they controlled Constantinople they would be in a position to bring in a Nationalist Government here, which would create a situation making execution of present Peace Treaty impossible.

I can see no advantage to Allied cause in M. Millerand's proposal, and its only object appears to be to establish French in position of predominance in Constantinople at our own expense.

The argument in favour now put forward, viz., that separate areas of command are necessary to ensure Allied occupation, is diametrically opposed to contention previously maintained that General Milne was in supreme command of Allied armies in Orient.

[E 6721/3/44]

No. 314.

Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).

(No. 581.)

(Telegraphic.)

Foreign Office, June 26, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 713 (paragraph 5) of 17th June.

You will have realised from my telegram No. 559 of 21st June, repeating my telegraphic request to French and Italian Governments for reinforcements, that our policy would require forcible suppression of any political developments in Constantinople tending to strengthen the Nationalist movement in Anatolia and Thrace.

[E 7340/3/44]

No. 315.

The Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon.—(Received June 27.)

(No. 770.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Paris, June 27, 1920.

MY telegram No. 768 of 26th June: Command in Turkey.

Following is summary of reply of President of Council:—

French Government fully concurs in necessity of unity of command for future military operations in Constantinople zone. In taking view in its note of 23rd January that for reason of command and supply it would be preferable to maintain homogeneous forces in fixed sectors, it did not exclude the idea of unity of command, but advocated that solution in principle for employment of troops, while admitting that a certain liberty in this respect should be left to various commands.

Unity of command being thus recognised, question arises as to troops over which it should be exercised. Without considering for the moment naval forces, French Government considers it clear that it should be exercised over Greek army (about 100,000 men), British troops (about 20,000), French troops (about 18,000) and Italian troops (about 2,000).

As regards question whether supreme command should be French or British, French Government observe that by right France held supreme command by land and sea since beginning of the war, although certain local [group omitted] were temporarily made at time of occupation of Constantinople and conclusion of armistice with Turkey. Last decision taken as regards land command was that of 3rd December, 1918, in London, which laid down that "British troops in garrison in any part of Turkey whatsoever remain with their general under command of General Franchet d'Espèrey." In point of fact, in spite of that decision, General Wilson assumed command at Constantinople, and, more recently, General Milne that over whole of Constantinople zone, although his command had been restricted by Allies to Asia Minor.

British Government, continues President of the Council, now ask France to abandon her right of supreme command in Turkey in Europe, basing that demand on a situation of fact and actual respective military positions in Constantinople district, and asserting that that demand is in no way influenced by political considerations.

French Government, anxious to reply to British demand and to take arguments put forward into account, is ready to agree to supreme military command for defence of Constantinople zone being entrusted to an English general, but only on certain conditions.

French parliamentary and public opinion will be unable to admit that existing rightful situation at Constantinople should be reversed to the detriment of France without equality, which ought to exist between British and French at Constantinople, being at the same time maintained and clearly laid down. Logically, if command by land is attributed to England, command by sea should be French.

Should such a solution be considered hardly practicable, French Government asks that, under supreme authority of British general, command of town of Constantinople itself be entrusted to a French general. Further, French Government asks that at Constantinople question of presidency of three commissions of control, which should be divided amongst British, French and Italians by agreement between their respective Governments, shall now be definitely settled in that sense.

Situation, concludes President of the Council, will then be as follows: General Officer Commanding-in-chief will settle military objections to be attained in accordance with political aims decided upon by Council of High Commissioners. British Commander-in-chief would direct military operations. The two Governments would



settle between themselves on choice of general for the purpose. In principle, troops of same nationality will remain grouped together without entirely binding hands of supreme command in that respect; each of the General Officers Commanding troops of different nationalities will retain right to refer to his Government if he considered that his forces were endangered by orders received.

Such is basis on which French Government consider that cordial co-operation and close agreement between the Allies should remain established.

[E 7466/3/44]

No. 316.

*The Earl of Derby to Earl Curzon. — (Received June 30.)*

(No. 776.)

(Telegraphic.) D.

Paris, June 30, 1920.

YOUR telegram No. 742 of 26th June.

President of the Council concurs in proposal respecting withdrawal of Allied officers and agents in areas under Nationalist control, and has notified Minister of War with a view to necessary instructions being sent to Constantinople.

[E 6344/289/44]

No. 317.

*Earl Curzon to Admiral Sir J. de Robeck (Constantinople).*

(No. 491.)

Sir,

Foreign Office, June 30, 1920.

WITH reference to your telegram No. 620 of the 11th June, and in confirmation of my telegram No. 185 of the 25th June, relative to the granting of a general authority, under rule 305 of "The Ottoman Rules of Court, 1914," for Consular Courts to deal with applications for probate made after three years of death without reference to the Supreme Court, I have to forward to you herewith, for transmission to your representative at Smyrna, copy of an order, dated London, the 22nd June, granting the required general authority, signed by his Honour Judge Grain, of His Britannic Majesty's Supreme Court.

I am, &amp;c.

CURZON OF KEDLESTON.

Enclosure in No. 317.

*Order made in His Britannic Majesty's Supreme Court.**Probate Division.*

In the matter of directions under Rule 305 of Rules of Court for His Majesty's Courts in Ottoman dominions.

WHEREAS under rule 305 of Rules of Courts for His Majesty's Courts in the Ottoman dominions it is set forth that—

"Where application for probate or administration is, for the first time, made to a provincial court after three years from the date of decease, a grant shall not be made except under the direction of the Supreme Court,"

it is hereby directed by His Britannic Majesty's Supreme Court that in all such cases referred to in the above-stated rule, where delay in the making of the application for probate or administration is due directly or indirectly to the exigencies of the late war, the provincial court shall have and exercise all the powers conferred under article 107 of "The Ottoman Order in Council, 1910," without further application to or direction from His Majesty's Supreme Court under the above-stated rule.

By order,

Judge,

*His Britannic Majesty's Supreme Court.*